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## Abstract

In this article, we prove that the double inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1} & {\left[\frac{7 C(a, b)}{16}+\frac{9 H(a, b)}{16}\right]+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right)\left[\frac{3 A(a, b)}{4}+\frac{G(a, b)}{4}\right] } \\
& <E(a, b) \\
& <\beta_{1}\left[\frac{7 C(a, b)}{16}+\frac{9 H(a, b)}{16}\right]+\left(1-\beta_{1}\right)\left[\frac{3 A(a, b)}{4}+\frac{G(a, b)}{4}\right], \\
{[ } & \left.\frac{7 C(a, b)}{16}+\frac{9 H(a, b)}{16}\right]^{\alpha_{2}}\left[\frac{3 A(a, b)}{4}+\frac{G(a, b)}{4}\right]^{1-\alpha_{2}} \\
& <E(a, b) \\
& <\left[\frac{7 C(a, b)}{16}+\frac{9 H(a, b)}{16}\right]^{\beta_{2}}\left[\frac{3 A(a, b)}{4}+\frac{G(a, b)}{4}\right]^{1-\beta_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_{1} \leq 3 / 16=0.1875, \beta_{1} \geq 64 / \pi^{2}-6=$ $0.484555 \ldots, \alpha_{2} \leq 3 / 16=0.1875$ and $\beta_{2} \geq(5 \log 2-\log 3-2 \log \pi) /(\log 7-\log 6)=$ $0.503817 \ldots$, where $E(a, b)=\left(\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \sqrt{a \cos ^{2} \theta+b \sin ^{2} \theta} d \theta\right)^{2}, H(a, b)=2 a b /(a+b)$, $G(a, b)=\sqrt{a b}, A(a, b)=(a+b) / 2$ and $C(a, b)=\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) /(a+b)$ are the quasi-arithmetic, harmonic, geometric, arithmetic and contra-harmonic means of $a$ and $b$, respectively.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $a, b>0, p:(0, \infty) \mapsto(0, \infty)$ be a strictly monotone real-valued function, $\theta \in(0,2 \pi)$ and

$$
r_{n}(\theta)= \begin{cases}\left(a^{n} \cos ^{2} \theta+b^{n} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{1 / n}, & n \neq 0,  \tag{1.1}\\ a^{\cos ^{2} \theta} b^{\sin ^{2} \theta,} & n=0 .\end{cases}
$$

Then the class of quasi-arithmetic mean [1] is defined by

$$
M_{p, n}(a, b)=p^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} p\left(r_{n}(\theta)\right) d \theta\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=p^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} p\left(r_{n}(\theta)\right) d \theta\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p^{-1}$ is the inverse function of $p$.
Many important means are the special cases of the quasi-arithmetic mean $M_{p, n}(a, b)$. For example, from (1.1) and (1.2) we clearly see that

$$
M_{1 / x, 2}(a, b)=\frac{\pi}{2 \int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(a^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta+b^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{-1 / 2} d \theta}=A G M(a, b)
$$

is the Gaussian arithmetic-geometric mean [2-9], which is related to the complete elliptic integral of the first kind $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}(r)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(1-r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{-1 / 2} d \theta(0<r<1)$,

$$
T(a, b)=M_{x, 2}(a, b)=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \sqrt{a^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta+b^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta} d \theta
$$

is the Toader mean [10-12], which can be expressed in terms of the complete elliptic integral of the second kind $\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}(r)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \sqrt{1-r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta} d \theta(0<r<1)$, and

$$
T Q(a, b)=M_{x, 0}(a, b)=\frac{\pi}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} a^{\cos ^{2} \theta} b^{\sin ^{2} \theta} d \theta
$$

is the Toader-Qi mean [13-15], which is related to the modified Bessel function of the first kind $I_{0}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(x / 2)^{2 n} /(n!)^{2}(x>0)$.
It is well-known that $\mathcal{K}(r)$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(\pi / 2, \infty)$ and $\mathcal{E}(r)$ is strictly decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(1, \pi / 2)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{K}(r)$ and $\mathcal{E}(r)$ satisfy the following Landen identities and derivative formulas (see [16, Appendix E, pp. 474-475])

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{K}\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{r}}{1+r}\right)=(1+r) \mathcal{K}, \quad \mathcal{E}\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{r}}{1+r}\right)=\frac{2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}}{1+r} \\
& \frac{d \mathcal{K}}{d r}=\frac{\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}}{r r^{\prime 2}}, \quad \frac{d \mathcal{E}}{d r}=\frac{\mathcal{E}-\mathcal{K}}{r}, \\
& \frac{d\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)}{d r}=r \mathcal{K}, \quad \frac{d(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E})}{d r}=\frac{r \mathcal{E}}{r^{\prime 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, $\mathcal{K}(r)$ and $\mathcal{E}(r)$ are the special cases of the Gaussian hypergeometric function [17-26] as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}(r)=\frac{\pi}{2} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} ; 1 ; r^{2}\right), \quad \mathcal{E}(r)=\frac{\pi}{2} F\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} ; 1 ; r^{2}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Gaussian hypergeometric function $F(a, b ; c ; x)$ with real parameters $a, b$, and $c(c \neq$ $0,-1,-2, \ldots)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(a, b ; c ; x)={ }_{2} F_{1}(a, b ; c ; x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, n)(b, n)}{(c, n)} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in(-1,1)$, where $(a)_{0}=1$ for $a \neq 0,(a)_{n}=a(a+1)(a+2) \cdots(a+n-1)=\Gamma(a+n) / \Gamma(a)$ is the shifted factorial function and $\Gamma(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} d t(x>0)$ is the classical gamma function [27-35].

Recently, the bounds for the complete elliptic integrals have attracted the attention of many researchers. In particular, many remarkable inequalities and properties for $\mathcal{K}(r), \mathcal{E}(r)$ and $F(a, b ; c ; x)$ can be found in the literature [36-66].
In this article, we focus on the special quasi-arithmetic mean $E(a, b)$ obtained by substituting $p=\sqrt{x}$ and $n=1$ into (1.2), more explicitly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(a, b)=M_{\sqrt{x}, 1}(a, b)=\left(\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \sqrt{a \cos ^{2} \theta+b \sin ^{2} \theta} d \theta\right)^{2}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be rewritten in terms of complete elliptic integral of the second kind as

$$
E(a, b)= \begin{cases}\frac{4 a \mathcal{E}(\sqrt{1-b / a})^{2}}{\pi^{2}}, & a \geq b  \tag{1.6}\\ \frac{4 b \mathcal{E}(\sqrt{1-a / b})^{2}}{\pi^{2}}, & a<b .\end{cases}
$$

Very recently, Meng [67], and Yuan, Yu and Wang [68] proved that the double inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{1} A(a, b)+\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) G(a, b)<E(a, b)<\mu_{1} A(a, b)+\left(1-\mu_{1}\right) G(a, b),  \tag{1.7}\\
& \lambda_{2} C(a, b)+\left(1-\lambda_{2}\right) H(a, b)<E(a, b)<\mu_{2} C(a, b)+\left(1-\mu_{2}\right) H(a, b) \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

hold for $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\lambda_{1} \leq 3 / 4, \mu_{1} \geq 8 / \pi^{2}, \lambda_{2} \leq 4 / \pi^{2}$ and $\mu_{2} \geq 7 / 16$, where $A(a, b)=(a+b) / 2, G(a, b)=\sqrt{a b}, H(a, b)=2 a b /(a+b)$ and $C(a, b)=\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) /(a+b)$ are the arithmetic, geometric, harmonic and contra-harmonic means of $a$ and $b$, respectively.

Qian and Chu [69] showed that the double inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{p} & {[\lambda a+(1-\lambda) b, \lambda b+(1-\lambda) a] A^{1-p}(a, b) } \\
& <E(a, b) \\
& <G^{p}[\mu a+(1-\mu) b, \mu b+(1-\mu) a] A^{1-p}(a, b)
\end{aligned}
$$

holds for any $p \in[1, \infty)$ and all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\lambda \leq 1 / 2-\sqrt{1-(2 \sqrt{2} / \pi)^{4 / p}} / 2$ and $\mu \geq 1 / 2-\sqrt{p} /(4 p)$.

From (1.7) and (1.8) we clearly see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3 A(a, b)}{4}+\frac{G(a, b)}{4}<E(a, b)<\frac{7 C(a, b)}{16}+\frac{9 H(a, b)}{16} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}(a, b)=\frac{3 A(a, b)+G(a, b)}{4}, \quad M_{2}(a, b)=\frac{7 C(a, b)+9 H(a, b)}{16} . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Motivated by inequality (1.9), it is natural to ask what are the best possible parameters $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i} \in(0,1)(i=1,2)$ such that the double inequalities

$$
\alpha_{1} M_{2}(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) M_{1}(a, b)<E(a, b)<\beta_{1} M_{2}(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{1}\right) M_{1}(a, b),
$$

$$
M_{2}(a, b)^{\alpha_{2}} M_{1}(a, b)^{1-\alpha_{2}}<E(a, b)<M_{2}(a, b)^{\beta_{2}} M_{1}(a, b)^{1-\beta_{2}}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ ? The main purpose of this article is to answer this question.

## 2 Lemmas

In order to prove our main results we need several lemmas, which we present in this section.

Lemma 2.1 (See [16, Theorem 1.25]) Let $-\infty<a<b<\infty, f, g:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on $(a, b)$, and $g^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ on $(a, b)$. If $f^{\prime}(x) / g^{\prime}(x)$ is increasing (decreasing) on $(a, b)$, then so are the functions

$$
\frac{f(x)-f(a)}{g(x)-g(a)} \text { and } \frac{f(x)-f(b)}{g(x)-g(b)} .
$$

Iff $f^{\prime}(x) / g^{\prime}(x)$ is strictly monotone, then the monotonicity in the conclusion is also strict.
Lemma 2.2 (See [70]) Suppose that the powerseries $f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}$ and $g(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} x^{n}$ have the radius of convergence $r>0$ with $b_{n}>0$ for all $n \in\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$. If the non-constant sequence $\left\{a_{n} / b_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is increasing (decreasing) for all $n>0$, then $f(x) / g(x)$ is strictly increasing (decreasing) on $(0, r)$.

Lemma 2.3 The following assertions hold true:
(1) The function $r \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right) / r^{2}$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(\pi / 4,1)$;
(2) The function $r \rightarrow 2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(\pi / 2,2)$;
(3) The function $r \rightarrow\left[\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E}-\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)\right] / r^{4}$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(\pi / 16,+\infty)$.

Proof Parts (1) and (2) can be found in the literature [16, Theorem 3.21(1) and Exercise 3.43(13)].

For part (3), we clearly see that

$$
\frac{\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E}-\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)}{r^{4}}=\frac{\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E}-\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)}{\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)^{2}} \cdot\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}}{r^{2}}\right)^{2}
$$

Therefore, part (3) follows easily from part (1) and [16, Exercise 3.43(25)].

## Lemma 2.4 The function

$$
f(r)=\frac{8 / \pi^{2}\left(1+r^{2}\right)\left(2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)^{2}-\left(r^{2}+1\right)\left(r^{2}+2\right)}{r^{4}}
$$

is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $\left(3 / 16,64 / \pi^{2}-6\right)$.

Proof Let $f_{1}(r)=8 / \pi^{2}\left(1+r^{2}\right)\left(2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)^{2}-\left(r^{2}+1\right)\left(r^{2}+2\right)$ and $f_{2}(r)=r^{4}$, then $f_{1}\left(0^{+}\right)=$ $f_{2}\left(0^{+}\right)=0$ and $f(r)=f_{1}(r) / f_{2}(r)$.

A simple calculation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}(r)}{f_{2}^{\prime}(r)}=\frac{f_{11}(r)}{f_{22}(r)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{11}(r)=16\left(2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)^{2}+16\left(1+r^{2}\right)\left(2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right) / r^{2}-\left(4 r^{2}+6\right) \\
& f_{22}(r)=4 r^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{11}\left(0^{+}\right)= & f_{22}\left(0^{+}\right)=0  \tag{2.2}\\
\frac{f_{11}^{\prime}(r)}{f_{22}^{\prime}(r)}= & 8\left(2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right) \frac{\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}}{r^{2}}+2\left(1+r^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}}{r^{2}}\right)^{2} \\
& +2\left(1+r^{2}\right)\left(2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right) \frac{\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E}-\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)}{r^{4}}-1 \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

From Lemma 2.3 and (2.3), we clearly see that $f_{11}^{\prime}(r) / f_{22}^{\prime}(r)$ is strictly increasing on $(0,1)$. Equations (2.1)-(2.2) and Lemma 2.1 lead to the conclusion that $f(r)$ is strictly increasing on $(0,1)$.

Therefore, Lemma 2.4 follows from the monotonicity of $f(r)$, together with the facts that $f\left(0^{+}\right)=3 / 16$ and $f\left(1^{-}\right)=64 / \pi^{2}-6$.

## Lemma 2.5 The function

$$
g(r)=\frac{\left(2 r^{6}+5 r^{4}+5 r^{2}+2\right)\left[2\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)-r^{2} \mathcal{E}\right]}{r^{4}\left(3 r^{2}+4\right)\left(2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)}
$$

is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(3 / 16,1)$.

Proof Let $g_{1}(r)=\left(2 r^{6}+5 r^{4}+5 r^{2}+2\right)\left[2\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)-r^{2} \mathcal{E}\right]$ and $g_{2}(r)=r^{4}\left(3 r^{2}+4\right)\left(2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)$, then $g(r)=g_{1}(r) / g_{2}(r)$.

Making use of (1.3) and (1.4), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{2}{\pi}\left[2\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)-r^{2} \mathcal{E}\right]=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{3\left(\frac{1}{2}, n\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}, n+1\right)}{2 n!(n+2)!} r^{2 n+4},  \tag{2.4}\\
& \frac{2}{\pi}\left(2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)=1+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}, n\right)^{2}}{4[(n+1)!]^{2}} r^{2 n+2} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{\pi} g_{1}(r)= & \left(2 r^{6}+5 r^{4}+5 r^{2}+2\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{3\left(\frac{1}{2}, n\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}, n+1\right)}{2 n!(n+2)!} r^{2 n+4} \\
= & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{3\left(\frac{1}{2}, n\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}, n+1\right)}{n!(n+2)!} r^{2 n+4}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{15\left(\frac{1}{2}, n\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}, n+1\right)}{2 n!(n+2)!} r^{2 n+6} \\
& +\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{15\left(\frac{1}{2}, n\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}, n+1\right)}{2 n!(n+2)!} r^{2 n+8}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{3\left(\frac{1}{2}, n\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}, n+1\right)}{n!(n+2)!} r^{2 n+10} \\
= & r^{4}\left(\frac{3}{4}+\frac{33}{16} r^{2}+\frac{1245}{512} r^{4}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{A}_{n} r^{2 n+6}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=r^{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n} r^{2 n} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{2}{\pi} g_{2}(r) & =r^{4}\left(3 r^{2}+4\right)\left(1+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}, n\right)^{2}}{4[(n+1)!]^{2}} r^{2 n+2}\right) \\
& =r^{4}\left(4+3 r^{2}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}, n\right)^{2}}{[(n+1)!]^{2}} r^{2 n+2}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{3\left(\frac{1}{2}, n\right)^{2}}{4[(n+1)!]^{2}} r^{2 n+4}\right) \\
& =r^{4}\left(4+4 r^{2}+\frac{13}{16} r^{4}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{B}_{n} r^{2 n+6}\right) \\
& =r^{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n} r^{2 n} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{0}= & \frac{3}{4}, \quad A_{1}=\frac{33}{16}, \quad A_{2}=\frac{1245}{512}, \quad A_{n}=\widetilde{A}_{n-3} \quad(n \geq 3), \\
B_{0}= & 4, \quad B_{1}=4, \quad B_{2}=\frac{13}{16}, \quad B_{n}=\widetilde{B}_{n-3} \quad(n \geq 3), \\
\widetilde{A}_{n}= & \frac{3\left(\frac{1}{2}, n\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}, n+1\right)}{64(n+3)!(n+5)!}\left(45,765+152,928 n+192,838 n^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+120,672 n^{3}+40,024 n^{4}+6720 n^{5}+448 n^{6}\right), \\
\widetilde{B}_{n}= & \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}, n+1\right)^{2}\left(7 n^{2}+30 n+36\right)}{[4(n+3)!]^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n \geq 0$.
It follows from (2.6) and (2.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(r)=\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n} r^{2 n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n} r^{2 n}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \in(0,1)$.
In order to prove the monotonicity of $g(r)$, Lemma 2.2 and (2.8) enable us to conclude that it suffices to show the monotonicity of $\left\{A_{n} / B_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.
A simple calculation leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A_{0}}{B_{0}}=\frac{3}{16}, \quad \frac{A_{1}}{B_{1}}=\frac{33}{64}, \quad \frac{A_{2}}{B_{2}}=\frac{1245}{416}, \quad \frac{A_{3}}{B_{3}}=\frac{3051}{128} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{A_{n+3}}{B_{n+3}}=\frac{\widetilde{A}_{n}}{\widetilde{B}_{n}}= & \frac{3}{8(n+4)(n+5)(2 n+1)\left(36+30 n+7 n^{2}\right)}(45,765+152,928 n \\
& \left.+192,838 n^{2}+120,672 n^{3}+40,024 n^{4}+6720 n^{5}+448 n^{6}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\widetilde{A}_{n+1}}{\widetilde{B}_{n+1}}-\frac{\widetilde{A}_{n}}{\widetilde{B}_{n}}=\frac{3 \Delta_{1}(n)}{8 \Delta_{2}(n)}>0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \geq 0$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{1}(n)= & 20,417,670+119,034,009 n+234,552,870 n^{2} \\
& +238,084,434 n^{3}+144,127,820 n^{4} \\
& +55,145,420 n^{5}+13,474,832 n^{6}+2,036,720 n^{7}+172,928 n^{8}+6272 n^{9}, \\
\Delta_{2}(n)= & (n+4)(n+5)(n+6)(2 n+1)(2 n+3)\left(36+30 n+7 n^{2}\right)\left(73+44 n+7 n^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 and (2.8)-(2.10) that $g(r)$ is strictly increasing on $(0,1)$. Therefore, Lemma 2.5 follows easily from the monotonicity of $g(r)$, together with the facts that $g\left(0^{+}\right)=A_{0} / B_{0}=3 / 16$ and $g\left(1^{-}\right)=1$.

## 3 Main results

Theorem 3.1 The double inequality

$$
\alpha_{1} M_{2}(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) M_{1}(a, b)<E(a, b)<\beta_{1} M_{2}(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{1}\right) M_{1}(a, b)
$$

holds for $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_{1} \leq 3 / 16$ and $\beta_{1} \geq 64 / \pi^{2}-6$.

Proof Since $M_{1}(a, b), M_{2}(a, b)$ and $E(a, b)$ are symmetric and homogeneous of degree one, without loss of generality, we assume that $a>b>0$. Let $r=(1-\sqrt{b / a}) /(1+\sqrt{b / a}) \in(0,1)$, then (1.6) and (1.10), together with Landen identities, lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& E(a, b)=A(a, b) \frac{4(1+r)^{2}}{\pi^{2}\left(1+r^{2}\right)} \mathcal{E}^{2}\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{r}}{1+r}\right)=A(a, b) \frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \frac{\left(2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)^{2}}{1+r^{2}},  \tag{3.1}\\
& M_{1}(a, b)=A(a, b) \frac{r^{2}+2}{2\left(1+r^{2}\right)}, \quad M_{2}(a, b)=A(a, b) \frac{2+3 r^{2}+2 r^{4}}{2\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& E(a, b)-p M_{2}(a, b)-(1-p) M_{1}(a, b) \\
& \quad=A(a, b)\left[\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \frac{\left(2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)^{2}}{1+r^{2}}-p \frac{2+3 r^{2}+2 r^{4}}{2\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{2}}-(1-p) \frac{r^{2}+2}{2\left(1+r^{2}\right)}\right] \\
& \quad=\frac{A(a, b) r^{4}}{2\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{2}}[f(r)-p], \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f(r)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.4.
Therefore, Theorem 3.1 follows from Lemma 2.4 and (3.3) immediately.

## Theorem 3.2 The double inequality

$$
M_{2}(a, b)^{\alpha_{2}} M_{1}(a, b)^{1-\alpha_{2}}<E(a, b)<M_{2}(a, b)^{\beta_{2}} M_{1}(a, b)^{1-\beta_{2}}
$$

holds for $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_{2} \leq 3 / 16$ and $\beta_{2} \geq \log \left[32 /\left(3 \pi^{2}\right)\right] / \log (7 / 6)$.

Proof Without loss of generality, we may assume that $a>b>0$. Let $r=(1-\sqrt{b / a}) /(1+$ $\sqrt{b / a}) \in(0,1)$, then (3.1) and (3.2) lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log E(a, b)-\lambda \log M_{2}(a, b)-(1-\lambda) \log M_{1}(a, b) \\
& \quad=\log \frac{8}{\pi^{2}}+\log \frac{\left(2 \mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)^{2}}{r^{2}+2}-\lambda \log \frac{2 r^{4}+3 r^{2}+2}{\left(r^{2}+1\right)\left(r^{2}+2\right)} \\
& \quad \triangleq \varphi(r) . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Elaborated computations lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi(0)=0, \quad \varphi(1)=\log \frac{32}{3 \pi^{2}}-\lambda \log \frac{7}{6}  \tag{3.5}\\
& \varphi^{\prime}(r)=\frac{2 r\left(3 r^{2}+4\right)}{\left(r^{2}+1\right)\left(r^{2}+2\right)\left(2 r^{4}+3 r^{2}+2\right)}[g(r)-\lambda] \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g(r)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.5.
We divide the proof into three cases.
Case 1 . $\lambda_{1}=3 / 16$. We clearly see from Lemma 2.5 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(r)>\lambda_{1} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \in(0,1)$. It follows from (3.5)-(3.7) that $\varphi(r)>0$ for $r \in(0,1)$. This, in conjunction with (3.4), yields

$$
E(a, b)>M_{2}(a, b)^{\lambda_{1}} M_{1}(a, b)^{1-\lambda_{1}}
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
Case 2. $\lambda_{2}=\log \left[32 /\left(3 \pi^{2}\right)\right] / \log (7 / 6)$. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that there exists $\delta \in(0,1)$ such that $g(r)<\lambda_{2}$ for $r \in(0, \delta)$ and $g(r)>\lambda_{2}$ for $r \in(\delta, 1)$. This, in conjunction with (3.6), implies that $\varphi(r)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \delta)$ and is strictly increasing on $(\delta, 1)$. Moreover, we clearly see from (3.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(0)=\varphi(1)=0 . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The piecewise monotonicity property of $g(r)$ and (3.8) lead to the conclusion that $\varphi(r)<0$ for $r \in(0,1)$. Therefore,

$$
E(a, b)<M_{2}(a, b)^{\lambda_{2}} M_{1}(a, b)^{1-\lambda_{2}}
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ follows from (3.4).
Case $3.3 / 16<\lambda_{3}<\log \left[32 /\left(3 \pi^{2}\right)\right] / \log (7 / 6)$. By the locally sign-preserving property of limit, Lemma 2.5 and (3.6) enable us to know that there exists $\tau_{1} \in(0,1)$ such that $\varphi(r)$ is strictly decreasing on $\left(0, \tau_{1}\right)$. This, in conjunction with (3.5), implies that $\varphi(r)<0$ for
$0<r<\tau_{1}$. Therefore,

$$
E(a, b)<M_{2}(a, b)^{\lambda_{3}} M_{1}(a, b)^{1-\lambda_{3}}
$$

for $b<a<\left[\left(1+\tau_{1}\right) /\left(1-\tau_{1}\right)\right]^{2} b$ follows from (3.4).
On the other hand, we clearly see from (3.5) that $\varphi(1)>0$. This, in conjunction with the continuity of $\varphi(r)$, implies that there exists $\tau_{2} \in(0,1)$ such that $\varphi(r)>0$ for $\tau_{2}<r<1$. Therefore, it follows from (3.4) that

$$
E(a, b)>M_{2}(a, b)^{\lambda_{3}} M_{1}(a, b)^{1-\lambda_{3}}
$$

for $a>\left[\left(1+\tau_{2}\right) /\left(1-\tau_{2}\right)\right]^{2} b$.

Let $a=1$ and $b=1-r^{2}=r^{\prime 2}$, then (1.6), and Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 give rise to Corollary 3.3 immediately.

## Corollary 3.3 The double inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{3\left(7+18 r^{\prime 2}+7 r^{\prime 4}\right)}{256\left(1+r^{\prime 2}\right)}+\frac{13\left(1+6 r^{\prime}+r^{\prime 2}\right)}{128} \\
& \quad<\mathcal{E}(r) \\
& \quad<\frac{\left(64-6 \pi^{2}\right)\left(7+18 r^{\prime 2}+7 r^{\prime 4}\right)}{16 \pi^{2}\left(1+r^{\prime 2}\right)}+\frac{\left(7 \pi^{2}-64\right)\left(1+6 r^{\prime}+r^{\prime 2}\right)}{8 \pi^{2}}, \\
& {\left[\frac{7+18 r^{\prime 2}+7 r^{\prime 4}}{16\left(1+r^{\prime 2}\right)}\right]^{3 / 16}\left(\frac{1+6 r^{\prime}+r^{\prime 2}}{8}\right)^{13 / 16}} \\
& \quad<\mathcal{E}(r) \\
& \quad<\left[\frac{7+18 r^{\prime 2}+7 r^{\prime 4}}{16\left(1+r^{\prime 2}\right)}\right]^{\frac{\log 32 /\left(3 \pi^{2}\right)}{\log (7 / 6)}}\left(\frac{1+6 r^{\prime}+r^{\prime 2}}{8}\right)^{\frac{\log \left(7 \pi^{2} / 64\right)}{\log (7 / 6)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

hold for all $r \in(0,1)$.

## 4 Results and discussion

In this article, we find the best possible parameters $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ and $\beta_{2}$ on the interval $(0,1)$ such that the double inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}\left[\frac{7 C(a, b)}{16}+\frac{9 H(a, b)}{16}\right]+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right)\left[\frac{3 A(a, b)}{4}+\frac{G(a, b)}{4}\right] \\
& \quad<E(a, b) \\
& \quad<\beta_{1}\left[\frac{7 C(a, b)}{16}+\frac{9 H(a, b)}{16}\right]+\left(1-\beta_{1}\right)\left[\frac{3 A(a, b)}{4}+\frac{G(a, b)}{4}\right], \\
& {\left[\frac{7 C(a, b)}{16}+\frac{9 H(a, b)}{16}\right]^{\alpha_{2}}\left[\frac{3 A(a, b)}{4}+\frac{G(a, b)}{4}\right]^{1-\alpha_{2}}} \\
& \quad<E(a, b)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
<\left[\frac{7 C(a, b)}{16}+\frac{9 H(a, b)}{16}\right]^{\beta_{2}}\left[\frac{3 A(a, b)}{4}+\frac{G(a, b)}{4}\right]^{1-\beta_{2}}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. Our results improve and refine the results given in $[67,68]$.

## 5 Conclusion

We present several sharp bounds for the quasi-arithmetic mean in terms of the combination of harmonic, geometric, arithmetic and contra-harmonic means. Our approach may have further applications in the theory of bivariate means.
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