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#### Abstract

M-eigenvalues of fourth-order partially symmetric tensors play an important role in many real fields such as quantum entanglement and nonlinear elastic materials analysis. In this paper, we give two bounds for the maximal absolute value of all the M-eigenvalues (called the M-spectral radius) of a fourth-order partially symmetric tensor and discuss the relation of them. A numerical example is given to explain the proposed results.


Keywords: M -eigenvalues; M -spectral radius; partially symmetric; tensors

## 1 Introduction

A fourth-order real tensor $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times m \times n}$ is called partially symmetric [1] if it has the following symmetry:

$$
a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}=a_{i_{3} i_{2} i_{1} i_{4}}=a_{i_{1} i_{4} i_{3} i_{2}}, \quad \forall i_{1}, i_{3} \in[m], \forall i_{2}, i_{4} \in[n],
$$

where $[m]=\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$ and $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Such a tensor often arises in nonlinear elastic materials analysis [2,3] and entanglement studies in quantum physics [4-6]. For this tensor, there are many kinds of eigenvalues such as H -eigenvalues, Z-eigenvalues, and Deigenvalues [7, 8]; here we only discuss its M-eigenvalues [1, 9].

Definition 1 ([9]) Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times m \times n}$ be a partially symmetric tensor, and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that there are real vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{A} \cdot y x y=\lambda x  \tag{1}\\
\mathcal{A} x y x \cdot=\lambda y \\
x^{T} x=1 \\
y^{T} y=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\mathcal{A} \cdot y x y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $\mathcal{A} x y x \cdot \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $i$ th components

$$
(\mathcal{A} \cdot y x y)_{i}=\sum_{i_{3} \in[m]} \sum_{i_{2}, i_{4} \in[n]} a_{i i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}} y_{i_{2}} x_{i_{3}} y_{i_{4}}
$$

and

$$
(\mathcal{A x y x} .)_{i}=\sum_{i_{1}, i_{3} \in[m]} \sum_{i_{2} \in[n]} a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i} x_{i_{1}} y_{i_{2}} x_{i_{3}} .
$$

Then $\lambda$ is called an M-eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}$ with left M -eigenvector $x$ and right M -eigenvector $y$.

Note that M-eigenvalues of a fourth-order partially symmetric tensor always exist [1]. They have a close relation to many problems in the theory of elasticity and quantum physics $[1,9,10]$. For example, the largest M-eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times m \times n}$, denoted by

$$
\lambda^{\star}=\max \{\lambda: \lambda \text { is an M-eigenvalue of } \mathcal{A}\}
$$

is the optimum solution of the problem (for details, see [9])

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max & f(x, y)=\sum_{i_{1}, i_{3}=1}^{m} \sum_{i_{2}, i_{4}=1}^{n} a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}} x_{i_{1}} y_{i_{2}} x_{i_{3}} y_{i_{4}}, \\
\text { s.t. } & x^{T} x=1, \quad y^{T} y=1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The outer product $\lambda x \circ y \circ x \circ y$, where

$$
(\lambda x \circ y \circ x \circ y)_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}=\lambda x_{i_{1}} y_{i_{2}} x_{i_{3}} y_{i_{4}}, \quad \forall i_{1}, i_{3} \in[m], \forall i_{2}, i_{4} \in[n]
$$

and $\lambda$ is an M-eigenvalue with the maximal absolute value of $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times m \times n}$ with left M-eigenvector $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and right M-eigenvector $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, is a partially symmetric best rank-one approximation of $\mathcal{A}$ [1], which has wide applications in signal and image processing, wireless communication systems, and independent component analysis [1114]. The M -spectral radius of $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times m \times n}$, denoted by

$$
\rho_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathcal{A})=\max \{|\lambda|: \lambda \text { is an } \mathrm{M} \text {-eigenvalue of } \mathcal{A}\}
$$

has significant impacts on identifying nonsingular $\mathscr{M}$-tensors, which satisfy the strong ellipticity condition [10].
To our knowledge, there are few results about bounds for the M-spectral radius of a fourth-order partially symmetric tensor. In this paper, we present two bounds for the Mspectral radius and discuss their relation. A numerical example is also given to explain the proposed results.

## 2 Two bounds for the M-spectral radius

In this section, we give two bounds for the M-spectral radius of fourth-order partially symmetric tensors and discuss their relation.

Theorem 1 Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times m \times n}$ be a partially symmetric tensor. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \sqrt{\max _{i \in[m]}\left\{R_{i}(\mathcal{A})\right\} \cdot \max _{l \in[n]}\left\{C_{l}(\mathcal{A})\right\}}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
R_{i}(\mathcal{A})=\sum_{i_{3} \in[m]} \sum_{i_{2}, i_{4} \in[n]}\left|a_{i_{2}} i_{3} i_{4}\right|, \quad C_{l}(\mathcal{A})=\sum_{i_{1}, i_{3} \in[m]} \sum_{i_{2} \in[n]}\left|a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}}\right| .
$$

Proof Suppose that $\lambda$ is an M-eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}$ and that $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are associated left M-eigenvector and right M-eigenvector. Then (1) holds. Let

$$
x_{p}=\max _{k \in[m]}\left\{\left|x_{k}\right|\right\}, \quad y_{q}=\max _{k \in[n]}\left\{\left|y_{k}\right|\right\} .
$$

Since $x^{T} x=1$ and $y^{T} y=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\left|x_{p}\right| \leq 1, \quad 0<\left|y_{q}\right| \leq 1 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $p$ th equation of $\mathcal{A} \cdot y x y=\lambda x$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda x_{p}=\sum_{i_{3} \in[m]} \sum_{i_{2}, i_{4} \in[n]} a_{p i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}} y_{i_{2}} x_{i_{3}} y_{i_{4}} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the absolute values on both sides of (4) and using the triangle inequality give

$$
\begin{align*}
|\lambda|\left|x_{p}\right| & \leq \sum_{i_{3} \in[m]} \sum_{i_{2}, i_{4} \in[n]}\left|a_{p i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}\right|\left|y_{i_{2}}\right|\left|x_{i_{3}}\right|\left|y_{i_{4}}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{i_{3} \in[m]} \sum_{i_{2}, i_{4} \in[n]}\left|a_{p i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}\right|\left|y_{q}\right| \\
& =R_{p}(\mathcal{A})\left|y_{q}\right| . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, by the $q$ th equation of $\mathcal{A} x y x .=\lambda y$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
|\lambda|\left|y_{q}\right| & \leq \sum_{i_{1}, i_{3} \in[m]} \sum_{i_{2} \in[n]}\left|a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} q}\right|\left|x_{i_{1}}\right|\left|y_{i_{2}}\right|\left|x_{i_{3}}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{i_{1}, i_{3} \in[m]} \sum_{i_{2} \in[n]}\left|a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} q}\right|\left|x_{p}\right| \\
& =C_{q}(\mathcal{A})\left|x_{p}\right| . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying (5) and (6) gives

$$
|\lambda|^{2}\left|x_{p}\right|\left|y_{q}\right| \leq R_{p}(\mathcal{A}) C_{q}(\mathcal{A})\left|x_{p}\right|\left|y_{q}\right|,
$$

which, together with (3), yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\lambda|^{2} \leq R_{p}(\mathcal{A}) C_{q}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max _{i \in[m], l \in[n]}\left\{R_{i}(\mathcal{A}) C_{l}(\mathcal{A})\right\} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (7) holds for all M-eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}$, we have

$$
\rho_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \sqrt{\max _{i \in[m], l \in[n]}\left\{R_{i}(\mathcal{A}) C_{l}(\mathcal{A})\right\}}=\sqrt{\max _{i \in[m]}\left\{R_{i}(\mathcal{A})\right\} \cdot \max _{l \in[n]}\left\{C_{l}(\mathcal{A})\right\}},
$$

and the conclusion follows.

Theorem 2 Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times m \times n}$ be a partially symmetric tensor, and let $\alpha$ be any subset of $[m]$ and $\beta$ be any subset of $[n]$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \min \left\{\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{1}=\min _{\alpha \subseteq[m]}\left\{\max _{p \in[m], q \in[n]}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(R_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{A})+\sqrt{R_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{A})^{2}+4\left(R_{p}(\mathcal{A})-R_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{A})\right) C_{q}(\mathcal{A})}\right)\right\}\right\}, \\
& \mu_{2}=\min _{\beta \subseteq[n]}\left\{\max _{p \in[m], q \in[n]}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(C_{q}^{\beta}(\mathcal{A})+\sqrt{C_{q}^{\beta}(\mathcal{A})^{2}+4\left(C_{q}(\mathcal{A})-C_{q}^{\beta}(\mathcal{A})\right) R_{p}(\mathcal{A})}\right)\right\}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
R_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{A})=\sum_{i_{3} \in \alpha} \sum_{i_{2}, i_{4} \in[n]}\left|a_{p i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}\right|, \quad C_{q}^{\beta}(\mathcal{A})=\sum_{i_{2} \in \beta} \sum_{i_{1}, i_{3} \in[m]}\left|a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} q}\right| .
$$

Proof Assume that $\lambda$ is an M-eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}$ and that $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are the corresponding left M-eigenvector and right M-eigenvector. Then (1) holds. Let

$$
\left|x_{p}\right|=\max _{k \in[m]}\left\{\left|x_{k}\right|\right\}, \quad\left|y_{q}\right|=\max _{k \in[n]}\left\{\left|y_{k}\right|\right\} .
$$

Then (3) holds. The $p$ th equation of $\mathcal{A} \cdot y x y=\lambda x$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda x_{p}=\sum_{i_{3} \in \alpha} \sum_{i_{2}, i_{4} \in[n]} a_{p i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}} y_{i_{2}} x_{i_{3}} y_{i_{4}}+\sum_{i_{3} \notin \alpha} \sum_{i_{2}, i_{4} \in[n]} a_{p i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}} y_{i_{2}} x_{i_{3}} y_{i_{4}} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the technique for the inequality in Theorem 1, we obtain from (9) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\lambda|\left|x_{p}\right| & \leq \sum_{i_{3} \in \alpha} \sum_{i_{2}, i_{4} \in[n]}\left|a_{p i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}\right|\left|y_{i_{2}}\right|\left|x_{p}\right|\left|y_{i_{4}}\right|+\sum_{i_{3} \notin \alpha} \sum_{i_{2}, i_{4} \in[n]}\left|a_{p i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}\right|\left|y_{i_{2}}\right|\left|x_{i_{3}}\right|\left|y_{q}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{i_{3} \in \alpha} \sum_{i_{2}, i_{4} \in[n]}\left|a_{p i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}\right|\left|x_{p}\right|+\sum_{i_{3} \notin \alpha} \sum_{i_{2}, i_{4} \in[n]}\left|a_{p i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}\right|\left|y_{q}\right| \\
& =R_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{A})\left|x_{p}\right|+\left(R_{p}(\mathcal{A})-R_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{A})\right)\left|y_{q}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(|\lambda|-R_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{A})\right)\left|x_{p}\right| \leq\left(R_{p}(\mathcal{A})-R_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{A})\right)\left|y_{q}\right| . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, by the $q$ th equation of $\mathcal{A x y x} \cdot=\lambda y$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\lambda|\left|y_{q}\right| \leq \sum_{i_{1}, i_{3} \in[m]} \sum_{i_{2} \in[n]}\left|a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} q}\right|\left|x_{p}\right|=C_{q}(\mathcal{A})\left|x_{p}\right| . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (10) with (11) and using (3) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(|\lambda|-R_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{A})\right)|\lambda| \leq\left(R_{p}(\mathcal{A})-R_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{A})\right) C_{q}(\mathcal{A}) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
|\lambda| & \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(R_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{A})+\sqrt{R_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{A})^{2}+4\left(R_{p}(\mathcal{A})-R_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{A})\right) C_{q}(\mathcal{A})}\right) \\
& \leq \max _{p \in[m], q \in[n]}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(R_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{A})+\sqrt{R_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{A})^{2}+4\left(R_{p}(\mathcal{A})-R_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{A})\right) C_{q}(\mathcal{A})}\right)\right\} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that (13) holds for all M-eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}$ and any $\alpha \subseteq[m]$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \mu_{1} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for the $q$ th equation of $\mathcal{A x y x} \cdot=\lambda y$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda y_{q}=\sum_{i_{2} \in \beta} \sum_{i_{1}, i_{3} \in[m]} a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} q} x_{i_{1}} y_{i_{2}} x_{i_{3}}+\sum_{i_{2} \notin \beta} \sum_{i_{1}, i_{3} \in[m]} a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} q} x_{i_{1}} y_{i_{2}} x_{i_{3}} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\lambda|\left|y_{q}\right| & \leq \sum_{i_{2} \in \beta} \sum_{i_{1}, i_{3} \in[m]}\left|a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} q}\right|\left|y_{q}\right|+\sum_{i_{2} \notin \beta} \sum_{i_{1}, i_{3} \in[m]}\left|a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} q}\right|\left|x_{p}\right| \\
& =C_{q}^{\beta}(\mathcal{A})\left|y_{q}\right|+\left(C_{q}(\mathcal{A})-C_{q}^{\beta}(\mathcal{A})\right)\left|x_{p}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(|\lambda|-C_{q}^{\beta}(\mathcal{A})\right)\left|y_{q}\right| \leq\left(C_{q}(\mathcal{A})-C_{q}^{\beta}(\mathcal{A})\right)\left|x_{p}\right| . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the $p$ th equation of $\mathcal{A} \cdot y x y=\lambda x$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\lambda|\left|x_{p}\right| \leq \sum_{i_{3} \in[m]} \sum_{i_{2}, i_{4} \in[n]}\left|a_{p i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}\right|\left|y_{q}\right|=R_{p}(\mathcal{A})\left|y_{q}\right| . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (16) with (17) and using (3), we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(|\lambda|-C_{q}^{\beta}(\mathcal{A})\right)|\lambda| \leq\left(C_{q}(\mathcal{A})-C_{q}^{\beta}(\mathcal{A})\right) R_{p}(\mathcal{A}) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
|\lambda| & \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(C_{q}^{\beta}(\mathcal{A})+\sqrt{C_{q}^{\beta}(\mathcal{A})^{2}+4\left(C_{q}(\mathcal{A})-C_{q}^{\beta}(\mathcal{A})\right) R_{p}(\mathcal{A})}\right) \\
& \leq \max _{p \in[m], q \in[n]}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(C_{q}^{\beta}(\mathcal{A})+\sqrt{C_{q}^{\beta}(\mathcal{A})^{2}+4\left(C_{q}(\mathcal{A})-C_{q}^{\beta}(\mathcal{A})\right) R_{p}(\mathcal{A})}\right)\right\} . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Since (19) holds for all M-eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}$ and any $\beta \subseteq[n]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \mu_{2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (14) and (20) we have

$$
\rho_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \min \left\{\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right\}
$$

The proof is completed.

Remark 1 Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{p \in[m], q \in[n]}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(R_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{A})+\sqrt{R_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{A})^{2}+4\left(R_{p}(\mathcal{A})-R_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{A})\right) C_{q}(\mathcal{A})}\right)\right\} \\
& \quad=\max _{p \in[m], q \in[n]}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(C_{q}^{\beta}(\mathcal{A})+\sqrt{C_{q}^{\beta}(\mathcal{A})^{2}+4\left(C_{q}(\mathcal{A})-C_{q}^{\beta}(\mathcal{A})\right) R_{p}(\mathcal{A})}\right)\right\} \\
& \quad=\sqrt{\max _{p \in[m]}\left\{R_{p}(\mathcal{A})\right\} \cdot \max _{q \in[n]}\left\{C_{q}(\mathcal{A})\right\}}
\end{aligned}
$$

when $\alpha=\varnothing$ and $\beta=\varnothing$, we have

$$
\min \left\{\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right\} \leq \sqrt{\max _{p \in[m]}\left\{R_{p}(\mathcal{A})\right\} \cdot \max _{q \in[n]}\left\{C_{q}(\mathcal{A})\right\}}
$$

Therefore, the bound in (8) is tighter than the bound in (2) for the M -spectral radius $\rho_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathcal{A})$ of a given tensor $\mathcal{A}$.

Remark 2 Although the bound in (8) is tighter than the bound in (2), it is easier to compute the bound in (2) for the M -spectral radius of a given tensor.

Next, we use a numerical example to show the effectiveness of the bounds in Theorems 1 and 2.

Example 1 Consider the partially symmetric tensor $\mathcal{A}_{1}=\left(a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} i_{4}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1111}=1.1112, \quad a_{1311}=6.1096, \quad a_{3111}=0.3032, \quad a_{2121}=1.4125, \\
& a_{3131}=1, \quad a_{1212}=0.0788, \quad a_{2222}=1, \quad a_{3222}=0.6032, \\
& a_{3232}=0.3657, \quad a_{1313}=2, \quad a_{2323}=0.6226, \quad a_{3333}=0.3,
\end{aligned}
$$

and the remaining zero elements. By Theorem 1 we have

$$
\rho_{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right) \leq 12.6843
$$

By Theorem 2 we have

$$
\rho_{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right) \leq 10.2397
$$

In fact, $\rho_{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right) \approx 7.6841$.

## 3 Conclusions

In this paper, we have presented two bounds for the M-spectral radius of a fourth-order partially symmetric tensor and have indicated their relation. To show the effectiveness of the proposed results, a numerical example is also given.
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