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#### Abstract

In the current work, we delineate a comprehensive class of bi-univalent functions connected with a bounded boundary variation to get the estimates of the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients. In addition, certain special cases and some appealing interpretation of the results presented here are pointed out.
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## 1 Introduction and definitions

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the class of functions $f$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $\Delta=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ and normalized by the conditions $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=1$. The Koebe one-quarter theorem [4] ensures that the image of $\Delta$ under every univalent function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ contains the disc with the center in the origin and the radius $1 / 4$. Thus, every univalent function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ has an inverse $f^{-1}: f(\Delta) \rightarrow \Delta$, satisfying $f^{-1}(f(z))=z, z \in \Delta$, and

$$
f\left(f^{-1}(w)\right)=w, \quad|w|<r_{0}(f), \quad r_{0}(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}
$$

In addition, it is straightforward to witness that the inverse function has the series expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\cdots, \quad w \in f(\Delta) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent, if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are univalent in $\Delta$, in the sense that $f^{-1}$ has a univalent analytic continuation to $\Delta$ and we denote by $\Sigma$ this class of bi-univalent functions. Actually, the study of the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficient inequalities for various classes of bi-univalent functions was recently revived by Srivastava et
al. [16]. The huge flood of papers (for example) $[1,3,5,6,9,10,12-15,17-19$ ] which emerged essentially from the pioneering work of Srivastava et al. [16]. One could refer [16], the above-mentioned work and the references therein for history, examples and different classes and its subclasses of bi-univalent functions. Recently, Çağlar et al. [2] found the upper bounds for the second Hankel determinant for certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions and Srivastava et al. [11] used the Faber polynomial expansions to address a new subclass of $\Sigma$ and obtained bounds for their $n$th ( $n \geq 3$ ) coefficients subject to a given gap series condition.

Definition 1.1 ([7]) Let $\mathcal{P}_{k}(\alpha)$, with $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \alpha<1$, denote the class of univalent analytic functions $P$, normalized with $P(0)=1$, and satisfying

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{\operatorname{Re} P(z)-\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right| \mathrm{d} \theta \leq k \pi,
$$

where $z=r e^{i \theta} \in \Delta$.

For $\alpha=0$, we denote $\mathcal{P}_{k}:=\mathcal{P}_{k}(0)$, hence the class $\mathcal{P}_{k}$ corresponds to the class of functions $p$ analytic in $\Delta$, normalized with $p(0)=1$, and having the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1-z e^{i t}}{1+z e^{i t}} \mathrm{~d} \mu(t) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a real-valued function with bounded variation, which ensures

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \mu(t)=2 \pi \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|d \mu(t)| \leq k, \quad k \geq 2 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $\mathcal{P}:=\mathcal{P}_{2}$ is the celebrated class of Carathéodory functions, that is, the normalized functions with positive real part in the open unit disc $\Delta$.

Definition 1.2 A function $f \in \Sigma$ of the form

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}
$$

belongs to the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \eta, \delta}(k ; \alpha), \lambda \geq 0, \delta \geq 1, \eta \geq 0, k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \alpha<1$, if the subsequent conditions are fulfilled:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\delta)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\lambda}+\delta f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\lambda-1}+\nu \eta z f^{\prime \prime}(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\alpha), \quad z \in \Delta \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\delta)\left(\frac{g(w)}{w}\right)^{\lambda}+\delta g^{\prime}(w)\left(\frac{g(w)}{w}\right)^{\lambda-1}+\nu \eta w g^{\prime \prime}(w) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\alpha), \quad w \in \Delta \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $g(w)=f^{-1}(w)$ is defined by (1.2) and $v=\frac{2 \delta+\lambda}{2 \delta+1}$.
It is remarkable that the particular values of $\lambda, \delta, \eta, \alpha$ and $m$ direct the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \eta, \delta}(k ; \alpha)$ to different subclasses, we exhibit the following subclasses:
(1) For $\eta=0$, we obtain the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{1,0, \delta}(k ; \alpha) \equiv \mathcal{N}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \delta}(k ; \alpha)$. A function $f \in \Sigma$ of the form

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}
$$

is said to be in $\mathcal{N}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \delta}(k ; \alpha)$, if

$$
(1-\delta)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\lambda}+\delta f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\lambda-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\alpha), \quad z \in \Delta
$$

and for $g(w)=f^{-1}(w)$

$$
(1-\delta)\left(\frac{g(w)}{w}\right)^{\lambda}+\delta g^{\prime}(w)\left(\frac{g(w)}{w}\right)^{\lambda-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\alpha), \quad w \in \Delta
$$

holds.

Remark 1.3 For $k=2$, the class $\mathcal{N}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \delta}(2 ; \alpha) \equiv \mathcal{N}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \delta}(\alpha)$ was considered by Çağlar et al. [3].
(2) For $\delta=1$ and $\eta=0$, we observe the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, 0,1}(k ; \alpha) \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(k ; \alpha)$. A function $f \in \Sigma$ of the form

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}
$$

is said to be in $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(k ; \alpha)$, if

$$
f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\lambda-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\alpha), \quad z \in \Delta
$$

and for $g(w)=f^{-1}(w)$

$$
g^{\prime}(w)\left(\frac{g(w)}{w}\right)^{\lambda-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\alpha), \quad w \in \Delta,
$$

holds.

Remark 1.4 For $k=2$, the class $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(2 ; \alpha) \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(\alpha)$ was considered in [8].
(3) For $\lambda=0 ; \delta=1$ and $\eta=0$, we have $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{1,0,1}(k ; \alpha) \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(k ; \alpha)$. A function $f \in \Sigma$ of the form

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}
$$

is said to be in $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(k ; \alpha)$, if

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\alpha), \quad z \in \Delta
$$

and for $g(w)=f^{-1}(w)$

$$
\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\alpha), \quad w \in \Delta
$$

holds.

Remark 1.5 For $k=2$, we attain the class $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(2 ; \alpha) \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\alpha)$.
(4) For $\lambda=1$, we have the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{1, \eta, \delta}(k ; \alpha) \equiv \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\eta, \delta}(k ; \alpha)$. A function $f \in \Sigma$ of the form

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}
$$

is said to be in $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\eta, \delta}(k ; \alpha)$, if

$$
(1-\delta) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\delta f^{\prime}(z)+\eta z f^{\prime \prime}(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\alpha), \quad z \in \Delta
$$

and for $g(w)=f^{-1}(w)$

$$
(1-\delta) \frac{g(w)}{w}+\delta g^{\prime}(w)+\eta w g^{\prime \prime}(w) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\alpha), \quad w \in \Delta
$$

holds.
(5) For $\delta=\lambda=1$, we obtain the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{1, \eta, 1}(k ; \alpha) \equiv \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(\eta, k ; \alpha)$. A function $f \in \Sigma$ of the form

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}
$$

is said to be in $\mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(\eta, k ; \alpha)$, if

$$
f^{\prime}(z)+\eta z f^{\prime \prime}(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\alpha), \quad z \in \Delta
$$

and for $g(w)=f^{-1}(w)$

$$
g^{\prime}(w)+\eta w g^{\prime \prime}(w) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\alpha), \quad w \in \Delta
$$

holds.
(6) For $\lambda=1$ and $\eta=0$, we obtain the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{1,0, \delta}(k ; \alpha), \equiv \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\delta, k ; \alpha)$. A function $f \in \Sigma$ of the form

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}
$$

is said to be in $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\delta, m ; \alpha)$, if

$$
(1-\delta) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\delta f^{\prime}(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\alpha), \quad z \in \Delta
$$

and for $g(w)=f^{-1}(w)$

$$
(1-\delta) \frac{g(w)}{w}+\delta g^{\prime}(w) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\alpha), \quad w \in \Delta
$$

holds.

Remark 1.6 For $k=2$, the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\delta, 2 ; \alpha) \equiv \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\delta ; \alpha)$ was considered by Frasin and Aouf [5].
(7) For $\delta=1, \lambda=1$ and $\eta=0$, we have the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{1,0,1}(k ; \alpha) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{\Sigma}(k ; \alpha)$. A function $f \in \Sigma$ of the form

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}
$$

is said to be in $\mathcal{P}_{\Sigma}(m ; \alpha)$, if

$$
f^{\prime}(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\alpha), \quad z \in \Delta
$$

and for $g(w)=f^{-1}(w)$

$$
g^{\prime}(w) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}(\alpha), \quad w \in \Delta
$$

holds.

Remark 1.7 For $k=2$, the class $\mathcal{P}_{\Sigma}(2 ; \alpha) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{\Sigma}(\alpha)$ was introduced and studied by Srivastava et al. [16].

To prove the results discussed in this article, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.8 Let the function $\Phi(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{n} z^{n}, z \in \Delta$, such that $\Phi \in \mathcal{P}_{m}(\alpha)$. Then

$$
\left|h_{n}\right| \leq k(1-\alpha), \quad n \geq 1
$$

In this study, we stumble on the estimates for the coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ for functions in the subclass $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \eta, \delta}(k ; \alpha)$. Also, we attain the upper bounds of the Fekete-Szegö inequality by means of the results of $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$.

## 2 Main results

In the subsequent theorem, we find the coefficient estimates for functions in $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \eta, \delta}(k ; \alpha)$.
Theorem 2.1 Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ be in the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \eta, \delta}(k ; \alpha)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\sqrt{\frac{2 k(1-\alpha)}{(2 \delta+\lambda)(\lambda+1)+12 \nu \eta}} ; \frac{k(1-\alpha)}{\delta+\lambda+2 \nu \eta}\right\}, \\
& \left|a_{3}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{k(1-\alpha)}{2 \delta+\lambda+6 \nu \eta}+\frac{2 k(1-\alpha)}{(2 \delta+\lambda)(\lambda+1)+12 \nu \eta}, \frac{k(1-\alpha)}{2 \delta+\lambda+6 \nu \eta}+\frac{k^{2}(1-\alpha)^{2}}{(\delta+\lambda+2 \nu \eta)^{2}}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\alpha)}{2 \delta+\lambda+6 v \eta},
$$

where

$$
\mu=\frac{(2 \delta+\lambda)(\lambda+3)+24 \nu \eta}{2(2 \delta+\lambda+6 \nu \eta)} .
$$

Proof Since $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \eta, \delta}(k ; \alpha)$, from Definition 1.2 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\delta)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\lambda}+\delta f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\lambda-1}+\nu \eta z f^{\prime \prime}(z)=p(z) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\delta)\left(\frac{g(w)}{w}\right)^{\lambda}+\delta g^{\prime}(w)\left(\frac{g(w)}{w}\right)^{\lambda-1}+\nu \eta w g^{\prime \prime}(w)=q(w), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_{m}(\alpha)$ and $g=f^{-1}$. Using the fact that the functions $p$ and $q$ have the following Taylor expansions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+p_{3} z^{3}+\cdots, \quad z \in \Delta,  \tag{2.3}\\
& q(w)=1+q_{1} w+q_{2} w^{2}+q_{3} w^{3}+\cdots, \quad w \in \Delta, \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and equating the coefficients in (2.1) and (2.2), from (1.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\delta+\lambda+2 v \eta) a_{2}=p_{1},  \tag{2.5}\\
& (2 \delta+\lambda)\left[\left(\frac{\lambda-1}{2}\right) a_{2}^{2}+\left(1+\frac{6 \eta}{2 \delta+1}\right) a_{3}\right]=p_{2},  \tag{2.6}\\
& -(\delta+\lambda+2 v \eta) a_{2}=q_{1},  \tag{2.7}\\
& (2 \delta+\lambda)\left[\left(\frac{\lambda+3}{2}+\frac{12 \eta}{2 \delta+1}\right) a_{2}^{2}-\left(1+\frac{6 \eta}{2 \delta+1}\right) a_{3}\right]=q_{2} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of the fact that $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_{m}(\alpha)$ and Lemma 1.8, the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{k}\right| \leq k(1-\alpha), \quad\left|q_{k}\right| \leq k(1-\alpha), \quad k \geq 1 . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.6) and (2.8), additionally, by means of the inequalities (2.9), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2 k(1-\alpha)}{(2 \delta+\lambda)(\lambda+1)+12 \nu \eta}} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.5) and (2.7), we have

$$
p_{1}=-q_{1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{(\delta+\lambda+2 \nu \eta)^{2}}, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, by applying (2.9), shows

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\alpha)}{\delta+\lambda+2 \nu \eta}
$$

Next, combining the above inequality with (2.10), the first inequality of the conclusion is proved.

On the other hand, by subtracting (2.8) from (2.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=\frac{p_{2}-q_{2}}{2(2 \delta+\lambda+6 v \eta)}+a_{2}^{2} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (2.10) in (2.12), we show

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\alpha)}{2 \delta+\lambda+6 \nu \eta}+\frac{2 k(1-\alpha)}{(2 \delta+\lambda)(\lambda+1)+12 v \eta}
$$

and using (2.11) in (2.12), we get

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\alpha)}{2 \delta+\lambda+6 v \eta}+\frac{k^{2}(1-\alpha)^{2}}{(\delta+\lambda+2 \nu \eta)^{2}} .
$$

From (2.8), we have

$$
\frac{(2 \delta+\lambda)(\lambda+3)+24 v \eta}{2(2 \delta+\lambda+6 v \eta)} a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}=\frac{q_{2}}{2 \delta+\lambda+6 v \eta} .
$$

Furthermore, using (2.9), we finally deduce

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{\left|q_{2}\right|}{2 \delta+\lambda+6 v \eta} \leq \frac{k(1-\alpha)}{2 \delta+\lambda+6 v \eta}
$$

where

$$
\mu=\frac{(2 \delta+\lambda)(\lambda+3)+24 v \eta}{2(2 \delta+\lambda+6 v \eta)},
$$

which completes our proof.

Remark 2.2 For $k=2$, the results obtained in Theorem 2.1 improves the results of Yousef et al. [20, Theorem 4.1].

Corollary 2.3 Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ be in the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \eta, \delta}(\alpha)$. Then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\sqrt{\frac{4(1-\alpha)}{(2 \delta+\lambda)(\lambda+1)+12 \nu \eta}} ; \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\delta+\lambda+2 \nu \eta}\right\}
$$

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{2 \delta+\lambda+6 \nu \eta}+\frac{4(1-\alpha)}{(2 \delta+\lambda)(\lambda+1)+12 \nu \eta}, \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{2 \delta+\lambda+6 v \eta}+\frac{4(1-\alpha)^{2}}{(\delta+\lambda+2 v \eta)^{2}}\right\},
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}-\frac{(2 \delta+\lambda)(\lambda+3)+24 v \eta}{2(2 \delta+\lambda+6 v \eta)} a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{2 \delta+\lambda+6 v \eta}
$$

Corollary 2.4 Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ be in the class $\mathcal{N}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \delta}(k ; \alpha)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\sqrt{\frac{2 k(1-\alpha)}{(2 \delta+\lambda)(\lambda+1)}} ; \frac{k(1-\alpha)}{\delta+\lambda}\right\}, \\
& \left|a_{3}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{k(1-\alpha)}{2 \delta+\lambda}+\frac{2 k(1-\alpha)}{(2 \delta+\lambda)(\lambda+1)}, \frac{k(1-\alpha)}{2 \delta+\lambda}+\frac{k^{2}(1-\alpha)^{2}}{(\delta+\lambda)^{2}}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}-\frac{\lambda+3}{2} a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{k(1-\alpha)}{2 \delta+\lambda} .
$$

## 3 Concluding remarks and observations

In this paper, we investigate the estimates of second and third Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients for a comprehensive class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \eta, \delta}(k ; \alpha)$ of bi-univalent functions. Also, the corresponding coefficient estimates for functions in the subclasses $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(k ; \alpha), \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(k ; \alpha)$, $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\eta, \delta}(k ; \alpha), \mathcal{F}_{\Sigma}(\eta, k ; \alpha), \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\delta, k ; \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\Sigma}(k ; \alpha)$ as mentioned above can be derived easily and so we omit the details. Also, some interesting remarks on the results presented here are given.
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