## RESEARCH

# Open Access

# Characterization and stability of approximately dual g-frames in Hilbert spaces

Yan-Ling Fu<sup>1</sup> and Wei Zhang<sup>2\*</sup>

\*Correspondence: zwfylhappy@126.com <sup>2</sup>School of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Henan University of Economics and Law, Zhengzhou, P.R. China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

### Abstract

This paper addresses approximately dual g-frames. First, we establish a connection between approximately dual g-frames and dual g-frames and obtain a characterization of approximately dual g-frames. Second, we give results on stability of approximately dual g-frames, which cover the results obtained by other authors.

MSC: 42C15; 42C40

Keywords: Frame; g-frame; Dual g-frame; Approximately dual g-frame

### **1** Introduction

The notion of frame dates back to Gabor [1] (1946) and Duffin and Schaeffer [2] (1952). Gabor [1] proposed the idea of decomposing a general signal in terms of elementary signals, and Duffin and Schaeffer [2] abstracted "these elementary signals" as the notion of frame. However, the frame theory had not attracted much attention until the celebrated work by Daubechies, Crossman, and Meyer [3] in 1986. So far, the theory of frame has seen great achievements in pure mathematics, science, and engineering ([4–13]). In 2006, Sun [14] introduced a generalized frame (simply g-frame), which covers all other generalizations of frames, for example, fusion frames [15], bounded quasiprojectors [16], and so on. Now, the research of g-frames has obtained many results [17–19]. This paper addresses approximately dual g-frames in Hilbert spaces.

Recall that a sequence  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$  in a separable Hilbert space *H* is a frame if

$$A_1 \|f\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} |\langle f, f_n \rangle|^2 \le B_1 \|f\|^2$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  and some positive constants  $A_1, B_1$ . Given a frame  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ , another frame  $\{h_i\}_{i \in I}$  is said to be a dual frame of  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$  if

$$f = \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, f_i \rangle h_i, \quad \forall f \in H,$$

or, equivalently,

$$f = \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, h_i \rangle f_i, \quad \forall f \in H$$



© The Author(s) 2018. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

To find the dual frames for a general frame is a fundamental problem in the frame theory. Usually, it is not easy due to involving complicated computation. In 2010, Christensen [20] introduced the notion of approximately dual frames. Bessel sequences  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ and  $\{h_i\}_{i \in I}$  in a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  are said to be approximately dual frames if

$$\left\|f-\sum_{i\in I}\langle f,h_i\rangle f_i\right\|\leq \|f\|,\quad\forall f\in H,$$

or

$$\left\| f - \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, f_i \rangle h_i \right\| \le \|f\|, \quad \forall f \in H.$$

In 2014, Khosravi et al. [21] first introduced the notion of approximately dual g-frames, which generalize the usual approximately dual frames. They proved that a pair of operator sequences form approximately dual frames if and only if their induced sequences form a pair of approximately dual g-frames. They also obtained some important properties and applications of approximately dual frames. Later, many results on approximately dual g-frames were obtained (see [22, 23]).

Motivated by [21], in this paper, we focus on the characterization and stability of approximately dual g-frames and their connection with dual g-frames. Sect. 2 is an auxiliary one, where we recall some basic notions, properties, and some related results. In Sect. 3, we establish a characterization of approximately dual g-frames and discuss some properties of approximately dual (dual) g-frames. In Sect. 4, we give some stability results of approximately dual g-frames, which cover the results obtained by other authors.

#### 2 Preliminaries

We begin with some basic notions and results of g-frames. See [14, 17, 18] for details.

Given separable Hilbert spaces H and V, let  $\{V_j : j \in J\}$  be a sequence of closed subspaces of V with J being a subset of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The identity operator on H is denoted by  $I_H$ . The set of all bounded linear operators from H into  $V_j$  is denoted by  $L(H, V_j)$ . Define

$$\bigoplus_{j\in J} V_j = \left\{ \{a_j\}_{j\in J} : a_j \in V_j, \left\| \{a_j\}_{j\in J} \right\|^2 = \sum_{j\in J} \|a_j\|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Then  $\bigoplus_{i \in J} V_i$  is a Hilbert space under the inner product

$$\left\langle \{a_j\}_{j\in J}, \{b_j\}_{j\in J} \right\rangle = \sum_{j\in J} \langle a_j, b_j \rangle \quad \text{for } \{a_j\}_{j\in J}, \{b_j\}_{j\in J} \in \bigoplus_{j\in J} V_j$$

Suppose  $\{e_{j,k}\}_{k \in K_j}$  is an orthonormal basis (simply o.n.b.) for  $V_j$ , where  $K_j \subset \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $j \in J$ . Define  $\tilde{e}_{j,k} = \{\delta_{j,i}e_{i,k}\}_{i \in J}$ , where  $\delta$  is the Kronecker symbol. Then  $\{\tilde{e}_{j,k}\}_{j \in J, k \in K_j}$  is an o.n.b. for  $\bigoplus_{j \in J} V_j$  (see [17]).

**Definition 2.1** ([14]) A sequence  $\{\Lambda_j \in L(H, V_j)\}_{j \in J}$  is called a g-frame for H with respect to (w.r.t.)  $\{V_j\}_{j \in J}$  if

$$A \|f\|^{2} \le \sum_{j \in J} \|\Lambda_{j}f\|^{2} \le B \|f\|^{2}$$
(2.1)

for all  $f \in H$  and some positive constants  $A \leq B$ . The numbers A, B are called the frame bounds. If only the right-hand inequality of (2.1) is satisfied, then  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  is called a g-Bessel sequence for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$  with bound B. If  $A = B = \lambda$ , then  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  is called a  $\lambda$ -tight gframe. In addition, if  $\lambda = 1$ , then  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  is called a Parsevel g-frame.

For a g-Bessel sequence  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$  with bound *B*, the operator

$$T_{\Lambda}: \bigoplus_{j \in J} V_j \to H, \qquad T_{\Lambda}F = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* f_j, \quad \forall F = \{f_j\}_{j \in J} \in \bigoplus_{j \in J} V_j,$$

is well-defined, and its adjoint is given by

$$T^*_\Lambda: H \to \bigoplus_{j \in J} V_j, \qquad T^*_\Lambda f = \{\Lambda_j f\}_{j \in J}, \quad \forall f \in H.$$

The operator  $T_{\Lambda}$  is called the synthesis operator, and  $T^*_{\Lambda}$  is called the analysis operator of  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ . For g-frame  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  with bounds *A* and *B*, the operator

$$S_{\Lambda}: H \to H, \qquad S_{\Lambda}f = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j f, \quad \forall f \in H,$$

is called a g-frame operator of  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ . It is bounded, invertible, self-adjoint, and positive, and  $AI_H \leq S_{\Lambda} \leq BI_H$ . Let  $\tilde{\Lambda}_j = \Lambda_j S_{\Lambda}^{-1}$ . Then  $\{\tilde{\Lambda}_j\}_{j\in J}$  is also a g-frame for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$  with the g-frame operator  $S_{\Lambda}^{-1}$  and frame bounds  $\frac{1}{B}$  and  $\frac{1}{A}$ .  $\{\tilde{\Lambda}_j\}_{j\in J}$  is called thebcanonical dual g-frame of  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  (see [14]).

**Definition 2.2** ([14]) Let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  be a g-frame for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$ . A g-frame  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  is called an alternate dual g-frame for  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  if

$$f = \sum_{j \in J} \Gamma_j^* \Lambda_j f, \quad \forall f \in H.$$

Moreover,  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$  is also an alternate dual g-frame for  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in J}$ , that is,

$$f = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j f, \quad \forall f \in H.$$

**Definition 2.3** ([20]) Let  $\{f_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{g_j\}_{j\in J}$  be two Bessel sequences for H with their respective synthesis operators  $T_f$  and  $T_g$ . We say that  $\{f_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{g_j\}_{j\in J}$  are approximately dual frames if  $||I_H - T_f T_g^*|| < 1$  or  $||I_H - T_g T_f^*|| < 1$ .

It is clear that the operator  $T_f T_g^*$  is invertible.

**Definition 2.4** ([21]) Let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  be two g-Bessel sequences for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$  with their respective synthesis operators  $T_{\Lambda}$  and  $T_{\Gamma}$ . Then  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  are approximately dual g-frames if  $||I_H - T_{\Lambda}T_{\Gamma}^*|| < 1$  or  $||I_H - T_{\Gamma}T_{\Lambda}^*|| < 1$ .

#### 3 Dual and approximately dual g-frames

This section focuses on the connection between approximately dual g-frames and dual g-frames and on a characterization of approximately dual g-frames.

**Lemma 3.1** ([19]) Let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  be two g-Bessel sequences for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$ . Then the following are equivalent:

(i)  $f = \sum_{j \in J} \Gamma_j^* \Lambda_j f, \forall f \in H.$ 

(ii)  $f = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j f$ ,  $\forall f \in H$ .

(iii)  $\langle f,g \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle \Lambda_i f, \Gamma_j g \rangle, \forall f,g \in H.$ 

In case the equivalent conditions are satisfied,  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  are dual g-frames for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$ .

**Lemma 3.2** ([14]) Let  $\Lambda_j \in L(H, V_j)$  for every  $j \in J$ , and let  $\{e_{j,k}\}_{k \in K_j}$  be an o.n.b. for  $V_j$ . If  $u_{j,k}$  is defined by  $u_{j,k} = \Lambda_j^* e_{j,k}$ , then  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$  is a g-frame (g-Bessel sequence) for H if and only if  $\{u_{j,k}\}_{j \in J, k \in K_j}$  is a frame (Bessel sequence) for H.

The following two theorems give a method to construct new dual g-frames (approximately dual g-frames) from given dual g-fromes.

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  be dual g-frames for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$ , and let  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  be two bounded operators on H such that  $O_2O_1^* = I_H (||I_H - O_2O_1^*|| < 1)$ . Then  $\{\Lambda_jO_1\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{\Gamma_jO_2\}_{j\in J}$  are dual g-frames (approximately dual g-frames) for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$ .

*Proof* By a standard argument,  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  is a g-Bessel sequence with synthesis operator  $T_{\Lambda}$ . Since  $O_1$  is a bounded operator on H, we see that  $\{\Lambda_j O_1\}_{j\in J}$  is a g-Bessel sequence with synthesis operator  $T_{O\Lambda} = O_1 T_{\Lambda}$ . Similarly,  $\{\Gamma_j O_2\}_{j\in J}$  is also a g-Bessel sequence with synthesis operator  $T_{O\Gamma} = O_2 T_{\Gamma}$ . By Lemma 3.1 we have

$$T_{O\Gamma} T_{O\Lambda}^* f = O_2 T_{\Gamma} T_{\Lambda}^* O_{\nu}^* f = O_2 O_{\nu}^* f = f$$
$$\left( \left\| I_H - T_{O\Gamma} T_{O\Lambda}^* \right\| = \left\| I_H - O_2 T_{\Gamma} T_{\Lambda}^* O_{1}^* \right\| = \left\| I_H - O_2 O_{1}^* \right\| < 1 \right)$$

for all  $f \in H$ .

**Corollary 3.1** Let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  be dual g-frames for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$ , and let T be a unitary operator on H. Then  $\{\Lambda_j T\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{\Gamma_j T\}_{j\in J}$  are dual g-frames (approximately dual g-frames) for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$ .

**Theorem 3.2** Assume that  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  are dual g-frames for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$ , and let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{\Delta_j\}_{j\in J}$  also be dual g-frames for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$ . Then for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{\alpha\Gamma_j + (1-\alpha)\Delta_j\}_{j\in J}$  are dual g-frames for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$ .

*Proof* By a standard argument,  $\{\alpha \Gamma_j + (1 - \alpha)\Delta_j\}_{j \in J}$  is a g-Bessel sequence for H w.r.t.  $\{V_i\}_{i \in J}$ . By Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j \in J} \langle \Lambda_j f, \left( \alpha \Gamma_j + (1 - \alpha) \Delta_j \right) g \rangle &= \sum_{j \in J} \langle \Lambda_j f, \alpha \Gamma_j g \rangle + \sum_{j \in J} \langle \Lambda_j f, (1 - \alpha) \Delta_j g \rangle \\ &= \bar{\alpha} \sum_{j \in J} \langle \Lambda_j f, \Gamma_j g \rangle + (1 - \bar{\alpha}) \sum_{j \in J} \langle \Lambda_j f, \Delta_j g \rangle \end{split}$$

$$= \bar{\alpha} \langle f, g \rangle + (1 - \bar{\alpha}) \langle f, g \rangle$$
$$= \langle f, g \rangle$$

for all  $f, g \in H$ .

Obviously, if  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  are dual g-frames for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$ , then  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  are approximately dual g-frames for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$ . However, the converse is not true in general. The following theorem gives a sufficient condition for approximately dual g-frames to be dual g-frames.

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  be approximately dual g-frames for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$ with synthesis operators  $T_\Lambda$  and  $T_\Gamma$ , respectively. Then  $T_\Lambda T_\Gamma^*$  is invertible; furthermore, the sequences  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{(T_\Lambda T_\Gamma^*)^{-1}\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  are dual g-frames.

*Proof* Since  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  are approximately dual g-frames for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$ , we have  $\|I_U - T_\Lambda T_\Gamma^*\| < 1$ , and thus  $T_\Lambda T_\Gamma^*$  is invertible on H. By Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\langle f,g \rangle = \left\langle \left(T_{\Lambda} T_{\Gamma}^{*}\right) \left(T_{\Lambda} T_{\Gamma}^{*}\right)^{-1} f,g \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle T_{\Gamma}^{*} \left(T_{\Lambda} T_{\Gamma}^{*}\right)^{-1} f,T_{\Lambda}^{*} g \right\rangle$$
$$= \sum_{j \in J} \left\langle \Gamma_{j} \left(T_{\Lambda} T_{\Gamma}^{*}\right)^{-1} f,\Lambda_{j} g \right\rangle$$

for all  $f, g \in H$ .

For Theorem 3.3, a natural question is whether a g-frame always corresponds to an approximately dual g-frame. The following theorem gives an affirmative answer.

**Theorem 3.4** Let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  be a g-frame for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$  with the synthesis operator  $T_\Lambda$  and frame bounds A and B. Then  $\{B^{-1}\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  is an approximately dual g-frame of  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ .

*Proof* Note that  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$  is a g-frame for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j \in J}$  and  $T_{\Lambda}$  is its synthesis operator. So  $\{B^{-1}\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$  is also g-frame with synthesis operator  $B^{-1}T_{\Lambda}$ , and

$$\begin{split} \left\| I_H - B^{-1} T_\Lambda T_\Lambda^* \right\| &= \sup_{\|f\|=1} \left| \left( \left( I_H - B^{-1} T_\Lambda T_\Lambda^* \right) f, f \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{B - A}{B} < 1. \end{split}$$

It follows that  $\{B^{-1}\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  is an approximately dual g-frame of  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ .

From Theorem 3.4 we know that every g-frame has at least an approximately dual g-frame. Next, we characterize all approximately dual g-frames for a given g-frame. For this purpose, we need to establish some lemmas.

**Lemma 3.3** Let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  be a g-frame for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$ , let  $T_{\Lambda}$  be its synthesis operator, and let  $\{\tilde{e}_{j,k}\}_{j\in J,k\in K_j}$  be an o.n.b. for  $\bigoplus_{j\in J} V_j$ . Then  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  are approximately dual g-frames if and only if  $\Gamma_j^* e_{j,k} = T\tilde{e}_{j,k}$  ( $\forall j \in J, k \in K_j$ ), where  $T : \bigoplus_{j\in J} V_j \to H$  is a linear bounded operator such that  $\|I_H - TT_{\Lambda}^*\| < 1$ .

*Proof* Necessity. Suppose  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  is an approximately dual g-frame of  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ . Then  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  is a g-frame, and  $||I_H - T_{\Gamma}T_{\Lambda}^*|| < 1$ , where  $T_{\Gamma}$  is the synthesis operator of  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$ . Notice that

$$T_{\Gamma}\tilde{e}_{j,k}=T_{\Gamma}\big(\{\delta_{j,i}e_{i,k}\}_{i\in J}\big)=\sum_{i\in J}\Gamma_j^*\delta_{j,i}e_{i,k}=\Gamma_j^*e_{j,k}.$$

Denote  $T = T_{\Gamma}$ . Then  $T : \bigoplus_{j \in J} V_j \to H$  is a linear bounded operator satisfying  $\|I_H - TT^*_{\Lambda}\| < 1$  and  $\Gamma^*_i e_{j,k} = T\tilde{e}_{j,k}$  for  $j \in J$ ,  $k \in K_j$ .

Next, we prove the converse. Suppose  $T : \bigoplus_{j \in J} V_j \to H$  is a linear bounded operator satisfying  $||I_H - TT^*_{\Lambda}|| < 1$  and  $\Gamma^*_i e_{j,k} = T\tilde{e}_{j,k}$  for  $j \in J$ ,  $k \in K_j$ . Then

$$TT^*_{\Lambda}f = T\left(\{\Lambda_jf\}_{j\in J}\right)$$
$$= T\left(\sum_{j\in J}\sum_{k\in K_j} \langle \Lambda_jf, e_{j,k}\rangle \tilde{e}_{j,k}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{j\in J}\sum_{k\in K_j} \langle \Lambda_jf, e_{j,k}\rangle T\tilde{e}_{j,k}$$
$$= \sum_{j\in J}\sum_{k\in K_j} \langle \Lambda_jf, e_{j,k}\rangle \Gamma^*_j e_{j,k}$$
$$= \sum_{j\in J}\Gamma^*_j\sum_{k\in K_j} \langle \Lambda_jf, e_{j,k}\rangle e_{j,k}$$
$$= \sum_{j\in J}\Gamma^*_j\Lambda_jf$$

for  $f \in H$ . Since  $\{\tilde{e}_{j,k}\}_{j\in J,k\in K_j}$  is an o.n.b. for  $\bigoplus_{j\in J} V_j$ , we have that  $\{T\tilde{e}_{j,k}\}_{j\in J,k\in K_j}$  is a Bessel sequence for H. Let  $u_{j,k} = T\tilde{e}_{j,k}$ . Then  $u_{j,k} = \Gamma_j^* e_{j,k}$ . By Lemma 3.2  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  is a g-Bessel sequence for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$ . Let  $T_{\Gamma}$  be the synthesis operator of  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$ . Then  $T = T_{\Gamma}$  and  $\|I_H - T_{\Gamma}T_{\Lambda}^*\| < 1$ , and hence  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  are approximately dual g-frames.

From Lemma 3.3 we know that T is very important. The following lemma gives an explicit expression of T in Lemma 3.3.

**Lemma 3.4** Let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  be a g-frame for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$  with the synthesis operator  $T_\Lambda$  and the frame operator  $S_\Lambda$ . Then  $||I_H - TT^*_\Lambda|| < 1$   $(T : \bigoplus_{j\in J} V_j \to H)$  if and only if  $T = S^{-1}_\Lambda T_\Lambda + W(I - T^*_\Lambda QS^{-1}_\Lambda T_\Lambda)$ , where I is the identity operator on  $\bigoplus_{j\in J} V_j$ , and  $W : \bigoplus_{j\in J} V_j \to H$  and  $Q : H \to H$  are linear bounded operators satisfying  $||WT^*_\Lambda(I_H - Q)|| < 1$ .

*Proof* First, we suppose that  $||I_H - TT^*_{\Lambda}|| < 1$   $(T \in L(\bigoplus_{j \in J} V_j, H))$ . Then  $TT^*_{\Lambda}$  is invertible. Let W = T and  $Q = (TT^*_{\Lambda})^{-1}$ . Then

$$\begin{split} S_{\Lambda}^{-1}T_{\Lambda} + W \big( I - T_{\Lambda}^* Q S_{\Lambda}^{-1} T_{\Lambda} \big) &= S_{\Lambda}^{-1} T_{\Lambda} + T \big( I - T_{\Lambda}^* \big( T T_{\Lambda}^* \big)^{-1} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} T_{\Lambda} \big) \\ &= S_{\Lambda}^{-1} T_{\Lambda} + T - T T_{\Lambda}^* \big( T T_{\Lambda}^* \big)^{-1} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} T_{\Lambda} \\ &= S_{\Lambda}^{-1} T_{\Lambda} + T - S_{\Lambda}^{-1} T_{\Lambda} = T. \end{split}$$

Conversely, assume that  $T = S_{\Lambda}^{-1}T_{\Lambda} + W(I - T_{\Lambda}^*QS_{\Lambda}^{-1}T_{\Lambda})$ . Then

$$TT_{\Lambda}^{*} = \left(S_{\Lambda}^{-1}T_{\Lambda} + W\left(I - T_{\Lambda}^{*}QS_{\Lambda}^{-1}T_{\Lambda}\right)\right)T_{\Lambda}^{*}$$
$$= S_{\Lambda}^{-1}T_{\Lambda}T_{\Lambda}^{*} + WT_{\Lambda}^{*} - WT_{\Lambda}^{*}QS_{\Lambda}^{-1}T_{\Lambda}T_{\Lambda}^{*}$$
$$= I_{U} + WT_{\Lambda}^{*} - WT_{\Lambda}^{*}Q.$$

Therefore

$$||I_H - TT^*_{\Lambda}|| = ||WT^*_{\Lambda}(I_H - Q)|| < 1.$$

Now, we turn to characterizing all approximately dual g-frames for a given g-frame.

**Theorem 3.5** Let  $\{\Gamma_j \in L(H, V_j)\}$  be a sequence, and let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$  be a g-frame for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j \in J}$  with the synthesis operator  $T_{\Lambda}$  and the frame operator  $S_{\Lambda}$ . Then  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$  and  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in J}$  are approximately dual g-frames if and only if

$$\Gamma_{j}^{*}e_{j,k} = S_{\Lambda}^{-1}\Lambda_{j}^{*}e_{j,k} + W\tilde{e}_{j,k} - \sum_{j' \in J}\sum_{k' \in K_{j}} \left\langle QS_{\Lambda}^{-1}\Lambda_{j}^{*}e_{j,k}, \Lambda_{j'}^{*}e_{j',k'} \right\rangle \tilde{We_{j',k'}}, \quad \forall j \in J, k \in K_{j}, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $W : \bigoplus_{j \in J} V_j \to H$  and  $Q : H \to H$  are linear bounded operators satisfying  $||WT^*_{\Lambda}(I_H - Q)|| < 1.$ 

*Proof* First, we assume that  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  are approximately dual g-frames. By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 we have

$$\Gamma_{i}^{*}e_{j,k} = \left(S_{\Lambda}^{-1}T_{\Lambda} + W\left(I - T_{\Lambda}^{*}QS_{\Lambda}^{-1}T_{\Lambda}\right)\right)\tilde{e}_{j,k},\tag{3.2}$$

where *I* is the identity operator on  $\bigoplus_{j\in J} V_j$ , and  $W : \bigoplus_{j\in J} V_j \to H$  and  $Q : H \to H$  are linear bounded operators satisfying  $||WT^*_{\Lambda}(I_U - Q)|| < 1$ . Set  $z_{j,k} = W\tilde{e}_{j,k}$ . We know that  $\{z_{j,k}\}_{j\in J,k\in K_j}$  is a Bessel sequence for *H*. Using the notations  $u_{j,k} := \Lambda_j^* e_{j,k}$  and  $v_{j,k} := \Gamma_j^* e_{j,k}$ , we have

$$\left\{\left\langle QS_{\Lambda}^{-1}u_{j,k},u_{j',k'}\right\rangle\right\}_{j'\in J,k'\in K_j}\in l^2$$

for any  $j \in J$  and  $k \in K_j$ . So  $\sum_{j' \in J} \sum_{k' \in K_j} \langle QS_{\Lambda}^{-1}u_{j,k}, u_{j',k'} \rangle z_{j',k'}$  converges unconditionally. By (3.2) we have

$$\begin{split} v_{j,k} &= S_{\Lambda}^{-1} T_{\Lambda} \tilde{e}_{j,k} + W \tilde{e}_{j,k} - W T_{\Lambda}^{*} Q S_{\Lambda}^{-1} T_{\Lambda} \tilde{e}_{j,k} \\ &= S_{\Lambda}^{-1} u_{j,k} + z_{j,k} - W T_{\Lambda}^{*} Q S_{\Lambda}^{-1} u_{j,k} \\ &= S_{\Lambda}^{-1} u_{j,k} + z_{j,k} - W \bigg( \sum_{j' \in J} \sum_{k' \in K_{j}} \langle \Lambda_{j'} Q S_{\Lambda}^{-1} u_{j,k}, e_{j',k'} \rangle \tilde{e}_{j',k'} \\ &= S_{\Lambda}^{-1} u_{j,k} + z_{j,k} - \sum_{j' \in J} \sum_{k' \in K_{j}} \langle Q S_{\Lambda}^{-1} u_{j,k}, \Lambda_{j'}^{*} e_{j',k'} \rangle W \tilde{e}_{j',k'} \\ &= S_{\Lambda}^{-1} u_{j,k} + z_{j,k} - \sum_{j' \in J} \sum_{k' \in K_{j}} \langle Q S_{\Lambda}^{-1} u_{j,k}, u_{j',k'} \rangle z_{j',k'}, \end{split}$$

that is,

$$\Gamma_j^* e_{j,k} = S_\Lambda^{-1} \Lambda_j^* e_{j,k} + W \tilde{e}_{j,k} - \sum_{j' \in J} \sum_{k' \in K_j} \left\langle Q S_\Lambda^{-1} \Lambda_j^* e_{j,k}, \Lambda_{j'}^* e_{j',k'} \right\rangle W \tilde{e}_{j',k'}$$

for all  $j \in J$ ,  $k \in K_j$ .

Now we prove the converse. Assume that (3.1) holds. For any  $f \in H$ , using the notations  $u_{j,k} := \Lambda_j^* e_{j,k}$ ,  $v_{j,k} := \Gamma_j^* e_{j,k}$ , and  $z_{j,k} := W\tilde{e}_{j,k}$ , by a standard argument we get that  $\sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k \in K_j} \langle f, u_{j,k} \rangle S_{\Lambda}^{-1} u_{j,k}$  converges unconditionally to f. Therefore

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \Gamma_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} f &= \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \Gamma_{j}^{*} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle \Lambda_{j} f, e_{j,k} \rangle e_{j,k} \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, \Lambda_{j}^{*} e_{j,k} \rangle \Gamma_{j}^{*} e_{j,k} \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, u_{j,k} \rangle v_{j,k} \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, u_{j,k} \rangle \left( S_{\Lambda}^{-1} u_{j,k} + z_{j,k} - \sum_{j' \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k' \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle QS_{\Lambda}^{-1} u_{j,k}, u_{j',k'} \rangle z_{j',k'} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, u_{j,k} \rangle \left( S_{\Lambda}^{-1} u_{j,k} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, u_{j,k} \rangle z_{j',k'} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, u_{j,k} \rangle S_{\Lambda}^{-1} u_{j,k} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, u_{j,k} \rangle z_{j',k'} \\ &= f + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, u_{j,k} \rangle z_{j,k} - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle QS_{\Lambda}^{-1} u_{j,k}, u_{j',k'} \rangle z_{j',k'} \\ &= f + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, u_{j,k} \rangle z_{j,k} - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle Qf, u_{j',k'} \rangle z_{j',k'} \\ &= f + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, u_{j,k} \rangle z_{j,k} - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle Qf, u_{j',k'} \rangle z_{j',k'} \\ &= f + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, u_{j,k} \rangle z_{j,k} - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle Qf, u_{j',k'} \rangle z_{j',k'} \\ &= f + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, Qf, u_{j,k} \rangle z_{j,k} - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle Qf, u_{j',k'} \rangle z_{j',k'} \\ &= f + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, Qf, u_{j,k} \rangle z_{j,k} - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle Qf, u_{j',k'} \rangle z_{j',k'} \\ &= f + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, Qf, u_{j,k} \rangle z_{j,k} - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, Qf, u_{j',k'} \rangle z_{j',k'} \\ &= f + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, Qf, u_{j,k} \rangle z_{j,k} - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, Qf, u_{j,k} \rangle z_{j',k'} \\ &= f + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, Qf, u_{j,k} \rangle z_{j,k} - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, Qf, u_{j',k'} \rangle z_{j',k'} \\ &= f + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, Qf, u_{j,k} \rangle z_{j,k} - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, Qf, u_{j',k'} \rangle z_{j',k'} \\ &= f + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f, Qf, u_{j,k} \rangle z_{j',k'} \\ &= f + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{j}} \langle f$$

for all  $f \in H$ . Next, we prove that  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in J}$  is a g-Bessel sequence for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j \in J}$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j \in J} \|\Gamma_j f\|^2 &= \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in K_j} \left| \langle \Gamma_j f, e_{j,k} \rangle \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k \in K_j} \left| \langle f, v_{j,k} \rangle \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k \in K_j} \left| \langle f, S_\Lambda^{-1} u_{j,k} + z_{j,k} - \sum_{j' \in J} \sum_{k' \in K_j} \langle Q S_\Lambda^{-1} u_{j,k}, u_{j',k'} \rangle z_{j',k'} \rangle \right|^2 \\ &\leq C_1 \left( \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_j} \left| \langle f, S_\Lambda^{-1} u_{j,k} \rangle \right|^2 + \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k \in K_j} \left| \langle f, z_{j,k} \rangle \right|^2 \\ &+ \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_j} \left| \langle Q^* \sum_{j' \in J} \sum_{k' \in \mathcal{K}_j} \langle f, z_{j',k'} \rangle u_{j',k'}, S_\Lambda^{-1} u_{j,k} \rangle \right|^2 \end{split}$$

$$\leq C_{2} \left( \|f\|^{2} + \left\| Q^{*} \sum_{j' \in J} \sum_{k' \in K_{j}} \langle f, z_{j',k'} \rangle u_{j',k'} \right\|^{2} \right)$$
  
$$\leq C_{3} \left( \|f\|^{2} + \sum_{j' \in J} \sum_{k' \in K_{j}} \left| \langle f, z_{j'k'} \rangle \right|^{2} \right)$$
  
$$\leq C_{4} \|f\|^{2}$$

for all  $f \in H$ , where  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$ , and  $C_4$  are different positive constants. Let  $T_{\Gamma}$  be the synthesis operator of  $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in J}$ . Then

$$\| (I_H - T_{\Gamma} T^*_{\Lambda}) f \| = \left\| \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k \in K_j} \langle f - Qf, u_{j,k} \rangle z_{j,k} \right\|$$
$$= \left\| \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k \in K_j} \langle f - Qf, u_{j,k} \rangle W \tilde{e}_{j,k} \right\|$$
$$= \left\| W \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k \in K_j} \langle f - Qf, u_{j,k} \rangle \tilde{e}_{j,k} \right\|$$
$$= \left\| W \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k \in K_j} \langle \Lambda_j (f - Qf), e_{j,k} \rangle \tilde{e}_{j,k} \right\|$$
$$= \left\| W T^*_{\Lambda} (f - Qf) \right\|$$
$$\leq \left\| W T^*_{\Lambda} (I_H - Q) \right\| \| f \|$$

for all  $f \in H$ . Therefore  $||I_H - T_{\Gamma}T^*_{\Lambda}|| < 1$ , and thus  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$  and  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in J}$  are approximately dual g-frames.

### 4 Perturbations of approximately dual g-frames

The stability of frames is of great importance in frame theory, and it is studied widely by a lot of authors ([4, 18]). In this section, we show that, under some conditions, approximately dual g-frames and g-frames are stable under some perturbations. We first introduce some lemmas.

**Lemma 4.1** ([17]) Let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  be a g-frame for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$  with bounds A and B,  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in (-1, 1), \mu \ge 0$ , and  $\max\{\lambda_1 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{A}}, \lambda_2\} < 1$ . If  $\{\Gamma_j \in L(H, V_j)\}_{j\in J}$  satisfies

$$\left\|\sum_{j\in J_1} (\Lambda_j - \Gamma_j)^* g_j\right\| \leq \lambda_1 \left\|\sum_{j\in J_1} \Lambda_j^* g_j\right\| + \lambda_2 \left\|\sum_{j\in J_1} \Gamma_j^* g_j\right\| + \mu \left(\sum_{j\in J_1} \|g_j\|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for an arbitrary finite subset  $J_1 \subset J$  and  $g_j \in V_j$ , then  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in J}$  is a g-frame for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j \in J}$  with bounds

$$\frac{((1-\lambda_1)\sqrt{A}-\mu)^2}{(1+\lambda_2)^2}, \qquad \frac{((1+\lambda_1)\sqrt{B}+\mu)^2}{(1-\lambda_2)^2}$$

**Lemma 4.2** ([14]) Let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  be a g-frame for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$ . Then for  $g_j \in V_j$  satisfying  $f = \sum_{i\in J} \Lambda_i^* g_i$ , we have

$$\sum_{j\in J} \|g_j\|^2 \ge \sum_{j\in J} \|\tilde{\Lambda}_j f\|^2.$$

**Lemma 4.3** ([14])  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$  is a g-Bessel sequence with an upper bound B if and only if

$$\left\|\sum_{j\in J_1}\Lambda_j^*g_j\right\|^2 \leq B\sum_{j\in J_1}\|g_j\|^2, \quad g_j\in V_j,$$

where  $J_1$  is an arbitrary finite subset of J.

**Theorem 4.1** Let  $\Lambda_j \in L(H, V_j)$ , let  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in J}$  be a g-frame for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j \in J}$  with bounds A and B and the synthesis operator  $T_{\Lambda}$ , and let  $\{\Delta_j\}_{j \in J}$  be alternate dual for  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in J}$  with the upper bound C and the synthesis operator  $T_{\Lambda}$ . Assume that there are constants  $\lambda_1, \mu \ge 0$ , and  $0 \le \lambda_2 < 1$  satisfying

$$\left\|\sum_{j\in J_1} (\Gamma_j - \Lambda_j)^* g_j\right\| \le \lambda_1 \left\|\sum_{j\in J_1} \Gamma_j^* g_j\right\| + \lambda_2 \left\|\sum_{j\in J_1} \Lambda_j^* g_j\right\| + \mu \left(\sum_{j\in J_1} \|g_j\|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\tag{4.1}$$

where  $J_1$  is an arbitrary finite subset of J, and  $g_j \in V_j$ . If

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \sqrt{BC} \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{B}}}{1 - \lambda_2} \right) + \mu \sqrt{C} < 1,$$

then  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{\Delta_j\}_{j\in J}$  are approximately dual g-frames.

*Proof* By Lemma 4.2 we have  $C \ge \frac{1}{A}$  and  $BC \ge \frac{B}{A} \ge 1$ . Note that

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \sqrt{BC} \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{B}}}{1 - \lambda_2} \right) + \mu \sqrt{C} < 1.$$

It follows that  $\lambda_1 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{A}} < 1$ . By Lemma 4.1  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$  is a g-frame for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j \in J}$  with bounds

$$A\left(1-\frac{\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{A}}}{1+\lambda_2}\right)^2, \qquad B\left(1+\frac{\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{B}}}{1-\lambda_2}\right)^2.$$

Denote by  $T_{\Lambda}$  the synthesis operator of  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ . From (4.1) we have

$$\|T_{\Gamma}c - T_{\Lambda}c\| \le \lambda_1 \|T_{\Gamma}c\| + \lambda_2 \|T_{\Lambda}c\| + \mu \|c\|_{\bigoplus_{j \in J} V_j}$$

$$\tag{4.2}$$

for any  $c = \{c_j\}_{j \in J} \in \bigoplus_{i \in J} V_j$ . Take  $c = T^*_{\Delta} f$  in (4.2). Then

$$\begin{split} \left\| \left( I_H - T_\Lambda T_\Lambda^* \right) f \right\| &\leq \lambda_1 \| f \| + \lambda_2 \| T_\Lambda T_\Delta^* f \| + \mu \| T_\Delta^* f \|_{\bigoplus_{j \in J} V_j} \\ &\leq \lambda_1 \| f \| + \lambda_2 \sqrt{C} \| T_\Lambda \| \| f \| + \mu \sqrt{C} \| f \| \end{split}$$

$$\leq \lambda_1 \|f\| + \lambda_2 \sqrt{BC} \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{B}}}{1 - \lambda_2} \right) \|f\| + \mu \sqrt{C} \|f\|$$
$$= \left( \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \sqrt{BC} \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{B}}}{1 - \lambda_2} \right) + \mu \sqrt{C} \right) \|f\|$$

for any  $f \in H$ . So

$$\left\|I_{H}-T_{\Lambda}T_{\Delta}^{*}\right\| \leq \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\sqrt{BC}\left(1+\frac{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{B}}}{1-\lambda_{2}}\right)+\mu\sqrt{C}<1.$$

Thus  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{\Delta_j\}_{j\in J}$  are approximately dual g-frames if  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \sqrt{BC} (1 + \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{B}}}{1 - \lambda_2}) + \mu\sqrt{C} < 1$ .

From Theorem 4.1 we can obtain immediately the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.1** Let  $\Lambda_j \in L(H, V_j)$ , let  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in J}$  be a g-frame for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j \in J}$  with bounds A and B and the synthesis operator  $T_{\Gamma}$ , and let  $\{\Delta_j\}_{j \in J}$  be the canonical dual for  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in J}$  with the synthesis operator  $T_{\Delta}$ . Suppose that there are constants  $\lambda_1, \mu \ge 0$ , and  $0 \le \lambda_2 < 1$  such that

$$\left\|\sum_{j\in J_1} (\Gamma_j - \Lambda_j)^* g_j\right\| \le \lambda_1 \left\|\sum_{j\in J_1} \Gamma_j^* g_j\right\| + \lambda_2 \left\|\sum_{j\in J_1} \Lambda_j^* g_j\right\| + \mu \left(\sum_{j\in J_1} \|g_j\|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\tag{4.3}$$

where  $J_1$  is an arbitrary finite subset of J, and  $g_j \in V_j$ . If  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \sqrt{\frac{B}{A}} (1 + \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{B}}}{1 - \lambda_2}) + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{A}} < 1$ , then  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$  and  $\{\Delta_j\}_{j \in J}$  are approximately dual g-frames.

Note that  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  is a Parseval g-frame for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$ . Then  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  is the canonical dual for itself. We have the following:

**Corollary 4.2** Let  $\Lambda_j \in L(H, V_j)$ , and let  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in J}$  be a Parseval g-frame for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j \in J}$ . Assume that there are constants  $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$  such that

$$\left\|\sum_{j\in J_1} (\Gamma_j - \Lambda_j)^* g_j\right\| \le \lambda \left\|\sum_{j\in J_1} \Gamma_j^* g_j\right\| + \mu \left(\sum_{j\in J_1} \|g_j\|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$(4.4)$$

for an arbitrary finite subset  $J_1 \subset J$  and  $g_j \in V_j$ . If  $\lambda + \mu < 1$ , then  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$  and  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in J}$  are approximately dual g-frames.

**Corollary 4.3** Let  $\{\Lambda_j \in L(H, V_j)\}_{j \in J}$  be a sequence, and let  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in J}$  be a g-frame for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j \in J}$ . Also, let  $\{\Delta_j\}_{j \in J}$  be an alternate dual for  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in J}$  with the upper bound C. If there exists a constant R such that CR < 1 and

$$\sum_{j\in J} \left\| (\Gamma_j - \Lambda_j) f \right\|^2 \le R \|f\|^2, \quad \forall f \in H,$$
(4.5)

then  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  and  $\{\Delta_j\}_{j\in J}$  are approximately dual g-frames.

*Proof* Take  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$  and  $\mu = \sqrt{R}$  in Theorem 4.1. From Lemma 4.3 we know that (4.1) is equivalent to (4.5). Since *CR* < 1, we have that  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$  and  $\{\Delta_j\}_{j \in J}$  are approximately dual g-frames.

*Remark* 4.1 Corollary 4.1 and Corollary 4.3 are Proposition 3.10(i) and Theorem 3.1(i) in [21], respectively. They are particular cases of our Theorem 4.1.

**Theorem 4.2** Let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  be a g-frame for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$  with synthesis operator  $T_{\Lambda}$  and bounds A and B. Assume that  $\Gamma_j \in L(H, V_j)$  for all  $j \in J$  and there exist constants  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu \ge 0$  such that

$$\left\|\sum_{j\in J_1} (\Lambda_j - \Gamma_j)^* g_j\right\| \le \lambda_1 \left\|\sum_{j\in J_1} \Lambda_j^* g_j\right\| + \lambda_2 \left\|\sum_{j\in J_1} \Gamma_j^* g_j\right\| + \mu \left(\sum_{j\in J_1} \|g_j\|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(4.6)

for an arbitrary finite subset  $J_1 \subset J$  and  $g_j \in V_j$ . If  $\lambda_1 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{A}} < 1$  and  $\lambda_2 + (\lambda_1 \sqrt{\frac{B}{A}} + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{A}}) \times \frac{1+\lambda_2}{1-(\lambda_1 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{A}})} < 1$ , then  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in J}$  is a g-frame for H w.r.t.  $\{V_j\}_{j \in J}$ , and  $\{\tilde{\Gamma}_j\}_{j \in J}$  and  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$  are approximately dual g-frames.

*Proof* We can prove the theorem by an argument similar to that of Theorem 4.1.  $\Box$ 

#### **5** Conclusions

For a given frame, it is usually not easy to find a dual frame. The notion of approximately dual frames was introduced by Christensen in 2010. It is a generalization of dual frames. In this paper, on one hand, we obtain the link between approximately dual g-frames and dual g-frames and characterize approximately dual g-frames. On the other hand, we give stability results of approximately dual g-frames, which cover the results obtained by other authors.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their suggestions, which greatly improved the readability of this paper.

#### Funding

The paper is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11271037).

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

Both authors contributed to each part of this work equally and read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Information Engineering, Henan Finance University, Zhengzhou, P.R. China. <sup>2</sup>School of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Henan University of Economics and Law, Zhengzhou, P.R. China.

#### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Received: 4 May 2018 Accepted: 17 July 2018 Published online: 27 July 2018

#### References

- 1. Gabor, D.: Theory of communications. J. Inst. Electr. Eng. 93, 429–457 (1946)
- 2. Duffin, R.J., Schaeffer, A.C.: A class of nonharmonic Fourier series. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 72, 341–366 (1952)
- 3. Daubechies, I., Grossmann, A., Meyer, Y.: Painless nonorthogonal expansions. J. Math. Phys. 27, 1271–1283 (1986)
- 4. Christensen, O.: An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases. Birkhäuser, Boston (2003)

- Zhang, W.: Dual and approximately dual Hilbert–Schmidt frames in Hilbert spaces. Results Math. 73(1), Article ID 4 (2018)
- 6. Heil, C.: A Basis Theory Primer. Birkhäuser, New York (2010)
- 7. Khosravi, A., Musazadeh, K.: Fusion frames and g-frames. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 342, 1068–1083 (2008)
- 8. Eldar, Y.: Sampling with arbitrary sampling and reconstruction spaces and oblique dual frame vectors. J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 9, 77–96 (2003)
- Han, D., Sun, W.: Reconstruction of signals from frame coefficients with erasures at unknown locations. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 60(7), 4013–4025 (2014)
- 10. Feichtinger, H.G., Onchis, D.M., Wiesmeyr, C.: Construction of approximate dual wavelet frames. Adv. Comput. Math. 40, 273–282 (2014)
- 11. Javanshiri, H.: Some properties of approximately dual frames in Hilbert spaces. Results Math. 70(34), 475-485 (2016)
- 12. Christensen, O., Goh, S.S.: From dual pairs of Gabor frames to dual pairs of wavelet frames and vice versa. Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. **36**, 198–214 (2014)
- 13. Casazza, P.G.: The art of frame theory. Taiwan. J. Math. 4(2), 129-201 (2000)
- 14. Sun, W.C.: G-frames and g-Riesz bases. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 322(1), 437-452 (2006)
- 15. Casazza, P.G., Kutyniok, G.: Frame of subspace. Contemp. Math. 345, 87–114 (2004)
- 16. Fornasier, M.: Quasi-orthogonal decompositions of structured frames. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 289, 180–199 (2004)
- 17. Zhu, Y.C.: Characterizations of g-frames and g-Riesz bases in Hilbert spaces. Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser. 24(10), 1727–1736 (2008)
- 18. Sun, W.C.: Stability of g-frames. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 326(2), 858-868 (2007)
- Yang, X.H., Li, D.F.: Some new equalities and inequalities for g-frames and their dual frames. Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser. 52(5), 1033–1040 (2009)
- Christensen, O., Laugesen, R.S.: Approximately dual frame pairs in Hilbert spaces and applications to Gabor frames. Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process. 9, 77–90 (2011)
- 21. Khosravi, A., Morteza Azandaryani, M.: Approximate duality of g-frames in Hilbert spaces. Acta Math. Sci. 34(3), 639–652 (2014)
- 22. Morteza Azandaryani, M.: On the approximate duality of g-frames and fusion frames. UPB Sci. Bull. 79(2), 83–94 (2017)
- 23. Mirzaee Azandaryani, M., Khosravi, A.: Duals and approximate duals of g-frames in Hilbert spaces. J. Linear Topol. Algebra **4**(4), 259–265 (2016)

# Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com