(2020) 2020:122

Norm inequalities involving a special class of

RESEARCH

Open Access

Check for updates

Davood Afraz¹, Rahmatollah Lashkaripour^{1*} and Mojtaba Bakherad¹

functions for sector matrices

*Correspondence: lashkari@hamoon.usb.ac.ir ¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Zahedan, Iran

Abstract

In this paper, we present some unitarily invariant norm inequalities for sector matrices involving a special class of functions. In particular, if $Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ is a $2n \times 2n$ matrix such that numerical range of Z is contained in a sector region S_{α} for some $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, then, for a submultiplicative function h of the class C and every unitarily invariant norm, we have

 $\|h(|Z_{ij}|^2)\| \le \|h^r(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{11}|)\|^{\frac{1}{r}} \|h^s(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{22}|)\|^{\frac{1}{s}},$

where *r* and *s* are positive real numbers with $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$ and *i*, *j* = 1, 2. We also extend some unitarily invariant norm inequalities for sector matrices.

MSC: Primary 47A63; secondary 15A60

Keywords: Unitarily invariant norm; Accrative–dissipative matrix; Numerical range; Sector matrix

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let \mathcal{M}_n be the algebra of all $n \times n$ complex matrices. For $Z \in \mathcal{M}_n$, the conjugate transpose of Z is denoted by Z^* . A complex matrix $Z \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$ can be partitioned as a 2×2 block matrix

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$
 (1)

where $Z_{ij} \in \mathcal{M}_n$ (i, j = 1, 2). For $Z \in \mathcal{M}_n$, let $Z = \mathcal{R}e(Z) + i\mathcal{I}m(Z)$ be the Cartesian decomposition of Z, where the Hermitian matrices $\mathcal{R}e(Z) = \frac{Z+Z^*}{2}$ and $\mathcal{I}m(Z) = \frac{Z-Z^*}{2i}$ are called the real and imaginary parts of Z, respectively. We say that a matrix $Z \in \mathcal{M}_n$ is positive semidefinite if $z^*Zz \ge 0$ for all complex numbers z. For $Z \in \mathcal{M}_n$, let $s_1(Z) \ge s_2(Z) \ge \cdots \ge s_n(Z)$ denote the singular values of Z, i.e. the eigenvalues of the positive semidefinite matrix $|Z| = (Z^*Z)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ arranged in a decreasing order and repeated according to multiplicity. Note that $s_j(Z) = s_j(Z^*) = s_j(|Z|)$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. A norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathcal{M}_n is said to be unitarily invariant if $\|UZV\| = \|Z\|$ for every $Z \in \mathcal{M}_n$ and for every unitary $U, V \in \mathcal{M}_n$. For $Z \in \mathcal{M}_n$ and p > 0, let $\|Z\|_p = (\sum_{j=1}^n s_j^p(Z))^{\frac{1}{p}}$. This defines the Schatten p-norm (quasinorm) for $p \ge 1$ (0). It is clear that the Schatten <math>p-norm is an unitarily invariant norm. The

© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.



w-norm of a matrix $Z \in \mathcal{M}_n$ is defined by $||Z||_w = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j s_j(Z)$, where $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ is a decreasing sequence of nonnegative real numbers.

In this paper, we assume that all functions are continuous. It is known that if $Z \in \mathcal{M}_n$ is positive semidefinite and h is a nonnegative increasing function on $[0, \infty)$, then $h(s_j(Z)) = s_j(h(Z))$ for j = 1, 2, ..., n. For positive semidefinite $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}_n$ and a nonnegative increasing function h on $[0, \infty)$, if $s_j(X) \le s_j(Y)$ for j = 1, 2, ..., n, then $||h(X)|| \le ||h(Y)||$, where $|| \cdot ||$ is a unitarily invariant norm. For more information, see [4, 18] and references therein.

We say that a matrix *Z* is accretive (respectively dissipative) if in the Cartesian decomposition Z = X + iY, the matrix *X* (respectively *Y*) is positive semidefinite. If both *X* and *Y* are positive semidefinite, *Z* is called accretive–dissipative.

Another important class of matrices, which is related to the class of accretive–dissipative matrices, is called sector matrices. To introduce this class, let $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and S_{α} be a sector defined in the complex plane by

$$S_{\alpha} = \left\{ z \in C : \mathcal{R}e(z) \ge 0, \left| \mathcal{I}m(z) \right| \le \tan(\alpha)\mathcal{R}e(z) \right\}$$

For $Z \in \mathcal{M}_n$, the numerical range of Z is defined by

$$W(A) = \{ z^* Z z : z \in C, ||z|| = 1 \}.$$

A matrix whose its numerical range is contained in a sector region S_{α} for some $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, is called a sector matrix. It follows from the definition of sector matrices that Z is positive semidefinite if and only if $W(Z) \subseteq S_0$ and also Z is accretive–dissipative if and only if $W(e^{\frac{-i\pi}{4}}Z) \subseteq S_{\frac{\pi}{4}}$. Moreover, if $W(Z) \subseteq S_{\alpha}$, then Z is invertible with $\mathcal{R}e(Z) > 0$ and therefore Z is accretive. For more on sector matrices see [3, 6, 7, 11-15, 17, 19-22] and the references therein. For $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with nonnegative components, if $\sum_{j=1}^k x_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^k y_j (\prod_{j=1}^k x_j \leq \prod_{j=1}^k y_j)$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, then we say that x is weakly (weakly log) majorized by y and denoted by $x \prec_{\omega} y(x \prec_{\omega \log} y)$. It is known that weak log majorization implies weak majorization. A nonnegative function h on the interval $[0, \infty)$ is said to be submultiplicative if $h(ab) \leq h(a)h(b)$ whenever $a, b \in [0, \infty)$.

Gumus et al. [8] introduced the special class C involving all nonnegative increasing functions h on $[0,\infty)$ satisfying the following condition: If $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)$ are two decreasing sequences of nonnegative real numbers such that $\prod_{j=1}^{k} x_j \leq \prod_{j=1}^{k} y_j$ (k = 1, 2, ..., n), then $\prod_{j=1}^{k} h(x_j) \leq \prod_{j=1}^{k} h(y_j)$ (k = 1, 2, ..., n).

Note that the power function $h(t) = t^p$ (p > 0) belongs to class C. For more information about the class C see [8] and the references therein. For the positive semidefinite matrix $\begin{pmatrix} X & Z \\ Z^* & Y \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$, one proved [8] that, if $h \in C$ is a submultiplicative function, then

$$\|h(|Z|^2)\| \le \|h^r(X)\|^{\frac{1}{r}} \|h^s(Y)\|^{\frac{1}{s}},\tag{2}$$

where *r* and *s* are positive real numbers with $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$. Furthermore, for accretive–dissipative matrix $Z \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$ partitioned as in (1), one showed the following unitarily invariant norm inequalities:

$$\|h(|Z_{12}|^2) + h(|Z_{21}^*|^2)\| \le \|h^r(2|Z_{11}|)\|^{\frac{1}{r}} \|h^s(2|Z_{22}|)\|^{\frac{1}{s}},\tag{3}$$

where $h \in C$ is a submultiplicative convex function and

$$\|h(|Z_{12}|^2) + h(|Z_{21}^*|^2)\| \le 4 \|h^r(|Z_{11}|)\|^{\frac{1}{r}} \|h^s(|Z_{22}|)\|^{\frac{1}{s}},\tag{4}$$

where $h \in C$ is a submultiplicative concave function such that r and s are positive real numbers with $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$. Moreover, for a sector matrix $Z \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$ partitioned as in (1), Zhang [22] proved the following inequality:

$$\|Z_{12}\|^2 \le \sec^2(\alpha) \|Z_{11}\| \|Z_{22}\|$$
(5)

for any unitarily invariant norm and $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Alakhrass [1] extended inequality (5) to

$$||Z_{12}|^{p}|| \leq \sec^{p}(\alpha) \left\| Z_{11}^{\frac{pr}{2}} \right\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\| Z_{22}^{\frac{ps}{2}} \right\|^{\frac{1}{s}},$$
(6)

where *r*, *s* and *p* are positive numbers in which $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$ and $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$.

In [8], the authors presented some Schatten *p*-norm inequalities for accretive–dissipative matrices $Z \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$ partitioned as in (1), which compared the off-diagonal blocks of *Z* to its diagonal blocks as follows:

$$\|Z_{12}\|_p^p + \|Z_{21}\|_p^p \le 2^{p-1} \|Z_{11}\|_p^{\frac{p}{2}} \|Z_{22}\|_p^{\frac{p}{2}} \quad (p \ge 2)$$

$$\tag{7}$$

and

$$\|Z_{12}\|_p^p + \|Z_{21}\|_p^p \le 2^{3-p} \|Z_{11}\|_p^{\frac{p}{2}} \|Z_{22}\|_p^{\frac{p}{2}} \quad (0
(8)$$

Let Z_{ij} $(1 \le i, j \le n)$ be square matrices of the same size such that the block matrix

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} & \cdots & Z_{1n} \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} & \cdots & Z_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ Z_{n1} & Z_{n2} & \cdots & Z_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$
(9)

be accretive-dissipative. For such matrices, Kittaneh and Sakkijha [10] showed that

$$\sum_{i \neq j} \|Z_{ij}\|_p^p \le (n-1)2^{p-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \|Z_{ii}\|_p^p \quad (p \ge 2)$$
(10)

and

$$\sum_{i \neq j} \|Z_{ij}\|_p^p \le (n-1)2^{2-p} \sum_{i=1}^n \|Z_{ii}\|_p^p \quad (0 \le p \le 2).$$
(11)

Mao and Liu [17] showed the inequality

$$\sum_{i \neq j} \|Z_{ij}\|_p^p \le (n-1)2^{\frac{p}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^n \|Z_{ii}\|_p^p \quad (p > 0),$$
(12)

where for $0 and <math>p \ge 4$, this inequality improved inequalities (10) and (11). Lin and Fu [16], extended the above inequalities for sector matrices as follows:

$$\sum_{i \neq j} \|Z_{ij}\|_p^p \le (n-1)\sec^p(\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^n \|Z_{ii}\|_p^p \quad (p>0),$$
(13)

in which $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$.

In the present paper, we establish some unitarily invariant norm inequalities for sector matrices involving the functions of class C. For instance, we extend inequalities (2) and (6) to sector matrices and the class C (Theorem 4). Moreover, we improve inequalities (3) and (4) to sector matrices. Also, we prove inequality (13) for all unitarily invariant norm and function of the class C.

2 Main result

In the following, we give some lemmas which are needed to prove our main statements.

Lemma 1 ([9, p. 207]) Let $X, Y, Z \in M_n$, and r, s be positive real numbers with $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$. Then

 $\|X\|_{w} \leq \|Y\|_{w}^{\frac{1}{r}} \|Z\|_{w}^{\frac{1}{s}},$

where $w = (w_1, w_2, ..., w_n)$ is a decreasing sequence of nonnegative real numbers if and only if

$$\|X\| \le \|Y\|^{\frac{1}{r}} \|Z\|^{\frac{1}{s}}$$

for every unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$.

Lemma 2 ([1, Theorem 3.2]) Suppose that $Z \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$ partitioned as in (1) such that $W(Z) \subseteq S_{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Then

$$\prod_{m=1}^{k} s_m(Z_{ij}) \le \prod_{l=1}^{k} \sec(\alpha) s_m^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathcal{R}e(Z_{ii})) s_m^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathcal{R}e(Z_{jj})) \quad (i, j = 1, 2),$$

where k = 1, 2, ..., n.

Lemma 3 ([5, p. 73]) *Let* $Z \in \mathcal{M}_n$. *Then*

$$\lambda_j(\mathcal{R}e(Z)) \leq s_j(Z) \quad (j=1,2,\ldots,n).$$

Consequently, $||\mathcal{R}e(Z)|| \le ||Z||$ for every unitarily invariant norm $||\cdot||$ on \mathcal{M}_n .

In the sequel, we give some unitarily invariant norm inequalities for sector matrices regarding of special class C. Furthermore, in some special cases those results reduce to previous ones, which were introduced by other authors.

Theorem 4 Let $Z \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$ partitioned as in (1) be a sector matrix and let $h \in C$ be submultiplicative and $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. If r and s are positive real numbers with $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, then

$$\begin{split} \left\|h\left(|Z_{ij}|^{2}\right)\right\| &\leq \left\|h^{r}\left(\sec(\alpha)\mathcal{R}e(Z_{11})\right)\right\|^{\frac{1}{r}}\left\|h^{s}\left(\sec(\alpha)\mathcal{R}e(Z_{22})\right)\right\|^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq \left\|h^{r}\left(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{11}|\right)\right\|^{\frac{1}{r}}\left\|h^{s}\left(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{22}|\right)\right\|^{\frac{1}{s}} \end{split}$$

for every unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathcal{M}_n and i, j = 1, 2.

Proof Assume that $w = (w_1, w_2, ..., w_n)$ is a decreasing sequence of nonnegative real numbers and k = 1, 2, ..., n. Then Lemma 2 implies that

$$\prod_{m=1}^{k} s_m (|Z_{ij}|^2) = \left(\prod_{m=1}^{k} s_m(Z_{ij})\right)^2 \le \left(\prod_{m=1}^{k} \sec(\alpha) s_m^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathcal{R}e(Z_{ii})) s_m^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathcal{R}e(Z_{jj}))\right)^2$$
$$= \prod_{m=1}^{k} \sec^2(\alpha) s_m (\mathcal{R}e(Z_{ii})) s_m (\mathcal{R}e(Z_{jj})),$$

where i, j = 1, 2. Therefore

$$\begin{split} \prod_{m=1}^{k} s_m \big(h\big(|Z_{ij}|^2\big) \big) &= \prod_{m=1}^{k} h\big(s_m\big(|Z_{ij}|^2\big) \big) \quad (\text{since } h \text{ is increasing}) \\ &\leq \prod_{m=1}^{k} h\big(\sec^2(\alpha) s_m\big(\mathcal{R}e(Z_{ii})\big) s_m\big(\mathcal{R}e(Z_{jj})\big) \big) \\ &\qquad (\text{since } f \in \mathcal{C}) \\ &\leq \prod_{m=1}^{k} h\big(\sec(\alpha) s_m\big(\mathcal{R}e(Z_{ii})\big) \big) h\big(\sec(\alpha) s_m\big(\mathcal{R}e(Z_{jj})\big) \big) \\ &\qquad (\text{since } h \text{ is submultiplicative}) \\ &= \prod_{m=1}^{k} s_m\big(h\big(\sec(\alpha) \mathcal{R}e(Z_{ii}) \big) \big) s_m\big(h\big(\sec(\alpha) \mathcal{R}e(Z_{jj}) \big) \big). \end{split}$$

Since $w = (w_1, w_2, ..., w_n)$ is a decreasing sequence of nonnegative real numbers, it follows that

$$\prod_{m=1}^{k} w_m s_m \left(h \left(|Z_{ij}|^2 \right) \right) \le \prod_{m=1}^{k} w_m s_m \left(h \left(\sec(\alpha) \mathcal{R}e(Z_{ii}) \right) \right) s_m \left(h \left(\sec(\alpha) \mathcal{R}e(Z_{jj}) \right) \right), \tag{14}$$

where i, j = 1, 2. Since weak log majorization implies weak majorization, inequality (14) implies that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{k} w_m s_m \left(h \left(|Z_{ij}|^2 \right) \right) \le \sum_{m=1}^{k} w_m s_m \left(h \left(\sec(\alpha) \mathcal{R}e(Z_{ii}) \right) \right) s_m \left(h \left(\sec(\alpha) \mathcal{R}e(Z_{jj}) \right) \right), \tag{15}$$

where i, j = 1, 2, ... Now, by applying the previous inequality and Hölder's inequality, we deduce that

$$\begin{split} \left\|h\left(|Z_{12}|^2\right)\right\|_w \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^n w_m s_m \left(h\left(|Z_{12}|^2\right)\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^n w_m s_m \left(h\left(\sec(\alpha)\mathcal{R}e(Z_{11})\right)\right) s_m \left(h\left(\sec(\alpha)\mathcal{R}e(Z_{22})\right)\right) \end{split}$$

(by inequality (15))

$$=\sum_{m=1}^{n} w_m^{\frac{1}{r}} s_m \left(h\left(\sec(\alpha) \mathcal{R}e(Z_{11}) \right) \right) w_m^{\frac{1}{s}} s_m \left(h\left(\sec(\alpha) \mathcal{R}e(Z_{22}) \right) \right)$$
$$\leq \left(\sum_{m=1}^{n} w_m s_m^r \left(h\left(\sec(\alpha) \mathcal{R}e(Z_{11}) \right) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{n} w_m s_m^s \left(h\left(\sec(\alpha) \mathcal{R}e(Z_{22}) \right) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}$$

(by Hölder's inequality)

$$= \left(\sum_{m=1}^{n} w_m s_m \left(h^r \left(\sec(\alpha) \mathcal{R}e(Z_{11})\right)\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{n} w_m s_m \left(h^s \left(\sec(\alpha) \mathcal{R}e(Z_{22})\right)\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}$$
$$= \left\|h^r \left(\sec(\alpha) \mathcal{R}e(Z_{11})\right)\right\|_w^{\frac{1}{r}} \left\|h^s \left(\sec(\alpha) \mathcal{R}e(Z_{22})\right)\right\|_w^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$
(16)

If we replace $w_m^{\frac{1}{r}}$ with $w_m^{\frac{1}{s}}$ in the third equality, then by a similar process we obtain

$$\left\|h\left(|Z_{21}|^2\right)\right\|_{w} \leq \left\|h^r\left(\sec(\alpha)\mathcal{R}e(Z_{11})\right)\right\|_{w}^{\frac{1}{r}}\left\|h^s\left(\sec(\alpha)\mathcal{R}e(Z_{22})\right)\right\|_{w}^{\frac{1}{s}}$$
(17)

for all decreasing sequences $w = (w_1, w_2, ..., w_n)$ of nonnegative real numbers. It follows from Lemma 1 and inequalities (16) and (17) that

$$\begin{split} \left\|h\left(|Z_{ij}|^{2}\right)\right\| &\leq \left\|h^{r}\left(\sec(\alpha)\mathcal{R}e(Z_{11})\right)\right\|^{\frac{1}{r}}\left\|h^{s}\left(\sec(\alpha)\mathcal{R}e(Z_{22})\right)\right\|^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq \left\|h^{r}\left(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{11}|\right)\right\|^{\frac{1}{r}}\left\|h^{s}\left(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{22}|\right)\right\|^{\frac{1}{s}} \quad (i,j=1,2). \end{split}$$

Remark 5 If $Z \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$ is positive semidefinite, i.e. $W(Z) \subseteq S_0$, then Theorem 4 reduces to inequality (2). Applying Theorem 4 for $h(t) = t^{\frac{p}{2}}$ (p > 0), we get inequality (6). Therefore Theorem 4 is an extension of inequality (2) and inequality (6).

Corollary 6 Suppose $Z \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$ partitioned as in (1) is accretive–dissipative and $h \in C$ is submultiplicative. If r and s are positive real numbers with $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, then

$$\|h(|Z_{ij}|^2)\| \le \|h^r(\sqrt{2}\mathcal{R}e(Z_{11}))\|^{\frac{1}{r}} \|h^s(\sqrt{2}\mathcal{R}e(Z_{22}))\|^{\frac{1}{s}}$$
 (*i*, *j* = 1, 2),

where $\|\cdot\|$ is a unitarily invariant norm.

Proof Since *Z* is accretive–dissipative, i.e. $W(e^{\frac{-i\pi}{4}}Z) \subseteq S_{\frac{\pi}{4}}$ and $\sec(\frac{\pi}{4}) = \sqrt{2}$, by applying Theorem 4, we get the statement.

Corollary 7 ([2, Theorem 4.2]) Let $Z \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$ partitioned as in (1) such that $W(Z) \subseteq S_{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Then

$$\| |Z_{12}|^{p} \|^{2} \leq \sec^{2p}(\alpha) \| Z_{11}^{p} \| \| Z_{22}^{p} \|$$

$$\leq \sec^{2p}(\alpha) \| |Z_{11}|^{p} \| \| |Z_{22}|^{p} \| \quad (p > 0)$$

for every unitarily invariant norm.

Proof Applying Theorem 4 for r = 2, s = 2 and $h(t) = t^{\frac{p}{2}}$ (p > 0), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |Z_{12}|^{p} \right\|^{2} &\leq \sec^{2p}(\alpha) \left\| \mathcal{R}e(Z_{11})^{p} \right\| \left\| \mathcal{R}e(Z_{22})^{p} \right\| \\ &\leq \sec^{2p}(\alpha) \left\| Z_{11}^{p} \right\| \left\| Z_{22}^{p} \right\| \\ &\leq \sec^{2p}(\alpha) \left\| |Z_{11}|^{p} \right\| \left\| |Z_{22}|^{p} \right\| \quad (p > 0). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 8 ([22, Theorem 3.2]) Let $Z \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$ partitioned as in (1) such that $W(Z) \subseteq S_{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Then

$$\max\{\|Z_{12}\|^2, \|Z_{21}\|^2\} \le \sec^2(\alpha) \|\mathcal{R}e(Z_{11})\| \|\mathcal{R}e(Z_{22})\|$$
$$\le \sec^2(\alpha) \|Z_{11}\| \|Z_{22}\|$$
(18)

for every unitarily invariant norm.

Proof Applying Theorem 4 for r = 2, s = 2 and $h(t) = \sqrt{t}$, we get

$$||Z_{12}||| = ||Z_{12}|| \le ||\sec(\alpha)\mathcal{R}e(Z_{11})||^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\sec(\alpha)\mathcal{R}e(Z_{22})||^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Therefore

$$||Z_{12}||^2 \le \sec^2(\alpha) ||\mathcal{R}e(Z_{11})|| ||\mathcal{R}e(Z_{22})|| \le \sec^2(\alpha) ||Z_{11}|| ||Z_{22}||.$$

Similarly, we have

$$||Z_{21}||^{2} \le \sec^{2}(\alpha) ||\mathcal{R}e(Z_{11})|| ||\mathcal{R}e(Z_{22})|$$

$$\le \sec^{2}(\alpha) ||Z_{11}|| ||Z_{22}||.$$

The above inequalities imply the expected result.

Corollary 9 ([22]) Let $Z \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$ partitioned as in (1) such that $W(Z) \subseteq S_{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Then, for any unitarily invariant norm, we have

$$2\|Z_{12}\|\|Z_{21}\| \le \|Z_{12}\|^2 + \|Z_{21}\|^2$$

$$\le 2\sec^2(\alpha)\|Z_{11}\|\|Z_{22}\|.$$

Proof By using the arithmetic–geometric mean inequality and inequality (18), we have

$$2\|Z_{12}\|\|Z_{21}\| \le \|Z_{12}\|^2 + \|Z_{21}\|^2$$

$$\le 2 \max\{\|Z_{12}\|^2, \|Z_{21}\|^2\}$$

$$\le 2 \sec^2(\alpha) \|Z_{11}\| \|Z_{22}\|.$$

Remark 10 Assume that *h* is a nonnegative increasing function on $[0, \infty)$. Since $s_m(|Z_{ij}|^2) = s_m(|Z_{ij}^*|^2)$ for m = 1, 2, ..., n and i, j = 1, 2, we have

$$h(s_m(|Z_{ij}|^2)) = s_m(h(|Z_{ij}|^2)) = s_m(h(|Z_{ij}^*|^2)) = h(s_m(|Z_{ij}^*|^2))$$

for m = 1, 2, ..., n and i, j = 1, 2. Therefore $||h(|Z_{ij}|^2)|| = ||h(|Z_{ij}^*|^2)||$.

Theorem 11 Suppose that $Z \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$ partitioned as in (1) is a sector matrix and $h \in C$ is submultiplicative convex. If r and s are positive real numbers with $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, then

$$\begin{split} \left\| h(|Z_{12}|^2) + h(|Z_{21}^*|^2) \right\| &\leq \left\| h^r(\sqrt{2}\sec(\alpha)\mathcal{R}e(Z_{11})) \right\|^{\frac{1}{r}} \left\| h^s(\sqrt{2}\sec(\alpha)\mathcal{R}e(Z_{22})) \right\|^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq \left\| h^r(\sqrt{2}\sec(\alpha)|Z_{11}|) \right\|^{\frac{1}{r}} \left\| h^s(\sqrt{2}\sec(\alpha)|Z_{22}|) \right\|^{\frac{1}{s}}, \end{split}$$

where $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$.

Proof Applying the triangle inequality, Remark 10 and Theorem 4, we have

$$\begin{split} \|h(|Z_{12}|^2) + h(|Z_{21}^*|^2)\| &\leq \|h(|Z_{12}|^2)\| + \|h(|Z_{21}^*|^2)\| \\ &= \|h(|Z_{12}|^2)\| + \|h(|Z_{21}|^2)\| \\ &\leq 2\|h^r(\sec(\alpha)\mathcal{R}e(Z_{11}))\|^{\frac{1}{r}}\|h^s(\sec(\alpha)\mathcal{R}e(Z_{22}))\|^{\frac{1}{s}}. \end{split}$$

It is well known that, if *h* is a convex function, then $h(\lambda Z) \ge \lambda h(Z)$ for $Z \in \mathcal{M}_n$ and $\lambda \ge 1$. Since $\sec(\alpha) \ge 1$ ($\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$), we have

$$\begin{split} \|h(|Z_{12}|^2) + h(|Z_{21}^*|^2)\| &\leq \|h^r(\sqrt{2}\sec(\alpha)\mathcal{R}e(Z_{11}))\|^{\frac{1}{r}} \|h^s(\sqrt{2}\sec(\alpha)\mathcal{R}e(Z_{22}))\|^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq \|h^r(\sqrt{2}\sec(\alpha)|Z_{11}|)\|^{\frac{1}{r}} \|h^s(\sqrt{2}\sec(\alpha)|Z_{22}|)\|^{\frac{1}{s}}. \end{split}$$

Remark 12 Note that, if $Z \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$ is accretive–dissipative, i.e. $W(e^{\frac{-i\pi}{4}}Z) \subseteq S_{\frac{\pi}{4}}$, then Theorem 11 reduces to inequality (3).

Theorem 13 Assume that $Z \in M_{2n}$ partitioned as in (1) is a sector matrix and $h \in C$ is submultiplicative concave. If r and s are positive real numbers with $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, then

$$\|h(|Z_{12}|^2) + h(|Z_{21}^*|^2)\| \le 2\sec^2(\alpha) \|h^r(\mathcal{R}e(Z_{11}))\|^{\frac{1}{r}} \|h^s(\mathcal{R}e(Z_{22}))\|^{\frac{1}{s}}$$

$$\le 2\sec^2(\alpha) \|h^r(|Z_{11}|)\|^{\frac{1}{r}} \|h^s(|Z_{22}|)\|^{\frac{1}{s}}$$

for every unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$ *and* $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ *.*

Proof Applying the triangle inequality, Remark 10 and Theorem 4, we have

$$\begin{split} \|h(|Z_{12}|^2) + h(|Z_{21}^*|^2)\| &\leq \|h(|Z_{12}|^2)\| + \|h(|Z_{21}^*|^2)\| \\ &= \|h(|Z_{12}|^2)\| + \|h(|Z_{21}|^2)\| \\ &\leq 2\|h^r(\sec(\alpha)\mathcal{R}e(Z_{11}))\|^{\frac{1}{r}}\|h^s(\sec(\alpha)\mathcal{R}e(Z_{22}))\|^{\frac{1}{s}}. \end{split}$$

Since *h* is concave, it follows that $h(\lambda Z) \leq \lambda h(Z)$ for $Z \in \mathcal{M}_n$ and $\lambda \geq 1$. Since $\sec(\alpha) \geq 1$ for $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$,

$$\begin{split} \left\| h\big(|Z_{12}|^2\big) + h\big(|Z_{21}^*|^2\big) \right\| &\leq 2 \sec^2(\alpha) \left\| h^r \big(\mathcal{R}e(Z_{11}) \big) \right\|^{\frac{1}{r}} \left\| h^s \big(\mathcal{R}e(Z_{22}) \big) \right\|^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq 2 \sec^2(\alpha) \left\| h^r \big(|Z_{11}| \big) \right\|^{\frac{1}{r}} \left\| h^s \big(|Z_{22}| \big) \right\|^{\frac{1}{s}}. \end{split}$$

Remark 14 If $Z \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$ is accretive–dissipative, i.e. $W(e^{\frac{-i\pi}{4}}Z) \subseteq S_{\frac{\pi}{4}}$, then Theorem 13 reduces to inequality (4).

Theorem 15 Assume that $Z \in M_{2n}$ partitioned as in (1) is a sector matrix, $h \in C$ is submultiplicative and $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. If p is positive real number, then

$$\|h(|Z_{12}|^2)\|^p + \|h(|Z_{21}|^2)\|^p \le 2\|h^2(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{11}|)\|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|h^2(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{22}|)\|^{\frac{p}{2}}$$

for every unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$. In particular, we have

$$\|h(|Z_{12}|^2)\|_p^p + \|h(|Z_{21}|^2)\|_p^p \le 2\|h^2(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{11}|)\|_p^{\frac{p}{2}}\|h^2(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{22}|)\|_p^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

Proof Theorem 4 for r = s = 2, implies that

$$\|h(|Z_{ij}|^2)\| \le \|h^2(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{11}|)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h^2(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{22}|)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (i,j=1,2).$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

By taking the power p of both sides of inequality (19), we have

$$\|h(|Z_{ij}|^2)\|^p \le \|h^2(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{11}|)\|^{\frac{p}{2}} \|h^2(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{22}|)\|^{\frac{p}{2}} \quad (i,j=1,2).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|h(|Z_{12}|^2)\|^p + \|h(|Z_{21}|^2)\|^p \le 2\|h^2(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{11}|)\|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|h^2(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{22}|)\|^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

Corollary 16 ([16, Theorem 2.8]) Let $Z \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$ be partitioned as in (1) such that $W(Z) \subseteq S_{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Then, for any unitarily invariant norm, we have

$$||Z_{12}||^p + ||Z_{21}||^p \le 2 \sec^p(\alpha) ||Z_{11}||^{\frac{p}{2}} ||Z_{22}||^{\frac{p}{2}} \quad (p > 0).$$

In particular, we have

$$\|Z_{12}\|_p^p + \|Z_{21}\|_p^p \le 2\sec^p(\alpha)\|Z_{11}\|_p^{\frac{p}{2}}\|Z_{22}\|_p^{\frac{p}{2}} \quad (p>0).$$

Proof Applying Theorem 15, for $h(t) = \sqrt{t}$, we have

$$||Z_{12}||^p + ||Z_{21}||^p \le 2 \sec^p(\alpha) ||Z_{11}||^{\frac{p}{2}} ||Z_{22}||^{\frac{p}{2}} \quad (p > 0).$$

By showing the particular case, by using the Schatten *p*-norm, we have the statement. \Box

In the sequel, we extend our results to $n \times n$ block matrices as introduced in (9).

Theorem 17 Suppose that Z is a sector matrix represented as in (9), $h \in C$ is submultiplicative and $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. If p is positive real number, then

$$\sum_{i \neq j} \|h(|Z_{ij}|^2)\|^p \le (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \|h^2(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{ii}|)\|^p$$
(20)

for every unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$. In particular, we have

$$\sum_{i\neq j} \|h(|Z_{ij}|^2)\|_p^p \le (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \|h^2(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{ii}|)\|_p^p.$$

Proof Since *Z* is a sector matrix, so every principal submatrix of *Z* is also a sector matrix, it follows that $\binom{Z_{ii} \ Z_{ij}}{T_{ji} \ Z_{jj}}$ is a sector matrix. Now, applying Theorem 15 for $\binom{Z_{ii} \ Z_{ij}}{Z_{ji} \ Z_{jj}}$, we get

$$\|h(|Z_{ij}|^2)\|^p + \|h(|Z_{ji}|^2)\|^p \le 2\|h^2(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{ii}|)\|^{\frac{p}{2}}\|h^2(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{jj}|)\|^{\frac{p}{2}}$$

for $i \neq j$. By using the arithmetic–geometric mean inequality, we have

$$\|h(|Z_{ij}|^2)\|^p + \|h(|Z_{ji}|^2)\|^p \le \|h^2(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{ii}|)\|^p + \|h^2(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{jj}|)\|^p$$

for $i \neq j$. Adding the previous inequalities for i, j = 1, 2, ..., n, we get

$$\sum_{i \neq j} \|h(|Z_{ij}|^2)\|^p \le (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \|h^2(\sec(\alpha)|Z_{ii}|)\|^p.$$

Corollary 18 ([16, Theorem 2.9]) Let Z be a sector matrix as represented in (9) and $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Then

$$\sum_{i \neq j} \|Z_{ij}\|^p \le (n-1)\sec^p(\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^n \|Z_{ii}\|^p \quad (p > 0),$$
(21)

for any unitarily invariant norm. In particular, we have

$$\sum_{i\neq j} \|Z_{ij}\|_p^p \le (n-1)\sec^p(\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^n \|Z_{ii}\|_p^p \quad (p>0).$$

Proof Applying Theorem 17, for $h(t) = \sqrt{t}$, we have

$$\sum_{i\neq j} \|Z_{ij}\|^p \le (n-1)\sec(\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^n \|Z_{ii}\|^p \quad (p>0).$$

For the particular case, we take the Schatten *p*-norm.

Acknowledgements

We thank the anonymous referees for reading the paper carefully and providing thoughtful comments.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for this article.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

The authors contributed equally to the manuscript and read and approved the final manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 14 December 2019 Accepted: 16 April 2020 Published online: 01 May 2020

References

- Alakhrass, M.: A note on sectorial matrices. Linear Multilinear Algebra (to appear). https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2019.1575332
- 2. Alakhrass, M., Sababheh, M.: Lieb functions and sectorial matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. 586, 308–324 (2020)
- 3. Arlinski, Y.M., Popov, A.B.: On sectorial matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. 370, 133–146 (2003)
- 4. Bakherad, M., Lashkaripour, R., Hajmohamadi, M.: Extensions of interpolation between the arithmetic–geometric mean inequality for matrices. J. Inequal. Appl. 2017, 209 (2017)
- 5. Bhatia, R.: Matrix Analysis. Springer, New York (1997)
- Drury, S., Lin, M.: Singular value inequalities for matrices with numerical ranges in a sector. Oper. Matrices 8(4), 1143–1148 (2014)
- Fu, X., He, C.: On some Fischer-type determinantal inequalities for accretive–dissipative matrices. J. Inequal. Appl. 2013, 316 (2013)
- 8. Gumus, I.H., Hirzallah, O., Kittaneh, F.: Norm inequalities involving accretive–dissipative 2 × 2 block matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. 528, 76–93 (2017)
- 9. Horn, R.A., Johnson, C.R.: Matrix Analysis, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2013)
- Kittaneh, F., Sakkijha, M.: Inequalities for accretive-dissipative matrices. Linear Multilinear Algebra 67(5), 1037–1042 (2019)
- Li, C.K., Sze, N.: Determinantal and eigenvalue inequalities for matrices with numerical ranges in a sector. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 410(1), 487–491 (2014)
- 12. Lin, M.: Fischer type determinantal inequalities for accretive–dissipative matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. 438, 2808–2812 (2013)
- Lin, M.: A note on the growth factor in Gaussian elimination for accretive–dissipative matrices. Calcolo 51, 363–366 (2014)
- 14. Lin, M.: Some inequalities for sector matrices. Oper. Matrices 10(4), 915-921 (2016)
- Lin, M., Zhou, D.: Norm inequalities for accretive–dissipative operator matrices. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 407, 436–442 (2013)
- 16. Lin, S., Fu, X.: On some inequalities for sector matrices. Linear Multilinear Algebra (to appear). https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2019.1600466
- 17. Mao, Y., Liu, X.: On some inequalities for accretive–dissipative matrices. Linear Multilinear Algebra (to appear). https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2019.1635566
- 18. Shebrawi, K., Bakherad, M.: Generalizations of the Aluthge transform of operators. Filomat 32(18), 6465–6474 (2018)
- 19. Yang, C., Lu, F.: Some generalizations of inequalities for sector matrices. J. Inequal. Appl. 2018, 183 (2018)
- 20. Yang, J.: Some determinantal inequalities for accretive-dissipative matrices. J. Inequal. Appl. 2013, 512 (2013)
- Zhang, D., Hou, L., Ma, L.: Properties of matrices with numerical ranges in a sector. Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. 43, 1699–1707 (2017)
- 22. Zhang, F.: A matrix decomposition and its applications. Linear Multilinear Algebra 63(10), 2033–2042 (2015)