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#### Abstract

Let $f$ be an analytic function in the unit disc $|z|<1$ on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. This paper is devoted to obtaining the correspondence between $f(z)$ and $z f^{\prime}(z)$ at the point $w, 0<|w|=R<1$, such that $|f(w)|=\min \{|f(z)|: f(z) \in \partial f(|z| \leq R)\}$. We present several applications of the main result. A part of them improve the previous results of this type.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the class of analytic functions in the unit disc $|z|<1$ on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. The following lemma is a particular case of the Julia-Wolf theorem. It is known as Jack's lemma.

Lemma 1.1 ([1]) Let $\omega(z) \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\omega(0)=0$. If for a certain $z_{0},\left|z_{0}\right|<1$, we have $|\omega(z)| \leq$ $\left|\omega\left(z_{0}\right)\right|$ for $|z| \leq\left|z_{0}\right|$, then $z_{0} \omega^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=m \omega\left(z_{0}\right), m \geq 1$.

In this paper, we consider a related problem. We establish a relation between $w(z)$ and $z w^{\prime}(z)$ at the point $z_{0}$ such that $\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\min \left\{|w(z)|:|z|=\left|z_{0}\right|\right\}$ or at the point $z_{0}$ satisfying (1.1). We consider the $p$-valent functions.

Lemma 1.2 Let $w(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ be analytic in $|z|<1$. Assume that there exists $a$ point $z_{0},\left|z_{0}\right|=R<1$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \{|w(z)|: w(z) \in \partial w(|z| \leq R)\}=\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|>0 . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $w(z) / z^{p} \neq 0$ in $|z|<R$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{w\left(z_{0}\right)}=k_{1} \leq p . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the function $w(z) / z^{p}$ has a zero in $|z|<R$ and $\partial w(|z| \leq R)$ is a smooth curve at $w\left(z_{0}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{w\left(z_{0}\right)}=k_{2} \geq p \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are real.

## Proof If

$$
\min \{|w(z)|: w(z) \in \partial w(|z| \leq R)\}=\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|>0,
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|w(z)| \geq\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \quad \text { for } w(z) \in \partial w(|z| \leq R) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{w(z)}{z^{p}}\right| \geq\left|\frac{w\left(z_{0}\right)}{z_{0}^{p}}\right| \quad \text { for } w(z) \in \partial w(|z| \leq R) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(z)=w(z) / z^{p}, \quad|z|<1 . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from (1.5) and from hypothesis (1.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \{|\Phi(z)|: \Phi(z) \in \partial \Phi(|z| \leq R)\}=\left|\Phi\left(z_{0}\right)\right| . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are two cases: $\Phi(|z|<R)$ contains the origin (see Figure 2); and $\Phi(|z|<R)$ does not (see Figure 1).


Figure $1 \Phi(z)$ in the case $\Phi(z) \neq 0$.


Figure $2 \Phi(z)$ in the case $0 \in \Phi(|z| \leq R)$.

First, suppose that $\Phi(z)$ does not become 0 in $|z|<R$. If there exists a point $z_{0}=$ $R \exp \left(i \varphi_{0}\right), 0 \leq \varphi_{0}<2 \pi, 0<R<1$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \{|\Phi(z)|: \Phi(z) \in \partial \Phi(|z| \leq R)\}=\left|\Phi\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the function

$$
F(z)=\frac{z}{\Phi(z)}=\frac{z^{p+1}}{w(z)}, \quad|z| \leq R
$$

satisfies the assumptions of Jack's lemma (Lemma 1.1),

$$
F\left(z_{0}\right)=\max _{\theta \in[0,2 \pi)}\left\{|F(z)|: z=\operatorname{Re}^{i \theta}\right\}
$$

and hence

$$
\frac{z_{0} F^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{F\left(z_{0}\right)}=p+1-\frac{z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{w\left(z_{0}\right)} \geq m \geq 1
$$

This gives (1.2).
For the case $0 \in \Phi(|z|<R)$ (see Figure 2), for $\Phi(z)$ given in (1.6), we have that $|\Phi(z)|$ has an extremum at $z_{0}$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}|\Phi(z)|}{\mathrm{d} \varphi}\right|_{z=z_{0}}=0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $\arg \{\Phi(z)\}$ is increasing at $z_{0}$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \arg \{\Phi(z)\}}{\mathrm{d} \varphi}\right|_{z=z_{0}} \geq 0 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{z_{0} \Phi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\Phi\left(z_{0}\right)} & =\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \log \Phi(z)}{\mathrm{d} \log z}\right|_{z=z_{0}} \\
& =\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \log |\Phi(z)|+i \mathrm{~d} \arg \{\Phi(z)\}}{i \mathrm{~d} \varphi}\right|_{z=z_{0}} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{d} \arg \{\Phi(z)\}}{\mathrm{d} \varphi}-\left.\frac{i}{|\Phi(z)|} \frac{\mathrm{d}|\Phi(z)|}{\mathrm{d} \varphi}\right|_{z=z_{0}} \\
& =\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \arg \{\Phi(z)\}}{\mathrm{d} \varphi}\right|_{z=z_{0}} \\
& \geq 0 \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

because of (1.9). On the other hand, by (1.6) we have $w^{\prime}(z)=z^{p} \Phi^{\prime}(z)+p z^{p-1} \Phi(z)$, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{w\left(z_{0}\right)}=\frac{z_{0} \Phi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\Phi\left(z_{0}\right)}+p \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relations (1.11) and (1.12) imply that

$$
\frac{z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{w\left(z_{0}\right)} \geq p
$$

Therefore, by (1.11) we obtain (1.3).

If we additionally assume that $w(z) / z^{p}$ is univalent in the unit disc, then we have the following result.

Remark 1.3 Let $w(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ be analytic in $|z|<1$. Assume that there exists a point $z_{0},\left|z_{0}\right|=R<1$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{\theta \in[0,2 \pi)}\left\{|w(z)|: z=\operatorname{Re}^{i \theta}\right\}=\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|>0 . \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $w(z) / z^{p}$ is univalent and $w(z) / z^{p} \neq 0$ in $|z| \leq R$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{w\left(z_{0}\right)}=k_{1} \leq p \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}$ is real. If $w(z) / z^{p}$ is univalent and $w(z) / z^{p}$ vanishes in $|z| \leq R$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{w\left(z_{0}\right)}=k_{2} \geq p \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{2}$ is real.

## 2 Applications

For $p=0$, then Lemma 1.2 becomes the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1 Let $w(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ be analytic in $|z|<1$. Assume that there exists $a$ point $z_{0},\left|z_{0}\right|=R<1$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \{|w(z)|: w(z) \in \partial w(|z| \leq R)\}=\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|>0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $w(z) \neq 0$ in $|z|<R$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{w\left(z_{0}\right)}=k_{1} \leq 0 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the function $w(z)$ has a zero in $|z|<R$ and $\partial w(|z| \leq R)$ is a smooth curve at $w\left(z_{0}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{w\left(z_{0}\right)}=k_{2} \geq 0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A simple contraposition of Lemma 1.2 provides the following two corollaries.

Corollary 2.2 Let $w(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ be analytic in $|z|<1$ and suppose that there exists a point $z_{0},\left|z_{0}\right|=R<1$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \{|w(z)|: w(z) \in \partial w(|z| \leq R)\}=\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|>0 . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{w\left(z_{0}\right)}=k_{1}<p \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\partial w(|z| \leq R)$ is a smooth curve at $w\left(z_{0}\right)$, then $w(z) / z^{p}$ has no zero in $|z| \leq R$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{w\left(z_{0}\right)}=k_{2}>p \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the function $w(z) / z^{p}$ has a zero in $|z| \leq R$.

Corollary 2.3 Let $q(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ be analytic in $|z| \leq 1$. Assume that $q(z) / z^{p}$ has a zero in $|z|<1$. If for given $c \in[0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z q^{\prime}(z)\right|<\frac{p}{c}|q(z)|^{2}, \quad|z|<1, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the image domain $q(|z|<1)$ covers the disc $|w|<c$.

Proof If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \{|q(z)|: q(z) \in \partial q(|z| \leq 1)\}=\left|q\left(z_{0}\right)\right|<c, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then by (1.2) in Lemma 1.2 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{q\left(z_{0}\right)}=k \geq p \quad \Rightarrow \quad\left|z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \geq p\left|q\left(z_{0}\right)\right| . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.8) and (2.9) we have

$$
\left|z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \geq \frac{p}{c}\left|q\left(z_{0}\right)\right|^{2}
$$

which contradicts hypothesis (2.7) and therefore completes the proof.

Theorem 2.4 Let $p(z)$ be analytic in $|z|<1$ with $p(z) \neq 0,|p(0)|>c$, in $|z|<1$ and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p(z)+z p^{\prime}(z)\right|>c, \quad|z|<1 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c>0$, and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right\}>-2, \quad|z|<1 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p(z)|>c, \quad|z|<1 . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof If there exists a point $z_{0},\left|z_{0}\right|<1$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p(z)|>c \text { for }|z|<\left|z_{0}\right| \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left|p\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=c$, then $p\left(|z| \leq\left|z_{0}\right|\right)$ has the shape as in Figure 1 and $\mathrm{d}|p(z)| / \mathrm{d} \varphi, z=r e^{i \varphi}$, vanishes at the point $z=z_{0}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)} & =\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \log p(z)}{\mathrm{d} \log z}\right|_{z=z_{0}} \\
& =\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \log |p(z)|+i \mathrm{~d} \arg \{p(z)\}}{i \mathrm{~d} \varphi}\right|_{z=z_{0}} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{d} \arg \{p(z)\}}{\mathrm{d} \varphi}-\left.\frac{i}{|p(z)|} \frac{\mathrm{d}|p(z)|}{\mathrm{d} \varphi}\right|_{z=z_{0}} \\
& =\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \arg \{p(z)\}}{\mathrm{d} \varphi}\right|_{z=z_{0}} \\
& \leq 0 . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.11) and (2.14) we have

$$
-2<\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)} \leq 0
$$

and hence

$$
0 \leq\left|1+\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)}\right| \leq 1
$$

Then it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p\left(z_{0}\right)+z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\left|p\left(z_{0}\right)\right|\left|1+\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)}\right| \leq\left|p\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=c, \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts hypothesis (2.10) and therefore completes the proof.

For some other geometrical properties of analytic functions, we refer to the papers [2-4].

## 3 Conclusion

In this paper, we have presented a correspondence between an analytic function $f(z)$ and $z f^{\prime}(z)$ at the point $w, 0<|w|=R<1$, in the unit disc $|z|<1$ on the complex plane such that $|f(w)|=\min \{|f(z)|: f(z) \in \partial f(|z| \leq R)\}$.
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