

RESEARCH

Open Access



Nonlinear ordered variational inclusion problem involving XOR operation with fuzzy mappings

Iqbal Ahmad¹, Syed Shakaib Irfan^{1*} , Mohammad Farid² and Preeti Shukla³

*Correspondence:

shakaib@qec.edu.sa

¹College of Engineering, Qassim University, Buraidah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In the setting of real ordered positive Hilbert spaces, a nonlinear fuzzy ordered variational inclusion problem with its corresponding nonlinear fuzzy ordered resolvent equation problem involving XOR operation has been recommended and solved by employing an iterative algorithm. We establish the equivalence between nonlinear fuzzy ordered variational inclusion problem and nonlinear fuzzy ordered resolvent equation problem. The existence and convergence analysis of the solution of nonlinear fuzzy ordered variational inclusion problem involving XOR operation has been substantiated by applying a new resolvent operator method with XOR operation technique. The iterative algorithm and results demonstrated in this article have witnessed a significant improvement in many previously known results of this domain.

MSC: Primary 49J40; 47H05; secondary 47S40

Keywords: Algorithm; Fuzzy mappings; Inclusion; Resolvent equation; XOR operation; XNOR operation

1 Introduction

A number of solutions of nonlinear equations were introduced and studied by Amann [1] in 1972. In recent past, the fixed point theory and its applications have been intensively studied in real ordered Banach spaces. Therefore, it is very important and natural for generalized nonlinear ordered variational inequalities (ordered equations) to be studied and discussed, see [2–4]. In 1994, Hassouni and Moudafi [5] used the resolvent operator technique from maximal monotone mapping to study a class of mixed type variational inequalities with single-valued mappings, which was called variational inclusions, and developed a perturbed algorithm for finding approximate solutions of the mixed variational inequalities, see [6]. It has been proved that the theory of variational inequalities (inclusions) is quite application-oriented and thus it has been generalized in several different directions. This theory is used to solve efficiently many problems related to economics, optimization, transportation, elasticity, basic and applied sciences, etc., see [7–16] and the references therein.

© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

In 2008, Li [17–19] studied the nonlinear ordered variational inequalities and proposed an algorithm to approximate the solution for a class of nonlinear ordered variational inequalities (ordered equations) in real ordered Banach spaces. Very recently, Ahmad et al. [20–22] considered some classes of ordered variational inclusions involving XOR operator in different settings.

A lot of work has been done by Li [17–19, 23–25] to approximate the solution of general nonlinear ordered variational inequalities and ordered equations in ordered Banach spaces. On the other hand, the fuzzy set theory due to Zadeh [26] was specifically designed to mathematically represent uncertainty and vagueness and to provide formalized tools for dealing with the imprecision intrinsic to many problems, see [10–12, 27–30].

In this paper, we consider a new resolvent operator and prove that it is single-valued, compression as well as Lipschitz continuous. We establish the equivalence between nonlinear fuzzy ordered variational inclusion problem and nonlinear fuzzy ordered resolvent equation problem. Then these new results are used to solve a nonlinear fuzzy ordered variational inclusion problem with its corresponding nonlinear fuzzy ordered resolvent equation problem involving XOR operation after defining an iterative algorithm by applying a new resolvent operator method with XOR operation technique. We claim that all the results of this paper, either preliminary or main, are the extension of results of Li [17–19, 23, 24].

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we assume that \mathcal{H}_p is a real ordered positive Hilbert space endowed with a norm $\| \cdot \|$ and an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $2^{\mathcal{H}_p}$ (respectively, $CB(\mathcal{H}_p)$) be a family of all nonempty (respectively, nonempty closed and bounded) subsets of \mathcal{H}_p .

Let $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_p)$ be a collection of all fuzzy sets over \mathcal{H}_p . A mapping $F : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_p)$ is said to be a fuzzy mapping on \mathcal{H}_p . For each $p \in \mathcal{H}_p$, $F(p)$ (in the sequel, it will be denoted by F_p) is a fuzzy set on \mathcal{H}_p and $F_p(q)$ is the membership function of q in F_p .

A fuzzy mapping $F : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_p)$ is said to be closed if, for each $p \in \mathcal{H}_p$, the function $q \rightarrow F_p(q)$ is upper semi-continuous, that is, for any given net $\{q_\alpha\} \subset \mathcal{H}_p$, satisfying $q_\alpha \rightarrow q_0 \in \mathcal{H}_p$, we have

$$\limsup_\alpha F_p(q_\alpha) \leq F_p(q_0).$$

For $R \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_p)$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, the set $(R)_\lambda = \{p \in \mathcal{H}_p : R(p) \geq \lambda\}$ is called a λ -cut set of R . Let $F : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_p)$ be a closed fuzzy mapping satisfying the following condition.

Condition (*): If there exists a function $a : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that, for each $p \in \mathcal{H}_p$, the set $(F_p)_{a(p)} = \{q \in \mathcal{H}_p : F_p(q) \geq a(p)\}$ is a nonempty bounded subset of \mathcal{H}_p .

If F is a closed fuzzy mapping satisfying condition (*), then for each $p \in \mathcal{H}_p$, $(F_p)_{a(p)} \in CB(\mathcal{H}_p)$. In fact, let $\{q_\alpha\} \subset (F_p)_{a(p)}$ be a net and $q_\alpha \rightarrow q_0 \in \mathcal{H}_p$, then $(F_p)_{a(p)} \geq a(p)$ for each α . Since F is closed, we have

$$F_p(q_0) \geq \limsup_\alpha F_p(q_\alpha) \geq a(p),$$

which implies that $q_0 \in (F_p)_{a(p)}$, and so $(F_p)_{a(p)} \in CB(\mathcal{H}_p)$.

For the presentation of the results, let us demonstrate some known definitions and results.

Definition 2.1 ([7, 31]) A nonempty closed convex subset C of \mathcal{H}_p is said to be a cone if:

- (i) for any $p \in C$ and any $\lambda > 0$, then $\lambda p \in C$;
- (ii) if $p \in C$ and $-p \in C$, then $p = 0$.

Definition 2.2 ([31, 32]) A nonempty subset C of \mathcal{H}_p is called

- (i) a normal cone if there exists a constant $\delta_N > 0$ such that, for $0 \leq p \leq q$, we have $\|p\| \leq \delta_N \|q\|$ for any $p, q \in \mathcal{H}_p$;
- (ii) for any $p, q \in \mathcal{H}_p$, $p \leq q$ if and only if $q - p \in C$;
- (iii) p and q are said to be comparative to each other if and only if we have either $p \leq q$ or $q \leq p$, which is denoted by $p \propto q$.

Definition 2.3 ([31]) An ordered Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is said to be a positive Hilbert space with a partially ordered relation “ \leq ” (denoted by \mathcal{H}_p) if, for any $p, q \in \mathcal{H}$, $p \geq 0$ and $q \geq 0$, then $\langle p, q \rangle \geq 0$.

Example 2.4 Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}^2$ with the usual inner product and norm, and let $C = \{(p, q) | p, q \geq 0, p \leq q \text{ and } p, q \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a closed convex subset, and let \leq defined by a normal cone C be a partial ordered relation in \mathbb{R}^2 . It is clear that \mathbb{R}_p^2 is a positive Hilbert space with partial ordered relation \leq . However, when letting $C_1 = \{(p, q) | q \geq 0, |p| \leq 4q, p, q \in \mathbb{R}\}$, then C_1 is a closed convex subset. Obviously, \mathbb{R}^2 is a nonpositive Hilbert space with \leq because $\langle (-2.5p, p), (p, p) \rangle = -1.5p^2 < 0$ for $(-2.5p, p), (p, p) \in C_1$.

Definition 2.5 ([31]) For arbitrary elements $p, q \in \mathcal{H}_p$, $\text{lub}\{p, q\}$ and $\text{glb}\{p, q\}$ mean the least upper bound and the greatest upper bound of the set $\{p, q\}$. Suppose that $\text{lub}\{p, q\}$ and $\text{glb}\{p, q\}$ exist, some binary operations are defined as follows:

- (i) $p \vee q = \text{lub}\{p, q\}$;
- (ii) $p \wedge q = \text{glb}\{p, q\}$;
- (iii) $p \oplus q = (p - q) \vee (q - p)$;
- (iv) $p \odot q = (p - q) \wedge (q - p)$.

The operations \oplus, \odot, \vee , and \wedge are called XOR, XNOR, OR, and AND operations, respectively.

Lemma 2.6 ([32]) For any natural number n , $p \propto q_n$ and $q_n \rightarrow q^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $p \propto q^*$.

Proposition 2.7 ([17, 19, 23–25]) Let \odot be an XNOR operation and \oplus be an XOR operation. Then the following relations hold:

- (i) $p \odot p = p \oplus p = 0, p \odot q = q \odot p = -(p \oplus q) = -(q \oplus p)$;
- (ii) if $p \propto 0$, then $-p \oplus 0 \leq p \leq p \oplus 0$;
- (iii) $(\lambda p) \oplus (\lambda q) = |\lambda|(p \oplus q)$;
- (iv) $0 \leq p \oplus q$ if $p \propto q$;
- (v) if $p \propto q$, then $p \oplus q = 0$ if and only if $p = q$;
- (vi) $(p + q) \odot (u + v) \geq (p \odot u) + (q \odot v)$;
- (vii) $(p + q) \odot (u + v) \geq (p \odot v) + (q \odot u)$;
- (viii) if p, q , and w are comparative to each other, then $(p \oplus q) \leq p \oplus w + w \oplus q$;
- (ix) if $p \propto q$, then $((p \oplus 0) \oplus (q \oplus 0)) \leq (p \oplus q) \oplus 0 = p \oplus q$;
- (x) $\alpha p \oplus \beta p = |\alpha - \beta|p = (\alpha \oplus \beta)p$ if $p \propto 0$, for all $p, q, u, v, w \in \mathcal{H}_p$ and $\alpha, \beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 2.8 ([32]) *Let C be a normal cone in \mathcal{H}_p with normal constant δ_N , then, for each $p, q \in \mathcal{H}_p$, the following relations hold:*

- (i) $\|0 \oplus 0\| = \|0\| = 0$;
- (ii) $\|p \vee q\| \leq \|p\| \vee \|q\| \leq \|p\| + \|q\|$;
- (iii) $\|p \oplus q\| \leq \|p - q\| \leq \delta_N \|p \oplus q\|$;
- (iv) *if $p \propto q$, then $\|p \oplus q\| = \|p - q\|$.*

Definition 2.9 ([24, 25]) *Let $g : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ be a single-valued mapping. Then*

- (i) g is said to be a strongly comparison mapping if g is a comparison mapping and $g(p) \propto g(q)$ if and only if $p \propto q$ for all $p, q \in \mathcal{H}_p$;
- (ii) g is said to be a β -ordered compression mapping if G is a comparison mapping and

$$g(p) \oplus g(q) \leq \beta(p \oplus q) \quad \text{for } 0 < \beta < 1.$$

Definition 2.10 ([23, 24]) *A mapping $N : \mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ is said to be (κ, ν) -ordered Lipschitz continuous if $p \propto q, u \propto v$, then $N(p, u) \propto N(q, v)$ and there exist constants $\kappa, \nu > 0$ such that*

$$N(p, u) \oplus N(q, v) \leq \kappa(p \oplus q) + \nu(u \oplus v) \quad \text{for all } p, q, u, v \in \mathcal{H}_p.$$

Definition 2.11 *A set-valued mapping $A : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow CB(\mathcal{H}_p)$ is said to be D -Lipschitz continuous if, for any $p, q \in \mathcal{H}_p, p \propto q$, there exists a constant $\lambda_{D_A} > 0$ such that*

$$D(A(p), A(q)) \leq \lambda_{D_A}(p \oplus q) \quad \text{for all } p, q, u, v \in \mathcal{H}_p.$$

Definition 2.12 ([17, 25, 33]) *Let $A : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}$ be a set-valued mapping. Then*

- (i) A is said to be a weak comparison mapping if, for any $v_p \in A(p), p \propto v_p$, and if $p \propto q$, then for any $v_p \in A(p)$ and $v_q \in A(q), v_p \propto v_q$ for all $p, q \in \mathcal{H}_p$;
- (ii) a weak comparison mapping A is said to be α -weak-nonordinary difference mapping if, for each $p, q \in \mathcal{H}_p$, there exist $\alpha > 0$ and $v_p \in A(p)$ and $v_q \in A(q)$ such that

$$(v_p \oplus v_q) \oplus \alpha(p \oplus q) = 0;$$

- (iii) a weak comparison mapping A is said to be a λ -XOR-ordered different weak compression mapping if, for each $p, q \in \mathcal{H}_p$, there exist a constant $\lambda > 0$ and $v_p \in A(p), v_q \in A(q)$ such that

$$\lambda(v_p \oplus v_q) \geq p \oplus q.$$

Now, we introduce some new definitions of an XOR-weak-NODD set-valued mapping and a resolvent operator associated with the XOR-weak-NODD set-valued mapping.

Definition 2.13 *A comparison mapping $M : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}$ is said to be an (α, λ) -XOR-weak-NODD set-valued mapping if A is an α -weak-nonordinary difference mapping and a λ -XOR-ordered different weak compression mapping, and $[I \oplus \lambda A](\mathcal{H}_p) = \mathcal{H}_p$ for $\lambda, \beta, \alpha > 0$.*

Definition 2.14 Let $A : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}$ be an (α, λ) -XOR-weak-NODD set-valued mapping. The resolvent operator $\mathcal{J}_A^\lambda : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ associated with A is defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(p) = [I \oplus \lambda A]^{-1}(p), \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{H}_p, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant.

Now, we show that the resolvent operator defined by (2.1) is a single-valued, comparison mapping as well as Lipschitz continuous.

Lemma 2.15 Let $A : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}$ be an α -nonordinary difference comparison mapping with $\alpha > \frac{1}{\lambda}$. Then the resolvent operator $\mathcal{J}_A^\lambda : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ is single-valued for all $\lambda > 0$.

Proof Proof is similar to Proposition 2.15 in [33]. □

Lemma 2.16 Let $A : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}$ be an (α, λ) -XOR-weak-NODD set-valued mapping with respect to \mathcal{J}_A^λ . Then the resolvent operator $\mathcal{J}_A^\lambda : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ is a comparison mapping.

Proof Let A be an (α, λ) -XOR-weak-NODD set-valued mapping with respect to \mathcal{J}_A^λ . That is, A is α -nonordinary difference and λ -XOR-ordered different weak comparison mapping with respect to \mathcal{J}_A^λ , so that $p \propto \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(p)$. For any $p, q \in \mathcal{H}_p$, let $p \propto q$ and

$$v_p = \frac{1}{\lambda}(p \oplus \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(p)) \in A(\mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(p)) \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$v_q = \frac{1}{\lambda}(q \oplus \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(q)) \in A(\mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(q)). \tag{2.3}$$

Since A is a λ -XOR-ordered different weak comparison mapping, using (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} p \oplus q &\leq \lambda(v_p \oplus v_q) = (p \oplus \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(p)) \oplus (q \oplus \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(q)), \\ p \oplus q &\leq (p \oplus q) \oplus (\mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(p) \oplus \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(q)), \\ 0 &\leq \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(p) \oplus \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(q) = [\mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(p) - \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(q)] \vee [\mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(q) - \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(p)], \\ 0 &\leq \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(p) - \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(q) \quad \text{or} \quad 0 \leq \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(q) - \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(p). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(p) \leq \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(q) \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(q) \leq \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(p),$$

which implies that

$$\mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(p) \propto \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(q).$$

Therefore, the resolvent operator \mathcal{J}_A^λ is a comparison mapping. □

Lemma 2.17 *Let $A : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}$ be an (α, λ) -XOR-weak-NODD mapping with respect to \mathcal{J}_A^λ for $\alpha\lambda > \mu$ and $\mu \geq 1$. Then the resolvent operator \mathcal{J}_A^λ satisfies the following condition:*

$$\mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(p) \oplus \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(q) \leq \frac{\mu}{(\alpha\lambda \oplus \mu)}(p \oplus q), \quad \forall p, q \in \mathcal{H}_p,$$

i.e., the resolvent operator \mathcal{J}_A^λ is $\frac{\lambda}{(\alpha\lambda \oplus \mu)}$ -Lipschitz type continuous mapping.

Proof Let $p, q \in \mathcal{H}_p$, $u_p = \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(p)$, $u_q = \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(q)$, and let

$$v_p = \frac{1}{\lambda}(p \oplus u_p) \in A(u_p) \quad \text{and} \quad v_q = \frac{1}{\lambda}(q \oplus u_q) \in A(u_q).$$

As A is an (α, λ) -XOR-weak-NODD set-valued mapping with respect to \mathcal{J}_A^λ , it follows that A is also an α -nonordinary weak difference mapping with respect to \mathcal{J}_A^λ , we have

$$(v_p \oplus v_q) \oplus \alpha(u_p \oplus u_q) = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v_p \oplus v_q &= \frac{1}{\lambda}[(p \oplus u_p) \oplus (q \oplus u_q)] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda}[(p \oplus q) \oplus (u_p \oplus u_q)] \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{\lambda}[(p \oplus q) \oplus (u_p \oplus u_q)] \quad \text{for } \mu \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(u_p \oplus u_q) &= v_p \oplus v_q \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{\lambda}[(p \oplus q) \oplus (u_p \oplus u_q)], \\ \left[\frac{\alpha\lambda}{\mu} \oplus 1 \right] (u_p \oplus u_q) &\leq p \oplus q. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $u_p \oplus u_q \leq (\frac{\mu}{(\alpha\lambda \oplus \mu)})(p \oplus q)$ and, consequently, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(p) \oplus \mathcal{J}_A^\lambda(q) \leq \frac{\mu}{(\alpha\lambda \oplus \mu)}(p \oplus q), \quad \forall p, q \in \mathcal{H}_p.$$

Therefore, the resolvent operator \mathcal{J}_A^λ is a $\frac{\lambda}{(\alpha\lambda \oplus \mu)}$ -Lipschitz type continuous mapping. \square

Proposition 2.18 *Let $g : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ be a strongly comparison and β -ordered compression mapping. Let $A : \mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}$ be an (α, λ) -XOR-weak-NODD set-valued mapping with respect to the first argument. The resolvent operator $\mathcal{J}_A^\lambda : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ associated with A is defined by*

$$\mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z)}^\lambda(p) = [I \oplus \lambda A(\cdot, z)]^{-1}(p), \quad \text{for } z \in \mathcal{H}_p. \tag{2.5}$$

Then, for any given $z \in \mathcal{H}_p$, the resolvent operator $\mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z)}^\lambda : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ is well-defined, single-valued, continuous, comparison, and $\frac{\mu}{(\lambda\alpha \oplus \mu)}$ -nonexpansive mapping with $\lambda\alpha > \mu$ and $\mu \geq 1$, that is,

$$\mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z)}^\lambda(p) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z)}^\lambda(q) \leq \frac{\mu}{(\lambda\alpha \oplus \mu)}(p \oplus q), \quad \text{for all } p, q \in \mathcal{H}_p. \tag{2.6}$$

3 Formulation of the problem and iterative algorithm

Let \mathcal{H}_p be a real ordered positive Hilbert space and C be a normal cone with normal constant δ_N . Let $S, T, U, V : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_p)$ be closed fuzzy mappings satisfying the following condition (*), with functions $a, b, c, d : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that, for each $p \in \mathcal{H}_p$, we have $(S_p)_{a(p)}, (T_p)_{b(p)}, (U_p)_{c(p)}$, and $(V_p)_{d(p)}$ in $CB(\mathcal{H}_p)$, respectively, and let $G, g : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ be surjective single-valued mappings. Let $A : \mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}$ be an (α, λ) -XOR-weak-NODD set-valued mapping with respect to the first argument. For a given nonlinear mapping $N : \mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$, we consider a problem of finding $p, u, v, w, z \in \mathcal{H}_p$ such that $S_p(u) \geq a(p)$, $T_p(v) \geq b(p)$, $U_p(w) \geq c(w)$, and $V_p(z) \geq d(z)$, i.e., $u \in (S_p)_{a(p)}$, $v \in (T_p)_{b(p)}$, $w \in (U_p)_{c(p)}$, $z \in (V_p)_{d(p)}$,

$$0 \in G(w) \oplus N(u, v) + A(g(p), z). \tag{3.1}$$

Problem (3.1) is called nonlinear fuzzy ordered variational inclusion problem involving \oplus operation.

It is clear that, for suitable choices of mappings involved in the formulation of nonlinear fuzzy ordered variational inclusion problem (3.1), one can obtain many variational inclusion problems studied in recent past, i.e., [17–19, 25].

Putting $a(p) = b(p) = c(p) = d(p) = 1$ for all $p \in \mathcal{H}_p$, problem (3.1) includes many kinds of variational inequalities and variational inclusion problems [17, 19, 22–25].

In support of our problem (3.1), we provide the following examples.

Example 3.1 The continuum of players problem can be obtained from nonlinear fuzzy ordered variational inclusion problem (3.1). For more details, see Chap. 13 and exercise 13.2 of the book “Optima and Equilibria” by Aubin [34] and Example 2.1 in [35].

If we can take $\mathcal{H}_p = \mathbb{R}_p^n$, $U = I$ (identity mapping) and T is a single-valued mapping, and the other functions, that is, G, S, V, A, g , are equal to zero. Define $N : \mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ by

$$N(p, T(p)) = \int_L Q(u, T(u))h(u) du.$$

We associate each player with its action $Q(u, \cdot)$, where $Q : \mathcal{H}_p \times O \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_p^n$, O is a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R}_p^n , and each fuzzy coalition $h(u)$ with its action $\int_L Q(u, T(u))h(u) du$.

Example 3.2 Let $\mathcal{H}_p = [0, 10]$ and $C = \{p \in \mathcal{H}_p : 0 \leq p \leq 4\}$ be the normal cone. Let $S, T, U, V : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_p)$ be the closed fuzzy mappings defined by, for all $p, q, u, v, w, z \in \mathcal{H}_p$:

$$S_p(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3+|u-2|} & \text{if } p \in [0, 1], \\ \frac{1}{3+p|u-2|} & \text{if } p \in (1, 10], \end{cases} \quad T_p(v) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2+(v-2)^2} & \text{if } p \in [0, 1], \\ \frac{1}{2+p(v-2)^2} & \text{if } p \in (1, 10], \end{cases}$$

$$U_p(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1+|w-2|} & \text{if } p \in [0, 1], \\ \frac{1}{1+p|w-2|} & \text{if } p \in (1, 10], \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad V_p(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2+p|z-2|} & \text{if } p \in [0, 1], \\ \frac{1}{2+|z-2|} & \text{if } p \in (1, 10]. \end{cases}$$

We define the mappings $a, b, c, d : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$a(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{5} & \text{if } p \in [0, 1], \\ \frac{1}{3+2p} & \text{if } p \in (1, 10], \end{cases} \quad b(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6} & \text{if } p \in [0, 1], \\ \frac{1}{2+4p} & \text{if } p \in (1, 10], \end{cases}$$

$$c(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } p \in [0, 1], \\ \frac{1}{1+2p} & \text{if } p \in (1, 10], \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad d(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2(1+p)} & \text{if } p \in [0, 1], \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } p \in (1, 10]. \end{cases}$$

For any $p \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$(S_p)_{a(p)} = \left\{ u : S_p(u) \geq \frac{1}{5} \right\} = \left\{ u : \frac{1}{3+|u-2|} \geq \frac{1}{5} \right\} = [0, 4],$$

$$(T_p)_{b(p)} = \left\{ u : T_p(v) \geq \frac{1}{6} \right\} = \left\{ u : \frac{1}{2+(v-2)^2} \geq \frac{1}{6} \right\} = [0, 4],$$

$$(U_p)_{c(p)} = \left\{ u : U_p(w) \geq \frac{1}{3} \right\} = \left\{ u : \frac{1}{1+|w-2|} \geq \frac{1}{3} \right\} = [0, 4],$$

$$(V_p)_{d(p)} = \left\{ u : V_p(z) \geq \frac{1}{2(1+p)} \right\} = \left\{ u : \frac{1}{2+p|z-2|} \geq \frac{1}{2(1+p)} \right\} = [0, 4],$$

and for any $p \in (1, 10]$, we have

$$(S_p)_{a(p)} = \left\{ u : S_p(u) \geq \frac{1}{3+2p} \right\} = \left\{ u : \frac{1}{3+p|u-2|} \geq \frac{1}{3+2p} \right\} = [0, 4],$$

$$(T_p)_{b(p)} = \left\{ u : T_p(v) \geq \frac{1}{2+4p} \right\} = \left\{ u : \frac{1}{2+2p(v-2)^2} \geq \frac{1}{2+4p} \right\} = [0, 4],$$

$$(U_p)_{c(p)} = \left\{ u : U_p(w) \geq \frac{1}{1+2p} \right\} = \left\{ u : \frac{1}{1+p|w-2|} \geq \frac{1}{1+2p} \right\} = [0, 4],$$

$$(V_p)_{d(p)} = \left\{ u : V_p(z) \geq \frac{1}{4} \right\} = \left\{ u : \frac{1}{2+|z-2|} \geq \frac{1}{4} \right\} = [0, 4].$$

Now, we define the single-valued mappings $G, g : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ and $N : \mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ by

$$G(w) = \frac{w}{2}, \quad g(p) = \frac{p}{5} \quad \text{and} \quad N(u, v) = \frac{u}{2} + \frac{v}{3},$$

and the set-valued mapping $A : \mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}$ is defined by

$$A(g(p), z) = \left\{ g(p) + \frac{z}{3} : p \in [0, 10] \text{ and } z \in (V_p)_{d(p)} \right\}.$$

In view of the above, it is easy to verify that $0 \in G(w) \oplus N(u, v) + A(g(p), z)$, that is, problem (3.1) is satisfied.

Related to the nonlinear fuzzy ordered variational inclusion problem (3.1), we consider the following nonlinear fuzzy ordered resolvent equation problem:

Find $p, q, u, v, w, z \in \mathcal{H}_p$ such that $(S_p)(u) \geq a(p)$, $(T_p)(v) \geq b(p)$, $(U_p)(w) \geq c(p)$, and $(V_p)(z) \geq d(z)$,

$$G(w) \oplus \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{A(\cdot, z)}(q) = N(u, v), \tag{3.2}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant and $\mathcal{R}_{A(\cdot, z)} = [I \oplus \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z)}^\lambda]$.

Now, we establish the equivalence between nonlinear fuzzy ordered variational inclusion problem and nonlinear fuzzy ordered resolvent equation problem.

Lemma 3.3 *Assume that $S, T, U, V : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_p)$ are closed fuzzy mappings satisfying the following condition (*), with functions $a, b, c, d : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, respectively. Let $G, g : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ and $N : \mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ be single-valued mappings. Let $A : \mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}}$ be an (α, λ) -XOR-weak-NODD set-valued mapping with respect to the first argument. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) (p, u, v, w, z) , where $p, u, v, w, z \in \mathcal{H}_p$ such that $S_p(u) \geq a(p)$, $T_p(v) \geq b(p)$, $U_p(w) \geq c(w)$, and $V_p(z) \geq d(z)$ is a solution of problem (3.1);
- (ii) $p \in \mathcal{H}_p$ is a fixed point of the mapping $Q : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}$ defined by

$$Q(p) = G(w) \oplus N(u, v) + A(g(p), z) + p; \tag{3.3}$$

- (iii) (p, u, v, w, z) , where $p, u, v, w, z \in \mathcal{H}_p$ such that $S_p(u) \geq a(p)$, $T_p(v) \geq b(p)$, $U_p(w) \geq c(w)$, and $V_p(z) \geq d(z)$, is a solution of the following equation:

$$g(p) = \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z)}^\lambda [g(p) \oplus \lambda(G(w) \odot N(u, v))]; \tag{3.4}$$

- (iv) (p, q, u, v, w, z) , where $p, u, v, w, z \in \mathcal{H}_p$ such that $S_p(u) \geq a(p)$, $T_p(v) \geq b(p)$, $U_p(w) \geq c(w)$, and $V_p(z) \geq d(z)$, is a solution of problem (3.2), where

$$\begin{aligned} q &= g(p) \oplus \lambda(G(w) \odot N(u, v)), \\ g(p) &= \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z)}^\lambda(q). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Proof (i) \implies (ii) Adding p to both sides of (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\in G(w) \oplus N(u, v) + A(g(p), z) \\ \implies p &\in G(w) \oplus N(u, v) + A(g(p), z) + p = Q(p). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, a is a fixed point of Q .

- (ii) \implies (iii) Let p be a fixed point of Q , then

$$\begin{aligned} p &\in G(w) \oplus N(u, v) + A(g(p), z) + p = Q(p) \\ \implies 0 &\in G(w) \oplus N(u, v) + A(g(p), z) \\ \implies 0 &\in \lambda(G(w) \oplus N(u, v)) + \lambda A(g(p), z) \\ \implies \lambda(G(w) \odot N(u, v)) &\in \lambda A(g(p), z) \\ \implies g(p) \oplus \lambda(G(w) \odot N(u, v)) &\in g(p) \oplus \lambda A(g(p), z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\implies g(p) \oplus \lambda(G(w) \odot N(u, v)) \in [I \oplus \lambda A(\cdot, z)](g(p)).$$

Hence $g(p) = \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z)}^\lambda [g(p) \oplus \lambda(G(w) \odot N(u, v))]$.

(iii) \implies (iv) Taking $q = g(p) \oplus \lambda(G(w) \odot N(u, v))$, from (3.4), we have $g(p) = \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z)}^\lambda(q)$, so

$$q = \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z)}^\lambda(q) \oplus \lambda(G(w) \odot N(u, v)),$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} q \oplus \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z)}^\lambda(q) &= \lambda(G(w) \odot N(u, v)), \\ \implies [I \oplus \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z)}^\lambda](q) &= \lambda(G(w) \odot N(u, v)) \\ \implies \mathcal{R}_{A(\cdot, z)}(q) &= \lambda(G(w) \odot N(u, v)) \\ \implies \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{A(\cdot, z)}(q) &= G(w) \odot N(u, v) \\ \implies G(w) \odot \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{A(\cdot, z)}(q) &= N(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, (p, q, u, v, w, z) is a solution of the fuzzy resolvent equation problem (3.2).

(iv) \implies (i), from (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(q) &= \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z)}^\lambda(q) \\ &= \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z)}^\lambda [g(p) \oplus \lambda(G(w) \odot N(u, v))], \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$g(p) = (I \oplus \lambda A(\cdot, z))^{-1} [g(p) \oplus \lambda(G(w) \odot N(u, v))],$$

so

$$g(p) \oplus \lambda(G(w) \odot N(u, v)) \in (I \oplus \lambda A(\cdot, z))g(p),$$

which implies

$$0 \in G(w) \oplus N(u, v) + A(g(p), z).$$

Therefore, (p, u, v, w, z) , where $p \in \mathcal{H}_p$ such that $S_p(u) \geq a(p)$, $T_p(v) \geq b(p)$, $U_p(w) \geq c(w)$, and $V_p(z) \geq d(z)$ is a solution of problem (3.1). \square

Based on Lemma 3.3, we construct an iterative algorithm for finding approximate solutions of problem (3.1).

Iterative Algorithm 3.4 Let $S, T, U, V : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_p)$ be the closed fuzzy mappings satisfying the following condition (*), with functions $a, b, c, d : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, respectively. Let $G, g : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ and $N : \mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ be single-valued mappings. Let $A : \mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}$ be an (α, λ) -XOR-weak-NODD set-valued mapping with respect to the first argument.

We assume that g is surjective. For any given $p_0, q_0 \in \mathcal{H}_p, u_0 \in (S_{p_0})_{a(p_0)}, v_0 \in (T_{p_0})_{b(p_0)}, w_0 \in (U_{p_0})_{c(p_0)}$, and $z_0 \in (V_{p_0})_{d(p_0)}$, let

$$q_1 = g(p_0) \oplus \lambda(G(w_0) \odot N(u_0, v_0)).$$

Since g is surjective, there exists $p_1 \in \mathcal{H}_p$ such that

$$p_1 = (1 - \beta)q_0 + \beta[p_0 \oplus (g(p_0) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z_0)}^\lambda(q_1))].$$

On the other hand, by Nadler [36], there exist $u_1 \in (S_{p_1})_{a(p_1)}, v_0 \in (T_{p_1})_{b(p_1)}, w_0 \in (U_{p_1})_{c(p_1)}$, and $z_1 \in (V_{p_1})_{d(p_1)}$, and suppose that $p_0 \propto p_1, u_0 \propto u_1, v_0 \propto v_1, w_0 \propto w_1$, and $z_0 \propto z_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 \in (S_{p_1})_{a(p_1)}, \quad u_1 \oplus u_0 &\leq (1 + 1)D((S_{p_1})_{a(p_1)}, (S_{p_0})_{a(p_0)}), \\ v_1 \in (T_{p_1})_{b(p_1)}, \quad v_1 \oplus v_0 &\leq (1 + 1)D((T_{p_1})_{b(p_1)}, (T_{p_0})_{b(p_0)}), \\ w_1 \in (U_{p_1})_{c(p_1)}, \quad w_1 \oplus w_0 &\leq (1 + 1)D((U_{p_1})_{c(p_1)}, (U_{p_0})_{c(p_0)}), \\ z_1 \in (V_{p_1})_{d(p_1)}, \quad z_1 \oplus z_0 &\leq (1 + 1)D((V_{p_1})_{d(p_1)}, (V_{p_0})_{d(p_0)}). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$q_2 = g(p_1) \oplus \lambda(G(w_1) \odot N(u_1, v_1)).$$

Since g is surjective, there exists $p_2 \in \mathcal{H}_p$ such that

$$p_2 = (1 - \beta)p_1 + \beta[p_1 \oplus (g(p_1) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z_1)}^\lambda(q_2))].$$

On the other hand, by Nadler [36], there exist $u_2 \in (S_{p_2})_{a(p_2)}, v_2 \in (T_{p_2})_{b(p_2)}, w_2 \in (U_{p_2})_{c(p_2)}$, and $z_2 \in (V_{p_2})_{d(p_2)}$, and suppose that $p_1 \propto p_2, u_1 \propto u_2, v_1 \propto v_2, w_1 \propto w_2$, and $z_1 \propto z_2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_2 \in (S_{p_2})_{a(p_2)}, \quad u_2 \oplus u_1 &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right)D((S_{p_2})_{a(p_2)}, (S_{p_1})_{a(p_1)}), \\ v_2 \in (T_{p_2})_{b(p_2)}, \quad v_2 \oplus v_1 &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right)D((T_{p_2})_{b(p_2)}, (T_{p_1})_{b(p_1)}), \\ w_2 \in (U_{p_2})_{c(p_2)}, \quad w_2 \oplus w_1 &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right)D((U_{p_2})_{c(p_2)}, (U_{p_1})_{c(p_1)}), \\ z_2 \in (V_{p_2})_{d(p_2)}, \quad z_2 \oplus z_1 &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right)D((V_{p_2})_{d(p_2)}, (V_{p_1})_{d(p_1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Continuing the above process inductively with the supposition that $p_{n+1} \propto p_n, u_{n+1} \propto u_n, v_{n+1} \propto v_n, w_{n+1} \propto w_n,$ and $z_{n+1} \propto z_n,$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$

$$\begin{cases} q_{n+1} = g(p_n) \oplus \lambda(G(w_n) \odot N(u_n, v_n)), \\ p_{n+1} = (1 - \beta)p_n + \beta[p_n \oplus (g(p_n) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z_n)}^\lambda(q_{n+1}))], \\ u_{n+1} \in (S_{p_{n+1}})_{a(p_{n+1})}, & u_{n+1} \oplus u_n \leq (1 + \frac{1}{n+1})D((S_{p_{n+1}})_{a(p_{n+1})}, (S_{p_n})_{a(p_n)}), \\ v_{n+1} \in (T_{p_{n+1}})_{b(p_{n+1})}, & v_{n+1} \oplus v_n \leq (1 + \frac{1}{n+1})D((T_{p_{n+1}})_{b(p_{n+1})}, (T_{p_n})_{b(p_n)}), \\ w_{n+1} \in (U_{p_{n+1}})_{c(p_{n+1})}, & w_{n+1} \oplus w_n \leq (1 + \frac{1}{n+1})D((U_{p_{n+1}})_{c(p_{n+1})}, (U_{p_n})_{c(p_n)}), \\ z_{n+1} \in (V_{p_{n+1}})_{d(p_{n+1})}, & z_{n+1} \oplus z_n \leq (1 + \frac{1}{n+1})D((V_{p_{n+1}})_{d(p_{n+1})}, (V_{p_n})_{d(p_n)}). \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

4 Main results

In this section, we prove an existence and convergence result for nonlinear fuzzy ordered variational inclusion problem (3.1) and its corresponding nonlinear fuzzy ordered resolvent equation problem (3.2).

Theorem 4.1 *Let \mathcal{H}_p be a real ordered positive Hilbert space and C be a normal cone with normal constant $\delta_N.$ Let $S, T, U, V : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_p)$ be the closed fuzzy mappings satisfying condition $(*)$, with functions $a, b, c, d : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [0, 1],$ respectively. Let $G, g : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ and $N : \mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ be the single-valued mappings. Let $A : \mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}$ be an (α, λ) -XOR-weak-NODD set-valued mapping with respect to the first argument. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

- (i) G is comparison and λ_G -ordered compression mapping, $\lambda_G \in (0, 1);$
- (ii) g is comparison and λ_g -ordered compression mapping, $\lambda_g \in (0, 1);$
- (iii) N is comparison and (κ, ν) -ordered Lipschitz continuous mapping;
- (iv) $S, T, U,$ and V are ordered Lipschitz type continuous mappings with constants $\lambda_{D_S}, \lambda_{D_T}, \lambda_{D_U},$ and $\lambda_{D_V},$ respectively.

If the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, s)}^\lambda(p) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, t)}^\lambda(p) \leq \xi(s \oplus t) \quad \text{for all } p, s, t \in \mathcal{H}_p, \xi > 0, \\ \text{(b)} \quad & \begin{cases} |\mu(\lambda_g \oplus \lambda(\lambda_G \lambda_{D_U} \oplus (\kappa \lambda_{D_S} + \nu \lambda_{D_T}))) + \xi \lambda_{D_V}(\lambda \alpha \oplus \mu)| < |(\lambda \alpha \oplus \mu) \lambda_g|, \\ \lambda \alpha > \mu, \quad \mu \geq 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

are satisfied, then there exist $p, q \in \mathcal{H}_p$ such that $u \in (S_p)_{a(p)}, v \in (T_p)_{b(p)}, w \in (U_p)_{c(p)},$ and $z \in (V_p)_{d(p)}$ satisfying the nonlinear fuzzy ordered resolvent equation equation (3.2), and so (p, u, v, w, z) is a solution of the nonlinear fuzzy ordered variational inclusion problem (3.1), and the iterative sequences $\{p_n\}, \{u_n\}, \{v_n\}, \{w_n\},$ and $\{z_n\}$ generated by Algorithm 3.4 converge strongly to $p, v, u, w,$ and z in $\mathcal{H}_p,$ respectively.

Proof Since g is a λ_g -ordered compression mapping, V is a λ_{D_V} -ordered Lipschitz continuous mapping. By Algorithm 3.4, Proposition 2.7, and Proposition 2.18, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq p_{n+1} \oplus p_n \\ & = [(1 - \beta)p_n + \beta(p_n \oplus (g(p_n) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z_n)}^\lambda(q_{n+1})))] \\ & \quad \oplus [(1 - \beta)p_{n-1} + \beta(p_{n-1} \oplus (g(p_{n-1}) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z_{n-1})}^\lambda(q_n)))] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq (1 - \beta)(p_n \oplus q_{n-1}) + \beta[(p_n \oplus (g(p_n) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z_n)}^\lambda(q_{n+1}))) \\
 &\quad \oplus (p_{n-1} \oplus (g(p_{n-1}) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z_{n-1})}^\lambda(q_n)))] \\
 &= (1 - \beta)(p_n \oplus p_{n-1}) + \beta[(1 \oplus \lambda_g)(p_n \oplus p_{n-1}) \oplus (\mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z_n)}^\lambda(q_{n+1}) \\
 &\quad \oplus \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z_{n-1})}^\lambda(q_n))] \\
 &= (1 - \beta)(p_n \oplus p_{n-1}) + \beta[(1 \oplus \lambda_g)(p_n \oplus p_{n-1}) \\
 &\quad \oplus (\mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z_n)}^\lambda(q_{n+1}) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z_n)}^\lambda(q_n) + \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z_n)}^\lambda(q_n) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z_{n-1})}^\lambda(q_n))] \\
 &= (1 - \beta)(p_n \oplus p_{n-1}) + \beta\left[(1 \oplus \lambda_g)(p_n \oplus p_{n-1}) \oplus \left(\frac{\mu}{(\lambda\alpha \oplus \mu)}(q_{n+1} \oplus q_n) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \xi(z_n \oplus z_{n-1})\right)\right] \\
 &= (1 - \beta)(p_n \oplus p_{n-1}) + \beta\left[(1 \oplus \lambda_g)(p_n \oplus p_{n-1}) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \oplus \left(\frac{\mu}{(\lambda\alpha \oplus \mu)}(q_{n+1} \oplus q_n) + \xi\left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)D((V_{p_{n+1}})_{d(p_{n+1})}, (V_{p_{n+1}})_{d(p_{n+1})})\right)\right] \\
 &= (1 - \beta)(p_n \oplus p_{n-1}) + \beta\left[(1 \oplus \lambda_g)(p_n \oplus p_{n-1}) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \oplus \left(\frac{\mu}{(\lambda\alpha \oplus \mu)}(q_{n+1} \oplus q_n) + \xi\left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)\lambda_{D_V}(p_{n+1} \oplus p_{n+1})\right)\right]. \tag{4.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since G is a λ_G -ordered compression mapping, $N(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a (κ, ν) -ordered Lipschitz continuous and g is a λ_G -ordered compression mapping and D -Lipschitz continuous of T, U , and V with constants $\lambda_{D_T}, \lambda_{D_U}$, and λ_{D_V} . Using Proposition 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_{n+1} \oplus q_n &= [g(p_n) \oplus \lambda(G(w_n) \odot N(u_n, v_n))] \oplus [g(p_{n-1}) \oplus \lambda(G(w_{n-1}) \\
 &\quad \odot N(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}))] \\
 &\leq (g(p_n) \oplus g(p_{n-1})) \oplus \lambda[(G(w_n) \odot N(u_n, v_n)) \oplus (G(w_{n-1}) \\
 &\quad \odot N(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}))] \\
 &\leq (g(p_n) \oplus g(p_{n-1})) \oplus \lambda[-(G(w_n) \oplus N(u_n, v_n)) \oplus -(G(w_{n-1}) \\
 &\quad \oplus N(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}))] \\
 &\leq (g(p_n) \oplus g(p_{n-1})) \oplus \lambda|-1|[(G(w_n) \oplus N(u_n, v_n)) \oplus (G(w_{n-1}) \\
 &\quad \oplus N(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}))] \\
 &\leq \lambda_g(p_n \oplus p_{n-1}) \oplus \lambda[(G(w_n) \oplus G(w_{n-1})) \oplus (N(u_n, v_n) \\
 &\quad \oplus N(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}))] \\
 &\leq \lambda_g(p_n \oplus p_{n-1}) \oplus \lambda[\lambda_G(w_n \oplus w_{n-1}) \oplus (\kappa(u_n \oplus u_{n-1}) + \nu(v_n \oplus v_{n-1}))] \\
 &\leq \lambda_g(p_n \oplus p_{n-1}) \oplus \lambda\left[\lambda_G\left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)D((U_{p_{n+1}})_{c(p_{n+1})}, (U_{p_n})_{c(p_n)}) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \oplus \left(\kappa\left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)D((S_{p_{n+1}})_{a(p_{n+1})}, (S_{p_n})_{a(p_n)})\right)\right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + v \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) D \left((T_{p_{n+1}})_{b(p_{n+1})}, (T_{p_n})_{b(p_n)} \right) \Big] \\
 & \leq \lambda_g (p_n \oplus p_{n-1}) \oplus \lambda [\lambda_G \lambda_{D_U} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) (p_n \oplus p_{n-1}) \\
 & \quad \oplus \left(\kappa \lambda_{D_S} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) (p_n \oplus p_{n-1}) + v \lambda_{D_T} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) (p_n \oplus p_{n-1}) \right) \\
 & \leq \left[\lambda_g \oplus \lambda (\lambda_G \lambda_{D_U} \oplus (\kappa \lambda_{D_S} + v \lambda_{D_T})) \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \right] (p_n \oplus p_{n-1}). \tag{4.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.3), (4.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 & \leq p_{n+1} \oplus p_n \\
 & = \left[(1 - \beta) + \beta \left((1 \oplus \lambda_g) \oplus \left(\frac{\mu (\lambda_g \oplus \lambda (\lambda_G \lambda_{D_U} \oplus (\kappa \lambda_{D_S} + v \lambda_{D_T})))}{(\lambda \alpha \oplus \mu)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \right. \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. \left. + \xi \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \lambda_{D_V} \right) \right) \right] (p_n \oplus p_{n-1}) \\
 & = \left[(1 - \beta \left(1 - \left((1 \oplus \lambda_g) \oplus \left(\left(\frac{\mu (\lambda_g \oplus \lambda (\lambda_G \lambda_{D_U} \oplus (\kappa \lambda_{D_S} + v \lambda_{D_T})))}{(\lambda \alpha \oplus \mu)} \right. \right. \right. \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. + \xi \lambda_{D_V} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \right) \right) \right) \right] (p_n \oplus p_{n-1}) \\
 & = \Omega_n (p_n \oplus p_{n-1}), \tag{4.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega_n & = \left[1 - \beta \left(1 - \left((1 \oplus \lambda_g) \oplus \left(\left(\frac{\mu (\lambda_g \oplus \lambda (\lambda_G \lambda_{D_U} \oplus (\kappa \lambda_{D_S} + v \lambda_{D_T})))}{(\lambda \alpha \oplus \mu)} \right. \right. \right. \right. \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. + \xi \lambda_{D_V} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \right) \right) \right] .
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting

$$\Omega = \left[1 - \beta \left(1 - \left((1 \oplus \lambda_g) \oplus \left(\left(\frac{\mu (\lambda_g \oplus \lambda (\lambda_G \lambda_{D_U} \oplus (\kappa \lambda_{D_S} + v \lambda_{D_T})))}{(\lambda \alpha \oplus \mu)} + \xi \lambda_{D_V} \right) \right) \right) \right) \right] .$$

By condition (4.1), we have $0 < \Omega < 1$, thus $\{p_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{H}_p and as \mathcal{H}_p is complete, there exists $p \in \mathcal{H}_p$ such that $p_n \rightarrow p$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From (3.6) of Algorithm 3.4 and D -Lipschitz continuity of S, T, U , and V , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_{n+1} \oplus u_n & \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) D \left((S_{p_{n+1}})_{a(p_{n+1})}, (S_{p_n})_{a(p_n)} \right) \\
 & \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \lambda_{D_S} (p_{n+1} \oplus p_n), \tag{4.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_{n+1} \oplus v_n & \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) D \left((T_{p_{n+1}})_{b(p_{n+1})}, (T_{p_n})_{b(p_n)} \right) \\
 & \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \lambda_{D_T} (p_{n+1} \oplus p_n), \tag{4.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_{n+1} \oplus w_n &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) D((U_{p_{n+1}})_{c(p_{n+1})}, (U_{p_n})_{c(p_n)}) \\
 &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \lambda_{DU}(p_{n+1} \oplus p_n),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 z_{n+1} \oplus z_n &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) D((V_{p_{n+1}})_{d(p_{n+1})}, (V_{p_n})_{d(p_n)}) \\
 &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \lambda_{DV}(p_{n+1} \oplus p_n).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.8}$$

It is clear from (4.5)–(4.8) that $\{u_n\}$, $\{v_n\}$, $\{w_n\}$, and $\{z_n\}$ are also Cauchy sequences in \mathcal{H}_p , so there exist u, v, w , and z in \mathcal{H}_p such that $u_n \rightarrow u, v_n \rightarrow v, w_n \rightarrow w$, and $z_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By using the continuity of the operators $S, T, U, V, \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z)}^\lambda$ and iterative Algorithm 3.4, we have

$$p = (1 - \beta)p + \beta[p \oplus (g(p) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z)}^\lambda(g(p) \oplus \lambda(G(w) \odot N(u, v))))],$$

which implies that

$$g(p) = \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z)}^\lambda(g(p) \oplus \lambda(G(w) \odot N(u, v))).$$

By Lemma 3.3, we conclude that (p, u, v, w, z) is a solution of problem (3.1). It remains to show that $u \in (S_p)_{a(p)}, v \in (T_p)_{b(p)}, w \in (U_p)_{c(p)}$, and $z \in (V_p)_{d(p)}$. Using Proposition 2.8, in fact

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(u, (S_p)_{a(p)}) &\leq \|u \oplus u_n\| + d(u_n, (S_p)_{a(p)}) \\
 &\leq \|u \oplus u_n\| + D((S_{p_n})_{a(p_n)}, (S_p)_{a(p)}) \\
 &\leq \|u - u_n\| + \lambda_{DS}(p_n \oplus p) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $u \in (S_p)_{a(p)}$. Similarly, we can show that $v \in (T_p)_{b(p)}, w \in (U_p)_{c(p)}$, and $z \in (V_p)_{d(p)}$. This completes the proof. □

Taking $\beta = 1$ in Algorithm 3.4, we can also prove the existence and convergence result for nonlinear fuzzy ordered variational inclusion problem (3.1) and nonlinear fuzzy ordered resolvent equation problem (3.2).

Theorem 4.2 *Let $S, T, U, V : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}_p)$ be the closed fuzzy mappings satisfying the following condition (*), with functions $a, b, c, d : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, respectively. Let $G, g : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ and $N : \mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ be the single-valued mappings. Let $A : \mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}$ be an (α, λ) -XOR-weak-NODD set-valued mapping with respect to the first argument. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

- (i) G is comparison and λ_G -ordered compression mapping, $\lambda_G \in (0, 1)$;
- (ii) g is comparison and λ_g -ordered compression mapping, $\lambda_g \in (0, 1)$;
- (iii) N is comparison and (κ, ν) -ordered Lipschitz continuous mapping;
- (iv) S, T, U , and V are ordered Lipschitz type continuous mappings with constants $\lambda_{DS}, \lambda_{DT}, \lambda_{DU}$, and λ_{DV} , respectively.

For given $p_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, let the sequences p_n, u_n, v_n, w_n , and z_n defined by the following schemes:

$$\begin{cases} q_{n+1} = g(p_n) \oplus \lambda(G(w_n) \odot N(u_n, v_n)), \\ p_{n+1} = p_n \oplus (g(p_n) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, z_n)}^\lambda(q_{n+1})), \\ u_{n+1} \in (S_{p_{n+1}})_{a(p_{n+1})}, \quad u_{n+1} \oplus u_n \leq (1 + \frac{1}{n+1})D((S_{p_{n+1}})_{a(p_{n+1})}, (S_{p_n})_{a(p_n)}), \\ v_{n+1} \in (T_{p_{n+1}})_{b(p_{n+1})}, \quad v_{n+1} \oplus v_n \leq (1 + \frac{1}{n+1})D((T_{p_{n+1}})_{b(p_{n+1})}, (T_{p_n})_{b(p_n)}), \\ w_{n+1} \in (U_{p_{n+1}})_{c(p_{n+1})}, \quad w_{n+1} \oplus w_n \leq (1 + \frac{1}{n+1})D((U_{p_{n+1}})_{c(p_{n+1})}, (U_{p_n})_{c(p_n)}), \\ z_{n+1} \in (V_{p_{n+1}})_{d(p_{n+1})}, \quad z_{n+1} \oplus z_n \leq (1 + \frac{1}{n+1})D((V_{p_{n+1}})_{d(p_{n+1})}, (V_{p_n})_{d(p_n)}). \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

If the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, s)}^\lambda(p) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{A(\cdot, t)}^\lambda(p) \leq \xi(s \oplus t), \quad \text{for all } p, s, t \in \mathcal{H}_p, \xi > 0, \\ \text{(b)} \quad & \begin{cases} \left| \frac{\mu(\lambda_g \oplus \lambda(\lambda_G \lambda_{D_U} \oplus (\kappa \lambda_{D_S} + \nu \lambda_{D_T})))}{(\lambda \alpha \oplus \mu) \lambda_g} + \frac{\xi \lambda_{D_V}}{\lambda_g} \right| < 1, \\ \lambda \alpha > \mu, \mu \geq 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

are satisfied, then there exist $p, q \in \mathcal{H}_p$ such that $u \in (S_p)_{a(p)}$, $v \in (T_p)_{b(p)}$, $w \in (U_p)_{c(p)}$, and $z \in (V_p)_{d(p)}$ satisfying the generalized nonlinear mixed ordered fuzzy resolvent equation (3.2), and so (p, u, v, w, z) is a solutions of the generalized nonlinear mixed ordered fuzzy variational inclusion problem (3.1), and the iterative sequences $\{p_n\}$, $\{u_n\}$, $\{v_n\}$, $\{w_n\}$, and $\{z_n\}$ generated by Algorithm 3.4 converge strongly to p, v, u, w and z in \mathcal{H}_p , respectively.

5 Conclusion

The aim of this paper is to introduce a resolvent operator, and we demonstrate some of its properties. The resolvent operator is used to define an iterative algorithm for solving a nonlinear fuzzy ordered variational inclusion problem and its corresponding nonlinear fuzzy ordered resolvent equation problem based on XOR operator in real ordered positive Hilbert spaces. Some preliminary results are proved to obtain the main result. We prove the convergence analysis of our proposed iterative algorithm which assumes that the suggested algorithm converges to a unique solution of our considered problem with some consequence. Our results extend and generalize most of the results involving fuzzy mappings of different authors existing in the literature.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the comments and suggestions of the reviewers and the editor, which improved the paper a lot. The first three authors are thankful to the Deanship of Scientific Research, Qassim University, Saudi Arabia, for technical and financial support of the research project under grant number 3626-qec-2018-1-14-S. The last author is thankful to Office of Doctoral Studies and Research, Integral University, Lucknow, India, for manuscript communication number (MCN) is 1U/R20/2019-MCN000733.

Funding

The research was supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research, Qassim University, Saudi Arabia under grant number 3626-qec-2018-1-14-S.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

The authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹College of Engineering, Qassim University, Buraidah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. ²Deanship of Educational Services, Qassim University, Buraidah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. ³Department of Mathematics, Integral University, Lucknow, India.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 26 September 2019 Accepted: 5 February 2020 Published online: 13 February 2020

References

1. Amann, H.: On the number of solutions of nonlinear equations in ordered Banach spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* **11**, 346–384 (1972)
2. Farajzadeh, A.P., Amini-Harandi, A., Kazmi, K.R.: Existence of solutions to generalized vector variational-like inequalities. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **146**(1), 95–104 (2010)
3. Farajzadeh, A.P., Noor, M.A., Noor, K.I.: Vector nonsmooth variational-like inequalities and optimization problems. *Nonlinear Anal.* **71**(7–8), 3471–3476 (2010)
4. Farajzadeh, A.P., Amini-Harandi, A., Noor, M.A.: On the generalized vector f-implicit complementarity problems and vector F-implicit variational inequality problems. *Math. Commun.* **12**(2007), 203–211 (2007)
5. Hassouni, A., Moudafi, A.: A perturbed algorithms for variational inclusions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **185**(3), 706–712 (1994)
6. Farajzadeh, A.P., Amini-Harandi, A., O'Regan, D., Agarwal, R.P.: New kinds of generalized variational-like inequality problems in topological vector spaces. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **22**(7), 1126–1129 (2009)
7. Khan, A.A., Tammer, C., Zalinescu, C.: *Set-Valued Optimization: An Introduction with Applications*. Springer, Berlin (2015)
8. Attouch, H., Thera, M.: A general duality principle for the sum of two operators. *J. Convex Anal.* **3**, 1–24 (1996)
9. Attouch, H.: *Variational Convergence for Functions and Operators*. Pitman, Boston (1984)
10. Chang, S.S., Zhu, Y.: On variational inequalities for fuzzy mappings. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **32**(3), 359–367 (1989)
11. Chang, S.S.: Fuzzy quasi-variational inclusions in Banach spaces. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **145**(2–3), 805–819 (2003)
12. Dai, H.X.: Generalized mixed variational-like inequalities with fuzzy mappings. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **224**(1), 20–28 (2009)
13. Huang, N.J.: A new method for a class of nonlinear variational inequalities with fuzzy mappings. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **10**(6), 129–133 (1997)
14. Kumam, P., Petrot, N.: Mixed variational-like inequality for fuzzy mappings in reflexive Banach spaces. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2009**, 15 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1155/2009/209485>
15. Noor, M.A., Noor, K.I.: Multivalued variational inequalities and resolvent equations. *Math. Comput. Model.* **27**(7), 109–121 (1997)
16. Verma, R.U.: Projection methods, algorithm and a new system of nonlinear variational inequalities. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **41**(7–8), 1025–1031 (2001)
17. Li, H.G.: A nonlinear inclusion problem involving (α, λ) -NODM set-valued mappings in ordered Hilbert space. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **25**, 1384–1388 (2012)
18. Li, H.G.: Approximation solution for generalized nonlinear ordered variational inequality and ordered equation in ordered Banach space. *Nonlinear Anal. Forum* **13**(2), 205–214 (2008)
19. Li, H.G.: Nonlinear inclusion problems for ordered RME set-valued mappings in ordered Hilbert spaces. *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.* **16**(1), 1–8 (2011)
20. Ahmad, I., Pang, C.T., Ahmad, R., Ishtyak, M.: System of Yosida inclusions involving XOR operator. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **18**(5), 831–845 (2017)
21. Ahmad, I., Ahmad, R., Iqbal, J.: A resolvent approach for solving a set-valued variational inclusion problem using weak-RRD set-valued mapping. *Korean J. Math.* **24**(2), 199–213 (2016)
22. Ahmad, I., Rahaman, M., Ahmad, R., Ali, I.: Convergence analysis and stability of perturbed three-step iterative algorithm for generalized mixed ordered quasi-variational inclusion involving XOR operator. *Optimization* (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02331934.2019.1652910>
23. Li, H.G., Li, L.P., Jin, M.M.: A class of nonlinear mixed ordered inclusion problems for ordered (α_A, λ) -ANODM set-valued mappings with strong comparison mapping A. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2014**, 79 (2014)
24. Li, H.G., Qiu, Z., Zou, Y.: Characterizations of weak- ANODD set-valued mappings with applications to approximate solution of GNMOQV inclusions involving \oplus operator in ordered Banach spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2013-241>
25. Li, H.G., Yang, Y., Jin, M.M., Zhang, Q.: Stability for a new class of GNOVI with (γ_G, λ) -weak-GRD mapping in positive Hilbert spaces. *Math. Probl. Eng.* **2016**, 9217091 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/9217091>
26. Zadeh, L.A.: Fuzzy sets. *Inf. Control* **8**(3), 338–353 (1965)
27. Ding, X.P., Park, J.Y.: A new class of generalized nonlinear implicit quasi-variational inclusions with fuzzy mappings. *J. Comput. Math. Appl.* **138**(2), 243–257 (2002)
28. Ahmad, R., Dilshad, M.: Fuzzy resolvent equation with $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ - η - ϕ -accretive operator in Banach spaces. *Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst.* **12**(2), 95–106 (2015)
29. Ding, X.D.: Algorithm of solution for mixed implicit quasi-variational inequalities with fuzzy mappings. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **38**(5–6), 231–241 (1999)
30. Zimmermann, H.J.: *Fuzzy Set Theory and Its Applications*. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht (1988)
31. Schaefer, H.H.: *Banach Lattices and Positive Operators*. Springer, Berlin (1974)
32. Du, Y.H.: Fixed points of increasing operators in ordered Banach spaces and applications. *Appl. Anal.* **38**(1–2), 1–20 (1990)
33. Ahmad, I., Pang, C.T., Ahmad, R., Ali, I.: A new resolvent operator approach for solving a general variational inclusion problem involving XOR operation with convergence and stability analysis. *Linear Nonlinear Anal.* **4**(3), 413–430 (2018)

34. Aubin, J.P.: *Optima and Equilibria*, 2nd edn. Springer, Berlin (1998)
35. Ahmad, R., Farajzadeh, A.P.: On random variational inclusions with random fuzzy mappings and random relaxed cocoercive mappings. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **160**(21), 3166–3174 (2009)
36. Nadler, S.B.: Multi-valued contraction mappings. *Pac. J. Math.* **30**(2), 475–488 (1969)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)
