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## Abstract

We consider gradient estimates for positive solutions to the following nonlinear elliptic equation on a smooth metric measure space ( $M, g, e^{-f} d v$ ):

$$
\Delta_{f} u+a u \log u+b u=0,
$$

where $a, b$ are two real constants. When the $\infty$-Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature is bounded from below, we obtain a global gradient estimate which is not dependent on $|\nabla f|$. In particular, we find that any bounded positive solution of the above equation must be constant under some suitable assumptions.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $(M, g)$ be an $n$-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold and $f$ be a smooth function defined on $M$. Then the triple ( $M, g, e^{-f} d v$ ) is called a smooth metric measure space, where $d v$ denotes the volume element of the metric $g$ and $e^{-f} d v$ is called the weighted measure. On the smooth metric measure space ( $M, g, e^{-f} d v$ ), the $m$-Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature (see [1-3]) is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}_{f}^{m}=\operatorname{Ric}+\nabla^{2} f-\frac{1}{m-n} d f \otimes d f \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m \geq n$ is a constant, and $m=n$ if and only if $f$ is a constant. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}_{f}=\operatorname{Ric}+\nabla^{2} f . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\operatorname{Ric}_{f}$ can be seen as the $\infty$-dimensional Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature. However, there are many differences between the $m$-Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature and the $\infty$ -Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature. For example, there exist complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds which satisfy $\operatorname{Ric}_{f}=\lambda g$ for some positive constant $\lambda$ (which is called the shrinking gradient Ricci soliton), but not for $\operatorname{Ric}_{f}^{m}=\lambda g$. We recall that the $f$-Laplacian $\Delta_{f}$
on ( $M, g, e^{-f} d v$ ) is defined by

$$
\Delta_{f}=\Delta-\nabla f \nabla
$$

Since we have the Bochner formula with respect to $f$-Laplacian:

$$
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{f}|\nabla u|^{2} \geq \frac{1}{m}\left(\Delta_{f} u\right)^{2}+\nabla u \nabla\left(\Delta_{f} u\right)+\operatorname{Ric}_{f}^{m}(\nabla u, \nabla u)
$$

which is similar to the Bochner formula associated with the Laplacian, many results with respect to the Laplacian have been generalized to those of the $f$-Laplacian under the $m$ dimensional Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature. For example, see [4-7] and the references therein. But for elliptic gradient estimates for $f$-Laplacian under the $\infty$-Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature, in order to using the weighted comparison theorem, the assumption $|\nabla f| \leq \theta$ is forced commonly.
In this paper, under the assumption that the $\infty$-Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature is bounded from below, we consider the following nonlinear elliptic equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{f} u+a u \log u+b u=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b$ are two real constants. Inspired by the ideas of Brighton in [8], we can obtain global gradient estimates for positive solutions to (1.3) without any restriction on $|\nabla f|$.

Theorem 1.1 Let $\left(M, g, e^{-f} d v\right)$ be an $n$-dimensional complete smooth metric measure space with $\operatorname{Ric}_{f}\left(B_{p}(2 R)\right) \geq-(n-1) K$, where $K \geq 0$ is a constant. Suppose that $u$ is a positive solution to (1.3) with $u \leq A$ on $B_{p}(2 R)$. Then on $B_{p}(R)$ with $R>1$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u|^{2} \leq C A^{2}\left[\max \left\{\frac{4}{5} b+a\left(1+\frac{4}{5} L\right), 0\right\}+K+\frac{|\beta|+1}{R}\right] \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant which depends on the dimension $n, \beta=\max _{\{x \mid d(x, p)=1\}} \Delta_{f} r(x)$ and

$$
L= \begin{cases}\sup _{B_{p}(2 R)}(\log u), & \text { if } a \geq 0  \tag{1.5}\\ \inf _{B_{p}(2 R)}(\log u), & \text { if } a<0\end{cases}
$$

Letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (1.4), we obtain the following global estimates on complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds:

Corollary 1.2 Let $\left(M, g, e^{-f} d v\right)$ be an $n$-dimensional complete smooth metric measure space with $\operatorname{Ric}_{f} \geq-(n-1) K$, where $K \geq 0$ is a constant. If $u$ is a positive solution to (1.3) with $u \leq A$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u|^{2} \leq C A^{2}\left[\max \left\{\frac{4}{5} b+a\left(1+\frac{4}{5} L\right), 0\right\}+K\right] \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
L= \begin{cases}\sup _{M}(\log u), & \text { if } a \geq 0  \tag{1.7}\\ \inf _{M}(\log u), & \text { if } a<0\end{cases}
$$

Using the ideas of the proof of Theorem 1.1, by choosing $\tilde{h}=\log u$ a gap develops between the constants, and we also establish the following.

Theorem 1.3 Let $\left(M, g, e^{-f} d v\right)$ be an $n$-dimensional complete smooth metric measure space with $\operatorname{Ric}_{f}\left(B_{p}(2 R)\right) \geq-(n-1) K$, where $K \geq 0$ is a constant. Suppose that $u$ is a positive solution to (1.3) on $B_{p}(2 R)$ such that:
(1) either $\nabla f \nabla(\log u)-a \log u-b \leq \delta|\nabla(\log u)|^{2}$ for some $0 \leq \delta<\frac{1}{2}$;
(2) or $\nabla f \nabla(\log u)-a \log u-b \geq 2|\nabla(\log u)|^{2}$.

Then on $B_{p}(R)$ with $R>1$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla(\log u)|^{2} \leq \frac{C_{1}(n, \delta, \beta)}{R}+C_{2}(n, \delta) \max \{a+(n-1) K, 0\}, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta=\max _{\{x \mid d(x, p)=1\}} \Delta_{f} r(x)$.

Letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (1.8), we obtain the following global estimates on complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds:

Corollary 1.4 Let $\left(M, g, e^{-f} d \nu\right)$ be an $n$-dimensional complete smooth metric measure space with $\operatorname{Ric}_{f} \geq-(n-1) K$, where $K \geq 0$ is a constant. Let $u$ be a positive solution to (1.3). Then under the assumption of either (1) or (2) as in Theorem 1.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla(\log u)|^{2} \leq C(n, \delta) \max \{a+(n-1) K, 0\} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, if either $u \leq e^{-\left(\frac{5}{4}+\frac{b}{a}\right)}$ and $a>0$, or $u \geq e^{-\left(\frac{5}{4}+\frac{b}{a}\right)}$ and $a<0$, then we have $\frac{4}{5} b+$ $a\left(1+\frac{4}{5} L\right) \leq 0$. This gives the following result.

Corollary 1.5 Let $\left(M, g, e^{-f} d v\right)$ be an $n$-dimensional complete smooth metric measure space with $\operatorname{Ric}_{f} \geq 0$.
(1) There exists no bounded positive solution to (1.3) with $a>0$ and $u \leq e^{-\left(\frac{5}{4}+\frac{b}{a}\right)}$;
(2) if $a<0$ and $u \geq e^{-\left(\frac{5}{4}+\frac{b}{a}\right)}$, then any bounded positive solution to (1.3) must be constant $u=e^{-\frac{b}{a}}$.

Remark 1.1 In particular, when $a=0$, Eq. (1.3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{f} u+b u=0 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (1.6) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u|^{2} \leq C A^{2}\left[\max \left\{\frac{4}{5} b, 0\right\}+K\right] \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, on a complete smooth metric measure space ( $M, g, e^{-f} d v$ ) with $\operatorname{Ric}_{f} \geq 0$, there exists no bounded positive solution to (1.10) with $b<0$. On the other hand, if $a=b=0$, our Theorem 1.1 becomes Theorem 1 of Brighton in [8].

Remark 1.2 It is easy to see from Corollary 1.4 that if $u$ is a positive solution to (1.3) with $a \leq-(n-1) K$ satisfying either (1) or (2) in Theorem 1.3, then $u=e^{-\frac{b}{a}}$ is a constant. In particular, if $a=b=0$, then our Theorem 1.3 becomes Theorem 3 of Brighton in [8].

Remark 1.3 Some related results for gradient estimates of positive solutions to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{f} u+a u \log u=0 \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be found in [9-11]. Moreover, Qian in [10] used a different method to derive similar estimates to (1.12) with constant $f$. On the other hand, if we assume $\operatorname{Ric}_{f} \geq-(n-1) K$ and $|\nabla f| \leq \theta$, then from (1.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ric}_{f}^{m} & =\operatorname{Ric}_{f}-\frac{1}{m-n} d f \otimes d f \\
& \geq-(n-1)\left(K+\frac{\theta^{2}}{(m-n)(n-1)}\right):=-(n-1) \tilde{K} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, Theorem 1.5 in [11] follows from Theorem 1.1 of [11] immediately. However, our estimates in this paper are not dependent on $|\nabla f|$.

## 2 Proof of results

We firstly give the following lemma which plays an important role in the proof of main results.

Lemma 2.1 Let $u$ be a positive solution to (1.3) with $u \leq A$ and $\operatorname{Ric}_{f} \geq-(n-1) K$ for some positive constant $K$. Denote $\tilde{u}=u / A$ and $h=\tilde{u}^{\epsilon}$ for $\epsilon \in(0,1)$. If there exists one positive constant $\delta$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n}+\frac{2(\epsilon-1)}{n \in \delta} \geq 0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{f}|\nabla h|^{2} \geq & \left(\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}+\frac{2 \delta(\epsilon-1)}{n \epsilon}\right) \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}+\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right) \\
& -[a+\tilde{b} \epsilon+(n-1) K+a \tilde{L}]|\nabla h|^{2}, \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{L}= \begin{cases}\sup _{M}(\log h), & \text { if } a \geq 0  \tag{2.3}\\ \inf _{M}(\log h), & \text { if } a<0 .\end{cases}
$$

Proof Under the scaling $u \rightarrow \tilde{u}=u / A$, it follows from (1.3) that $\tilde{u}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{f} \tilde{u}+a \tilde{u} \log \tilde{u}+\tilde{b} \tilde{u}=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $\tilde{b}$ is given by $\tilde{b}=b+a \log A$. Let $h=\tilde{u}^{\epsilon}$, where $\epsilon \in(0,1)$ is a constant to be determined. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log h=\epsilon \log \tilde{u} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $0<\tilde{u} \leq 1$, we have $\log h \leq 0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{f} h & =\Delta_{f}\left(\tilde{u}^{\epsilon}\right)=\epsilon(\epsilon-1) \tilde{u}^{\epsilon-2}|\nabla \tilde{u}|^{2}+\epsilon \tilde{u}^{\epsilon-1} \Delta_{f} \tilde{u} \\
& =\epsilon(\epsilon-1) \tilde{u}^{\epsilon-2}|\nabla \tilde{u}|^{2}-a \epsilon \tilde{u}^{\epsilon} \log \tilde{u}-\tilde{b} \epsilon \tilde{u}^{\epsilon} \\
& =\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h}-a h \log h-\tilde{b} \in h, \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla h \nabla \Delta_{f} h= & \nabla h \nabla\left(\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h}-a h \log h-\tilde{b} \epsilon h\right) \\
= & \frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \nabla h \nabla \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h}-a \nabla h \nabla(h \log h)-\tilde{b} \epsilon|\nabla h|^{2} \\
= & \frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}} \\
& -a h \log h \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h}-(a+\tilde{b} \epsilon)|\nabla h|^{2} . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, under the assumption $\operatorname{Ric}_{f} \geq-(n-1) K$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{f}|\nabla h|^{2}= & \left|\nabla^{2} h\right|^{2}+\nabla h \nabla \Delta_{f} h+\operatorname{Ric}_{f}(\nabla h, \nabla h) \\
\geq & \frac{1}{n}(\Delta h)^{2}+\nabla h \nabla \Delta_{f} h-(n-1) K|\nabla h|^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h}+\nabla f \nabla h-a h \log h-\tilde{b} \epsilon h\right)^{2}+\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}-(a h \log h) \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h}-[a+\tilde{b} \epsilon+(n-1) K]|\nabla h|^{2} \\
= & \left(\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}\right) \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}+\frac{2(\epsilon-1)}{n \epsilon} \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h}(\nabla f \nabla h-a h \log h-\tilde{b} \in h) \\
& +\frac{1}{n}(\nabla f \nabla h-a h \log h-\tilde{b} \epsilon h)^{2}+\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right) \\
& -[a+\tilde{b} \epsilon+(n-1) K+a \log h]|\nabla h|^{2} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

For any fixed point $p$, if there exists a positive constant $\delta$ such that $\nabla f \nabla h-a h \log h-\tilde{b} \in h \leq$ $\delta \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h}$, then from (2.8), we can deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{f}|\nabla h|^{2} \geq & \left(\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}\right) \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}+\frac{2(\epsilon-1)}{n \epsilon} \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h}\left(\delta \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{n}(\nabla f \nabla h-a h \log h-\tilde{b} \epsilon h)^{2}+\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -[a+\tilde{b} \epsilon+(n-1) K+a \log h]|\nabla h|^{2} \\
\geq & \left(\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}+\frac{2 \delta(\epsilon-1)}{n \epsilon}\right) \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}+\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right) \\
& -[a+\tilde{b} \epsilon+(n-1) K+a \tilde{L}]|\nabla h|^{2} . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

On the contrary, if $\nabla f \nabla h-a h \log h-\tilde{b} \in h \geq \delta \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h}$ at the point $p$, then from (2.8), we can deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{f}|\nabla h|^{2} \geq & \left(\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}\right) \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}+\frac{2(\epsilon-1)}{n \epsilon \delta}(\nabla f \nabla h-a h \log h-\tilde{b} \epsilon h)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{n}(\nabla f \nabla h-a h \log h-\tilde{b} \epsilon h)^{2}+\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right) \\
& -[a+\tilde{b} \epsilon+(n-1) K+a \log h]|\nabla h|^{2} \\
= & \left(\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}\right) \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}+\left(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{2(\epsilon-1)}{n \epsilon \delta}\right)(\nabla f \nabla h-a h \log h-\tilde{b} \epsilon h)^{2} \\
& +\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)-[a+\tilde{b} \epsilon+(n-1) K+a \log h]|\nabla h|^{2} \\
\geq & {\left[\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}+\left(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{2(\epsilon-1)}{n \epsilon \delta}\right) \delta^{2}\right] \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}+\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right) } \\
& -[a+\tilde{b} \epsilon+(n-1) K+a \log h]|\nabla h|^{2} \\
\geq & \left(\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}+\frac{2 \delta(\epsilon-1)}{n \epsilon}\right) \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}+\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right) \\
& -[a+\tilde{b} \epsilon+(n-1) K+a \tilde{L}]|\nabla h|^{2} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

as long as (2.1) holds.
Therefore, in these two cases the estimate (2.2) holds, which finishes the proof of the Lemma 2.1.

### 2.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In order to obtain the upper bound of $|\nabla h|$ by using the maximum principle for (2.2), we need to choose $\epsilon, \delta$ such that the coefficient of $\frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}$ in (2.2) is positive. That is, we need

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}+\frac{2 \delta(\epsilon-1)}{n \epsilon}>0 . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, by choosing $\epsilon=\frac{4}{5}$ and letting $\delta \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$, we find that the inequality (2.1) holds and (2.2) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{f}|\nabla h|^{2} \geq & \frac{4 n-3}{16 n} \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}-\frac{1}{4} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right) \\
& -[a+\tilde{b} \epsilon+(n-1) K+a \tilde{L}]|\nabla h|^{2} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

As in [8], we define a cut-off function $\psi \in C^{2}([0,+\infty))$ by

$$
\psi(t)= \begin{cases}1, & t \in[0, R]  \tag{2.13}\\ 0, & t \in[2 R,+\infty]\end{cases}
$$

satisfying $\psi(t) \in[0,1]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{C}{R} \leq \frac{\psi^{\prime}(t)}{\sqrt{\psi}} \leq 0, \quad\left|\psi^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq \frac{C}{R^{2}} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant. Let

$$
\phi=\psi(d(x, p))
$$

Using Eq. (2.19) in [8] (see Eq. (4.5) in [5] or [12, Theorem 3.1]), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{f} \phi \geq-\frac{C \beta}{R}-\frac{C(n-1) K(2 R-1)}{R}-\frac{C}{R^{2}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2}}{\phi} \leq \frac{C}{R^{2}} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $B_{p}(R)$ the geodesic ball centered at $p$ with radius $R$. Let $G=\phi|\nabla h|^{2}$. Assume $G$ achieves its maximum at the point $x_{0} \in B_{p}(2 R)$ and assume $G\left(x_{0}\right)>0$ (otherwise the proof is trivial). Then, at the point $x_{0}$,

$$
\Delta_{f} G \leq 0, \quad \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)=-\frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{\phi} \nabla \phi
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \geq & \Delta_{f} G \\
= & \phi \Delta_{f}\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)+|\nabla h|^{2} \Delta_{f} \phi+2 \nabla \phi \nabla|\nabla h|^{2} \\
= & \phi \Delta_{f}\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)+\frac{\Delta_{f} \phi}{\phi} G-2 \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2}}{\phi^{2}} G \\
\geq & \frac{\Delta_{f} \phi}{\phi} G-2 \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2}}{\phi^{2}} G+2 \phi\left[\frac{4 n-3}{16 n} \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}-\frac{1}{4} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-[a+\tilde{b} \epsilon+(n-1) K+a \tilde{L}]|\nabla h|^{2}\right] \\
= & \frac{\Delta_{f} \phi}{\phi} G-2 \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2}}{\phi^{2}} G+\frac{4 n-3}{8 n} \frac{G^{2}}{\phi h^{2}}+\frac{G}{2 \phi} \nabla \phi \frac{\nabla h}{h} \\
& -2[a+\tilde{b} \epsilon+(n-1) K+a \tilde{L}] G, \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the second inequality, we used (2.12). Multiplying both sides of (2.17) by $\frac{\phi}{G}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{4 n-3}{8 n} \frac{G}{h^{2}} \leq & -\frac{1}{2} \nabla \phi \frac{\nabla h}{h}+2[a+\tilde{b} \epsilon+(n-1) K+a \tilde{L}] \phi \\
& -\Delta_{f} \phi+2 \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2}}{\phi} . \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the Cauchy inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{2} \nabla \phi \frac{\nabla h}{h} & \leq \frac{1}{2}|\nabla \phi| \frac{|\nabla h|}{h} \\
& \leq \frac{n}{4 n-3} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2}}{\phi}+\frac{4 n-3}{16 n} \phi \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h^{2}} \\
& =\frac{n}{4 n-3} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2}}{\phi}+\frac{4 n-3}{16 n} \frac{G}{h^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

into (2.18) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{4 n-3}{16 n} \frac{G}{h^{2}} & \leq 2[a+\tilde{b} \epsilon+(n-1) K+a \tilde{L}] \phi-\Delta_{f} \phi+\frac{9 n-6}{4 n-3} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2}}{\phi} \\
& \leq 2[a+\tilde{b} \epsilon+(n-1) K+a \tilde{L}]+\frac{C_{1}[(n-1) K(2 R-1)+\beta]}{R}+\frac{C_{2}}{R^{2}} \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are two positive constants depending on $n$. Hence, on $B_{p}(R)$ with $R>1$, it follows from (2.19) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{4 n-3}{16 n} G(x) \leq & \frac{4 n-3}{16 n} G\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\leq & h^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)[2[a+\tilde{b} \epsilon+(n-1) K+a \tilde{L}] \\
& \left.+\frac{C_{1}[(n-1) K(2 R-1)+\beta]}{R}+\frac{C_{2}}{R^{2}}\right] . \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, the estimate (2.20) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u|^{2} \leq C A^{2}\left[\max \left\{\frac{4}{5} b+a\left(1+\frac{4}{5} L\right), 0\right\}+K+\frac{|\beta|+1}{R}\right] \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

### 2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We define $\tilde{h}=\log u$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \tilde{h}-\nabla f \nabla \tilde{h} & =\Delta_{f} \tilde{h} \\
& =\frac{\Delta_{f} u}{u}-|\nabla(\log u)|^{2} \\
& =-|\nabla \tilde{h}|^{2}-a \tilde{h}-b, \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

where, in the last equality of (2.22), we used Eq. (1.3). Using the Bochner formula with respect to the $f$-Laplacian, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{f}|\nabla \tilde{h}|^{2} & =\left|\nabla^{2} \tilde{h}\right|^{2}+\nabla \tilde{h} \nabla \Delta_{f} \tilde{h}+\operatorname{Ric}_{f}(\nabla \tilde{h}, \nabla \tilde{h}) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{n}(\Delta \tilde{h})^{2}+\nabla \tilde{h} \nabla \Delta_{f} \tilde{h}-(n-1) K|\nabla \tilde{h}|^{2} \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, by virtue of (2.22), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(\Delta \tilde{h})^{2} & =\left(-|\nabla \tilde{h}|^{2}+\nabla f \nabla \tilde{h}-a \tilde{h}-b\right)^{2} \\
& =|\nabla \tilde{h}|^{4}-2|\nabla \tilde{h}|^{2}(\nabla f \nabla \tilde{h}-a \tilde{h}-b)+(\nabla f \nabla \tilde{h}-a \tilde{h}-b)^{2} . \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

If the assumption (1) holds, then (2.24) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
(\Delta \tilde{h})^{2} & \geq|\nabla \tilde{h}|^{4}-2 \delta|\nabla \tilde{h}|^{4}+(\nabla f \nabla \tilde{h}-a \tilde{h}-b)^{2} \\
& \geq(1-2 \delta)|\nabla \tilde{h}|^{4} . \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, if the assumption (2) holds, then (2.24) shows

$$
\begin{align*}
(\Delta \tilde{h})^{2} & \geq|\nabla \tilde{h}|^{4}-(\nabla f \nabla \tilde{h}-a \tilde{h}-b)^{2}+(\nabla f \nabla \tilde{h}-a \tilde{h}-b)^{2} \\
& =|\nabla \tilde{h}|^{4} \\
& \geq(1-2 \delta)|\nabla \tilde{h}|^{4} . \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, in these two cases, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Delta \tilde{h})^{2} \geq(1-2 \delta)|\nabla \tilde{h}|^{4} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (2.23) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{f}|\nabla \tilde{h}|^{2} \geq \frac{1-2 \delta}{n}|\nabla \tilde{h}|^{4}-\nabla \tilde{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla \tilde{h}|^{2}\right)-[a+(n-1) K]|\nabla \tilde{h}|^{2} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the proof of Theorem 1.1 line by line, we obtain on $B_{p}(R)$ with $R>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla \tilde{h}|^{2} \leq \frac{C_{1}(n, \delta, \beta)}{R}+C_{2}(n, \delta) \max \{a+(n-1) K, 0\} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is taken to zero in the second assumption.
We completed the proof of Theorem 1.3.
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