RESEARCH

Journal of Inequalities and Applications a SpringerOpen Journal

Open Access



An alternative error bound for linear complementarity problems involving *B^S*-matrices

Lei Gao*

*Correspondence: gaolei@bjwlxy.edu.cn School of Mathematics and Information Science, Baoji University of Arts and Sciences, Baoji, P.R. China

Abstract

An alternative error bound for linear complementarity problems for B^{S} -matrices is presented. It is shown by numerical examples that the new bound is better than that provided by García-Esnaola and Peña (Appl. Math. Lett. 25(10):1379–1383, 2012) in some cases. New perturbation bounds of B^{S} -matrices linear complementarity problems are also considered.

MSC: 65G50; 90C31; 90C33

Keywords: Error bounds; Linear complementarity problems; B^S-matrices; P-matrices

1 Introduction

The linear complementarity problem is to find a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$x \ge 0, \qquad Mx + q \ge 0, \qquad (Mx + q)^T x = 0,$$
 (1)

where $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We denote problem (1) and its solution by LCP(M, q) and x^* , respectively. The LCP(M, q) often arises from the various scientific areas of computing, economics and engineering such as quadratic programs, optimal stopping, Nash equilibrium points for bimatrix games, network equilibrium problems, contact problems, and free boundary problems for journal bearing, etc. For more details, see [2–4].

An interesting problem for the LCP(M, q) is to estimate

$$\max_{d \in [0,1]^n} \left\| (I - D + DM)^{-1} \right\|_{\infty},\tag{2}$$

since it can often be used to bound the error $||x - x^*||_{\infty}$ [5], that is,

$$\|x-x^*\|_{\infty} \leq \max_{d\in[0,1]^n} \|M_D^{-1}\|_{\infty} \|r(x)\|_{\infty},$$

where $M_D = I - D + DM$, $D = \text{diag}(d_i)$ with $0 \le d_i \le 1$ for each $i \in N$, $d = [d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n]^T \in [0, 1]^n$, and $r(x) = \min\{x, Mx + q\}$ in which the min operator denotes the componentwise minimum of two vectors; for more details, see [1, 6–14] and the references therein.

© The Author(s) 2018. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} m_{ik} > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} m_{ik} \right) > m_{ij} \quad \text{for any } j \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } j \neq i,$$

and a matrix $M = [m_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is called a B^S -matrix [15] if there exists a subset *S*, with $2 \leq \operatorname{card}(S) \leq n - 2$, such that, for all $i, j \in N$, $t \in T(i) \setminus \{i\}$, and $k \in K(j) \setminus \{j\}$,

$$R_i^S > 0, R_j^{\overline{S}} > 0, \text{ and } (m_{it} - R_i^S)(m_{jk} - R_j^{\overline{S}}) < R_i^{\overline{S}} R_j^S,$$

where $R_i^S = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \in S} m_{ik}$, $T(i) := \{t \in S | m_{it} > R_i^S\}$ and $k(j) := \{k \in \overline{S} | m_{jk} > R_j^{\overline{S}}\}$ with $\overline{S} = N \setminus \{S\}$.

Theorem 1 ([1, Theorem 2.8]) Let $M = [m_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a B^{S} -matrix, and let $X = \text{diag}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ with

$$x_i = \begin{cases} \gamma, & i \in S, \\ 1, & otherwise, \end{cases}$$

such that $\tilde{M} := MX$ is a B-matrix with the form $\tilde{M} = \tilde{B}^+ + \tilde{C}$, where

$$\tilde{B}^{+} = [\tilde{b}_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} m_{11}x_1 - \tilde{r}_1^+ & \cdots & m_{1n}x_n - \tilde{r}_1^+ \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ m_{n1}x_1 - \tilde{r}_n^+ & \cdots & m_{nn}x_n - \tilde{r}_n^+ \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \tilde{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{r}_1^+ & \cdots & \tilde{r}_1^+ \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \tilde{r}_n^+ & \cdots & \tilde{r}_n^+ \end{bmatrix},$$
(3)

and $\tilde{r}_i^+ = \max\{0, m_{ij}x_j | j \neq i\}$. Then

$$\max_{d \in [0,1]^n} \|M_D^{-1}\|_{\infty} \le \frac{(n-1)\max\{\gamma, 1\}}{\min\{\tilde{\beta}, \gamma, 1\}},\tag{4}$$

where $\tilde{\beta} = \min_{i \in N} \{ \tilde{\beta}_i \}$ with $\tilde{\beta}_i = \tilde{b}_{ii} - \sum_{j \neq i} |\tilde{b}_{ij}|$, and

$$(0 <) \gamma \in \left(\max_{j \in N, k \in \mathcal{K}(j) \setminus \{j\}} \frac{m_{jk} - R_j^{\overline{S}}}{R_j^{\overline{S}}}, \min_{i \in N, t \in T(i) \setminus \{i\}} \frac{R_i^{\overline{S}}}{m_{it} - R_i^{\overline{S}}}\right),$$
(5)

where max (min) is set to be $-\infty$ (∞) if $K(j) \setminus \{j\} = \emptyset$ ($T(i) \setminus \{i\} = \emptyset$).

Note that for some B^S matrices, $\tilde{\beta}$ can be very small, thus the error bound (4) can be very large (see examples in Section 3). Hence it is interesting to find an alternative bound for LCP(M, q) to overcome this drawback. In this paper we provide a new upper bound for (2) and give a family of examples of B^S -matrices that are not B-matrices for which our bound is a small constant in contrast to bound (4) of [1], which can be arbitrarily large. Particularly, when the involved matrix is a B-matrix as a special class of B^S -matrices, the new bound is in line with that provided by Li *et al.* in [13].

2 Main result

First, recall some definitions and lemmas which will be used later. A matrix $M = [m_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is called: (1) a *P*-matrix if all its principal minors are positive; (2) a strictly diagonally dominant (*SDD*) matrix if $|m_{ii}| > \sum_{j \neq i}^{n} |m_{ij}|$ for all i = 1, 2, ..., n; (3) a nonsingular *M*-matrix if its inverse is nonnegative and all its off-diagonal entries are nonpositive [2].

Lemma 1 ([1, Theorem 2.3]) Let $M = [m_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a B^S -matrix. Then there exists a positive diagonal matrix $X = \text{diag}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ with

$$x_i = \begin{cases} \gamma, & i \in S, \\ 1, & otherwise, \end{cases}$$

such that $\tilde{M} := MX$ is a *B*-matrix.

Lemma 2 ([1, Lemma 2.4]) Let $M = [m_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a B^S -matrix, and let X be the diagonal matrix of Lemma 1 such that $\tilde{M} := MX$ is a B-matrix with the form $\tilde{M} = \tilde{B}^+ + \tilde{C}$, where $\tilde{B}^+ = [\tilde{b}_{ij}]$ is the matrix of (3). Then \tilde{B}^+ is strictly diagonally dominant by rows with positive diagonal entries.

Lemma 3 ([1, Lemma 2.6]) Let $M = [m_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a B^S -matrix that is not a B-matrix, then there exist $k, i \in N$ with $k \neq i$ such that

$$m_{ik} \ge \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{ij}.$$
 (6)

Furthermore, if $k \in S$ (*resp.,* $k \in \overline{S}$), *then* $\gamma < 1$ (*resp.,* $\gamma > 1$), *where the parameter* γ *satisfies* (5).

Lemma 3 will be used in the proof of Corollary 1.

Lemma 4 [17, Theorem 3.2] Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be an $n \times n$ row strictly diagonally dominant *M*-matrix. Then

$$||A^{-1}||_{\infty} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{a_{ii}(1-u_i(A)l_i(A))} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{1-u_j(A)l_j(A)} \right),$$

where $u_i(A) = \frac{1}{|a_{ii}|} \sum_{j=i+1}^n |a_{ij}|, \ l_k(A) = \max_{k \le i \le n} \{ \frac{1}{|a_{ii}|} \sum_{\substack{j=k, \ j \ne i}}^n |a_{ij}| \}, \ and \ \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{1-u_j(A)l_j(A)} = 1 \ if i = 1.$

Lemma 5 ([12, Lemma 3]) Let $\gamma > 0$ and $\eta \ge 0$. Then, for any $x \in [0, 1]$,

$$\frac{1}{1-x+\gamma x} \le \frac{1}{\min\{\gamma,1\}}$$

and

$$\frac{\eta x}{1-x+\gamma x} \leq \frac{\eta}{\gamma}$$

$$\frac{1-x_i+a_{ii}x_i}{1-x_i+a_{ii}x_i-\sum_{j=i+1}^n|a_{ij}|x_i}\leq \frac{a_{ii}}{a_{ii}-\sum_{j=i+1}^n|a_{ij}|}.$$

We now give the main result of this paper by using Lemmas 1, 2, 4, 5, and 6.

Theorem 2 Let $M = [m_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a B^S -matrix and $X = \text{diag}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ with

$$x_i = \begin{cases} \gamma, & i \in S, \\ 1, & otherwise \end{cases}$$

such that $\tilde{M} := MX$ is a *B*-matrix with the form $\tilde{M} = \tilde{B}^+ + \tilde{C}$, where $\tilde{B}^+ = [\tilde{b}_{ij}]$ is the matrix of (3). Then

$$\max_{d \in [0,1]^n} \left\| M_D^{-1} \right\|_{\infty} \le \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(n-1) \max\{\gamma, 1\}}{\min\{\widehat{\beta}_i, x_i\}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\widetilde{b}_{jj}}{\widehat{\beta}_j},\tag{7}$$

where $\widehat{\beta}_i = \widetilde{b}_{ii} - \sum_{k=i+1}^n |\widetilde{b}_{ik}| l_i(\widetilde{B}^+)$, and $\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\widetilde{b}_{jj}}{\widehat{\beta}_j} = 1$ if i = 1.

Proof Since X is a positive diagonal matrix and $\tilde{M} := MX$, it is easy to get that $M_D = I - D + DM = (X - DX + D\tilde{M})X^{-1}$. Let $\tilde{M}_D = X - DX + D\tilde{M}$. Then

$$\tilde{M}_D = X - DX + D\tilde{M} = X - DX + D(\tilde{B}^+ + \tilde{C}) = \tilde{B}_D^+ + \tilde{C}_D$$

where $\tilde{B}_D^+ = X - DX + D\tilde{B}^+ = [\hat{b}_{ij}]$ with

$$\hat{b}_{ij} = \begin{cases} x_i - d_i x_i + d_i \tilde{b}_{ij}, & i = j, \\ d_i \tilde{b}_{ij}, & i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

and $\tilde{C}_D = D\tilde{C}$. By Lemma 2, \tilde{B}^+ is strictly diagonally dominant by rows with positive diagonal entries. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [10], we can obtain that \tilde{B}_D^+ is an *SDD* matrix with positive diagonal entries and that

$$\begin{split} \|M_{D}^{-1}\|_{\infty} &\leq \|X^{-1}\|_{\infty} \cdot \|\tilde{M}_{D}^{-1}\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \|X^{-1}\|_{\infty} \cdot \|(I + (\tilde{B}_{D}^{+})^{-1}\tilde{C}_{D})^{-1}\|_{\infty} \cdot \|(\tilde{B}_{D}^{+})^{-1}\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \max\{\gamma, 1\} \cdot (n-1) \cdot \|(\tilde{B}_{D}^{+})^{-1}\|_{\infty}. \end{split}$$

$$\tag{8}$$

Next, we give an upper bound for $\|(\tilde{B}_D^+)^{-1}\|_{\infty}$. Notice that \tilde{B}_D^+ is an *SDD Z*-matrix with positive diagonal entries, and thus \tilde{B}_D^+ is an *SDD M*-matrix. By Lemma 4, we have

$$\left\| \left(\tilde{B}_{D}^{+}\right)^{-1} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{(x_{i} - d_{i}x_{i} + d_{i}\tilde{b}_{ii})(1 - u_{i}(\tilde{B}_{D}^{+})l_{i}(\tilde{B}_{D}^{+}))} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{1 - u_{j}(\tilde{B}_{D}^{+})l_{j}(\tilde{B}_{D}^{+})} \right),$$

where

$$u_i(\tilde{B}_D^+) = \frac{\sum_{j=i+1}^n |\tilde{b}_{ij}| d_i}{x_i - d_i x_i + \tilde{b}_{ii} d_i}, \quad \text{and} \quad l_k(\tilde{B}_D^+) = \max_{k \le i \le n} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{j=k, \ne i}^n |\tilde{b}_{ij}| d_i}{x_i - d_i x_i + \tilde{b}_{ii} d_i} \right\}.$$

By Lemma 5, we deduce for each $k \in N$ that

$$l_k(\tilde{B}_D^+) = \max_{k \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{\frac{\sum_{j=k, \neq i}^n |\tilde{b}_{ij}|}{x_i} d_i}{1 - d_i + \frac{\tilde{b}_{ii}}{x_i} d_i} \right\} \leq \max_{k \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{b}_{ii}} \sum_{j=k, \neq i}^n |\tilde{b}_{ij}| \right\} = l_k(\tilde{B}^+) < 1,$$

and for each $i \in N$ that

$$\frac{1}{(x_{i} - d_{i}x_{i} + d_{i}\tilde{b}_{ii})(1 - u_{i}(\tilde{B}_{D}^{+})l_{i}(\tilde{B}_{D}^{+}))} = \frac{1}{x_{i} - d_{i}x_{i} + d_{i}\tilde{b}_{ii} - \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} |\tilde{b}_{ij}|d_{i}l_{i}(\tilde{B}_{D}^{+})}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{1}{x_{i}}}{1 - d_{i} + \frac{d_{i}}{x_{i}}(\tilde{b}_{ii} - \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} |\tilde{b}_{ij}|l_{i}(\tilde{B}_{D}^{+}))}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\min\{\tilde{b}_{ii} - \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} |\tilde{b}_{ij}|l_{i}(\tilde{B}^{+}), x_{i}\}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\min\{\hat{\beta}_{i}, x_{i}\}}.$$
(9)

Furthermore, according to Lemma 6, it follows that for each $j \in N$,

$$\frac{1}{1 - u_{j}(B_{D}^{+})l_{j}(B_{D}^{+})} = \frac{1 - d_{j} + \frac{b_{jj}}{x_{j}}d_{j}}{1 - d_{j} + \frac{\tilde{b}_{jj}}{x_{j}}d_{j} - \frac{\sum_{k=j+1}^{n}|\tilde{b}_{jk}|}{x_{j}}d_{j}l_{j}(B_{D}^{+})} \\
\leq \frac{\tilde{b}_{jj}}{\tilde{b}_{jj} - \sum_{k=j+1}^{n}|\tilde{b}_{jk}|l_{j}(\tilde{B}^{+})} = \frac{\tilde{b}_{jj}}{\tilde{\beta}_{j}}.$$
(10)

By (9) and (10), we derive

$$\left\|\left(\tilde{B}_{D}^{+}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\min\{\widehat{\beta}_{i}, x_{i}\}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\tilde{b}_{jj}}{\widehat{\beta}_{j}}.$$
(11)

Now the conclusion follows from (8) and (11).

Remark here that when the matrix M is a B-matrix, then X = I and

$$\tilde{B}^{+} = [\tilde{b}_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} m_{11} - r_{1}^{+} & \cdots & m_{1n} - r_{1}^{+} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ m_{n1} - r_{n}^{+} & \cdots & m_{nn} - r_{n}^{+} \end{bmatrix},$$

which yields

$$\max_{d\in[0,1]^n} \|(I-D+DM)^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{n-1}{\min\{\widehat{\beta}_i,1\}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\widetilde{b}_{jj}}{\widehat{\beta}_j}.$$

This upper bound is consistent with that provided by Li *et al.* in [13]. Furthermore, for a B^S -matrix that is not a *B*-matrix, the following corollary can be obtained easily by Lemma 3 and Theorem 2.

Corollary 1 Let $M = [m_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a B^S -matrix that is not a B-matrix, and let $k, i \in N$ with $k \neq i$ such that $m_{ik} \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{ij}$. If $k \in \overline{S}$, then

$$\max_{d \in [0,1]^n} \|M_D^{-1}\|_{\infty} \le \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(n-1)\gamma}{\min\{\widehat{\beta}_i, 1\}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\widetilde{b}_{jj}}{\widehat{\beta}_j};$$
(12)

if $k \in S$ *, then*

$$\max_{d \in [0,1]^n} \|M_D^{-1}\|_{\infty} \le \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{n-1}{\min\{\widehat{\beta}_i, \gamma\}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\widetilde{b}_{jj}}{\widehat{\beta}_j},\tag{13}$$

where γ satisfies (5).

Example 1 Consider the family of B^S -matrices for $S = \{1, 2\}$:

$$M_m = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 1.5 \\ -\frac{2m}{m+1} & 2 & \frac{1}{m+1} & \frac{1}{m+1} \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $m \ge 1$. Appropriate scaling matrices could be $X = \text{diag}\{\gamma, \gamma, 1, 1\}$, with $\gamma \in (\frac{3.5}{3}, 1.5)$. So $\tilde{M}_m := M_m X$ can be written $\tilde{M} = \tilde{B}_m^+ + \tilde{C}_m$ as in (3), with

$$\tilde{B}_{m}^{+} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\gamma - 1.5 & \gamma - 1.5 & -0.5 & 0 \\ -\frac{2m}{m+1}\gamma - \frac{1}{m+1} & 2\gamma - \frac{1}{m+1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 - \gamma & 1 - \gamma \\ 0 & 0 & 1 - \gamma & 2 - \gamma \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\tilde{C}_{m} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & 1.5 & 1.5 & 1.5 \\ \frac{1}{m+1} & \frac{1}{m+1} & \frac{1}{m+1} & \frac{1}{m+1} \\ \gamma & \gamma & \gamma & \gamma \\ \gamma & \gamma & \gamma & \gamma \\ \end{array} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By computations, we have $\tilde{\beta}_1 = 3\gamma - 3.5$, $\tilde{\beta}_2 = \frac{2(\gamma-1)}{m+1}$, $\tilde{\beta}_3 = \tilde{\beta}_4 = 3 - 2\gamma$, $l_1(\tilde{B}^+) = \max\{\frac{2-\gamma}{2\gamma-1.5}, \frac{2m\gamma+1}{2(m+1)\gamma-1}, \frac{\gamma-1}{2-\gamma}\}$, $\hat{\beta}_1 = 2\gamma - 1.5 - (2-\gamma)l_1(\tilde{B}^+)$, $\hat{\beta}_2 = 2\gamma - \frac{1}{m+1}$, $\hat{\beta}_3 = \frac{3-2\gamma}{2-\gamma}$, and $\hat{\beta}_4 = 2 - \gamma$. Obviously, M_m satisfies $m_{ik} \geq \frac{1}{4}\sum_{j=1}^4 m_{ij}$ for i = 1 and k = 4 ($\in \overline{S}$): 1.5 > 1.375, which implies that M_m is not a *B*-matrix. Then bound (12) in Corollary 1 is given by

$$3\gamma \left(\frac{1}{\min\{\widehat{\beta}_1,\gamma\}} + \frac{1}{\min\{\widehat{\beta}_2,\gamma\}}\frac{\widetilde{b}_{11}}{\widehat{\beta}_1} + \frac{1}{\min\{\widehat{\beta}_3,\gamma\}}\frac{\widetilde{b}_{11}}{\widehat{\beta}_1}\frac{\widetilde{b}_{22}}{\widehat{\beta}_2} + \frac{1}{\min\{\widehat{\beta}_4,\gamma\}}\frac{\widetilde{b}_{11}}{\widehat{\beta}_1}\frac{\widetilde{b}_{22}}{\widehat{\beta}_2}\frac{\widetilde{b}_{33}}{\widehat{\beta}_3}\right),$$

Table 1 Bound (4) and bound (7) for $m = 2, 20, 30, ..., +\infty$

т	2	20	30	60	100	 $+\infty$
Bound (4)	7.3125	51.1875	75.5625	148.6875	246.1875	 $+\infty$
Bound (7)	48.1089	54.4704	54.8144	55.1699	55.3155	 55.5375

which converges to a constant

$$3\gamma \left(\frac{1}{3\gamma - 3.5} + \frac{2\gamma - 1.5}{(3\gamma - 3.5)\gamma} + \frac{2(2 - \gamma)(2\gamma - 1.5)}{(3 - 2\gamma)(3\gamma - 3.5)}\right)$$

with $\gamma \in (\frac{3.5}{3}, 1.5)$ when $m \to +\infty$. In contrast, bound (4) in Theorem 1, with the hypotheses that $m \ge 2$, is

$$\frac{(4-1)\max\{\gamma,1\}}{\min\{\tilde{\beta},\gamma,1\}} = \frac{3\gamma}{2\gamma-1}(m+1)$$

and it can be arbitrarily large when $m \to +\infty$.

In particular, if we choose $\gamma = 1.3$, then bound (4) and bound (7) for $m = 2, 20, 30, ..., +\infty$ can be given as shown in Table 1.

Remark 1 From Example 1, it is easy to see that each bound (4) or (7) can work better than the other one. This means it is difficult to say in advance which one will work better. However, for a B^S -matrix M with $\tilde{M} = \tilde{B}^+ + \tilde{C}$, where the diagonal dominance of \tilde{B}^+ is weak (e.g., for a matrix M_m with a large number of m here), we can say that bound (7) is more effective to estimate $\max_{d \in [0,1]^n} ||M_D^{-1}||_{\infty}$ than bound (4). Therefore, in general case, for the LCP(M, q) involved with a B^S -matrix, one can take the smallest of them:

$$\max_{d \in [0,1]^n} \|M_D^{-1}\|_{\infty} \le \min\left\{\frac{(n-1)\max\{\gamma,1\}}{\min\{\tilde{\beta},\gamma,1\}}, \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(n-1)\max\{\gamma,1\}}{\min\{\hat{\beta}_i,x_i\}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\tilde{b}_{jj}}{\hat{\beta}_j}\right\}.$$

To measure the sensitivity of the solution of the *P*-matrix linear complementarity problem, Chen and Xiang in [5] introduced the following constant for a *P*-matrix *M*:

$$\beta_P(M) = \max_{d \in [0,1]^n} \left\| (I - D + DM)^{-1} D \right\|_P$$

where $\|\cdot\|_p$ is the matrix norm induced by the vector norm for $p \ge 1$.

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.4 in [1], we can also give new perturbation bounds for B^S -matrices linear complementarity problems based on Theorem 2.

Theorem 3 Let $M = [m_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a B^S -matrix and $\tilde{B}^+ = [\tilde{b}_{ij}]$ be the matrix given in Lemma 2. Then

$$\beta_{\infty}(M) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(n-1)\max\{\gamma,1\}}{\min\{\widehat{\beta}_{i},x_{i}\}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\widetilde{b}_{jj}}{\widehat{\beta}_{j}},$$

where $\widehat{\beta}_i = \widetilde{b}_{ii} - \sum_{k=i+1}^n |\widetilde{b}_{ik}| l_i(\widetilde{B}^+)$, and $\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\widetilde{b}_{jj}}{\beta_j} = 1$ if i = 1.

Similarly, by Corollary 1 and Theorem 3, we can derive the following corollary.

Corollary 2 Let $M = [m_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a B^S -matrix that is not a B-matrix, and let $k, i \in N$ with $k \neq i$ such that $m_{ik} \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{ij}$. If $k \in \overline{S}$, then

$$\beta_{\infty}(M) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(n-1)\gamma}{\min\{\widehat{\beta}_{i},1\}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\widetilde{b}_{jj}}{\widehat{\beta}_{j}};$$

if $k \in S$ *, then*

$$\beta_{\infty}(M) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-1}{\min\{\widehat{\beta}_{i}, \gamma\}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\widetilde{b}_{jj}}{\widehat{\beta}_{j}},$$

where γ satisfies (5).

3 Conclusions

In this paper, we give an alternative bound for $\max_{d \in [0,1]^n} ||(I - D + DM)^{-1}||_{\infty}$ when M is a B^S -matrix, which improves that provided by García-Esnaola and Peña [1] in some cases. We also present new perturbation bounds of B^S -matrices linear complementarity problems.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to the two anonymous reviewers and the editor for their useful and constructive suggestions. The author also gives special thanks to Chaoqian Li for his discussion and comments during the preparation of this manuscript. This work is partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31600299), Young Talent Fund of University Association for Science and Technology in Shaanxi, China (20160234), the Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi province, China (2017JQ3020), and the key project of Baoji University of Arts and Sciences (ZK2017021).

Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

Only the author contributed to this work. The author read and approved the final manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 15 November 2017 Accepted: 23 January 2018 Published online: 02 February 2018

References

- García-Esnaola, M., Peña, J.M.: Error bounds for linear complementarity problems involving B^S-matrices. Appl. Math. Lett. 25(10), 1379–1383 (2012)
- 2. Berman, A., Plemmons, R.J.: Nonnegative Matrix in the Mathematical Sciences. SIAM, Philadelphia (1994)
- 3. Cottle, R.W., Pang, J.S., Stone, R.E.: The Linear Complementarity Problem. Academic Press, San Diego (1992)
- 4. Murty, K.G.: Linear Complementarity, Linear and Nonlinear Programming. Heldermann Verlag, Berlin (1988)
- Chen, X.J., Xiang, S.H.: Perturbation bounds of P-matrix linear complementarity problems. SIAM J. Optim. 18(4), 1250–1265 (2007)
- Chen, T.T., Li, W., Wu, X., Vong, S.: Error bounds for linear complementarity problems of *MB*-matrices. Numer. Algorithms 70(2), 341–356 (2015)
- Dai, P.F.: Error bounds for linear complementarity problems of *DB*-matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. 434(3), 830–840 (2011)
- Dai, P.F., Li, Y.T., Lu, C.J.: Error bounds for linear complementarity problems for SB-matrices. Numer. Algorithms 61(1), 121–139 (2012)
- 9. Dai, P.F., Lu, C.J., Li, Y.T.: New error bounds for the linear complementarity problem with an SB-matrix. Numer. Algorithms 64(4), 741–757 (2013)
- García-Esnaola, M., Peña, J.M.: Error bounds for linear complementarity problems for *B*-matrices. Appl. Math. Lett. 22(7), 1071–1075 (2009)
- Li, C.Q., Li, Y.T.: Weakly chained diagonally dominant *B*-matrices and error bounds for linear complementarity problems. Numer. Algorithms 73(4), 985–998 (2016)

- Li, C.Q., Li, Y.T.: Note on error bounds for linear complementarity problems for *B*-matrices. Appl. Math. Lett. 57, 108–113 (2016)
- Li, C.Q., Gan, M.T., Yang, S.R.: A new error bound for linear complementarity problems for *B*-matrices. Electron. J. Linear Algebra 31(1), 476–484 (2016)
- Wang, F.: Error bounds for linear complementarity problems of weakly chained diagonally dominant *B*-matrices. J. Inequal. Appl. 2017, Article ID 33 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-017-1303-52017
- 15. Cvetković, L., Peña, J.M.: Minimal sets alternative to minimal Geršgorin sets. Appl. Numer. Math. 60, 442–451 (2010)
- Peña, J.M.: A class of *P*-matrices with applications to the localization of the eigenvalues of a real matrix. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 22(4), 1027–1037 (2001)
- Wang, P.: An upper bound for ||A⁻¹ ||_∞ of strictly diagonally dominant *M*-matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. 431(5–7), 511–517 (2009)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[●] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com