RESEARCH

Open Access

On statistical \mathfrak{A} -Cauchy and statistical \mathfrak{A} -summability via ideal



Osama H.H. Edely¹ and M. Mursaleen^{2,3*}

*Correspondence:

mursaleenm@gmail.com ²Department of Mathematics,

Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, 202002, India

³Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University (Taiwan), Taichung, Taiwan Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

The notion of statistical convergence was extended to \Im -convergence by (Kostyrko et al. in Real Anal. Exch. 26(2):669–686, 2000). In this paper we use such technique and introduce the notion of statistically \mathfrak{A}^{\Im} -Cauchy and statistically \mathfrak{A}^{\Im} -Cauchy summability via the notion of ideal. We obtain some relations between them and prove that under certain conditions statistical \mathfrak{A}^{\Im} -Cauchy and statistical \mathfrak{A}^{\Im} -Cauchy summability are equivalent. Moreover, we give some Tauberian theorems for statistical \mathfrak{A}^{\Im} -summability.

MSC: 40A35; 40G15; 40E05

Keywords: Statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -limit superior; Statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -limit inferior; Statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -bounded; Statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -Cauchy summability; Statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*}$ -Cauchy summability; Tauberian theorem

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Fast [10], introduced the notion of statistical convergence, which is an extension of convergence. A sequence $\eta = (\eta_k)$ in \mathbb{R} is statistically convergent to the number \mathfrak{s} if the set $K(\epsilon) = \{k \le n : |\eta_k - \mathfrak{s}| \ge \epsilon, \forall \epsilon > 0\}$ has natural density 0; $\delta(K(\epsilon)) = \lim_n \frac{|K(\epsilon)|}{n} = 0$, where $|\cdot|$ indicates the number of elements in the set. We write *st*-lim $\eta = \mathfrak{s}$. More generalization and application on this work can be found in ([1, 5, 8, 12, 14, 16, 23, 27]). One of such generalizations is the ideal (or \mathfrak{I})-convergence [18] which generalizes the usual convergence as well as the statistical convergence.

A non-empty class $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{F}, resp.) \subseteq \mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{X})$ of subsets of $\mathfrak{X} \neq \emptyset$ is called ideal (filter, resp.) if (i) $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{I}$ ($\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$, resp.), (ii) ($\mathcal{D}_1 \cup \mathcal{D}_2$ for $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2 \in \mathfrak{I}$) ($\mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathcal{D}_2$ for $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2 \in \mathcal{F}, resp.$) $\in \mathfrak{I}$ ($\in \mathcal{F}, resp.$), (iii) $\mathcal{D}_1 \in \mathfrak{I}, \mathcal{D}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{D}_1$ ($\mathcal{D}_1 \in \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D}_2 \supseteq \mathcal{D}_1, resp.$) $\Longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_2 \in \mathfrak{I}$ ($\mathcal{D}_2 \in \mathcal{F}, resp.$). An ideal \mathfrak{I} is called non-trivial if $\mathfrak{I} \neq \emptyset$, $\mathfrak{X} \notin \mathfrak{I}$, and is called admissible if $\{\mathfrak{a}\} \in \mathfrak{I}$, for each $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{X}$.

Let \mathfrak{I} be a non-trivial ideal in \mathfrak{X} , the filter $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{I}} = \{M = \mathfrak{X} \setminus \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{I}\}$ is called the filter associated with the ideal \mathfrak{I} . Recall that a real sequence $\eta = (\eta_k)$ is said to be \mathfrak{I} -convergent to $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathbb{R}$ if $\{k : |\eta_k - \mathfrak{s}| \ge \epsilon$, for every $\epsilon > 0\} \in \mathfrak{I}$, and we write \mathfrak{I} -lim_k $\eta_k = \mathfrak{s}$, [18]. More generalization and recent work can be found in ([3, 15, 17, 21, 22, 24, 25, 28, 29]).

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathfrak{a}_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix and $\eta = (\eta_k)$ be a number sequence. By $\mathfrak{A}\eta = (\mathfrak{A}_n(\eta))$, we denote the \mathfrak{A} -transform of the sequence $\eta = (\eta_k)$, where $\mathfrak{A}_n(\eta) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{a}_{nk}\eta_k$. A matrix

© The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.



convergent sequences. Let Ω denote the class of all nonnegative regular matrices. In [29], Savas et al. introduced the following definition. Let $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathfrak{a}_{nk}) \in \Omega$. A real sequence $\eta = (\eta_k)$ is $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -summable to $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathbb{R}$ if the sequence $(\mathfrak{A}_n(\eta))$ is \mathfrak{I} -convergent to \mathfrak{s} , which we write $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -lim_k $\eta_k = \mathfrak{s}$. Notice that, if $\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{I}_{\delta} = \{E \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \delta(E) = 0\}$, then $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -summability becomes statistical \mathfrak{A} -summability due to [9].

Recently, Edely [6] introduced the notion of $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*}$ -summability and gave some relations with $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -summability.

Definition 1.1 ([6]) Let \mathfrak{I} be a non-trivial admissible ideal in \mathbb{N} and $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathfrak{a}_{nk}) \in \Omega$. We say that a sequence $\eta = (\eta_k)$ is $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*}$ -summable to \mathfrak{s} if there is a set $\mathfrak{H} \in \mathfrak{I}$ such that $\mathfrak{M} = \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathfrak{H} = \{m_1, m_2, \ldots\} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{I}}$, and $\lim_i \sum_k \mathfrak{a}_{m_i k} \eta_k = \lim_i y_{m_i} = \mathfrak{s}$. In this case we write $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*}$ -lim $\eta_k = \mathfrak{s}$.

Theorem 1.1 ([6]) Let \mathfrak{I} be a non-trivial admissible ideal in \mathbb{N} .

(a) If $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*}$ -lim $\eta_k = \mathfrak{s}$ then $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -lim $\eta_k = \mathfrak{s}$.

(b) If \Im satisfies the condition (AP) and \mathfrak{A}^{\Im} -lim $\eta_k = \mathfrak{s}$, then \mathfrak{A}^{\Im^*} -lim $\eta_k = \mathfrak{s}$.

Definition 1.2 ([28]) A real sequence $\eta = (\eta_k)$ is \Im -statistically convergent to $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathbb{R}$ if $\forall \epsilon > 0$ and $\nu > 0$,

$$\left\{n:\frac{1}{n}\left|\left\{k\leq n:|\eta_k-\mathfrak{s}|\geq\epsilon\right\}\right|\geq\nu\right\}\in\mathfrak{I}$$

then we write \Im -*st* $\lim_k \eta_k = \mathfrak{s}$.

Remark 1.1 If $\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{I}_{fin} = \{E \subseteq \mathbb{N} : E \text{ is finite}\}$, then \mathfrak{I} -statistical convergence coincides with the statistical convergence due to Fast [10].

Recently, Edely [7] also introduced the notion of statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ and statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*}$ -summable and gave some relations.

Definition 1.3 ([7]) Let $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathfrak{a}_{jk}) \in \Omega$. A sequence $\eta = (\eta_k)$ is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -summable to \mathfrak{s} if $\forall \epsilon > 0$ and every $\nu > 0$,

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{j \le n : |y_j - \mathfrak{s}| \ge \epsilon\}| \ge \nu\right\} \in \mathfrak{I},$$

where $y_j = \mathfrak{A}_j(\eta)$. Thus η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -summable to \mathfrak{s} iff the sequence (y_j) is \mathfrak{I} -statistically convergent to \mathfrak{s} , then we write $(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ -lim $\eta = \mathfrak{I}$ -st lim $A\eta$.

Remark 1.2 (a) If $\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{I}_{fin}$, then statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -summable coincides with the statistical \mathfrak{A} -summable due to Edely and Mursaleen [9].

(b) If $\mathfrak{A} = I$ the identity matrix, then statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -summable coincides with the \mathfrak{I} -statistical convergence due to Savas et al. [28]. If $\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{I}_{\delta}$ and $\mathfrak{A} = (C, 1)$ the Cesàro matrix of order 1, then it reduces to statistical summability (C, 1) due to Móricz [20].

Definition 1.4 ([7]) Let $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathfrak{a}_{jk}) \in \Omega$. A sequence $\eta = (\eta_k)$ is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*}$ -summable to \mathfrak{s} if there is a set $M = \{m_i\}$, where $m_1 < m_2 < \cdots$ and $M \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{I}}$, $\delta(M) = 1$, such that

$$st - \lim_{i} \mathfrak{A}_{m_i} \eta = st - \lim_{i} y_{m_i} = \mathfrak{s},$$

where $y_{m_i} = \sum_k \mathfrak{a}_{m_i k} \eta_k$ i.e. $(\mathfrak{A}_{m_i} \eta)$ is statistically convergent to \mathfrak{s} , and we write $(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*})_{st}$ lim $\eta = \mathfrak{I}^*$ -st lim $\mathfrak{A}\eta = \mathfrak{s}$.

Remark 1.3 If $\mathfrak{A} = I$, the identity matrix, then η is \mathfrak{I}^* -statistically convergent to the number \mathfrak{s} , and we write $\mathfrak{I}^* - st \lim \eta = \mathfrak{s}$.

Theorem 1.2 ([7]) (a) If $(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*})_{st}$ -lim $\eta_k = \mathfrak{s}$ then $(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ -lim $\eta_k = \mathfrak{s}$.

(b) If \Im satisfies the condition (APO), then whenever $(\mathfrak{A}^{\Im})_{st}$ -lim $\eta_k = \mathfrak{s}$ we have $(\mathfrak{A}^{\Im^*})_{st}$ -lim_k $\eta_k = \mathfrak{s}$.

Corollary 1.1 (a) If \mathfrak{I}^* -st lim $\eta_k = \mathfrak{s}$ then \mathfrak{I} -st lim $\eta_k = \mathfrak{s}$.

(b) If \Im satisfies the condition (APO), then whenever \Im -st $\lim \eta_k = \mathfrak{s}$ we have \Im^* -st $\lim \eta_k = \mathfrak{s}$.

Recall that \mathcal{I} satisfies the (*APO*) condition (cf. [2, 11]), if for every sequence (\mathcal{C}_n) of (pairwise disjoint) sets from \mathfrak{I} such that $\delta(\mathcal{C}_n) = 0$ for each n, then there exist sets $\mathcal{D}_n \in \mathfrak{I}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the symmetric difference $\mathcal{C}_n \Delta \mathcal{D}_n$ is finite for every n, $\bigcup_n \mathcal{D}_n \in \mathfrak{I}, \delta(\bigcup_n \mathcal{D}_n) = 0$.

Remark 1.4 In what follows, \Im will be a non-trivial admissible ideal in \mathbb{N} .

In this paper we use a technique and introduce the notion of statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -Cauchy and statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*}$ -Cauchy summability via the notion of ideal. We obtain some relations between them and prove that under certain conditions statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -Cauchy and statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*}$ -Cauchy summability are equivalent. Moreover, we give some Tauberian theorems for statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -summability.

2 Some related concepts

The concept of \mathfrak{I} -limit superior and inferior of a real sequence was given in [3], see also [17]. In this section we define and study some relations of statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -limit superior and statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -limit inferior of a real number sequence $\eta = (\eta_k)$.

Definition 2.1 Let $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathfrak{a}_{jk}) \in \Omega$ and $\eta = (\eta_k)$ be a real sequence. Let us write G_η and F_η , for some $\upsilon > 0$, as

$$G_{\eta} = \left\{ g \in \mathbb{R} : \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} | \{j \le n : y_j > g\} | > \upsilon \right\} \notin \mathfrak{I} \right\}$$

and

$$F_{\eta} = \left\{ f \in \mathbb{R} : \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} | \{j \le n : y_j < f\} | > \upsilon \right\} \notin \mathfrak{I} \right\}.$$

Then we define

$$\left(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}\right)_{st} - \limsup \eta = \mathfrak{I} - st \limsup \mathfrak{A}\eta = \begin{cases} \sup G_{\eta} & \text{if } G_{\eta} \neq \emptyset, \\ -\infty & \text{if } G_{\eta} = \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\left(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}\right)_{st} - \liminf \eta = \mathfrak{I} - st \liminf \mathfrak{A}\eta = \begin{cases} \inf F_{\eta} & \text{if } F_{\eta} \neq \emptyset, \\ \infty & \text{if } F_{\eta} = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.1 If A = I, then the statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -limit superior and statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -limit inferior of η reduced to \mathfrak{I} -statistical limit superior and inferior due to Mursaleen et al. [22]. Moreover if $\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{I}_{fin}$, then we have statistical limit superior and inferior cases due to [14].

The following result can be proved straightforward from Definition 2.1 and the least upper bound argument.

Theorem 2.1 (a) If $(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ -lim sup $x = l_1$ is finite, then $\forall \epsilon > 0$,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \left| \{j \le n : y_j > l_1 - \epsilon\} \right| > \upsilon \right\} \notin \mathfrak{I}$$

$$(2.1)$$

for some $\upsilon > 0$, and

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \left| \{j \le n : y_j > l_1 + \epsilon\} \right| > \upsilon \right\} \in \mathfrak{I},\tag{2.2}$$

for all $\upsilon > 0$. Conversely If (2.1) and (2.2) hold $\forall \epsilon > 0$, then $(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ -lim sup $\eta = l_1$.

(b) If $(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ -lim inf $\eta = l_2$ is finite, then $\forall \epsilon > 0$,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} | \{j \le n : y_j < l_2 + \epsilon\} | > \upsilon \right\} \notin \mathfrak{I}$$

$$(2.3)$$

for some v > 0, and

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \left| \{j \le n : y_j < l_2 - \epsilon \} \right| > \upsilon \right\} \in \mathfrak{I}$$

$$(2.4)$$

for all $\upsilon > 0$. Conversely If (2.3) and (2.4) hold for every $\epsilon > 0$, then $(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ -lim inf $\eta = l_2$.

Definition 2.2 Let $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathfrak{a}_{jk}) \in \Omega$. Then $\eta = (\eta_k)$ is said to be statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -bounded if there is a number $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for any $\upsilon > 0$,

$$\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\frac{1}{n}\left|\left\{j\leq n:|y_j|>t\right\}\right|>\upsilon\right\}\in\Im.$$

Remark 2.2 (a) If $\mathfrak{A} = I$, then the statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -boundedness reduces to \mathfrak{I} -statistical boundedness due to [22]. Moreover if $\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{I}_{\text{fin}}$, then we have the statistical bounded case of η due to [14].

(b) Statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -boundedness implies that $(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ -lim inf η and $(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ -lim sup η are finite.

(c) If $\eta \in \ell_{\infty}$, then η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -bounded.

(d) If η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -summable then η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -bounded.

The following theorems can be directly obtained from Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.4 of [22].

Theorem 2.2 Let $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathfrak{a}_{ik}) \in \Omega$. Then, for any real sequence $\eta = (\eta_k)$,

$$(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$$
 – lim inf $\eta \leq (\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ – lim sup η .

Remark 2.3 From Definition 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we have, for any real sequence η ,

 $\liminf \eta \le (\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st} - \liminf \eta \le (\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st} - \limsup \eta \le \limsup \eta.$

Theorem 2.3 Let $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathfrak{a}_{jk}) \in \Omega$ and $\eta = (\eta_k)$ be statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -bounded. Then η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -convergent iff $(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ -lim sup $\eta = (\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ -lim inf η .

Example 2.1 Let B_i be mutually disjoint infinite sets such that $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$. Let \mathfrak{I} be the class defined as

 $\mathfrak{I} = \{B \subset \mathbb{N} : B \text{ intersects only finite numbers of } B_i\},\$

then \mathfrak{I} is a non-trivial admissible ideal in \mathbb{N} . Define $\eta = (\eta_k)$ as

$$\eta_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \in B_i, k \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and let $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathfrak{a}_{ik})$ be the identity matrix.

Since η is bounded, η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -bounded. Since $G_{\eta} = (-\infty, 1)$ and $F_{\eta} = (0, \infty)$, we have $(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ -lim inf $\eta = 0$, and $(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ -lim sup $\eta = 1$. Hence η is not statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -convergent.

Example 2.2 Let \mathfrak{I} and \mathfrak{A} be defined as in Example 2.1. Define $\eta = (\eta_k)$ as

$$\eta_k = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } k \in B_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, for any $\upsilon > 0$,

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ j \le n : |y_j| > 1 \right\} \right| > \upsilon \right\} \in \mathfrak{I},$$

hence η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -bounded. Since $G_{\eta} = (-\infty, 0)$ and $F_{\eta} = (0, \infty)$, we have $(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ -lim inf $\eta = 0$, and $(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ -lim sup $\eta = 0$. Hence η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -convergent to zero.

3 Statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -Cauchy and statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*}$ -Cauchy summability

Fridy [12], introduced the concept of Cauchy condition for statistical convergence for real sequences. In [4, 19] and [26] the notion of \Im -Cauchy sequence was studied which is a generalization of Cauchy condition for statistical convergence. Nablev et al. [26] introduced the notion of a \Im^* -Cauchy sequence and proved that under certain conditions a \Im^* -Cauchy sequence is equivalent to a \Im -Cauchy sequence.

Definition 3.1 ([4, 26]) A real sequence $\eta = (\eta_n)$ is a \Im -Cauchy sequence if $\forall \epsilon > 0$ there exists $k = k(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\{n: |\eta_n - \eta_k| \ge \epsilon\} \in \mathfrak{I}.$$

Definition 3.2 ([26]) A real sequence $\eta = (\eta_n)$ is called an \mathfrak{I}^* -Cauchy sequence if there exists a set $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_k < \cdots\} \subset \mathbb{N}, M \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{I}}$ such that the subsequence (η_{m_k}) is Cauchy in \mathbb{R} .

We introduce the notion of statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -Cauchy and statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*}$ -Cauchy summability.

Definition 3.3 Let $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathfrak{a}_{jk}) \in \Omega$. A real sequence $\eta = (\eta_k)$ is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -Cauchy summable if for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $\forall \nu > 0$ there is $N = N(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left\{j\leq n:\frac{1}{n}\left|\left\{|y_j-y_N|\geq\epsilon\right\}\right|\geq\nu\right\}\in\mathfrak{I}.$$

Definition 3.4 Let $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathfrak{a}_{jk}) \in \Omega$. A real sequence $\eta = (\eta_k)$ is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*}$ -Cauchy summable if there is a set $M = \{m_1, m_2, \ldots\}$, where $m_1 < m_2 < \cdots$, and $M \in \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{I}), \delta(M) = 1$, such that the subsequence (y_{m_i}) is statistically Cauchy in \mathbb{R} .

Now, we give some relations between statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ (or statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*}$)-summability and statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ (or statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*}$)-Cauchy summability.

Theorem 3.1 A real sequence η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*}$ -summable to \mathfrak{s} if and only if η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*}$ -Cauchy summable.

Proof The proof follows from Definition 1.4 and Definition 3.4 and using Theorem 1 of [12]; statistical convergence is equivalent to the statistical Cauchy for \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 3.2 A real sequence $\eta = (\eta_k)$ is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -summable to \mathfrak{s} iff η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -Cauchy summable.

Proof Let $(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ -lim $\eta_k = \mathfrak{s}$, then, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $\forall \nu > 0$, we have the set

$$B(\nu) = \left\{ n : \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ j \le n : |y_j - \mathfrak{s}| \ge \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right\} \right| \ge \nu \right\} \in \mathfrak{I}.$$

Let us define *B* and *C* by

$$B = \left\{ j \le n : |y_j - \mathfrak{s}| \ge \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right\}$$

and

$$C = \left\{ j \le n : |y_j - y_N| \ge \epsilon \right\},$$

where $N \notin B$, such N exists as \mathfrak{I} is an admissible ideal, otherwise the set $B(\frac{1}{2}) = \mathbb{N} \notin \mathfrak{I}$. We need first to show that $C \subseteq B$. Now for any $c \in C$, since

$$|y_c - y_N| \le |y_c - \mathfrak{s}| + |y_N - \mathfrak{s}|,$$

we have

$$|y_c - \mathfrak{s}| + |y_N - \mathfrak{s}| \ge \epsilon.$$

Since $N \notin B$, we have

$$|y_N - \mathfrak{s}| < \frac{\epsilon}{2},$$

therefore

$$|y_c - \mathfrak{s}| > \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Hence $c \in B$. So we have $C \subseteq B$, therefore

$$\frac{1}{n}|C| \le \frac{1}{n}|B|.$$

Hence for any $\nu > 0$, we have

$$\left\{n:\frac{1}{n}|C|\geq\nu\right\}\subseteq\left\{n:\frac{1}{n}|B|\geq\nu\right\}=B(\nu)\in\mathfrak{I}.$$

Therefore $\{n : \frac{1}{n} | \{j \le n : |y_j - y_N| \ge \epsilon\} | \ge \nu\} \in \mathfrak{I}$, hence η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -Cauchy summable.

Conversely, let η be statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -Cauchy summable. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $\forall \nu > 0$, there exists $N = N(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$F(\upsilon) = \left\{ n: \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ j \le n: |y_j - y_N| \ge \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right\} \right| \ge \upsilon \right\} \in \mathfrak{I},$$

therefore

$$G(\nu) = \left\{ n: \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ j \le n: |y_j - y_N| \ge \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right\} \right| < \nu \right\} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{I}}.$$

First, let us show that η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -bounded. Let us define *F* and *G* by

$$F = \left\{ j: |y_j - y_N| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right\}$$

and

$$G = \{j : |y_j| < \epsilon + |y_t|\},\$$

where $t \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfied $|y_t - y_N| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, such t exists as I is an admissible ideal, otherwise, the set $F(\frac{1}{2}) = \mathbb{N} \notin I$. We need first to show that $F \subseteq G$. Now for any $a \in F$, since

$$|y_a - y_t| \le |y_a - y_N| + |y_N - y_t| < \epsilon.$$

Therefore

$$|y_a| \le |y_a - y_t| + |y_t| < \epsilon + |y_t|,$$

hence $a \in G$. So we have $F \subseteq G$, therefore

$$\frac{1}{n}|F| \le \frac{1}{n}|G|.$$

Hence for any $\nu > 0$, we have

$$\left\{n:\frac{1}{n}|F|>\nu\right\}\subseteq\left\{n:\frac{1}{n}|G|>\nu\right\}.$$

Since $G(v) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{I}}$, we have $\{n: \frac{1}{n}|F| > v\} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{I}}$, therefore $\{n: \frac{1}{n}|G| > v\} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{I}}$, so the set

$$\left\{n:\frac{1}{n}\left|\left\{j\leq n:|y_j|<\epsilon+|y_t|\right\}\right|>\nu\right\}\in\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{I}},$$

i.e.

$$\left\{n:\frac{1}{n}\left|\left\{j\leq n:|y_j|>\epsilon+|y_t|\right\}\right|<\nu\right\}\in\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{I}},$$

hence, the set

$$\left\{n:\frac{1}{n}\left|\left\{j\leq n:|y_j|>\epsilon+|y_t|\right\}\right|>\nu\right\}\in\Im,$$

so η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -bounded. We use that statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -boundedness implies that $(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ -lim inf η and $(\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ -lim sup η are finite. Using Theorem 2.2, we have $\alpha = (\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ -lim inf $\eta \leq (\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ -lim sup $\eta = \beta$. Given that η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -Cauchy summable, then, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $\forall \nu > 0$, there exists $N = N(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left\{n:\frac{1}{n}\left|\left\{j\leq n:|y_j-y_{N(\frac{\epsilon}{2})}|\geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right\}\right|\geq \nu\right\}\in\mathfrak{I}.$$

Therefore

$$\left\{n:\frac{1}{n}\left|\left\{j\leq n:y_j>y_{N(\frac{\epsilon}{2})}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right\}\right|>\nu\right\}\in\Im,$$

hence by Theorem 2.1(a), we have

$$\beta < y_{N(\frac{\epsilon}{2})} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \tag{3.1}$$

Also we have

$$\left\{n:\frac{1}{n}\left|\left\{j\leq n: y_j < y_{N(\frac{\epsilon}{2})} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right\}\right| > \nu\right\} \in \mathfrak{I},$$

hence by Theorem 2.1(b), we have

$$y_{N(\frac{\epsilon}{2})} < \alpha + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$
(3.2)

Using (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\beta < \alpha + \epsilon$$
.

Hence, for any $\vartheta > 0$, we always have $\beta < \alpha + \vartheta$, therefore $\beta \le \alpha$. Hence $\alpha = (\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ -lim inf $\eta = (\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}})_{st}$ -lim sup $\eta = \beta$. Now by Theorem 2.3, η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -convergent. \Box

Theorem 3.3 (a) If $\eta = (\eta_k)$ is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*}$ -Cauchy summable then η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -Cauchy summable.

(b) If \Im satisfies the condition (APO), then η is statistically \mathfrak{A}^{\Im^*} -Cauchy summable whenever η is statistically \mathfrak{A}^{\Im} -Cauchy summable.

Proof (a) The proof follows from Theorem 3.1, Theorem 1.2(a) and Theorem 3.2.(b) The proof follows from Theorem 3.2, Theorem 1.2(b) and Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.1 The converse of Theorem 3.3 (a) is not true in general.

Example 3.1 In [7] Example 2.9, the following example was given.

Let $B_i = \{2^{i-1}(2k-1) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be mutually disjoint infinite sets such that $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$. Let \mathfrak{I} be the class defined as

 $\mathfrak{I} = \{ B \subset \mathbb{N} : B \text{ intersects only finite numbers of } B'_i s \},\$

then \mathfrak{I} is a non-trivial admissible ideal in \mathbb{N} . Define $\eta = (\eta_k)$ by

$$\eta_k = \frac{1}{i}, \quad k \in B_i,$$

and $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathfrak{a}_{ik})$ by

$$\mathfrak{a}_{jk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = j^2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is shown that η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -summable to zero but η is not statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*}$ summable to any number. Hence from Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 we conclude that η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -Cauchy summable but η is not statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*}$ -Cauchy summable.

4 Some Tauberian theorems

In [12], a Tauberian theorem was given for statistical convergence. The next results are Tauberian theorems for statistical $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -summability. Let τ denote the collection of lower triangular nonnegative summability matrices \mathfrak{A} with (i) $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathfrak{a}_{nk} = 1$ and (ii) if $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $\delta(K) = 0$, then $\lim_{n} \sum_{k \in K} \mathfrak{a}_{nk} = 0$, (cf. [13]). From these conditions any $\mathfrak{A} \in \tau$ is regular. Let us denote $\Delta \eta_k = \eta_k - \eta_{k+1}$.

Theorem 4.1 Let \mathfrak{I} be a non-trivial admissible ideal in \mathbb{N} which satisfies the condition (APO). Let $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathfrak{a}_{jk}) \in \tau$ and $\eta = (\eta_k)$ be a bounded sequence. If η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -summable to \mathfrak{s} and $\Delta A_{m_i}(\eta) = O(\frac{1}{m_i})$, where $M = \{m_i\} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{I}}$, then η is \mathfrak{I} -statistically convergent to \mathfrak{s} .

Proof Let η be statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -summable to \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{I} satisfy the condition (*APO*). From Theorem 1.2(b), η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*}$ -summable to \mathfrak{s} . Since $\Delta A_{m_i}(\eta) = O(\frac{1}{m_i})$, so by Theorem 3 of [12], η is $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}^*}$ -summable to \mathfrak{s} . Since $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathfrak{a}_{jk}) \in \tau$, we have $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathfrak{a}_{m_ik}) \in \tau$. Therefore by Theorem 1 of Fridy and Miller [13], η is \mathfrak{I}^* -statistically convergent to \mathfrak{s} . Hence by Corollary 1.1(a), η is \mathfrak{I} -statistically convergent to \mathfrak{s} .

Corollary 4.1 Let \mathfrak{I} be a non-trivial admissible ideal in \mathbb{N} which satisfies the condition (APO). Let $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathfrak{a}_{jk}) \in \tau$ and $\eta = (\eta_k)$ be a bounded sequence. If η is statistically $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -summable to \mathfrak{s} and $\Delta \mathfrak{A}_{m_i}(\eta) = O(\frac{1}{m_i})$, where $M = \{m_i\} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{I}}$, then η is $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{I}}$ -summable to \mathfrak{s} .

Theorem 4.2 Let \mathfrak{I} be a non-trivial admissible ideal in \mathbb{N} which satisfies the condition (APO). Let $\eta = (\eta_k)$ be a bounded sequence. If η is \mathfrak{I} -statistically convergent to \mathfrak{s} and $\Delta \eta_{m_i} = O(\frac{1}{m_i})$, where $M = \{m_i\} \in \mathcal{F}(I)$, then η is \mathfrak{I} -convergent to \mathfrak{s} .

Proof Let η be \Im -statistically convergent to \mathfrak{s} . Since \Im satisfies the condition (*APO*), from Corollary 1.1(b), η is \Im^* -statistically convergent to \mathfrak{s} . Since $\Delta \eta_{m_i} = O(\frac{1}{m_i})$, by Theorem 3 of [12], η is \Im^* -convergent to \mathfrak{s} . Now by Proposition 3.2 of [18], η is \Im -convergent to \mathfrak{s} . \Box

Acknowledgements NA. Funding NA.

Availability of data and materials NA.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

The authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, Tafila Technical University, P.O. Box 179, Tafila, 66110, Jordan. ²Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, 202002, India. ³Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University (Taiwan), Taichung, Taiwan.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 3 November 2020 Accepted: 3 February 2021 Published online: 17 February 2021

References

- 1. Connor, J.: On strong matrix summability with respect to a modulus and statistical convergence. Can. Math. Bull. 32, 194–198 (1989)
- 2. Connor, J.: Two valued measures and summability. Analysis 10(4), 373–386 (1990)
- 3. Demirci, K.: /-limit superior and limit inferior. Math. Commun. 6(2), 165–172 (2001)
- 4. Dems, K.: On /-Cauchy sequences. Real Anal. Exch. 30(1), 123–128 (2004/2005)
- 5. Edely, O.H.H.: B-statistically A-summability. Thai J. Math. 11(1), 1–10 (2013)
- 6. Edely, O.H.H.: On some properties of A¹-summability and A^{1*} summability. Azerb. J. Math. **11**(1), 189–200 (2021)
- 7. Edely, O.H.H.: On statistical A^{\prime} and statistical $A^{\prime*}$ -summability (accepted)
- Edely, O.H.H., Mursaleen, M.: Tauberian theorems for statistically convergent double sequences. Inf. Sci. 176(7), 875–886 (2006)
- 9. Edely, O.H.H., Mursaleen, M.: On statistical A-summability. Math. Comput. Model. 49(3), 672-680 (2009)
- 10. Fast, H.: Sur la convergence statistique. Colloq. Math. 2, 241-244 (1951)
- 11. Freedman, A.R., Sember, I.J.: Densities and summability. Pac. J. Math. 95(2), 293-305 (1981)
- 12. Fridy, J.A.: On statistical convergence. Analysis 5, 301-313 (1985)
- 13. Fridy, J.A., Miller, H.I.: A matrix characterization of statistical convergence. Analysis 11(1), 59–66 (1991)
- 14. Fridy, J.A., Orhan, C.: Statistical limit superior and limit inferior. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 125(12), 3625–3631 (1997)
- 15. Georgioua, D.N., Iliadisb, S.D., Megaritis, A.C., Prinos, G.A.: Ideal-convergence classes. Topol. Appl. 222, 217–226 (2017)
- 16. Kolk, E.: Matrix summability of statistically convergent sequences. Analysis 13(1-2), 77-84 (1993)
- 17. Kostyrko, P., Macaj, M., Šalát, T., Sleziak, M.: I-Convergence and external I-limits points. Math. Slovaca 55(4), 443–464 (2005)
- 18. Kostyrko, P., Šalát, T., Wilczyńki, W.: I-convergence. Real Anal. Exch. 26(2), 669–686 (2000)
- Lahiri, B.K., Das, P.: Further results on *I*-limit superior and limit inferior. Math. Commun. 8(2), 151–156 (2003)
 Moricz, F.: Tauberian conditions under which statistical convergence follows from statistical summability (C, 1). J. Math. Anal. Appl. 275, 277–287 (2002)
- 21. Mursaleen, M., Alotaibi, A.: On *I*-convergence in random 2-normed spaces. Math. Slovaca 61(6), 933–940 (2011)
- Mursaleen, M., Debnath, S., Rakshit, D.: *I*-statistical limit superior and *I*-statistical limit inferior. Filomat **31**(7), 2103–2108 (2017)
- 23. Mursaleen, M., Edely, O.H.H.: Generalized statistical convergence. Inf. Sci. 162(3-4), 287-294 (2004)
- 24. Mursaleen, M., Mohiuddine, S.A.: On ideal convergence in probabilistic normed spaces. Math. Slovaca 62, 49–62 (2012)
- Mursaleen, M., Mohiuddine, S.A., Edely, O.H.H.: On the ideal convergence of double sequences in intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces. Comput. Math. Appl. 59(2), 603–611 (2010)
- 26. Nabiev, A., Pehlivan, S., Gurdal, M.: On I-Cauchy sequences. Taiwan. J. Math. 11(2), 569–576 (2007)
- 27. Šalát, T.: On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers. Math. Slovaca 30, 139–150 (1980)
- 28. Savas, E., Das, P.: A generalized statistical convergence via ideals. Appl. Math. Lett. 24(6), 826-830 (2011)
- Savas, E., Das, P., Dutta, S.: A note on some generalized summability methods. Acta Math. Univ. Comen. 82(2), 297–304 (2013)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com