

RESEARCH

Open Access



# Almost sure central limit theorem for self-normalized products of the some partial sums of $\rho^-$ -mixing sequences

Xili Tan<sup>1</sup> and Wei Liu<sup>1\*</sup>

\*Correspondence:  
120671554@qq.com

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Beihua University, Jilin, China

## Abstract

Let  $\{X, X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a strictly stationary  $\rho^-$ -mixing sequence of positive random variables, under the suitable conditions, we get the almost sure central limit theorem for the products of the some partial sums  $(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^k S_{k,i}}{(k-1)^n \mu^n})^{\frac{\mu}{\beta \sqrt{k}}}$ , where  $\beta > 0$  is a constant, and  $E(X) = \mu$ ,  $S_{k,i} = \sum_{j=1}^k X_j - X_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $V_k^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k (X_i - \mu)^2$ .

**MSC:** 60F15

**Keywords:** Almost sure central limit theorem;  $\rho^-$ -Mixing sequence; Self-normalized; Products of the some partial sums

## 1 Introduction and main result

In 1988, Brosamler [1] and Schatte [2] proposed the almost sure central limit theorem (ASCLT) for the sequence of i.i.d. random variables. On the basis of i.i.d., Khurelbaatar and Grzegorz [3] got the ASCLT for the products of the some partial sums of random variables. In 2008, Miao [4] gave a new form of ASCLT for products of some partial sums.

**Theorem A ([4])** *Let  $\{X, X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. positive square integrable random variables with  $E(X_1) = \mu$ ,  $\text{Var}(X_1) = \sigma^2 > 0$  and the coefficient of variation  $\gamma = \frac{\sigma}{\mu}$ . Denote the  $S_{k,i} = \sum_{j=1}^k X_j - X_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Then, for  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{I} \left[ \left( \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n S_{n,k}}{(n-1)^n \mu^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma \sqrt{n}}} \leq x \right] = F(x) \quad a.s.,$$

where  $F(\cdot)$  is the distribution function of the random variables  $e^{\mathcal{N}}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$  is a standard normal random variable.

For random variables  $X, Y$ , define

$$\rho^-(X, Y) = 0 \vee \sup \frac{\text{Cov}(f(X), g(Y))}{(\text{Var} f(X))^{\frac{1}{2}} (\text{Var} g(Y))^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

where the sup is taken over all  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $E(f(X))^2 < \infty$  and  $E(g(Y))^2 < \infty$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$  is a class of functions which are coordinatewise increasing.

**Definition ([5])** A sequence  $\{X, X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called  $\rho^-$ -mixing, if

$$\rho^-(s) = \sup\{\rho^-(S, T); S, T \subset \mathbb{N}, \text{dist}(S, T) \geq s\} \rightarrow 0, \quad s \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$\rho^-(S, T) = 0 \vee \sup\left\{ \frac{\text{Cov}\{f(X_i, i \in S), g(X_j, j \in T)\}}{\sqrt{\text{Var}\{f(X_i, i \in S)\} \text{Var}\{g(X_j, j \in T)\}}}, f, g \in \mathcal{C} \right\},$$

$\mathcal{C}$  is a class of functions which are coordinatewise increasing.

The precise definition of  $\rho^-$ -mixing random variables was introduced initially by Zhang and Wang [5] in 1999. Obviously,  $\rho^-$ -mixing random variables include NA and  $\rho^*$ -mixing random variables, which have a lot of applications, their limit properties have aroused wide interest recently, and a lot of results have been obtained by many authors. In 2005, Zhou [6] proved the almost central limit theorem of the  $\rho^-$ -mixing sequence. The almost sure central limit theorem for products of the partial sums of  $\rho^-$ -mixing sequences was given by Tan [7] in 2012. Because the denominator of the self-normalized partial sums contains random variables, this brings about difficulties to the study of the self-normalized form limit theorem of the  $\rho^-$ -mixing sequence. At present, there are very few results of this kind. In this paper, we extend Theorem A, and get the almost sure central limit theorem for self-normalized products of the some partial sums of  $\rho^-$ -mixing sequences.

Throughout this paper,  $a_n \sim b_n$  means  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = 1$ , and  $C$  denotes a positive constant, which may take different values whenever it appears in different expressions, and  $\log x = \ln(x \vee e)$ . We assume  $\{X, X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a strictly stationary sequence of  $\rho^-$ -mixing random variables, and we denote  $Y_i = X_i - \mu$ .

For every  $1 \leq i \leq k \leq n$ , define

$$\bar{Y}_{ni} = -\sqrt{n}I(Y_i < -\sqrt{n}) + Y_iI(|Y_i| \leq \sqrt{n}) + \sqrt{n}I(Y_i > \sqrt{n}),$$

$$T_{k,n} = \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{Y}_{ni}, \quad V_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2, \quad \bar{V}_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{Y}_{ni}^2,$$

$$\bar{V}_{n,1}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{Y}_{ni}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0), \quad \bar{V}_{n,2}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{Y}_{ni}^2 I(Y_i < 0),$$

$$\sigma_n^2 = \text{Var}(T_{n,n}), \quad \delta_n^2 = E(\bar{Y}_{n1}^2), \quad \delta_{n,1}^2 = E\bar{Y}_{n1}^2 I(Y_1 \geq 0), \quad \delta_{n,2}^2 = E\bar{Y}_{n1}^2 I(Y_1 < 0),$$

apparently,  $\delta_n^2 = \delta_{n,1}^2 + \delta_{n,2}^2$ ,  $E(\bar{V}_n^2) = n\delta_n^2 = n\delta_{n,1}^2 + n\delta_{n,2}^2$ .

Our main theorem is as follows.

**Theorem 1** Let  $\{X, X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a strictly stationary  $\rho^-$ -mixing sequence of positive random variables with  $EX = \mu > 0$ , and for some  $r > 2$ , we have  $0 < E|X|^r < \infty$ . Denote  $S_{k,i} = \sum_{j=1}^k X_j - X_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $Y = X - \mu$ . Suppose that

- (a<sub>1</sub>)  $E\text{v}(Y^2 I(Y \geq 0)) > 0$ ,  $E(Y^2 I(Y < 0)) > 0$ ,
- (a<sub>2</sub>)  $\sigma_1^2 = EX_1^2 + 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \text{Cov}(X_1, X_k) > 0$ ,  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |\text{Cov}(X_1, X_k)| < \infty$ ,
- (a<sub>3</sub>)  $\sigma_k^2 \sim \beta^2 k \delta_k^2$ , for some  $\beta > 0$ ,
- (a<sub>4</sub>)  $\rho^-(n) = O(\log^{-\delta} n)$ ,  $\exists \delta > 1$ .

Suppose  $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ , and let

$$d_k = \frac{\exp(\log^\alpha k)}{k}, \quad D_n = \sum_{k=1}^n d_k, \tag{1}$$

then, for  $\forall x \in R$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left[ \left( \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k S_{k,i}}{(k-1)^k \mu^k} \right)^{\frac{\mu}{\beta V_k}} \leq x \right] = F(x) \quad a.s., \tag{2}$$

where  $F(\cdot)$  is the distribution function of the random variables  $e^{\mathcal{N}}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$  is a standard normal random variable.

**Corollary 1** By [8], (2) remains valid if we replace the weight sequence  $\{d_k, k \geq 1\}$  by any  $\{d_k^*, k \geq 1\}$  such that  $0 \leq d_k^* \leq d_k, \sum_{k=1}^\infty d_k^* = \infty$ .

**Corollary 2** If  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of strictly stationary independent positive random variables then one has (a<sub>3</sub>) and  $\beta = 1$ .

### 2 Some lemmas

We will need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1** ([7]) Let  $\{X, X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a strictly stationary sequence of  $\rho^-$ -mixing random variables with  $EX_1 = 0, 0 < EX_1^2 < \infty, \sigma_1^2 = EX_1^2 + 2 \sum_{k=2}^\infty \text{Cov}(X_1, X_k) > 0$  and  $\sum_{k=2}^\infty |\text{Cov}(X_1, X_k)| < \infty$ , then, for  $0 < p < 2$ , we have

$$\frac{S_n}{n^{\frac{1}{p}}} \rightarrow 0, \quad a.s., n \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Lemma 2.2** ([9]) Let  $\{X, X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of  $\rho^-$ -mixing random variables, with

$$EX_n = 0, \quad E|X_n|^q < \infty, \quad \forall n \geq 1, q \geq 2,$$

then there is a positive constant  $C = C(q, \rho^-(\cdot))$  only depending on  $q$  and  $\rho^-(\cdot)$  such that

$$E \left( \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |S_j|^q \right) \leq C \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n E|X_i|^q + \left( \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right\}.$$

**Lemma 2.3** ([10]) Suppose that  $f_1(x)$  and  $f_2(y)$  are real, bounded, absolutely continuous functions on  $R$  with  $|f_1'(x)| \leq C_1$  and  $|f_2'(y)| \leq C_2$ , then, for any random variables  $X$  and  $Y$ ,

$$|\text{Cov}(f_1(X), f_2(Y))| \leq C_1 C_2 \{ -\text{Cov}(X, Y) + 8\rho^-(X, Y) \|X\|_{2,1} \|Y\|_{2,1} \},$$

where  $\|X\|_{2,1} = \int_0^\infty (P(|X| > x))^{\frac{1}{2}} dx$ .

**Lemma 2.4** *Let  $\{\xi, \xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of uniformly bounded random variables. If  $\exists \delta > 1$ ,  $\rho^-(n) = O(\log^{-\delta} n)$ , there exist constants  $C > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , such that*

$$|E\xi_k \xi_l| \leq C \left( \rho^-(k) + \left( \frac{k}{l} \right)^\varepsilon \right), \quad 1 \leq 2k < l, \tag{3}$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \xi_k = 0, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

*Proof* See the proof of Theorem 1 in [7]. □

**Lemma 2.5** *If the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \mathbb{1} \left[ \frac{T_{k,k} - E(T_{k,k})}{\beta \delta_k \sqrt{k}} \leq x \right] = \Phi(x) \quad \text{a.s., } \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{4}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \left[ f \left( \frac{\bar{V}_{k,l}^2}{k \delta_{k,l}^2} \right) - E f \left( \frac{\bar{V}_{k,l}^2}{k \delta_{k,l}^2} \right) \right] = 0 \quad \text{a.s., } l = 1, 2, \tag{5}$$

where  $d_k$  and  $D_k$  is defined as (1) and  $f$  is real, bounded, absolutely continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof* Firstly, we prove (4), by the property of  $\rho^-$ -mixing sequence, we know that  $\{\bar{Y}_{ni}\}_{n \geq 1, i \leq n}$  is a  $\rho^-$ -mixing sequence; using Lemma 2.1 in [7], the condition (a<sub>2</sub>), (a<sub>3</sub>), and  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\delta_k^2 \rightarrow EY^2 > 0$ , it follows that

$$\frac{T_{k,k} - E(T_{k,k})}{\beta \delta_k \sqrt{k}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}, \quad k \rightarrow \infty,$$

hence, for any  $g(x)$  which is a bounded function with bounded continuous derivative, we have

$$Eg \left( \frac{T_{k,k} - E(T_{k,k})}{\beta \delta_k \sqrt{k}} \right) \rightarrow Eg(\mathcal{N}), \quad k \rightarrow \infty,$$

by the Toeplitz lemma, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k E \left[ g \left( \frac{T_{k,k} - E(T_{k,k})}{\beta \delta_k \sqrt{k}} \right) \right] = E(g(\mathcal{N})).$$

On the other hand, from Theorem 7.1 of [11] and Sect. 2 of [12], we know that (4) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k g \left( \frac{T_{k,k} - E(T_{k,k})}{\beta \delta_k \sqrt{k}} \right) = E(g(\mathcal{N})) \quad \text{a.s.,}$$

hence, to prove (4), it suffices to prove

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \left[ g \left( \frac{T_{k,k} - E(T_{k,k})}{\beta \delta_k \sqrt{k}} \right) - E \left( g \left( \frac{T_{k,k} - E(T_{k,k})}{\beta \delta_k \sqrt{k}} \right) \right) \right] = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}, \tag{6}$$

noting that

$$\xi_k = g \left( \frac{T_{k,k} - E(T_{k,k})}{\beta \delta_k \sqrt{k}} \right) - E \left( g \left( \frac{T_{k,k} - E(T_{k,k})}{\beta \delta_k \sqrt{k}} \right) \right),$$

for every  $1 \leq 2k < l$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |E \xi_k \xi_l| &= \left| \text{Cov} \left( g \left( \frac{T_{k,k} - E T_{k,k}}{\beta \delta_k \sqrt{k}} \right), g \left( \frac{T_{l,l} - E T_{l,l}}{\beta \delta_l \sqrt{l}} \right) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \text{Cov} \left( g \left( \frac{T_{k,k} - E T_{k,k}}{\beta \delta_k \sqrt{k}} \right), g \left( \frac{T_{l,l} - E T_{l,l}}{\beta \delta_l \sqrt{l}} \right) - g \left( \frac{T_{l,l} - E T_{l,l} - (T_{2k,l} - E T_{2k,l})}{\beta \delta_l \sqrt{l}} \right) \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \text{Cov} \left( g \left( \frac{T_{k,k} - E T_{k,k}}{\beta \delta_k \sqrt{k}} \right), g \left( \frac{T_{l,l} - E T_{l,l} - (T_{2k,l} - E T_{2k,l})}{\beta \delta_l \sqrt{l}} \right) \right) \right| \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

First we estimate  $I_1$ ; we know that  $g$  is a bounded Lipschitz function, i.e., there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$|g(x) - g(y)| \leq C|x - y|$$

for any  $x, y \in R$ , since  $\{\bar{Y}_{ni}\}_{n \geq 1, i \leq n}$  also is a  $\rho^-$ -mixing sequence; we use the condition  $\delta_l^2 \rightarrow E(Y^2) < \infty, l \rightarrow \infty$ , and Lemma 2.2, to get

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C \frac{E|T_{2k,l} - E T_{2k,l}|}{\sqrt{l}} \leq C \frac{\sqrt{E(T_{2k,l} - E T_{2k,l})^2}}{\sqrt{l}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{l}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{2k} E \bar{Y}_{li}^2} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{l}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{2k} E Y^2} \leq C \left( \frac{k}{l} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Next we estimate  $I_2$ ; by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var} \left( \frac{T_{k,k} - E T_{k,k}}{\beta \delta_k \sqrt{k}} \right) &\leq \frac{C}{k} \text{Var}(T_{k,k} - E T_{k,k}) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k E(\bar{Y}_{ki} - E \bar{Y}_{ki})^2 \leq \frac{C}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k E(\bar{Y}_{ki})^2 \leq \frac{C}{k} \cdot k \leq C \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}\left(\frac{T_{l,l} - ET_{l,l} - (T_{2k,l} - ET_{2k,l})}{\beta\delta_l\sqrt{l}}\right) &\leq \frac{C}{l} \text{Var}(T_{l,l} - ET_{l,l} - (T_{2k,l} - ET_{2k,l})) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{l} \sum_{i=2k+1}^l E(\bar{Y}_{li} - E\bar{Y}_{li})^2 \leq \frac{C}{l} \left(\sum_{i=1}^l E\bar{Y}_{li}^2\right) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{l} \cdot l \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of a  $\rho^-$ -mixing sequence,  $EY^2 < \infty$ , and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \left(-\text{Cov}\left(\frac{T_{k,k} - ET_{k,k}}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{k}}, \frac{T_{l,l} - ET_{l,l} - (T_{2k,l} - ET_{2k,l})}{\beta\delta_l\sqrt{l}}\right)\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ 8\rho^-\left(\frac{T_{k,k} - ET_{k,k}}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{k}}, \frac{T_{l,l} - ET_{l,l} - (T_{2k,l} - ET_{2k,l})}{\beta\delta_l\sqrt{l}}\right)\right. \\ &\quad \left.\cdot \left\|\frac{T_{k,k} - ET_{k,k}}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{k}}\right\|_{2,1} \cdot \left\|\frac{T_{l,l} - ET_{l,l} - (T_{2k,l} - ET_{2k,l})}{\beta\delta_l\sqrt{l}}\right\|_{2,1}\right) \\ &\leq C\rho^-(k) \left(\text{Var}\left(\frac{T_{k,k} - ET_{k,k}}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{k}}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\text{Var}\left(\frac{T_{l,l} - ET_{l,l} - (T_{2k,l} - ET_{2k,l})}{\beta\delta_l\sqrt{l}}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + 8\rho^-(k) \cdot \left\|\frac{T_{k,k} - ET_{k,k}}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{k}}\right\|_{2,1} \cdot \left\|\frac{T_{l,l} - ET_{l,l} - (T_{2k,l} - ET_{2k,l})}{\beta\delta_l\sqrt{l}}\right\|_{2,1}. \end{aligned}$$

By  $\|X\|_{2,1} \leq r/(r-2)\|X\|_r$ ,  $r > 2$  (see p. 254 of [10] or p. 251 of [13]), Minkowski inequality, Lemma 2.2, and the Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\frac{T_{k,k} - ET_{k,k}}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{k}}\right\|_{2,1} &\leq \frac{r}{r-2} \left\|\frac{T_{k,k} - ET_{k,k}}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{k}}\right\|_r \\ &= \frac{r}{r-2} \frac{1}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{k}} (E|T_{k,k} - ET_{k,k}|^r)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k E|\bar{Y}_{ki}|^r + \left(\sum_{i=1}^k E\bar{Y}_{ki}^2\right)^{r/2}\right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}} (k + k^{r/2})^{1/r} \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

similarly

$$\left\|\frac{T_{l,l} - ET_{l,l} - (T_{2k,l} - ET_{2k,l})}{\beta\delta_l\sqrt{l}}\right\|_{2,1} \leq C.$$

Hence

$$I_2 \leq C\rho^-(k). \tag{9}$$

Combining with (7)–(9), (3) holds, and by (a<sub>4</sub>), Lemma 2.4, (6) holds, then (4) is true.

Secondly, we prove (5); for  $\forall k \geq 1$ ,  $\eta_k = f(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2/(k\delta_{k,1}^2)) - E(f(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2/(k\delta_{k,1}^2)))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |E\eta_k \eta_l| &= \left| \text{Cov}\left(f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2}\right), f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_{l,1}^2}{l\delta_{l,1}^2}\right)\right) \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \text{Cov}\left(f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2}\right), f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_{l,1}^2}{l\delta_{l,1}^2}\right) - f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=2k+1}^l \bar{Y}_{l,i}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0)}{l\delta_{l,1}^2}\right)\right) \right| \\
 &\quad + \left| \text{Cov}\left(f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2}\right), f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=2k+1}^l \bar{Y}_{l,i}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0)}{l\delta_{l,1}^2}\right)\right) \right| \\
 &= J_1 + J_2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

by the property of  $f$ , we know

$$J_1 \leq C \left( E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2k} \bar{Y}_{ki}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0)\right) / l \right) \leq C \left( \frac{k}{l} \right). \tag{11}$$

Now we estimate  $J_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Var}\left(\frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2}\right) &= \text{Var}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \bar{Y}_{ki}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0)}{k\delta_{k,1}^2}\right) \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{k^2} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \bar{Y}_{ki}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0)\right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{C}{k^2} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \bar{Y}_{ki}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0) - E\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \bar{Y}_{ki}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0)\right) + E\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \bar{Y}_{ki}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0)\right)\right)^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{k^2} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^k (\bar{Y}_{ki}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0) - E(\bar{Y}_{ki}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0)))\right)^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{C}{k^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k E(\bar{Y}_{ki}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0))\right)^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{k^2} \sum_{i=1}^k E\bar{Y}_{ki}^4 I(Y_i \geq 0) + \frac{C}{k^2} (kE(\bar{Y}_{k1}^2 I(Y_1 \geq 0)))^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{k^2} \sum_{i=1}^k Ek(Y_i)^2 \leq C,
 \end{aligned}$$

and similarly  $\text{Var}(\sum_{i=2k+1}^l \bar{Y}_{li}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0)/(l\delta_{l,1}^2)) \leq C$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| \frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2} \right\|_{2,1} &\leq \frac{r}{r-2} \cdot \frac{C}{k} (E|\bar{V}_{k,1}^2|^r)^{1/r} \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{k} \left( E\left|\sum_{i=1}^k (\bar{Y}_{ki}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0) - E(\bar{Y}_{ki}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0)))\right|^r + \left|\sum_{i=1}^k E(\bar{Y}_{ki}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0))\right|^r \right)^{1/r} \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{k} \left( \sum_{i=1}^k E|(\bar{Y}_{ki}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0) - E(\bar{Y}_{ki}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0)))|^r \right)^{1/r}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left( \sum_{i=1}^k E(\bar{Y}_{ki}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0)) - E(\bar{Y}_{ki}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0)) \right)^{r/2} \Big)^{1/r} \\
 & + \frac{C}{k} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k E(\bar{Y}_{ki}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0)) \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{C}{k} \left( \sum_{i=1}^k E|\bar{Y}_{ki}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0)|^r + \left( \sum_{i=1}^k E|\bar{Y}_{ki}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0)|^2 \right)^{r/2} \right)^{1/r} \\
 & + \frac{C}{k} |kE(\bar{Y}_{k1}^2 I(Y_1 \geq 0))| \\
 & \leq \frac{C}{k} \left( \sum_{i=1}^k E|\sqrt{k}Y_i|^r + \left( \sum_{i=1}^k E|\sqrt{k}Y_i|^2 \right)^{r/2} \right)^{1/r} + C_1 \\
 & \leq \frac{C}{k} (k^{1+r/2} + k^r)^{1/r} + C_1 \leq C,
 \end{aligned}$$

similarly

$$\left\| \sum_{i=2k+1}^l \bar{Y}_{li}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0) / (l\delta_{l,1}^2) \right\|_{2,1} \leq C.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_2 & \leq C \left\{ -\text{Cov} \left( \frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2}, \frac{\sum_{i=2k+1}^l \bar{Y}_{li}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0)}{l\delta_{l,1}^2} \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + 8\rho^- \left( \frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2}, \frac{\sum_{i=2k+1}^l \bar{Y}_{li}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0)}{l\delta_{l,1}^2} \right) \cdot \left\| \frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2} \right\|_{2,1} \cdot \left\| \frac{\sum_{i=2k+1}^l \bar{Y}_{li}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0)}{l\delta_{l,1}^2} \right\|_{2,1} \right\} \\
 & \leq C \left\{ \rho^-(k) \left( \text{Var} \left( \frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2} \right) \right)^{1/2} \cdot \text{Var} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=2k+1}^l \bar{Y}_{li}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0)}{l\delta_{l,1}^2} \right)^{1/2} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \rho^-(k) \cdot \left\| \frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2} \right\|_{2,1} \cdot \left\| \frac{\sum_{i=2k+1}^l \bar{Y}_{li}^2 I(Y_i \geq 0)}{l\delta_{l,1}^2} \right\|_{2,1} \right\} \\
 & \leq C\rho^-(k),
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

hence, combining with (11) and (12), (3) holds, and by Lemma 2.4, (5) holds. □

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

Let  $C_{k,i} = \frac{S_{k,i}}{(k-1)\mu}$ , hence, (2) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I \left( \frac{\mu}{\beta V_k} \sum_{i=1}^k \log C_{k,i} \leq x \right) = \Phi(x) \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{13}$$

So we only need to prove (13), for a fixed  $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$  and  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ; we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P \left\{ \bigcup_{m=k}^{\infty} \left( \left| \frac{X_i}{m} \right| \geq \varepsilon \right) \right\} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P \left\{ \left| \frac{X_i}{k} \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P \{ |X_1| \geq \varepsilon k \} = 0,$$



therefore, by Theorem 1.5.2 in [14], we have

$$\frac{X_i}{k} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s. } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

on the unanimous establishment of *i*.

By Lemma 2.1, for some  $\frac{4}{3} < p < 2$ , and enough large *k*, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq k} |C_{k,i} - 1| &\leq \left| \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k (X_j - \mu)}{(k-1)\mu} \right| + \sup_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left| \frac{X_i}{(k-1)\mu} \right| + \frac{1}{k-1} \\ &\leq \left| \frac{S_k - k\mu}{k^{\frac{1}{p}}} \cdot \frac{k^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(k-1)\mu} \right| \leq Ck^{\frac{1}{p}-1}, \end{aligned}$$

by  $\log(1+x) = x + O(x^2)$ ,  $x \rightarrow 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{\mu}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{(1 \pm \varepsilon)k}} \sum_{i=1}^k \ln C_{k,i} - \frac{\mu}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{(1 \pm \varepsilon)k}} \sum_{i=1}^k (C_{k,i} - 1) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C\mu}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{(1 \pm \varepsilon)k}} \sum_{i=1}^k (C_{k,i} - 1)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{k}} k^{\frac{2}{p}-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s., } k \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and then, for  $\delta > 0$  and every  $\omega$ , there exists  $k_0 = k_0(\omega, \delta, x)$ ; when  $k > k_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{I} \left\{ \frac{\mu}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{(1 \pm \varepsilon)k}} \sum_{i=1}^k (C_{k,i} - 1) \leq x - \delta \right\} \\ &\leq \mathbb{I} \left\{ \frac{\mu}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{(1 \pm \varepsilon)k}} \sum_{i=1}^k \log C_{k,i} \leq x \right\} \\ &\leq \mathbb{I} \left\{ \frac{\mu}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{(1 \pm \varepsilon)k}} \sum_{i=1}^k (C_{k,i} - 1) \leq x + \delta \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

under the condition  $|X_i - \mu| \leq \sqrt{k}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , we have

$$\mu \sum_{i=1}^k (C_{k,i} - 1) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{S_{k,i} - (k-1)\mu}{k-1} = \sum_{i=1}^k Y_i = \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{Y}_{ki} = T_{k,i}, \tag{15}$$

furthermore, by (14) and (15), for any given  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , when  $k > k_0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{I} \left( \frac{\mu}{\beta V_k} \sum_{i=1}^k \log C_{k,i} \leq x \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{I} \left( \frac{T_{k,i}}{\delta_k \beta \sqrt{k(1+\varepsilon)}} \leq x + \delta \right) + \mathbb{I}(\bar{V}_k^2 > (1+\varepsilon)k\delta_k^2) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{I} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^k (|X_i - \mu| > \sqrt{k}) \right), \quad x \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{\mu}{\beta V_k} \sum_{i=1}^k \log C_{k,i} \leq x\right) \\
 & \leq \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{T_{k,i}}{\delta_k \beta \sqrt{k(1-\varepsilon)}} \leq x + \delta\right) + \mathbb{I}(\bar{V}_k^2 < (1-\varepsilon)k\delta_k^2) \\
 & \quad + \mathbb{I}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k (|X_i - \mu| > \sqrt{k})\right), \quad x < 0, \\
 & \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{\mu}{\beta V_k} \sum_{i=1}^k \log C_{k,i} \leq x\right) \\
 & \geq \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{T_{k,i}}{\delta_k \beta \sqrt{k(1-\varepsilon)}} \leq x - \delta\right) - \mathbb{I}(\bar{V}_k^2 < (1-\varepsilon)k\delta_k^2) \\
 & \quad - \mathbb{I}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k (|X_i - \mu| > \sqrt{k})\right), \quad x \geq 0, \\
 & \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{\mu}{\beta V_k} \sum_{i=1}^k \log C_{k,i} \leq x\right) \\
 & \geq \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{T_{k,i}}{\delta_k \beta \sqrt{k(1+\varepsilon)}} \leq x - \delta\right) - \mathbb{I}(\bar{V}_k^2 > (1+\varepsilon)k\delta_k^2) \\
 & \quad - \mathbb{I}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k (|X_i - \mu| > \sqrt{k})\right), \quad x < 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, to prove (13), for any  $0 < \varepsilon < 1, \delta_1 > 0$ , it suffices to prove

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{T_{k,i}}{\beta \delta_k \sqrt{k}} \leq \sqrt{1 \pm \varepsilon} x \pm \delta_1\right) = \Phi(\sqrt{1 \pm \varepsilon} x \pm \delta_1) \quad \text{a.s.}, \tag{16}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \mathbb{I}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k (|X_i - \mu| > \sqrt{k})\right) = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}, \tag{17}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \mathbb{I}(\bar{V}_k^2 > (1+\varepsilon)k\delta_k^2) = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}, \tag{18}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \mathbb{I}(\bar{V}_k^2 < (1-\varepsilon)k\delta_k^2) = 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{19}$$

Firstly, we prove (16), by  $E(Y^2) < \infty$ , we know  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^2 P(|Y| > x) = 0$ , and by  $E(Y) = 0$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathbb{E}(T_{k,i})| & = \left| \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \bar{Y}_{ki}\right) \right| = |k \mathbb{E} \bar{Y}_{k1}| \\
 & \leq k |\mathbb{E}(Y \mathbb{I}(|Y| > \sqrt{k}))| + k^{\frac{3}{2}} \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{I}(|Y| > \sqrt{k})) \\
 & \leq \sqrt{k} \mathbb{E}(Y^2 \mathbb{I}(|Y| > \sqrt{k})) + k^{\frac{3}{2}} P(|Y| > \sqrt{k}) = o(\sqrt{k}),
 \end{aligned}$$

so, combining with  $\delta_k^2 \rightarrow E(Y^2) < \infty$ , for any  $\alpha > 0$ , when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{T_{k,i} - ET_{k,i}}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{k}} \leq \sqrt{1 \pm \varepsilon x \pm \delta_1} - \alpha\right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{T_{k,i}}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{k}} \leq \sqrt{1 \pm \varepsilon x \pm \delta_1}\right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{T_{k,i} - ET_{k,i}}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{k}} \leq \sqrt{1 \pm \varepsilon x \pm \delta_1} + \alpha\right), \end{aligned}$$

thus, by (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{T_{k,i}}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{k}} \leq \sqrt{1 \pm \varepsilon x \pm \delta_1}\right) \\ & \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{T_{k,i} - ET_{k,i}}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{k}} \leq \sqrt{1 \pm \varepsilon x \pm \delta_1} - \alpha\right) \\ & \rightarrow \Phi(\sqrt{1 \pm \varepsilon x \pm \delta_1} - \alpha), \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{T_{k,i}}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{k}} \leq \sqrt{1 \pm \varepsilon x \pm \delta_1}\right) \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{T_{k,i} - ET_{k,i}}{\beta\delta_k\sqrt{k}} \leq \sqrt{1 \pm \varepsilon x \pm \delta_1} + \alpha\right) \\ & \rightarrow \Phi(\sqrt{1 \pm \varepsilon x \pm \delta_1} + \alpha) \quad \text{a.s.}, \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

letting  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  in (20) and (21), (16) holds.

Now, we prove (17); by  $E(Y^2) < \infty$ , we know  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^2 P(|Y| > x) = 0$ , such that

$$E\mathbb{I}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k (|Y_i| > \sqrt{k})\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k P(|Y_i| > \sqrt{k}) \leq kP(|Y| > \sqrt{k}) \rightarrow 0, \quad k \rightarrow \infty,$$

by the Toeplitz lemma, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k E\mathbb{I}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k (|Y_i| > \sqrt{k})\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.}, \tag{22}$$

hence, to prove (17), it suffices to prove

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \left( \mathbb{I}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k (|Y_i| > \sqrt{k})\right) - E\left[\mathbb{I}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k (|Y_i| > \sqrt{k})\right)\right] \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.}, \tag{23}$$

writing

$$\mathcal{Z}_k = \mathbb{I}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k (|Y_i| > \sqrt{k})\right) - E\left[\mathbb{I}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k (|Y_i| > \sqrt{k})\right)\right],$$

for every  $0 \leq 2k < l$ , so by the definition of  $\rho^-$ -mixing sequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E|\mathcal{Z}_k \mathcal{Z}_l| &= \left| \text{Cov} \left( \mathbb{I} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^k (|Y_i| > \sqrt{k}) \right), \mathbb{I} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^l (|Y_i| > \sqrt{l}) \right) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \text{Cov} \left( \mathbb{I} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^k (|Y_i| > \sqrt{k}) \right), \mathbb{I} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^l (|Y_i| > \sqrt{l}) \right) - \mathbb{I} \left( \bigcup_{i=2k+1}^l (|Y_i| > \sqrt{l}) \right) \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \text{Cov} \left( \mathbb{I} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^k (|Y_i| > \sqrt{k}) \right), \mathbb{I} \left( \bigcup_{i=2k+1}^l (|Y_i| > \sqrt{l}) \right) \right) \right| \\ &\leq E \left| \mathbb{I} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^l (|Y_i| > \sqrt{l}) \right) - \mathbb{I} \left( \bigcup_{i=2k+1}^l (|Y_i| > \sqrt{l}) \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \rho^-(k) \sqrt{\text{Var} \left( \mathbb{I} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^k (|Y_i| > \sqrt{k}) \right) \right) \text{Var} \left( \mathbb{I} \left( \bigcup_{i=2k+1}^l (|Y_i| > \sqrt{l}) \right) \right)} \\ &\leq E \left[ \mathbb{I} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{2k} (|Y_i| > \sqrt{l}) \right) \right] + C\rho^-(k) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k P(|Y_i| > \sqrt{l}) + C\rho^-(k) \\ &\leq kP(|Y| > \sqrt{l}) + C\rho^-(k) \\ &\leq C \left( \frac{k}{l} + \rho^-(k) \right), \end{aligned}$$

so by Lemma 2.4, (23) holds. And combining with (22), we know that (17) holds.

Next, we prove (18); by  $E(\bar{V}_k^2) = k\delta_k^2$ ,  $\bar{V}_k^2 = \bar{V}_{k,1}^2 + \bar{V}_{k,2}^2$ ,  $E(\bar{V}_{k,l}^2) = k\delta_{k,l}^2$ , and  $\delta_{k,1}^2 \leq \delta_k^2$ ,  $l = 1, 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}(\bar{V}_k^2 > (1 + \varepsilon)k\delta_k^2) &= \mathbb{I}(\bar{V}_k^2 - E(\bar{V}_k^2) > \varepsilon k\delta_k^2) \\ &\leq \mathbb{I}(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2 - E(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2) > \varepsilon k\delta_k^2/2) + \mathbb{I}(\bar{V}_{k,2}^2 - E(\bar{V}_{k,2}^2) > \varepsilon k\delta_k^2/2) \\ &\leq \mathbb{I} \left( \bar{V}_{k,1}^2 > \left( 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) k\delta_{k,1}^2 \right) + \mathbb{I} \left( \bar{V}_{k,2}^2 > \left( 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) k\delta_{k,2}^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

therefore, by the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon > 0$ , to prove (18), it suffices to prove

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \mathbb{I} \left( \bar{V}_{k,l}^2 > \left( 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) k\delta_{k,l}^2 \right) = 0 \quad \text{a.s. } l = 1, 2, \tag{24}$$

when  $l = 1$ , for given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $f$  be a bounded function with bounded continuous derivative such that

$$\mathbb{I}(x > 1 + \varepsilon) \leq f(x) \leq \mathbb{I} \left( x > 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right), \tag{25}$$

under the condition

$$E(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2) = k\delta_{k,1}^2, \quad E(Y^2) < \infty, \quad E(Y^2I(Y \geq 0)) > 0,$$

by the Markov inequality, and Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &P\left(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2 > \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)k\delta_{k,1}^2\right) \\ &= P\left(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2 - E(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}k\delta_{k,1}^2\right) \\ &\leq C \frac{E(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2 - E(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2))^2}{k^2} \leq C \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k E(\bar{Y}_{ki}^2 I(\bar{Y}_{ki} \geq 0))^2}{k^2} \\ &\leq C \frac{E\bar{Y}_{k1}^4 I(\bar{Y}_{k1} \geq 0)}{k} \leq C \frac{EY^4 I(0 \leq Y \leq \sqrt{k}) + k^2 P(Y > \sqrt{k})}{k}, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

because  $E(Y^2) < \infty$  implies  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^2 P(|Y| > x) = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} EY^4 I(0 \leq Y \leq \sqrt{k}) &= \int_0^\infty P(|Y| I(0 \leq Y \leq \sqrt{k}) \geq t) 4t^3 dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^{\sqrt{k}} P(|Y| \geq t) t^3 dt \\ &= \int_0^{\sqrt{k}} o(1)t dt = o(1)k, \end{aligned}$$

thus, combining with (26),

$$P\left(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2 > \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)k\delta_{k,1}^2\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, from (5), (25) and the Toeplitz lemma

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k I\left(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2 > \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)k\delta_{k,1}^2\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k E\left(f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2}\right)\right) + \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \left(f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2}\right) - E\left(f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2}\right)\right)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k E\left(I\left(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2 > \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)k\delta_{k,1}^2\right)\right) + \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \left(f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2}\right) - E\left(f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2}\right)\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k P\left(\bar{V}_{k,1}^2 > \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)k\delta_{k,1}^2\right) + \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \left(f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2}\right) - E\left(f\left(\frac{\bar{V}_{k,1}^2}{k\delta_{k,1}^2}\right)\right)\right) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s., } k \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

hence, (24) holds for  $l = 1$ . Similarly, we can prove (24) for  $l = 2$ , so (18) is true. By similar methods used to prove (18), we can prove (19), this completes the proof of Theorem 1.

### Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11171003), the Foundation of Jilin Educational Committee of China (2015-155) and the Innovation Talent Training Program of Science and Technology of Jilin Province of China (20180519011JH).

### Competing interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper. We confirm that the received funding mentioned in the "Acknowledgment" section did not lead to any conflict of interests regarding the publication of this manuscript. We declare that we do not have any commercial or associated interest that represents a conflict of interest in connection with the work submitted.

### Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Authors' information

Xili Tan, Professor, Doctor, working in the field of probability and statistics. Wei Liu, Master, working in the field of probability and statistics.

### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 8 February 2018 Accepted: 6 June 2018 Published online: 14 September 2018

### References

1. Brosamle, G.: An almost everywhere central limit theorem. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **104**(3), 561–574 (1988)
2. Schatte, P.: On strong versions of the central limit theorem. *Math. Nachr.* **137**(4), 249–256 (1988)
3. Khurelbaatar, G.: A note on the almost sure central limit theorem for the product of partial sums. IMA Preprint Series 1968, University of Minnesota, Minnesota (2004)
4. Yu, M.: Central limit theorem and almost sure central limit theorem for the product of some partial sums. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* **118**(2), 289–294 (2008)
5. Zhang, L.X., Wang, X.Y.: Convergence rates in the strong laws of asymptotically negatively associated random fields. *Appl. Math. J. Chin. Univ. Ser. B* **14**(4), 406–416 (1999)
6. Zhou, H.: A note on the almost sure central limit theorem of the mixed sequences. *J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. Ed.* **32**(5), 503–505 (2005)
7. Tan, X.L., Zhang, Y.: An almost sure central limit theorem for products of partial sums for  $\rho$ -mixing sequences. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2012**, 51 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1029-242X-2012-51>
8. Chandrasekharan, K., Minakshisundaram, S.: *Typical Means*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1952)
9. Wang, J.F., Lu, F.B.: Inequalities of maximum of partial sums and weak convergence for a class of weak dependent random variables. *Acta Math. Sin.* **22**(3), 693–700 (2006)
10. Zhang, L.X.: Central limit theorems for asymptotically negatively associated random fields. *Acta Math. Sin.* **6**(4), 691–710 (2000)
11. Peligrad, M., Shao, Q.M.: A note on the almost sure central limit theorem for weakly dependent random variables. *Stat. Probab. Lett.* **22**, 131–136 (1995)
12. Billingsley, P.: *Convergence of Probability Measures*. Wiley, New York (1968)
13. Ledoux, M., Talagrand, M.: *Probability in Banach Space*. Springer, New York (1991)
14. Wu, Q.: *Probability Limit Theorems of Mixing Sequences*. Science Press, Beijing (2006)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---