


RESEARCH

Open Access



On the Lawson–Lim means and Karcher mean for positive invertible operators

Wenshi Liao^{1*} , Pujun Long¹, Zemin Ren¹ and Junliang Wu²

*Correspondence:
liaowenshi@gmail.com
¹College of Mathematics and
Physics, Chongqing University of
Science and Technology,
Chongqing, China
Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article

Abstract

This note aims to generalize the reverse weighted arithmetic–geometric mean inequality of n positive invertible operators due to Lawson and Lim. In addition, we make comparisons between the weighted Karcher mean and Lawson–Lim geometric mean for higher powers.

MSC: 15A45; 47A63; 47A64

Keywords: Karcher mean; Lawson–Lim geometric mean; Ando–Li–Mathias geometric mean; Kantorovich constant

1 Introduction

Let $B(\mathcal{H})$ be the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . $B(\mathcal{H})^+$ stands for the set of positive elements in $B(\mathcal{H})$. A linear map $\Phi: B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be positive (strictly positive) if $\Phi(A) \geq 0$ ($\Phi(A) > 0$) whenever $A \geq 0$ ($A > 0$). A positive linear map is said to be normalized (unital) if $\Phi(I) = I$. Note that a positive linear map Φ is monotone in the sense that $A \leq B$ implies $\Phi(A) \leq \Phi(B)$. \mathbb{P} stands for the convex cone of positive invertible operators. Δ_n denotes the simplex of positive probability vectors in \mathbb{R}^n convexly spanned by the unit coordinate vectors. $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\|\cdot\|\|$ denote the operator norm and the unitarily invariant norm, respectively.

Since the pioneering papers of Pusz and Woronowicz [18], Ando [1], and Kubo and Ando [11], an extensive theory of two-variable geometric mean has sprung up for positive operators: For two positive operators A and B , the operator geometric mean is defined by $A\sharp B := A^{\frac{1}{2}}(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $A > 0$. But the n -variable case for $n > 2$ was a long standing problem and many authors studied the geometric mean of n -variable.

In 2004, Ando et al. [2] succeeded in the formulation of the geometric mean for n positive definite matrices, and they showed that it satisfies ten important properties.

Definition 1.1 (Ando–Li–Mathias geometric mean [2]) Let A_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be positive definite matrices. Then the geometric mean $G_{\text{ALM}}(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ is defined by induction as follows:

- (i) $G_{\text{ALM}}(A_1, A_2) = A_1\sharp A_2$.
- (ii) Assume that the geometric mean of any $n - 1$ -tuple of operators is defined. Let

$$G_{\text{ALM}}((A_j)_{j \neq i}) = G_{\text{ALM}}(A_1, \dots, A_{i-1}, A_{i+1}, \dots, A_n),$$

and let the sequences $\{A_i^{(r)}\}_{r=0}^\infty$ be $A_i^{(0)} = A_i$ and $A_i^{(r)} = G_{\text{ALM}}((A_j^{(r-1)})_{j \neq i})$. If there exists $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} A_i^{(r)}$, and it does not depend on i , then the geometric mean of n -matrices is defined as

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} A_i^{(r)} = G_{\text{ALM}}(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n).$$

In [20], Yamazaki pointed out that the definition of the geometric mean by Ando, Li and Mathias can be extended to Hilbert space operators. Lawson and Lim [12] established a definition of the weighted version of the Ando–Li–Mathias geometric mean for n positive operators, we call it *Lawson–Lim geometric mean* $G[n, t](A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$; see [12] for more details. In particular, $G[n, \frac{1}{2}]$ for $t = \frac{1}{2}$ is the Ando–Li–Mathias geometric mean. Similarly, the weighted arithmetic mean is defined as follows:

$$A[n, t](A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) = t[n]_1 A_1 + t[n]_2 A_2 + \dots + t[n]_n A_n,$$

where $t[n]_i \geq 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n t[n]_i = 1$. Also, the weighted harmonic mean $H[n, t](A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ is defined as

$$H[n, t](A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) = (t[n]_1 A_1^{-1} + t[n]_2 A_2^{-1} + \dots + t[n]_n A_n^{-1})^{-1}.$$

Note that the coefficient $\{t[n]_i\}$ depends on n and t only; see [6, 19] for more details.

Moreover, the weighted arithmetic–geometric–harmonic mean inequalities holds:

$$H[n, t](A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) \leq G[n, t](A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) \leq A[n, t](A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n). \tag{1.1}$$

Since then, another approach to generalizing the geometric mean to n -variables, depending on Riemannian trace metric, was the Karcher mean, which was studied by many researchers; see [13, 14] and the references therein. Let $\mathbb{A} = A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathbb{P}^n$ and $\omega = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \in \Delta_n$. By computing appropriate derivatives as in [3], the ω -weighted *Karcher mean* of \mathbb{A} , denoted by $G_K(\omega; \mathbb{A})$, coincides with the unique positive definite solution of the Karcher equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \log(X^{\frac{1}{2}} A_i^{-1} X^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

In the case of two operators, $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{P}$, the Karcher mean coincides with the weighted geometric mean $A_1 \#_t A_2 = A_1^{\frac{1}{2}} (A_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_2 A_1^{-\frac{1}{2}})^t A_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$. From (1.2), the Karcher mean satisfies the self-duality $G_K(\omega; \mathbb{A}) = G_K(\omega; \mathbb{A}^{-1})^{-1}$, where $\mathbb{A}^{-1} = (A_1^{-1}, A_2^{-1}, \dots, A_n^{-1})$.

2 Weighted arithmetic and geometric means due to Lawson and Lim

In 2006, Yamazaki [20] obtained a converse of the arithmetic–geometric mean inequality of n -operators via Kantorovich constant. Soon after, Fujii et al. [6] also proved a stronger reverse inequality of the weighted arithmetic and geometric means due to Lawson and Lim of n -operators by the Kantorovich inequality.

In this section, we present the higher-power reverse inequalities of the weighted arithmetic and geometric means due to Lawson and Lim of n -operators, and several complements of the weighted geometric mean for n -variables have been established.

Lemma 2.1 ([4, 5]) *Let $A, B \geq 0$. Then the following inequality holds:*

$$\|AB\| \leq \frac{1}{4}\|A + B\|^2. \tag{2.1}$$

Remark 2.1 Drury [5] recently established a remarkable improvement of (2.1) when A, B are, moreover, compact. More precisely, if $A, B \geq 0$ are compact, then there exists an isometry U such that

$$U|AB|U^* \leq \frac{1}{4}(A + B)^2. \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.2 ([3, p. 28]) *Let $A, B \geq 0$. Then, for $1 \leq r < +\infty$,*

$$\|A^r + B^r\| \leq \|(A + B)^r\|. \tag{2.3}$$

It is well known that $\|A\| \leq 1$ is equivalent to $A^*A \leq I$. This fact plays an important role in the proofs of the theorems.

Theorem 2.1 *For any positive integer $n \geq 2$, let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be positive invertible operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that $m \leq A_i \leq M$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and some scalars $0 < m < M$. Then, for $p \geq 2$,*

$$A[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^p \leq \frac{(m + M)^{2p}}{16m^pM^p}G[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^p. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof Let a map $\Psi : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mapsto \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be defined by

$$\Psi(A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n) = t[n]_1A_1 + \dots + t[n]_nA_n.$$

Then Ψ is a positive linear map such that $\Psi(I) = I$. The condition $0 < m \leq A_i \leq M$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ implies that

$$m \leq \Psi(A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n) \leq M. \tag{2.5}$$

By (2.3) in [16], we have

$$\Psi(A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n) + Mm\Psi(A_1^{-1} \oplus \dots \oplus A_n^{-1}) \leq M + m.$$

Thus,

$$A[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n) + MmA[n, t](A_1^{-1}, \dots, A_n^{-1}) \leq m + M. \tag{2.6}$$

On the other hand, by computing, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \|M^{\frac{p}{2}}m^{\frac{p}{2}}A[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^{\frac{p}{2}}G[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^{-\frac{p}{2}}\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4}\|A[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^{\frac{p}{2}} + M^{\frac{p}{2}}m^{\frac{p}{2}}G[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^{-\frac{p}{2}}\|^2 \quad (\text{by (2.1)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|A[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n) + MmG[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^{-1}\|^p \\
 &\quad \left(\text{by (2.3) and } \frac{p}{2} \geq 1\right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \|A[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n) + MmG[n, t](A_1^{-1}, \dots, A_n^{-1})\|^p \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|A[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n) + MmA[n, t](A_1^{-1}, \dots, A_n^{-1})\|^p \quad (\text{by (1.1)}) \\
 &\leq \frac{(M + m)^p}{4} \quad (\text{by (2.6)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The equality above follows from the self-duality of the geometric mean (see [2, 6, 20]). \square

Taking $p = 2$, (2.4) implies the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1 *For any positive integer $n \geq 2$, let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be positive invertible operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that $m \leq A_i \leq M$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and some scalars $0 < m < M$. Then*

$$A[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^2 \leq \frac{(m + M)^4}{16m^2M^2} G[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^2. \tag{2.7}$$

Note that if $t = \frac{1}{2}$, the inequality (2.7) reduces to Lin’s result (see [15, Theorem 3.2]). By the Löewner–Heinz inequality, we can easily get Theorem 7 in [6] from (2.7).

Theorem 2.2 *For any positive integer $n \geq 2$, let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be positive invertible operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that $m \leq A_i \leq M$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and some scalars $0 < m < M$. Then, for $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ and $p \geq 2\alpha$,*

$$A[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^p \leq \frac{(k^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M^\alpha + m^\alpha))^{\frac{2p}{\alpha}}}{16M^p m^p} G[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^p, \tag{2.8}$$

where $k = \frac{(m+M)^2}{4mM}$.

Proof Let a map $\Psi : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mapsto \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be defined as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. By (2.5) and the Löewner–Heinz inequality, we have

$$m^\alpha \leq \Psi^\alpha(A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n) \leq M^\alpha,$$

that is,

$$m^\alpha \leq A[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^\alpha \leq M^\alpha.$$

By (2.3) in [16], we have

$$A[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^\alpha + M^\alpha m^\alpha A[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^{-\alpha} \leq m^\alpha + M^\alpha. \tag{2.9}$$

On the other hand, by (2.7),

$$k^{-\alpha} G[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^{-\alpha} \leq A[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^{-\alpha}. \tag{2.10}$$

By computing, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|k^{-\frac{p}{2}}m^{\frac{p}{2}}M^{\frac{p}{2}}A[n,t](A_1,\dots,A_n)^{\frac{p}{2}}G[n,t](A_1,\dots,A_n)^{-\frac{p}{2}}\| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4}\|A[n,t](A_1,\dots,A_n)^{\frac{p}{2}}+k^{-\frac{p}{2}}m^{\frac{p}{2}}M^{\frac{p}{2}}G[n,t](A_1,\dots,A_n)^{-\frac{p}{2}}\|^2 \quad (\text{by (2.1)}) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4}\|(A[n,t](A_1,\dots,A_n)^\alpha+k^{-\alpha}m^\alpha M^\alpha G[n,t](A_1,\dots,A_n)^{-\alpha})^{\frac{p}{2\alpha}}\|^2 \\
 & \quad \left(\text{by (2.3) and } \frac{p}{2} \geq 1\right) \\
 & = \frac{1}{4}\|A[n,t](A_1,\dots,A_n)^\alpha+k^{-\alpha}m^\alpha M^\alpha G[n,t](A_1,\dots,A_n)^{-\alpha}\|^{\frac{p}{\alpha}} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4}\|A[n,t](A_1,\dots,A_n)^\alpha+m^\alpha M^\alpha A[n,t](A_1,\dots,A_n)^{-\alpha}\|^p \quad (\text{by (2.10)}) \\
 & \leq \frac{(M^\alpha+m^\alpha)^p}{4} \quad (\text{by (2.9)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the desired result. □

Putting $\alpha = 2$ in the inequality (2.8) implies the following.

Corollary 2.2 *Under the same conditions as in Theorem 2.2, then, for $p \geq 4$,*

$$A[n,t](A_1,\dots,A_n)^p \leq \frac{(k(M^2+m^2))^p}{16M^p m^p} G[n,t](A_1,\dots,A_n)^p. \tag{2.11}$$

Remark 2.2 When $\frac{M}{m} \leq 2 + \sqrt{3}$, we have $\frac{(m+M)^{2p}}{16m^p M^p} \geq \frac{(k(M^2+m^2))^p}{16M^p m^p}$, it is easy to see that (2.11) is sharper than (2.4) for $p \geq 4$.

Next, we show the complements of the weighted geometric mean due to Lawson and Lim by virtue of the following lemma (see Corollary 2.12 in [10]) and we will generalize Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 2.9 in [19] in two following theorems.

Lemma 2.3 ([10]) *For any integer $n \geq 2$, let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be positive invertible operators in \mathbb{P} such that $m \leq A_i \leq M$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and some scalars $0 < m \leq M$. Then*

$$A[n,t](A_1^p, \dots, A_n^p) \leq K(m, M, p)A[n,t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^p \quad \text{for all } p \geq 1, \tag{2.12}$$

where $K(m, M, p) = \frac{(p-1)^{p-1}}{p^p} \frac{(M^p-m^p)^p}{(M-m)(mM^p-Mm^p)^{p-1}}$ is the generalized Kantorovich constant.

Proof By Corollary 2.6 in [17],

$$\Phi(A^p) \leq K(m, M, p)\Phi(A)^p \quad \text{for all } p \geq 1.$$

Let the map $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mapsto \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be defined as Ψ in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Then, for $p \geq 1$,

$$A[n,t](A_1^p, \dots, A_n^p) \leq K(m, M, p)A[n,t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^p. \tag{2.13} \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.3 For any integer $n \geq 2$, let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be positive invertible operators in \mathbb{P} such that $m \leq A_i \leq M$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and some scalars $0 < m \leq M$. Then

$$G[n, t](A_1^p, \dots, A_n^p) \leq K(m, M, p) \frac{(m + M)^{2p}}{4^p m^p M^p} G[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^p \quad \text{for all } 1 < p \leq 2$$

and

$$G[n, t](A_1^p, \dots, A_n^p) \leq K(m, M, p) \frac{(m + M)^{2p}}{16m^p M^p} G[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^p \quad \text{for all } p \geq 2.$$

Proof By the arithmetic–geometric mean inequality and (2.12), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} G[n, t](A_1^p, \dots, A_n^p) &\leq A[n, t](A_1^p, \dots, A_n^p) \\ &\leq K(m, M, p) A[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^p \quad \text{for } p \geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

For $p \in (1, 2]$, it follows from (2.7) and the Löwner–Heinz inequality that

$$A[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^p \leq \left(\frac{(m + M)^2}{4mM} \right)^p G[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^p.$$

Combining the inequalities above, we have

$$G[n, t](A_1^p, \dots, A_n^p) \leq K(m, M, p) \frac{(m + M)^{2p}}{4^p m^p M^p} G[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^p.$$

For $p \in [2, \infty)$, from (2.4) and (2.13), we obtain

$$G[n, t](A_1^p, \dots, A_n^p) \leq K(m, M, p) \frac{(m + M)^{2p}}{16m^p M^p} G[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^p. \quad \square$$

In the following remark, we present the case of $p \geq 1$ for the Ando–Li–Mathias geometric mean.

Remark 2.3 Let $t = \frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 2.3. Then

$$G_{\text{ALM}}(A_1^p, \dots, A_n^p) \leq K(m, M, p) \frac{(m + M)^{2p}}{4^p m^p M^p} G_{\text{ALM}}(A_1, \dots, A_n)^p \quad \text{for all } 1 < p \leq 2$$

and

$$G_{\text{ALM}}(A_1^p, \dots, A_n^p) \leq K(m, M, p) \frac{(m + M)^{2p}}{16m^p M^p} G_{\text{ALM}}(A_1, \dots, A_n)^p \quad \text{for all } p \geq 2.$$

Theorem 2.4 For any integer $n \geq 2$, let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be positive invertible operators in \mathbb{P} such that $m \leq A_i \leq M$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and some scalars $0 < m \leq M$. Then

$$G[n, t](A_1^p, \dots, A_n^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq K\left(m^q, M^q, \frac{p}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{(m^q + M^q)^2}{4m^q M^q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} G[n, t](A_1^q, \dots, A_n^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for all $1 < \frac{p}{q} \leq 2$ and $p \geq 1$, and

$$G[n, t](A_1^p, \dots, A_n^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 4^{-\frac{2}{p}} K\left(m^q, M^q, \frac{p}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{(m^q + M^q)^2}{m^q M^q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} G[n, t](A_1^q, \dots, A_n^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for all $\frac{p}{q} \geq 2$ and $p \geq 1$.

Proof For each $0 < q \leq p$, it follows from the arithmetic–geometric mean inequality (1.1) and (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} G[n, t](A_1^p, \dots, A_n^p) &\leq A[n, t](A_1^p, \dots, A_n^p) \\ &= A[n, t]\left((A_1^q)^{\frac{p}{q}}, \dots, (A_n^q)^{\frac{p}{q}}\right) \\ &\leq K\left(m^q, M^q, \frac{p}{q}\right) A[n, t](A_1^q, \dots, A_n^q)^{\frac{p}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

On the other hand, for $1 < \frac{p}{q} \leq 2$, from (2.7) and $m^q \leq A_i^q \leq M^q$, it follows that

$$A[n, t](A_1^q, \dots, A_n^q)^{\frac{p}{q}} \leq \left(\frac{(m^q + M^q)^2}{4m^q M^q}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} G[n, t](A_1^q, \dots, A_n^q)^{\frac{p}{q}}.$$

Combining the two inequalities above, we obtain

$$G[n, t](A_1^p, \dots, A_n^p) \leq K\left(m^q, M^q, \frac{p}{q}\right) \left(\frac{(m^q + M^q)^2}{4m^q M^q}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} G[n, t](A_1^q, \dots, A_n^q)^{\frac{p}{q}}.$$

By the Löewner–Heinz inequality and $p \geq 1$, it follows that

$$G[n, t](A_1^p, \dots, A_n^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq K\left(m^q, M^q, \frac{p}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{(m^q + M^q)^2}{4m^q M^q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} G[n, t](A_1^q, \dots, A_n^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Similarly, for all $\frac{p}{q} \geq 2$, from (2.4) we have

$$A[n, t](A_1^q, \dots, A_n^q)^{\frac{p}{q}} \leq 4^{-2} \left(\frac{(m^q + M^q)^2}{m^q M^q}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} G[n, t](A_1^q, \dots, A_n^q)^{\frac{p}{q}}.$$

Combining with (2.14), we obtain

$$G[n, t](A_1^p, \dots, A_n^p) \leq 4^{-2} K\left(m^q, M^q, \frac{p}{q}\right) \left(\frac{(m^q + M^q)^2}{m^q M^q}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} G[n, t](A_1^q, \dots, A_n^q)^{\frac{p}{q}}.$$

It follows from $p \geq 1$ that

$$G[n, t](A_1^p, \dots, A_n^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 4^{-\frac{2}{p}} K\left(m^q, M^q, \frac{p}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{(m^q + M^q)^2}{m^q M^q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} G[n, t](A_1^q, \dots, A_n^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

This completes the proof. □

3 Comparisons between the weighted Karcher mean and the Lawson–Lim geometric mean

In the final section, we make comparisons between the weighted Karcher mean and the Lawson–Lim geometric mean for higher powers. This is a fascinating work because the order relation can be preserved between higher-power operators by the Kantorovich constant.

Lemma 3.1 ([8]) *Let $0 < m \leq A \leq M$ and $A \leq B$. Then*

$$A^2 \leq \frac{(M + m)^2}{4Mm} B^2.$$

Lemma 3.2 ([9]) *Let A and B be positive invertible operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} satisfying $B \geq A > 0$ and $0 < m \leq A \leq M$. Then*

$$K(m, M, p)B^p \geq A^p$$

holds for any $p \geq 1$, where $K(m, M, p)$ is the generalized Kantorovich constant or the Ky Fan–Furuta constant.

Theorem 3.1 *For any positive integer $n \geq 2$, let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be positive invertible operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that $m \leq A_i \leq M$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and some scalars $0 < m < M$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} G_K(\omega, A_1, \dots, A_n)^p & \leq K(m, M, p) \frac{(M + m)^{2p}}{4^p M^p m^p} G[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^p \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq p \leq 2 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$G_K(\omega, A_1, \dots, A_n)^p \leq K(m, M, p) \frac{(M + m)^{2p}}{16M^p m^p} G[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^p \quad \text{for all } p \geq 2. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof By the Löewner–Heinz inequality and (2.7), we have

$$A[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^p \leq \frac{(M + m)^{2p}}{4^p M^p m^p} G[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^p \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq p \leq 2. \tag{3.3}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2 and (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} G_K(\omega, A_1, \dots, A_n)^p & \leq K(m, M, p) A[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^p \\ & \leq K(m, M, p) \frac{(M + m)^{2p}}{4^p M^p m^p} G[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^p. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (3.2) follows from Lemma 3.2 and (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} G_K(\omega, A_1, \dots, A_n)^p & \leq K(m, M, p) A[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^p \\ & \leq K(m, M, p) \frac{(M + m)^{2p}}{16M^p m^p} G[n, t](A_1, \dots, A_n)^p. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Remark 3.1 When $p = 1, t = \frac{1}{2}$, the inequality (3.1) reduces to the first inequality of Theorem 5.1 in [7].

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the editor and anonymous referees for the careful reading of the manuscript and useful comments.

Funding

This research is supported by the Science and Technology Research Program of Chongqing Municipal Education Commission (Grant No. KJ1713330), Research Foundation of Chongqing University of Science and Technology (Grant No. ck2017zkyb025) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 61601068).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹College of Mathematics and Physics, Chongqing University of Science and Technology, Chongqing, China. ²College of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing University, Chongqing, China.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 7 February 2018 Accepted: 16 August 2018 Published online: 05 September 2018

References

- Ando, T.: Topics on operator inequalities. Hokkaido Univ. Lecture Note, 1978
- Ando, T., Li, C.K., Mathias, R.: Geometric means. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **385**, 305–334 (2004)
- Bhatia, R.: *Positive Definite Matrices*. Princeton University Press, Princeton (2007)
- Bhatia, R., Kittaneh, F.: Notes on matrix arithmetic–geometric mean inequalities. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **308**, 203–211 (2000)
- Drury, S.W.: On a question of Bhatia and Kittaneh. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **437**, 1955–1960 (2012)
- Fujii, J.I., Fujii, M., Nakamura, M., Pečarić, J., Seo, Y.: A reverse inequality for the weighted geometric mean due to Lawson–Lim. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **427**, 272–284 (2007)
- Fujii, J.I., Seo, Y.: On the Ando–Li–Mathias mean and the Karcher mean of positive definite matrices. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **63**, 636–649 (2015)
- Fujii, M., Izumino, S., Nakamoto, R., Seo, Y.: Operator inequalities related to Cauchy–Schwarz and Hölder–McCarthy inequalities. *Nihonkai Math. J.* **8**, 117–122 (1997)
- Furuta, T.: Operator inequalities associated with Hölder–McCarthy and Kantorovich inequalities. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2**, 137–148 (1998)
- Furuta, T., Mičić, J., Pečarić, J., et al.: Mond–Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities [M]. *Element* (2005)
- Kubo, F., Ando, T.: Means of positive linear operators. *Math. Ann.* **246**, 205–224 (1980)
- Lawson, J., Lim, Y.: A general framework for extending means to higher orders. *Colloq. Math.* **113**, 191–221 (2008)
- Lawson, J., Lim, Y.: Karcher means and Karcher equations of positive definite operators. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **1**, 1–22 (2014)
- Lim, Y., Pálfi, M.: Matrix power means and the Karcher mean. *J. Funct. Anal.* **262**, 1498–1514 (2012)
- Lin, M.: Squaring a reverse AM–GM inequality. *Stud. Math.* **215**, 187–194 (2013)
- Lin, M.: On an operator Kantorovich inequality for positive linear maps. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **402**, 127–132 (2013)
- Mičić, J., Pečarić, J., Seo, Y.: Complementary inequalities to inequalities of Jensen and Ando based on the Mond–Pečarić method. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **318**, 87–107 (2000)
- Pusz, W., Woronowicz, S.L.: Functional calculus for sesquilinear forms and the purification map. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **8**, 159–170 (1975)
- Seo, Y.: Generalized Pólya–Szegő type inequalities for some non-commutative geometric means. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **438**, 1711–1726 (2013)
- Yamazaki, T.: An extension of Kantorovich inequality to n -operators via the geometric mean by Ando–Li–Mathias. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **416**, 688–695 (2006)