RESEARCH

Open Access



Linear combinations of composition operators on H^{∞} spaces over the unit ball and polydisk

Xingxing Yao^{1*}

*Correspondence:

¹Hubei Key Laboratory of Optical Information and Pattern Recognition, Wuhan Institute of Technology, Wuhan, China

Abstract

In this paper, we characterize completely the compactness of linear combinations of composition operators acting on the space $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N)$ of bounded holomorphic functions over the unit ball \mathbb{B}_N from two different aspects. The same problems are also investigated on the space $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^N)$ over the unit polydisk \mathbb{D}^N .

Keywords: Linear combination; Composition operator; Compactness

1 Introduction

Let *H* be a Banach space of holomorphic functions on a domain *G* of \mathbb{C}^N and φ be a holomorphic map of *G* into itself. The composition operator C_{φ} is a linear operator defined by

 $C_{\varphi}f=f\circ\varphi,\quad f\in H.$

Such operators have been investigated mainly in various Banach spaces of holomorphic functions to characterize the operator theoretic behavior of C_{φ} by the function theoretic properties of φ , see the books [3, 15, 22].

An area of considerable interest is the topological structure of the set of composition operators acting on a given function space. That work was originally investigated by Berkson [2] in the setting of Hardy–Hilbert space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ on the open unit disk \mathbb{D} , and then generalized by MacCluer [12] and Shapiro and Sundberg [16]. On the space of all bounded holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D} , denoted by $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$, MacCluer, Ohno, and Zhao [13] studied the topological structure of the set of composition operators and characterized completely compact differences of composition operators. Hosokawa, Izuchi, and Zheng continued this investigation in [7], where they showed that a composition operator that is isolated in the norm topology is also isolated in the essential norm topology. Furthermore, Toews [19] generalized those results to the H^{∞} space over the unit ball, and Wolf [21] characterized the boundedness and compactness of differences of composition operators between weighted Banach spaces of holomorphic functions on the unit polydisk.

© The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.



After these works, many authors contributed to exploring norms and essential norms of differences of composition operators on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$, see for example [1, 5, 6]. Moreover, Gorkin and Mortini [4] estimated norms and essential norms of linear sums of endomorphisms on uniform algebras. Following that, Izuchi and Ohno [9] characterized the compactness of linear combinations of composition operators on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ and computed norms and essential norms of them. In this paper, quite influenced by [9], we investigate to extend those results just mentioned to H^{∞} spaces over the unit ball and polydisk. We want also to mention that some related results on difference of composition and weighted composition operators to weighted type spaces can be found in [11] and [18] and in the related references therein.

Recall that the unit ball \mathbb{B}_N resp. polydisk \mathbb{D}^N is defined as

$$\mathbb{B}_{N} = \left\{ z = (z_{1}, \dots, z_{N}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N} : |z| := \left(|z_{1}|^{2} + \dots + |z_{N}|^{2} \right)^{1/2} < 1 \right\} \text{ resp.},$$
$$\mathbb{D}^{N} = \left\{ z = (z_{1}, \dots, z_{N}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N} : |z|_{\max} := \max_{1 \le j \le N} |z_{j}| < 1 \right\}.$$

Let $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N)$ resp. $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^N)$ be the Banach space of all bounded holomorphic functions with the supremum norms over the unit ball \mathbb{B}_N resp. the unit polydisk \mathbb{D}^N . Throughout this work, we use the same confusing notation $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ standing for the supremum norms over \mathbb{B}_N or \mathbb{D}^N , according to the context.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 includes some background materials needed in the sequel. In Sect. 3 we determine conditions under which linear combinations of composition operators are compact on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N)$ and $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^N)$, respectively. One of the main difficult problems of our proof is how to construct suitable test functions.

2 Preliminaries and definitions

In order to handle linear combinations of composition operators, we need some auxiliary results. For $z = (z_1, ..., z_N)$ and $w = (w_1, ..., w_N)$ in \mathbb{C}^N , the inner product of z and w is defined by

$$\langle z, w \rangle := z_1 \overline{w_1} + \cdots + z_N \overline{w_N},$$

and then $|z| = \langle z, z \rangle^{1/2}$. For each $z \in \mathbb{C}^N$, denote by [z] the complex subspace spanned by z. The involutive automorphism of \mathbb{B}_N that interchanges a and 0 is given by

$$\Phi_a(z) := \frac{a - P_a(z) - s_a Q_a(z)}{1 - \langle z, a \rangle}, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_N,$$

where P_a is the projection onto [a] (that is, $P_0 = 0$, $P_a(z) := \frac{\langle z, a \rangle}{\langle a, a \rangle} a$ if $a \neq 0$), $Q_a(z) = (I - P_a)(z)$ is the projection onto $[z]^{\perp}$, and $s_a := (1 - \langle a, a \rangle)^{1/2}$. Clearly, $\langle P_a(z), a \rangle = \langle z, a \rangle$. For z and w in \mathbb{B}_N , the pseudo-hyperbolic distance $\beta(z, w)$ is defined by

$$\beta(z, w) := \sup\{|f(z)| : f \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N), ||f||_{\infty} \le 1, f(w) = 0\};\$$

and the induced distance $d_{\infty}(z, w)$ is defined by

$$d_{\infty}(z, w) := \sup\{|f(z) - f(w)| : f \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N), ||f||_{\infty} \le 1\}.$$

We also recall the following relations, for example, see [10, 19].

Lemma 2.1 For any z and w in \mathbb{B}_N , we have

(a)
$$d_{\infty}(z, w) = \frac{2-2\sqrt{1-\beta(z,w)^2}}{\beta(z,w)};$$

(b) $\beta(z, w) = |\Phi_z(w)|;$
(c) $\{p: \beta(z, p) < \lambda\} = \Phi_z(\lambda \mathbb{B}_N) \text{ for } 0 \le \lambda \le 1$

For $0 < \lambda < 1$, $\Phi_z(\lambda \mathbb{B}_N)$ is the set of all points $w \in \mathbb{B}_N$ satisfying

$$\frac{|P_z(w) - C_{z\lambda}|^2}{\lambda^2 \rho_{z\lambda}^2} + \frac{|Q_z(w)|^2}{\lambda^2 \rho_{z\lambda}} < 1$$

an ellipsoid with center $C_{z\lambda}$, where

$$C_{z\lambda} := \frac{(1-\lambda^2)z}{1-\lambda^2|z|^2}$$
 and $\rho_{z\lambda} := \frac{1-|z|^2}{1-\lambda^2|z|^2}$

(see [14, page 10] for details). As in [19], observe that $\Phi_z(\lambda \mathbb{B}_N) \cap [z]^{\perp}$ is a ball of radius $\lambda \sqrt{\rho_{z\lambda}}$, while $\Phi_z(\lambda \mathbb{B}_N) \cap [z]$ is a disk centered at $C_{z\lambda}$ of radius $\lambda \rho_{z\lambda}$ as follows:

$$\Phi_{z}(\lambda \mathbb{B}_{N}) \cap [z] = \left\{ w \in [z] : \left| \frac{z - w}{1 - \langle z, w \rangle} \right| < \lambda \right\}.$$
(2.1)

The pseudo-hyperbolic distance between two points *z* and *w* in \mathbb{D}^N is defined by

$$\rho_N(z,w) \coloneqq \max_{1 \le j \le N} \rho(z_j,w_j),$$

where we denote by ρ the pseudo-hyperbolic distance on \mathbb{D} , i.e., $\rho(a, b) = |\frac{a-b}{1-\overline{a}b}|$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{D}$. We also define the induced distance for any *z* and *w* in \mathbb{D}^N :

$$d_{\max}(z, w) := \sup\{|f(z) - f(w)| : f \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^N), ||f||_{\infty} \le 1\}.$$

The following relation can be obtained by using Schwarz's lemma for the polydisk and the argument in the proof of Lemma 2.2 in [20].

Lemma 2.2 $d_{\max}(z, w) \leq 2\rho_N(z, w)$ for any $z, w \in \mathbb{D}^N$.

At the end of this section, we give compactness criterions for linear combinations of composition operators. Let $X = H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N)$ (resp. $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^N)$) and $G = \mathbb{B}_N$ (resp. \mathbb{D}^N). Let B(X) be the space of all bounded linear operators from X to X. Then an operator $T \in B(X)$ is said to be compact if $\overline{T(S)}$ is compact in the norm topology in X, where S is the unit sphere of X.

Proposition 2.3 Let $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_M$ be holomorphic self-maps of G. Then, for $a_1, \ldots, a_M \in \mathbb{C}$, $\sum_{i=1}^M a_i C_{\varphi_i}$ is compact on X if and only if $\|\sum_{i=1}^M a_i C_{\varphi_i} f_j\|_{\infty} \to 0$ for any bounded sequence $\{f_j\} \subseteq X$ with $f_j \to 0$ uniformly on each compact subset of G, as $j \to \infty$.

Throughout this paper we use the notation $X \leq Y$ or $Y \geq X$ for nonnegative functions X and Y to mean that there exists C > 0 such that $X \leq CY$, where C does not depend on the associated variables. Similarly, we use the notation $X \approx Y$ if both $X \leq Y$ and $Y \leq X$ hold.

3 Linear combinations of composition operators

3.1 Compactness on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N)$

As is well known, every holomorphic self-map φ of \mathbb{B}_N induces a bounded composition operator C_{φ} on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N)$. Given $M \geq 2$, let $a_1, \ldots, a_M \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_M$ be holomorphic self-maps of \mathbb{B}_N . If $\varphi_i(\mathbb{B}_N) \subseteq r\mathbb{B}_N$ for some positive number r < 1, then C_{φ_i} is compact on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N)$. We may exclude such trivial ones from our linear sums and assume that $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_N} |\varphi_i(z)| = 1$ for each *i* throughout this subsection. Denote by $\mathcal{Z} := \mathcal{Z}(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_M)$ the family of sequences $\{z^{(i)}\}$ in \mathbb{B}_N satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $|\varphi_i(z^{(j)})| \to 1$ as $j \to \infty$ for some *i*;
- (b) $\{\varphi_i(z^{(j)})\}$ converges for each *i*;
- (c) $\{\beta(\varphi_i(z^{(j)}), \varphi_k(z^{(j)})\}\)$ is a convergent sequence for every *i*, *k*.

By our hypothesis, there is $\{z^{(j)}\} \in \mathcal{Z}$, and then we write

$$I(\lbrace z^{(j)}\rbrace) = \lbrace i: 1 \le i \le N, |\varphi_i(z^{(j)})| \to 1 \text{ as } j \to \infty \rbrace.$$

Clearly $I(\{z^{(j)}\}) \neq \emptyset$ by (a). By (b), for each $m \notin I(\{z^{(j)}\})$, there exists a positive constant $\delta < 1$ such that $|\varphi_m(z^{(j)})| \le \delta$. Given $t \in I(\{z^{(j)}\})$, we write

$$I_0(\{z^{(j)}\},t) = \{i \in I(\{z^{(j)}\}) : \beta(\varphi_t(z^{(j)}),\varphi_i(z^{(j)})) \to 0 \text{ as } j \to \infty\}.$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_1) = I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_2) \quad \text{or} \quad I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_1) \cap I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_2) = \emptyset$$

for $t_1, t_2 \in I(\{z^{(j)}\})$. Hence there is a subset $\{t_1, \ldots, t_\ell\} \subseteq I(\{z^{(j)}\})$ such that

$$I(\{z^{(j)}\}) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\ell} I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_k)$$

and $I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_i) \cap I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_m) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq m$. Under these notations, we can characterize completely the compactness of linear sums of composition operators on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N)$ as follows.

Theorem 3.1 Under the above notation and definitions, $\sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i C_{\varphi_i}$ is compact on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N)$ if and only if $\sum_{i \in I_0(\{z^{(j)}\},t)} a_i = 0$ for every $\{z^{(j)}\} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in I(\{z^{(j)}\})$.

Proof Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i C_{\varphi_i}$ is compact on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N)$. Let $\{z^{(j)}\} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in I(\{z^{(j)}\})$. Then we set $\{k_1, \ldots, k_\ell\} = \{1, \ldots, M\} \setminus I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t)$. So, for each $1 \leq m \leq \ell$, considering subsequences of $\{z^{(j)}\}$, there is some $\delta > 0$ such that $\beta(\varphi_{k_m}(z^{(j)}), \varphi_i(z^{(j)})) \geq \delta$ for any $i \in I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t)$ and j large enough. Meanwhile, for every $i \in I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t)$, then $\beta(\varphi_t(z^{(j)}), \varphi_i(z^{(j)})) \to 0$, and thus $d_{\infty}(\varphi_t(z^{(j)}), \varphi_i(z^{(j)})) \to 0$ according to Lemma 2.1(a) and $\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{2-2\sqrt{1-t^2}}{t} = 0$.

For each *j* and $k_m \notin I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t)$, let

$$\epsilon_{j}^{(k_{m})} \coloneqq \left| \frac{\varphi_{k_{m}}(z^{(j)}) - P_{\varphi_{k_{m}}(z^{(j)})}(\varphi_{t}(z^{(j)}))}{1 - \langle P_{\varphi_{k_{m}}(z^{(j)})}(\varphi_{t}(z^{(j)})), \varphi_{k_{m}}(z^{(j)}) \rangle} \right|$$

Considering subsequences of $\{z^{(j)}\}$, we may assume that $\{\epsilon_j^{(k_m)}\}$ converges in the sequel. By (2.1), $P_{\varphi_{k_m}(z^{(j)})}(\varphi_t(z^{(j)})) \in \Phi_{\varphi_{k_m}(z^{(j)})}(\epsilon_j^{(k_m)} \mathbb{B}_N) \cap [\varphi_{k_m}(z^{(j)})]$. Thus

$$\left| P_{\varphi_{k_m}(z^{(j)})} \big(\varphi_t(z^{(j)}) \big) - \varphi_{k_m}(z^{(j)}) \right| \le 2\epsilon_j^{(k_m)} \rho_{\varphi_{k_m}(z^{(j)})\epsilon_j^{(k_m)}};$$

and it is on the order of $\epsilon_i^{(k_m)}(1-|\varphi_{k_m}(z^{(j)})|^2)$. Define the functions

$$g_{k_m}^{(j)}(z) \coloneqq \frac{\langle z, Q^e_{\varphi_{k_m}(z^{(j)})}(\varphi_t(z^{(j)}))\rangle^2}{1 - \langle z, \varphi_{k_m}(z^{(j)})\rangle},$$

where we set the unit vector

$$Q^{e}_{\varphi_{k_{m}}(z^{(j)})}(\varphi_{t}(z^{(j)})) := \frac{Q_{\varphi_{k_{m}}(z^{(j)})}(\varphi_{t}(z^{(j)}))}{|Q_{\varphi_{k_{m}}(z^{(j)})}(\varphi_{t}(z^{(j)}))|}.$$

If $\{\epsilon_j^{(k_m)}\}$ tends to zero for some $k_m \notin I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t)$, then it follows from the proof of [19, Lemma 10] that the following statements hold:

(i)
$$\|g_{k_m}^{(j)}\|_{\infty} \le 2;$$

(ii) $g_{k_m}^{(j)}(\varphi_{k_m}(z^{(j)})) = 0$ and

$$\liminf_{j \to \infty} \left| g_{k_m}^{(j)} \big(\varphi_t \big(z^{(j)} \big) \big) \right| = \liminf_{j \to \infty} \frac{|Q_{\varphi_{k_m}(z^{(j)})} (\varphi_t(z^{(j)}))|^2}{|1 - \langle \varphi_t(z^{(j)}), \varphi_{k_m}(z^{(j)}) \rangle|} \ge \delta^2.$$

Furthermore,

(iii) for every $i \in I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t)$,

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}g_{k_m}^{(j)}(\varphi_i(z^{(j)})) = \lim_{j\to\infty}g_{k_m}^{(j)}(\varphi_t(z^{(j)})) := \alpha_{k_m,t} \neq 0.$$

That is deduced by the fact that

$$ig|g_{k_m}^{(j)}(arphi_i(z^{(j)})) - g_{k_m}^{(j)}(arphi_t(z^{(j)}))ig| \leq d_\inftyig(arphi_{k_m}(z^{(j)}),arphi_i(z^{(j)})ig) o 0,$$

and the limit $\alpha_{k_{m,t}} \neq 0$ by (ii).

On the other hand, if $\{\epsilon_j^{(k'_m)}\}$ does not tend to zero for some $k'_m \notin I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t)$, then there is some positive constant σ such that

$$\frac{\varphi_{k'_m}(z^{(j)}) - P_{\varphi_{k'_m}(z^{(j)})}(\varphi_t(z^{(j)}))}{1 - \langle \varphi_t(z^{(j)}), \varphi_{k'_m}(z^{(j)})\rangle} \right| > \sigma$$

for *j* large enough. Now we define the functions

$$h_{k_m'}^{(j)}(z) := rac{\langle arphi_{k_m'}(z^{(j)}) - P_{arphi_{k_m'}(z^{(j)})}(z), rac{arphi_{k_m'}(z^{(j)})}{|arphi_{k_m'}(z^{(j)})|}
angle}{1 - \langle z, arphi_{k_m'}(z^{(j)})
angle}.$$

Similarly, the following statements can be obtained:

$$\begin{array}{ll} ({\rm i}') & \|h_{k_m'}^{(j)}\|_\infty \leq 2;\\ ({\rm i}{\rm i}') & h_{k_m'}^{(j)}(\varphi_{k_m'}(z^{(j)})) = 0 \mbox{ and } \end{array}$$

$$\liminf_{j\to\infty} \left|h_{k'_m}^{(j)}(\varphi_t(z^{(j)}))\right| = \liminf_{j\to\infty} \left|\frac{\varphi_{k'_m}(z^{(j)}) - P_{\varphi_{k'_m}(z^{(j)})}(\varphi_t(z^{(j)}))}{1 - \langle \varphi_t(z^{(j)}), \varphi_{k'_m}(z^{(j)})\rangle}\right| \ge \sigma;$$

(iii') for every $i \in I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t)$,

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}h_{k'_m}^{(j)}(\varphi_i(z^{(j)})) = \lim_{j\to\infty}h_{k'_m}^{(j)}(\varphi_t(z^{(j)})) := \beta_{k'_m,t} \neq 0.$$

Without loss of generality, let $\{k_p : 1 \le p \le \ell_0 \le \ell\}$ be the set of all $k_p \notin I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t)$ such that $\epsilon_j^{(k_p)} \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$. For an arbitrary positive integer j, define

$$f_{j}(z) := \frac{1 - |\varphi_{t}(z^{(j)})|^{2}}{1 - \langle z, \varphi_{t}(z^{(j)}) \rangle} \prod_{p=1}^{\ell_{0}} g_{k_{p}}^{(j)}(z) \cdot \prod_{q=\ell_{0}+1}^{\ell} h_{k_{q}}^{(j)}(z).$$

$$(3.1)$$

Then $f_j \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N)$ with $||f_j||_{\infty} \leq 2^M$, and $\{f_j\}$ converges uniformly to zero on compact subsets of \mathbb{B}_N . Also $f_j(\varphi_{k_m}(z^{(j)})) = 0$ for every $1 \leq m \leq \ell$. Therefore

$$\begin{split} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i C_{\varphi_i} f_j \right\|_{\infty} &\geq \left| \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i f_j \big(\varphi_i \big(z^{(j)} \big) \big) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i \in I_0(\{s_j\}, t)} a_i \frac{1 - |\varphi_t(z^{(j)})|^2}{1 - \langle \varphi_i(z^{(j)}), \varphi_t(z^{(j)}) \rangle} \prod_{p=1}^{\ell_0} g_{k_p}^{(j)} \big(\varphi_i(z^{(j)}) \big) \cdot \prod_{q=\ell_0+1}^{\ell} h_{k_q}^{(j)} \big(\varphi_i(z^{(j)}) \big) \right| \end{split}$$

Note that

$$\frac{1 - |\varphi_t(z^{(j)})|^2}{1 - \langle \varphi_i(z^{(j)}), \varphi_t(z^{(j)}) \rangle} = 1 + \frac{\langle \varphi_i(z^{(j)}) - \varphi_t(z^{(j)}), \varphi_t(z^{(j)}) \rangle}{1 - \langle \varphi_i(z^{(j)}), \varphi_t(z^{(j)}) \rangle}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\langle \varphi_i(z^{(j)}) - \varphi_t(z^{(j)}), \varphi_t(z^{(j)})\rangle|}{|1 - \langle \varphi_i(z^{(j)}), \varphi_t(z^{(j)})\rangle|} &= \left|\varphi_t(z^{(j)})\right| \left|\frac{P_{\varphi_t(z^{(j)})}(\varphi_i(z^{(j)})) - \varphi_t(z^{(j)})}{1 - \langle \varphi_i(z^{(j)}), \varphi_t(z^{(j)})\rangle}\right| \\ &\approx \left|\frac{P_{\varphi_t(z^{(j)})}(\varphi_i(z^{(j)})) - \varphi_t(z^{(j)})}{1 - \langle P_{\varphi_t(z^{(j)})}(\varphi_i(z^{(j)})), \varphi_t(z^{(j)})\rangle}\right| \end{aligned}$$

because of $|\varphi_t(z^{(j)})| \to 1$ as $j \to \infty$. By (2.1) and Lemma 2.1(b), for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{split} \left\{\varphi_{i}\left(z^{(j)}\right):\beta\left(\varphi_{i}\left(z^{(j)}\right),\varphi_{t}\left(z^{(j)}\right)\right) < \varepsilon\right\} &\cap \left[\varphi_{t}\left(z^{(j)}\right)\right] \\ &= \left\{P_{\varphi_{t}\left(z^{(j)}\right)}\left(\varphi_{i}\left(z^{(j)}\right)\right): \left|\frac{P_{\varphi_{t}\left(z^{(j)}\right)}(\varphi_{i}(z^{(j)})) - \varphi_{t}(z^{(j)})}{1 - \langle P_{\varphi_{t}\left(z^{(j)}\right)}(\varphi_{i}(z^{(j)})),\varphi_{t}(z^{(j)})\rangle}\right| < \varepsilon\right\}. \end{split}$$

Replacing ε by positive numbers ε_i tending to zero, we have

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\frac{1-|\varphi_t(z^{(j)})|^2}{1-\langle\varphi_i(z^{(j)}),\varphi_t(z^{(j)})\rangle}=1.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \lim_{j \to \infty} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i C_{\varphi_i} f_j \right\|_{\infty} &\geq \left| \sum_{i \in I_0(\{s_j\}, t)} a_i \prod_{p=1}^{\ell_0} \alpha_{k_p, t} \cdot \prod_{q=\ell_0+1}^{\ell} \beta_{k_q, t} \right| \\ &= \prod_{p=1}^{\ell_0} |\alpha_{k_p, t}| \cdot \prod_{q=\ell_0+1}^{\ell} |\beta_{k_q, t}| \cdot \left| \sum_{i \in I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t)} a_i \right|, \end{split}$$

which indicates that $\sum_{i \in I_0(\{z^{(j)}\},t)} a_i = 0$. Conversely, suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^M a_i C_{\varphi_i}$ is not compact on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N)$. Then there exists a sequence $\{g_i\} \subseteq H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N)$ with $\|g_i\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ such that it converges uniformly to zero on every compact subset of \mathbb{B}_N , and

$$\left\|\sum_{i=1}^M a_i g_j \circ \varphi_i\right\|_{\infty} \to 0 \quad \text{as } j \to \infty.$$

Then, for some constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, we can take $z^{(j)} \in \mathbb{B}_N$ such that $|z^{(j)}| \to 1$, and

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^M a_i g_j(\varphi_i(z^{(j)}))\right| > \varepsilon_0.$$

Considering subsequences of $\{z^{(j)}\}$, we may assume that $|\varphi_i(z^{(j)})| \to \alpha_i$ with $\alpha_i \ge 0$, as $j \to \infty$ ∞ for every *i*. Also $\{g_i\}$ converges uniformly to zero on every compact subset of \mathbb{B}_N , so $\alpha_i = 1$ for some *i*. Now we can assume that $\{z^{(j)}\} \in \mathcal{Z}$. And we get

$$\liminf_{j \to \infty} \left| \sum_{i \in I(\{z^{(j)}\})} a_i g_j(\varphi_i(z^{(j)})) \right| \ge \varepsilon_0.$$
(3.2)

Note that $\{g_i(\varphi_i(z^{(j)}))\}\$ is bounded, and then considering a subsequence of $\{z^{(j)}\}\$, we may assume that $g_j(\varphi_i(z^{(j)})) \to \xi_i$ as $j \to \infty$ for every *i*. Recall that there is a subset $\{t_1, \ldots, t_\ell\} \subseteq$ $I(\{z^{(j)}\})$ such that

$$I(\{z^{(j)}\}) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\ell} I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_k)$$

and $I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_q) \cap I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_m) = \emptyset$ for $q \neq m$. For $i \in I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_m)$, due to the part (a) of Lemma 2.1 and $\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{2-2\sqrt{1-t^2}}{t} = 0$, we have

$$\left|g_j(arphi_i(z^{(j)}))-g_j(arphi_{t_m}(z^{(j)}))
ight|\leq d_\infty(arphi_i(z^{(j)}),arphi_{t_m}(z^{(j)})) o 0$$

as $j \to \infty$, which shows that $\xi_i = \xi_{t_m}$. Hence

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \sum_{i \in I(\{z^{(j)}\})} a_i g_j(\varphi_i(z^{(j)})) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \sum_{i \in I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_m)} a_i g_j(\varphi_i(z^{(j)}))$$
$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \xi_{t_m} \left(\sum_{i \in I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_m)} a_i\right) = 0$$

by the hypothesis $\sum_{i \in I_0(\{z^{(j)}\},t)} a_i = 0$. This contradicts (3.2). Hence the proof is complete.

The following corollaries can be obtained immediately from Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.2 If $\sum_{i \in J} a_i \neq 0$ for every subset J of $\{1, 2, ..., M\}$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i C_{\varphi_i}$ is not compact on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N)$.

Corollary 3.3 Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i \in J} a_i \neq 0$ for every nonempty proper subset J of $\{1, 2, ..., M\}$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i C_{\varphi_i}$ is compact on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N)$ if and only if $C_{\varphi_i} - C_{\varphi_j}$ is compact on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N)$ for every i, j with $i \neq j$.

As is well known, C_{φ} is compact on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N)$ if and only if

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\sup_{\xi\in\partial\mathbb{B}_N}\left\|\langle\varphi,\xi\rangle^j\right\|_\infty=0.$$

Motivated by [17], we give another criterion for the compactness of linear combination of composition operators $\sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i C_{\varphi_i}$ on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N)$ by polynomials.

Theorem 3.4 For arbitrary $a_1, \ldots, a_M \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and holomorphic self-maps $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_M$ of $\mathbb{B}_N, \sum_{i=1}^M a_i C_{\varphi_i}$ is compact on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N)$ if and only if

$$\sup_{0 \le \ell \le N} \sup_{\frac{1}{\ell+1} \sum_{m=0}^{\ell} \sigma_m > L} \sup_{\xi, \eta_i \in \partial \mathbb{B}_N} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^M a_i \left(\langle \varphi_i, \xi \rangle^{\sigma_0} \prod_{p=1}^{\ell_0} \langle \varphi_i, \eta_p \rangle^{\sigma_p} \prod_{q=\ell_0+1}^{\ell} \langle \varphi_i, \eta_q \rangle^{\sigma_q} \right) \right\|_{\infty}$$

tends to zero, as $L \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof For simplicity, denote $T := \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i C_{\varphi_i}$. For arbitrary positive integers σ_m $(0 \le m \le N)$, $0 \le \ell_0 \le \ell \le N$, and $\xi, \eta_i \in \partial \mathbb{B}_N$, we denote $\overline{\sigma}_{\ell} := \frac{1}{\ell+1} \sum_{m=0}^{\ell} \sigma_m$, $\eta := (\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_{\ell})$. Then we define the family of functions:

$$F_{\overline{\sigma}_{\ell}}^{(\xi,\eta)}(z) \coloneqq \langle z,\xi \rangle^{\sigma_0} \prod_{p=1}^{\ell_0} \langle z,\eta_p \rangle^{\sigma_p} \prod_{q=\ell_0+1}^{\ell} \langle z,\eta_q \rangle^{\sigma_q}$$

Note that $\|F_{\overline{\sigma}_{\ell}}^{(\xi,\eta)}\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ and $F_{\overline{\sigma}_{\ell}}^{(\xi,\eta)}$ converges uniformly to zero on every compact subset of \mathbb{B}_N , as $\overline{\sigma}_{\ell} \to \infty$. If *T* is compact on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_N)$, then we have

 $\lim_{L\to\infty}\sup_{0\leq\ell\leq N}\sup_{\overline{\sigma}_\ell>L}\sup_{\xi,\eta_i\in\partial\mathbb{B}_N}\left\|TF_{\overline{\sigma}_\ell}^{(\xi,\eta)}\right\|_\infty=0,$

following from an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [8].

To prove the sufficiency, we continue to use the same notation in the proof of Theorem 3.1. A brief retrospective analysis has proved that the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $\sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i C_{\varphi_i}$ is compact;
- (2) $\lim_{j\to\infty} ||Tf_j||_{\infty} = 0$ for the functions f_j given by (3.1);
- (3) $\sum_{i \in I_0(\{z^{(j)}\},t)} a_i = 0$ for every $\{z^{(j)}\} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in I(\{z^{(j)}\})$.

To end the proof, we first compute

$$\begin{split} Tf_{j}(z) &= \sum_{m=1}^{M} a_{m} \big(1 - \big|\varphi_{t}(z^{(j)})\big|^{2}\big) \sum \big|\varphi_{t}(z^{(j)})\big|^{k} C_{\varphi_{m}} \left(\left\langle z, \frac{\varphi_{t}(z^{(j)})}{|\varphi_{t}(z^{(j)})|}\right\rangle^{k}\right) \\ &\times \prod_{p=1}^{\ell_{0}} \sum \big|\varphi_{k_{p}}(z^{(j)})\big|^{k} C_{\varphi_{m}} \left(\left\langle z, Q_{\varphi_{k_{p}}(z^{(j)})}^{e}(\varphi_{t}(z^{(j)}))\right\rangle^{2} \left\langle z, \frac{\varphi_{k_{p}}(z^{(j)})}{|\varphi_{k_{p}}(z^{(j)})|}\right\rangle^{k}\right) \\ &\times \prod_{q=\ell_{0}+1}^{\ell} \sum \big|\varphi_{k_{q}}(z^{(j)})\big|^{k} C_{\varphi_{m}} \left(\left(\big|\varphi_{k_{q}}(z^{(j)})\big| - \left\langle z, \frac{\varphi_{k_{q}}(z^{(j)})}{|\varphi_{k_{q}}(z^{(j)})|}\right\rangle\right) \left\langle z, \frac{\varphi_{k_{q}}(z^{(j)})}{|\varphi_{k_{q}}(z^{(j)})|}\right\rangle^{k}\right). \end{split}$$

Note that

$$egin{aligned} &\left\|\left\langle arphi_m,\zeta
ight
angle^k
ight\|_{\infty}\leq 1, \ &\left\|C_{arphi_m}ig\langle z,Q^e_{arphi_{k_p}(z^{(j)})}ig(arphi_tig(z^{(j)})ig)ig)^2
ight\|_{\infty}\leq 1, \ &\left\|\left|arphi_{k_q}ig(z^{(j)}ig)
ight|-C_{arphi_m}ig\langle z,rac{arphi_{k_q}(z^{(j)})}{ertarphi_{k_q}(z^{(j)})ert}ig
ight
angle
ight\|_{\infty}\leq 2, \end{aligned}$$

for each $\zeta \in \partial \mathbb{B}_N$, *m*, *k*, *j*, and $1 \le p \le \ell_0 < q \le \ell \le N$. For each $L \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\varepsilon_{j}^{(L)} \coloneqq \left(1 - \left|\varphi_{t}(z^{(j)})\right|^{2}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{L} \left|\varphi_{t}(z^{(j)})\right|^{k} \times \prod_{p=1}^{\ell_{0}} \sum_{k=0}^{L} \left|\varphi_{k_{p}}(z^{(j)})\right|^{k} \times \prod_{q=\ell_{0}+1}^{\ell} \sum_{k=0}^{L} \left|\varphi_{k_{q}}(z^{(j)})\right|^{k}$$

goes to 0, because of $|\varphi_t(z^{(j)})| \to 1$, as $j \to \infty$. Clearly, there exists a positive constant $\delta < 1$ such that $|\varphi_{k_m}(z^{(j)})| \le \delta$ for each $k_m \in \{1, \ldots, M\} \setminus I_0(\{z^{(j)}\}, t)$, so for j large enough, we have

$$\begin{split} \|Tf_{j}\|_{\infty} &\lesssim \varepsilon_{j}^{(L)} + \left(1 - \left|\varphi_{t}(z^{(j)})\right|^{2}\right) \sum \left|\varphi_{t}(z^{(j)})\right|^{k} \times \prod_{p=1}^{\ell_{0}} \sum \left|\varphi_{k_{p}}(z^{(j)})\right|^{k} \\ &\times \prod_{q=\ell_{0}+1}^{\ell} \sum \left|\varphi_{k_{q}}(z^{(j)})\right|^{k} \times \sup_{\overline{\sigma}_{\ell} > L} \sup_{\xi, \eta_{i} \in \partial \mathbb{B}_{N}} \|TF_{\overline{\sigma}_{\ell}}^{(\xi,\eta)}\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \varepsilon_{j}^{(L)} + \frac{2}{(1-\delta)^{\ell}} \times \sup_{0 \le \ell \le N} \sup_{\overline{\sigma}_{\ell} > L} \sup_{\xi, \eta_{i} \in \partial \mathbb{B}_{N}} \|TF_{\overline{\sigma}_{\ell}}^{(\xi,\eta)}\|_{\infty} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_{j}^{(L)} + \sup_{0 \le \ell \le N} \sup_{\overline{\sigma}_{\ell} > L} \sup_{\xi, \eta_{i} \in \partial \mathbb{B}_{N}} \|TF_{\overline{\sigma}_{\ell}}^{(\xi,\eta)}\|_{\infty}, \end{split}$$

where $\overline{\sigma}_{\ell} := \frac{1}{\ell+1} \sum_{m=0}^{\ell} \sigma_m$. Therefore, we get

$$\limsup_{j\to\infty} \|Tf_j\|_{\infty} \lesssim \limsup_{L\to\infty} \sup_{0\leq \ell\leq N} \sup_{\overline{\sigma}_{\ell}>L} \sup_{\xi,\eta_i\in\partial\mathbb{B}_N} \|TF_{\overline{\sigma}_{\ell}}^{(\xi,\eta)}\|_{\infty} = 0.$$

So T is compact, which completes the proof.

3.2 Compactness on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^N)$

Our aim of this subsection is to extend the above results to the space $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^N)$. Let $M \ge 2$, $a_1, \ldots, a_M \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, and let $\{\varphi_j = (\varphi_1^{(j)}, \ldots, \varphi_N^{(j)})\}_{j=1}^M$ be holomorphic self-maps of \mathbb{D}^N . For

- (a) $|\varphi_{\lambda}^{(i)}(z^{(j)})| \to 1 \text{ as } j \to \infty \text{ for some } i;$
- (b) $\{\varphi_{\lambda}^{(i)}(z^{(j)})\}$ converges for each *i*;
- (c) { $\rho(\varphi_{\lambda}^{(i)}(z^{(j)}), \varphi_{\lambda}^{(k)}(z^{(j)}))$ } is a convergent sequence for every *i*, *k*.

Note that there exists some λ such that $\mathcal{Z}_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset$. For $\{z^{(j)}\} \in \mathcal{Z}_{\lambda}$, we define

$$I^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}) = \{i : 1 \le i \le M, |\varphi_{\lambda}^{(i)}(z^{(j)})| \to 1 \text{ as } j \to \infty\}.$$

By (b), for each $m \notin I^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\})$, there exists a positive constant $\delta < 1$ such that $|\varphi_{\lambda}^{(m)}(z^{(j)})| \leq \delta$. Given $t \in I^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\})$, we write

$$I_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\},t) = \{i \in I^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}) : \rho(\varphi_{\lambda}^{(t)}(z^{(j)}),\varphi_{\lambda}^{(i)}(z^{(j)})) \to 0 \text{ as } j \to \infty\}$$

Also there is a subset $\{t_1, \ldots, t_\ell\} \subseteq I^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\})$ such that

$$I^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\ell} I_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_k)$$

and $I_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_i) \cap I_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_m) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq m$. Furthermore, we set

$$J_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\},t) = \left\{ i \in I_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\},t) : \lim_{j \to \infty} \rho_N(\varphi_t(z^{(j)}),\varphi_i(z^{(j)})) \to 0 \right\}.$$

Now we determine when linear combinations of composition operators are compact on the space $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^N)$.

Theorem 3.5 Under the notation above, the following statements hold:

- (1) If $\sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i C_{\varphi_i}$ is compact on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^N)$, then $\sum_{i \in I_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\},t)} a_i = 0$, whenever $\{z^{(j)}\} \in \mathcal{Z}_{\lambda}$ and $t \in I^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\})$ for each $\lambda \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.
- (2) If $J_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t) = I_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t)$ and $\sum_{i \in I_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t)} a_i = 0$ whenever $\{z^{(j)}\} \in \mathcal{Z}_{\lambda}$ and $t \in I^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\})$ for each $\lambda \in \{1, ..., N\}$, then $\sum_{i=1}^M a_i C_{\varphi_i}$ is compact on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^N)$.

Proof (1) Given $\lambda \in \{1, ..., N\}$, let $\{z^{(j)}\} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}$ and $t \in I^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\})$. Then we can assume that $\{k_1, ..., k_\ell\} = \{1, ..., M\} \setminus I_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t)$. So, for each $1 \le m \le \ell$, considering subsequences of $\{z^{(j)}\}$, we may say that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\rho(\varphi_{\lambda}^{(k_m)}(z^{(j)}),\varphi_{\lambda}^{(t)}(z^{(j)})) := \beta_{k_m,t} \neq 0.$$

For every $i \in I_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t)$, it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \rho\left(\varphi_{\lambda}^{(k_m)}(z^{(j)}), \varphi_{\lambda}^{(i)}(z^{(j)})\right) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \rho\left(\varphi_{\lambda}^{(k_m)}(z^{(j)}), \varphi_{\lambda}^{(t)}(z^{(j)})\right)$$

by the well-known triangle inequality

$$\big|\rho\big(\varphi_{\lambda}^{(k_m)}\big(z^{(j)}\big),\varphi_{\lambda}^{(i)}\big(z^{(j)}\big)\big)-\rho\big(\varphi_{\lambda}^{(k_m)}\big(z^{(j)}\big),\varphi_{\lambda}^{(t)}\big(z^{(j)}\big)\big)\big|\leq \rho\big(\varphi_{\lambda}^{(t)}\big(z^{(j)}\big),\varphi_{\lambda}^{(i)}\big(z^{(j)}\big)\big).$$

For an arbitrary positive integer *j*, define

$$f_{j}^{(\lambda)}(z) = \frac{1 - |\varphi_{\lambda}^{(t)}(z^{(j)})|^{2}}{1 - \overline{\varphi_{\lambda}^{(t)}(z^{(j)})}z_{\lambda}} \prod_{m=1}^{\ell} \frac{\varphi_{\lambda}^{(k_{m})}(z^{(j)}) - z_{\lambda}}{1 - \overline{\varphi_{\lambda}^{(k_{m})}(z^{(j)})}z_{\lambda}},$$

where $k_m \notin I_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t)$. Then clearly $f_j^{(\lambda)} \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^N)$ with $||f_j^{(\lambda)}||_{\infty} \leq 2$, and $\{f_j^{(\lambda)}\}$ converges uniformly to zero on every compact subset of \mathbb{D} . Therefore

$$\begin{split} \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{M} a_{i} C_{\varphi_{i}} f_{j}^{(\lambda)}\right\|_{\infty} &\geq \left|\sum_{i=1}^{M} a_{i} f_{j}^{(\lambda)}(\varphi_{i}(z^{(j)}))\right| \\ &= \left|\sum_{i \in I_{0}^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\},t)} a_{i} \frac{1 - |\varphi_{\lambda}^{(t)}(z^{(j)})|^{2}}{1 - \overline{\varphi_{\lambda}^{(t)}(z^{(j)})}} \prod_{m=1}^{\ell} \frac{\varphi_{\lambda}^{(k_{m})}(z^{(j)}) - \varphi_{\lambda}^{(i)}(z^{(j)})}{1 - \overline{\varphi_{\lambda}^{(k_{m})}(z^{(j)})}} \varphi_{\lambda}^{(i)}(z^{(j)})}\right|. \end{split}$$

Clearly

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{1 - |\varphi_{\lambda}^{(t)}(z^{(j)})|^2}{1 - \overline{\varphi_{\lambda}^{(t)}(z^{(j)})}} \varphi_{\lambda}^{(i)}(z^{(j)}) = 1$$

for each $i \in I_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t)$. Now we get

$$\begin{split} \lim_{j \to \infty} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i C_{\varphi_i} f_j^{(\lambda)} \right\|_{\infty} &\geq \left| \sum_{i \in I_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t)} a_i \prod_{m=1}^{\ell} \beta_{k_m, t} \right| \\ &= \prod_{m=1}^{\ell} |\beta_{k_m, t}| \left| \sum_{i \in I_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t)} a_i \right|, \end{split}$$

and then $\sum_{i \in I_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\},t)} a_i = 0$ due to the compactness of $\sum_{i=1}^M a_i C_{\varphi_i}$. Thus statement (1) is obtained.

(2) Here we argue by contradiction, and suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i C_{\varphi_i}$ is not compact on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^N)$. Then there exists a sequence $\{g_j\} \subseteq H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^N)$ with $\|g_j\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ such that it converges uniformly to zero on each compact subset of \mathbb{D}^N , whereas

$$\left\|\sum_{i=1}^M a_i g_j \circ \varphi_i\right\|_{\infty} \not\to 0 \quad \text{as } j \to \infty.$$

For some constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, then we can take $z^{(j)} \in \mathbb{D}^N$ with $|z^{(j)}|_{\max} \to 1$ and

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^M a_i g_j(\varphi_i(z^{(j)}))\right| > \varepsilon_0.$$

Considering subsequences of $\{z^{(j)}\}$, we may assume that $\varphi_i(z^{(j)}) \to \alpha_i$ as $j \to \infty$ for every *i*. Also $\{g_j\}$ converges uniformly to zero on each compact subset of \mathbb{D}^N , so $|\alpha_i|_{\max} = 1$ for some *i*. Now we may say that $\{z^{(j)}\} \in \bigcup_{\lambda=1}^N \mathcal{Z}_{\lambda}$. And we get

$$\liminf_{j\to\infty}\left|\sum_{i\in\bigcup_{\lambda=1}^{N}I^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\})}a_{i}g_{j}(\varphi_{i}(z^{(j)}))\right|\geq\varepsilon_{0}.$$
(3.3)

Note that $\{g_j(\varphi_i(z^{(j)}))\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded, and then considering a subsequence of $\{z^{(j)}\}$, we may assume that $g_j(\varphi_i(z^{(j)})) \to \xi^{(i)}$ as $j \to \infty$ for every *i*. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\{z^{(j)}\} \in \mathcal{Z}_1$. Recall that there is a subset $\{t_1^{(1)}, \ldots, t_{\ell_1}^{(1)}\} \subseteq I^{(1)}(\{z^{(j)}\})$ such that

$$E_1(\{z^{(j)}\}) := I^{(1)}(\{z^{(j)}\}) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\ell} I_0^{(1)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_k^{(1)})$$

and $I_0^{(1)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_q^{(1)}) \cap I_0^{(1)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_m^{(1)}) = \emptyset$ for $q \neq m$. If $I^{(2)}(\{z^{(j)}\}) \setminus I^{(1)}(\{z^{(j)}\}) \neq \emptyset$, then there exists a subset $\{t_1^{(2)}, \dots, t_{\ell_2}^{(2)}\} \subseteq I^{(2)}(\{z^{(j)}\})$ such that

$$E_{2}(\{z^{(j)}\}) := I^{(2)}(\{z^{(j)}\}) \setminus I^{(1)}(\{z^{(j)}\}) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\ell_{2}} I_{0}^{(2)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_{k}^{(2)}),$$

and $I_0^{(2)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_q^{(2)}) \cap I_0^{(2)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_m^{(2)}) = \emptyset$ for $q \neq m$. And so on, if $I^{(N)}(\{z^{(j)}\}) \setminus \bigcup_{\lambda=1}^{N-1} I^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}) \neq \emptyset$, then there exists a subset $\{t_1^{(N)}, \dots, t_{\ell_N}^{(N)}\} \subseteq I^{(N)}(\{z^{(j)}\})$ such that

$$E_N(\{z^{(j)}\}) := I^{(N)}(\{z^{(j)}\}) \setminus \bigcup_{\lambda=1}^{N-1} I^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\ell_N} I_0^{(N)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_k^{(N)}),$$

and $I_0^{(N)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_q^{(N)}) \cap I_0^{(N)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_m^{(N)}) = \emptyset$ for $q \neq m$.

For each λ and $i \in I_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_m^{(\lambda)})$, we have $\rho_N(\varphi_i(z^{(j)}), \varphi_{t_m^{(\lambda)}}(z^{(j)})) \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$, by the hypothesis $I_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_m^{(\lambda)}) = J_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_m^{(\lambda)})$. Then it follows from Lemma 2.2 d) that

$$\left|g_{j}(\varphi_{i}(z^{(j)})) - g_{j}(\varphi_{t_{m}^{(\lambda)}}(z^{(j)}))\right| \leq 2\rho_{N}(\varphi_{i}(z^{(j)}), \varphi_{t_{m}^{(\lambda)}}(z^{(j)})) \to 0$$

as $j \to \infty$, which implies that $\xi^{(i)} = \xi^{(t_m^{(\lambda)})}$. Hence

$$\begin{split} \lim_{j \to \infty} & \sum_{i \in \bigcup_{\lambda=1}^{N} I^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\})} a_i g_j(\varphi_i(z^{(j)})) \\ &= \lim_{j \to \infty} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N} \sum_{i \in E_{\lambda}(\{z^{(j)}\})} a_i g_j(\varphi_i(z^{(j)})) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell_{\lambda}} \sum_{i \in I_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_k^{(\lambda)})} a_i \xi^{(t_k^{(\lambda)})} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\ell_{\lambda}} \xi^{(t_k^{(\lambda)})} \right) \left(\sum_{i \in I_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t_k^{(\lambda)})} a_i \right) = 0 \end{split}$$

by the hypothesis $\sum_{i \in I_0^{(\lambda)}(\{z^{(j)}\},t\}} a_i = 0$. This contradicts (3.3), which completes the proof.

As an application, the following characterizes compact differences of composition operators.

Corollary 3.6 Let φ and ψ be arbitrary holomorphic self-maps of \mathbb{D}^N with

$$\max\{\|\varphi_1\|_{\infty}, \dots, \|\varphi_N\|_{\infty}\} = \max\{\|\psi_1\|_{\infty}, \dots, \|\psi_N\|_{\infty}\} = 1.$$

Then $C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi}$ is compact on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^N)$ if and only if

$$\lim_{|\varphi_i(z)| \to 1} \rho_N(\varphi(z), \psi(z)) = 0 \quad \text{for every } 1 \le i \le N \quad \text{and}$$

$$\lim_{|\psi_i(z)| \to 1} \rho_N(\varphi(z), \psi(z)) = 0 \quad \text{for every } 1 \le i \le N.$$
(3.4)

Proof Let (3.4) hold. Then it is only needed to see that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \left\| (C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi}) g_j \right\|_{\infty} = 0$$

for any sequence $\{g_j\}$ in the unit sphere of $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^N)$ converging uniformly to zero on each compact subset of \mathbb{D}^N . By Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\left|g_{j}(\varphi(z))-g_{j}(\psi(z))\right|\leq 2
ho_{N}(\varphi(z),\psi(z)) \quad ext{for each } z\in\mathbb{D}^{N}.$$

Under this hypothesis, given $\epsilon > 0$, we may choose $0 < \delta < 1$ such that

$$\rho_N(\varphi(z),\psi(z)) < \epsilon/4,$$

whenever $|\varphi_i(z)| > \delta$ or $|\psi_j(z)| > \delta$ for each *i*, *j*. Since $\{g_j\}$ converges uniformly to zero on each compact subset of \mathbb{D}^N , for *j* large enough, we have

$$\left|g_{j}(\varphi(z))-g_{j}(\psi(z))\right|\leq\epsilon/2$$

when $|\varphi_i(z)| \le \delta$ and $|\psi_i(z)| \le \delta$ for each *i*. Hence $|g_j(\varphi(z)) - g_j(\psi(z))| < \epsilon$ for *j* large enough, which implies the compactness of $C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi}$.

Conversely, suppose that $C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi}$ is compact on $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^N)$, whereas (3.4) does not hold. Without loss of generality, we may assume that there is $\{z^{(j)}\} \in \mathbb{Z}_1$. By Theorem 3.5, we have $I^{(1)}(\{z^{(j)}\}) = \{1,2\}$ and $I_0^{(1)}(\{z^{(j)}\}, t) = \{1,2\}$ for every $t \in I^{(1)}(\{z^{(j)}\})$. Hence

$$\lim_{|\varphi_1(z)| \to 1} \rho\left(\varphi_1(z), \psi_1(z)\right) = \lim_{|\psi_1(z)| \to 1} \rho\left(\varphi_1(z), \psi_1(z)\right) = 0.$$

So, for some $m \ge 2$, $\rho(\varphi_m(z^{(j)}), \psi_m(z^{(j)})) \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$. Then we may assume that, considering subsequences of $\{z^{(j)}\},$

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\rho(\varphi_m(z^{(j)}),\psi_m(z^{(j)})):=\alpha_m\neq 0.$$

For an arbitrary positive integer *j*, we define the functions

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1 - |\varphi_1(z^{(j)})|^2}{1 - \varphi_1(z^{(j)})z_1} \cdot \frac{\varphi_m(z^{(j)}) - z_m}{1 - \varphi_m(z^{(j)})z_m}.$$

Clearly $f_j \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^N)$ with $||f_j||_{\infty} \leq 2$, and $\{f_j\}$ converges uniformly to zero on every compact subset of \mathbb{D}^N . Thus

$$\begin{split} \left\| (C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi}) f_{j} \right\|_{\infty} &\geq \left| f_{j} (\varphi(z^{(j)})) - f_{j} (\psi(z^{(j)})) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1 - |\varphi_{1}(z^{(j)})|^{2}}{1 - \overline{\varphi_{1}(z^{(j)})} \psi_{1}(z^{(j)})} \cdot \frac{\varphi_{m}(z^{(j)}) - \psi_{m}(z^{(j)})}{1 - \overline{\varphi_{m}(z^{(j)})} \psi_{m}(z^{(j)})} \right|. \end{split}$$

Note that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1 - |\varphi_1(z^{(j)})|^2}{1 - \overline{\varphi_1(z^{(j)})}\psi_1(z^{(j)})} = 1.$$

Therefore

$$\liminf_{m\to\infty} \left\| (C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi}) f_j \right\|_{\infty} \ge |\alpha_m| > 0,$$

which leads to a contradiction with the compactness of $C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi}$. So

$$\lim_{|\varphi_1(z)|\to 1}\rho_N\big(\varphi(z),\psi(z)\big)=\lim_{|\psi_1(z)|\to 1}\rho_N\big(\varphi(z),\psi(z)\big)=0,$$

which implies the desired result (3.4).

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the anonymous referees for their comments and suggestions, which improved our final manuscript.

Funding

This paper was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (No. 11701434) and the Science Foundation of Wuhan Institute of Technology (No. K201742).

Availability of data and materials

All data and materials analysed during this study are included in this published article.

Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

The author has contributed to the writing of this paper. He read and approved the manuscript.

Authors' information

Hubei Key Laboratory of Optical Information and Pattern Recognition, Wuhan Institute of Technology, Wuhan 430205, China.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 8 October 2020 Accepted: 4 March 2021 Published online: 19 March 2021

References

- Aron, R., Galindo, P., Lindström, M.: Connected components in the space of composition operators in H[∞] functions of many variables. Integral Equ. Oper. Theory 45, 1–14 (2003)
- Berkson, E.: Composition operators isolated in the uniform operator topology. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 81, 230–232 (1981)
- 3. Cowen, C., MacCluer, B.: Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions. CRC Press, Boca Raton (1995)
- Gorkin, P., Mortini, R.: Norms and essential norms of linear combinations of endomorphisms. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 358, 553–571 (2006)
- 5. Gorkin, P., Mortini, R., Suárez, D.: Homotopic composition operators on $H^{\infty}(B^n)$. Contemp. Math. **328**, 177–188 (2006)
- 6. Hosokawa, T., Izuchi, K.: Essential norms of differences of composition operators on *H*[∞]. J. Math. Soc. Jpn. **57**, 669–690 (2005)
- 7. Hosokawa, T., Izuchi, K., Zheng, D.: Isolated points and essential components of composition operators on *H*[∞]. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **130**, 1765–1773 (2001)
- Hu, B., Li, S.: Difference of weighted composition operators on weighted-type spaces in the unit ball. Anal. Math. 46, 517–533 (2020)
- 9. Izuchi, K., Ohno, S.: Linear combinations of composition operators on H[∞]. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 338, 820–839 (2008)
- 10. König, H.: Zur abstrakten theorie der analytischen funktionen. II. Math. Ann. 163, 9–17 (1966)
- 11. Lindström, M., Wolf, E.: Essential norm of the difference of weighted composition operators. Monatshefte Math. 153, 133–143 (2008)
- 12. MacCluer, B.: Components in the space of composition operators. Integral Equ. Oper. Theory 12, 725–738 (1989)
- 13. MacCluer, B., Ohno, S., Zhao, R.: Topological structure of the space of composition operators on H[∞]. Integral Equ.
- Oper. Theory **40**, 481–494 (2001) 14. Rudin, W: Function Theory on the Unit Ball of ℂ^N. Springer, New York (1980)
- Shapiro, J.: Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory. Springer, New York (1993)
- Shapiro, J., Sundberg, C.: Isolation amongst the composition operators. Pac. J. Math. 145, 117–152 (1990)
- Shi, Y, Li, S.: Linear combination of composition operators on H[∞] and the Bloch space. Arch. Math. (Basel) 112, 511–519 (2019)
- Stević, S., Jiang, Z.: Compactness of the differences of weighted composition operators from weighted Bergman spaces to weighted-type spaces on the unit ball. Taiwan. J. Math. 15, 2647–2665 (2011)
- Toews, C.: Topological structure of the set of composition operators on H[∞](B_N). Integral Equ. Oper. Theory 48, 265–280 (2004)
- Wang, M., Yao, X.: Topological structure of the space of composition operators on H[∞] of Dirichlet series. Arch. Math. (Basel) 106, 471–483 (2016)
- Wolf, E.: Differences of composition operators between weighted Banach spaces of holomorphic functions on the unit polydisk. Results Math. 51, 361–372 (2008)
- Zhu, K.: Operator Theory in Function Spaces. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 138. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (2007)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com