RESEARCH

Open Access



Boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integrals with rough kernels on Musielak-Orlicz Hardy spaces

Bo Li, Minfeng Liao and Baode Li^{*}

*Correspondence: 1246530557@qq.com College of Mathematics and System Sciences, Xinjiang University, Urumqi, 830046, PR. China

Abstract

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ satisfy that $\varphi(x, \cdot)$, for any given $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, is an Orlicz function and $\varphi(\cdot, t)$ is a Muckenhoupt A_∞ weight uniformly in $t \in (0, \infty)$. The Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space $H^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the set of all tempered distributions such that their grand maximal functions belong to the Musielak-Orlicz space $L^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In this paper, the authors establish the boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integral μ_Ω from $H^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under weaker smoothness conditions assumed on Ω . This result is also new even when $\varphi(x, t) := \varphi(t)$ for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$, where φ is an Orlicz function.

MSC: Primary 42B20; secondary 42B30; 46E30

Keywords: Marcinkiewicz integral; Muckenhoupt weight; Musielak-Orlicz function; Hardy space

1 Introduction

Suppose that S^{n-1} is the unit sphere in the *n*-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n $(n \ge 2)$. Let Ω be a homogeneous function of degree zero on \mathbb{R}^n which is locally integrable and satisfies the cancelation condition

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(x') \, d\sigma(x') = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where $d\sigma$ is the Lebesgue measure and x' := x/|x| for any $x \neq 0$. For a function f on \mathbb{R}^n , the Marcinkiewicz integral μ_{Ω} is defined by setting, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mu_\Omega(f)(x) := \left(\int_0^\infty \left|F_{\Omega,t}(f)(x)\right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where

$$F_{\Omega,t}(f)(x) \coloneqq \int_{|x-y| \le t} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} f(y) \, dy.$$

In 1938, Marcinkiewicz [1] first defined the operator μ_{Ω} for n = 1 and $\Omega(t) := \text{sign } t$. The Marcinkiewicz integral of higher dimensions was studied by Stein [2] in 1958. He



© The Author(s) 2017. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

showed that if $\Omega \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}(S^{n-1})$ with $\alpha \in (0,1]$, then μ_{Ω} is bounded on $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ with $p \in (1,2]$ and bounded from $L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ to $WL^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$. In 1962, Benedek et al. [3] proved that if $\Omega \in C^{1}(S^{n-1})$, then μ_{Ω} is bounded on $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ with $p \in (1,\infty)$. In 1990, Torchinsky and Wang [4] proved that, if $\Omega \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}(S^{n-1})$ with $\alpha \in (0,1]$, then μ_{Ω} is bounded on $L^{p}_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ provided that $p \in (1,\infty)$ and $\omega \in A_{p}$, where A_{p} denotes the Muckenhoupt weight class. Notice that all the results mentioned above hold true depending on some smoothness conditions of Ω . However, in 1999, Ding et al. [5] obtained a celebrated result that μ_{Ω} is bounded on $L^{p}_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ without any smoothness conditions of Ω , which is presented as follows.

Theorem A Let $q \in (1, \infty)$, q' := q/(q-1) and $\Omega \in L^q(S^{n-1})$ satisfying (1.1). If $\omega^{q'} \in A_p$, $p \in (1, \infty)$, then there exists a positive constant C independent of f such that

$$\left\|\mu_{\Omega}(f)\right\|_{L^{p}_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p}_{\omega}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}.$$

It is now well known that the Hardy space $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a good substitute of the Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p \in (0,1]$ in the study for the boundedness of operators and hence, in 2003, Ding et al. [6] discussed the boundedness of μ_Ω from the weighted Hardy space to the weighted Lebesgue space under $\Omega \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}(S^{n-1})$. In 2007, Lin et al. [7] proved that μ_Ω is bounded from the weighted Hardy space to the weighted Lebesgue space under weaker smoothness conditions assumed on Ω , which is called L^q -Dini type condition of order α (see Section 2 for its definition). For more conclusions of μ_Ω , readers are referred to [8–12].

On the other hand, recently, Ky [13] studied a new Hardy space called Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space $H^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which generalizes both the weighted Hardy space (see, for example, [14]) and the Orlicz-Hardy space (see, for example, [15, 16]), and hence has a wide generality. For more information on Musielak-Orlicz-type spaces, see [17–24]. We refer the reader to [24] for a complete survey of the real-variable theory of Musielak-Orlicz Hardy spaces. In light of Lin [7] and Ky [13], it is a natural and interesting problem to ask whether μ_{Ω} is bounded from $H^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under weaker smoothness conditions assumed on Ω . In this paper we shall answer this problem affirmatively.

Precisely, this paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we recall some notions concerning Muckenhoupt weights, growth functions, L^q -Dini type condition of order α and the Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space $H^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then we present the boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integral μ_{Ω} from $H^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under weaker smoothness conditions assumed on Ω (see Theorem 2.4, Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.6), the proofs of which are given in Section 3.

In the process of the proof of Theorem 2.4, it is worth pointing out that, since the space variant x and the time variant t appearing in $\varphi(x, t)$ are inseparable, we cannot directly use the method of Lin [7]. We overcome this difficulty via establishing a more subtle estimate for $\mu_{\Omega}(b)$ on the infinite annuluses away from the support set of b (see Lemma 3.4), where b is a multiple of a (φ, ∞, s) -atom. Next, by using the estimate of Lemma 3.4, we find a sequence which is convergent, and fortunately, this sequence converges to a number strictly less than 1, then we can use the uniformly lower type p property of φ . For more details, we refer the reader to the estimate of I₂ in the proof of Lemma 3.7. On the other hand, notice that the kernel of μ_{Ω} may not belong to Schwartz function space, thus, for a tempered distribution $f \in H^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mu_{\Omega}(f)$ may be senseless. However, we find that $H^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

is dense in $H^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Lemma 3.11). Then, for any $f \in H^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, now $\mu_{\Omega}(f)$ is well defined and $H^{\varphi} - L^{\varphi}$ boundedness of $\mu_{\Omega}(f)$ for any $f \in H^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be obtained, which can be further uniquely extended from $H^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see the proof of Lemma 3.12 for more details).

Finally, we make some conventions on notation. Let $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \{1, 2, ...\}$ and $\mathbb{N} := \{0\} \cup \mathbb{Z}_+$. For any $\beta := (\beta_1, ..., \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, let $|\beta| := \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_n$. Throughout this paper the letter *C* will denote a *positive constant* that may vary from line to line but will remain independent of the main variables. The *symbol* $P \leq Q$ stands for the inequality $P \leq CQ$. If $P \leq Q \leq P$, we then write $P \sim Q$. For any sets $E, F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we use $E^{\mathbb{C}}$ to denote the set $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E$, |E| its *n*-*dimensional Lebesgue measure*, χ_E its *characteristic function* and E + F the *algebraic sum* $\{x + y : x \in E, y \in F\}$. For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lfloor s \rfloor$ denotes the unique integer such that $s - 1 < \lfloor s \rfloor \leq s$. If there are no special instructions, any space $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is denoted simply by \mathcal{X} . For instance, $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is simply denoted by L^2 . For any index $q \in [1, \infty]$, q' denotes the *conjugate index* of q, namely, 1/q + 1/q' = 1. For any set E of \mathbb{R}^n , $t \in [0, \infty)$ and measurable function φ , let $\varphi(E, t) := \int_E \varphi(x, t) \, dx$. As usual we use B_r to denote the ball $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < r\}$ with $r \in (0, \infty)$.

2 Notions and main results

In this section, we first recall the notion concerning the Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space H^{φ} via the grand maximal function, and then present the boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integral from H^{φ} to L^{φ} .

Recall that a nonnegative function φ on $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$ is called *Musielak-Orlicz function* if, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\varphi(x, \cdot)$ is an Orlicz function on $[0, \infty)$ and, for any $t \in [0, \infty)$, $\varphi(\cdot, t)$ is measurable on \mathbb{R}^n . Here a function $\phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is called an *Orlicz function* if it is nondecreasing, $\phi(0) = 0$, $\phi(t) > 0$ for any $t \in (0, \infty)$, and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \phi(t) = \infty$.

For an Orlicz function ϕ , the most useful tool to study its growth property may be the upper and the lower types of ϕ . More precisely, an Orlicz function ϕ is said to be of *lower* (resp. *upper*) *type* p with $p \in (-\infty, \infty)$ if there exists a positive constant $C := C_{\phi}$ such that, for any $t \in [0, \infty)$ and $s \in (0, 1]$ (resp. $s \in [1, \infty)$),

 $\phi(st) \leq Cs^p \phi(t).$

Given a Musielak-Orlicz function φ on $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$, φ is said to be of *uniformly lower* (resp. *upper*) *type p* with $p \in (-\infty, \infty)$ if there exists a positive constant $C := C_{\varphi}$ such that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in [0, \infty)$ and $s \in (0, 1]$ (resp. $s \in [1, \infty)$),

 $\varphi(x,st) \le Cs^p \varphi(x,t).$

The *critical uniformly lower type index* of φ is defined by

$$i(\varphi) := \sup \{ p \in (-\infty, \infty) : \varphi \text{ is of uniformly lower type } p \}.$$
(2.1)

Observe that $i(\varphi)$ may not be attainable, namely, φ may not be of uniformly lower type $i(\varphi)$ (see [22], p.415, for more details).

Definition 2.1

(i) Let q ∈ [1,∞). A locally integrable function φ(·, t) : ℝⁿ → [0,∞) is said to satisfy the uniform Muckenhoupt condition A_q, denoted by φ ∈ A_q, if there exists a positive

constant *C* such that, for any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$, when q = 1,

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} \varphi(x,t) \, dx \Big\{ \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y \in B} \big[\varphi(y,t) \big]^{-1} \Big\} \leq C$$

and, when $q \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\frac{1}{|B|}\int_{B}\varphi(x,t)\,dx\left\{\frac{1}{|B|}\int_{B}\left[\varphi(y,t)\right]^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}\,dy\right\}^{q-1}\leq C.$$

(ii) Let $q \in (1, \infty]$. A locally integrable function $\varphi(\cdot, t) : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$ is said to satisfy the *uniformly reverse Hölder condition* $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{H}_q$, denoted by $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}\mathbb{H}_q$, if there exists a positive constant *C* such that, for any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$, when $q \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\left\{\frac{1}{|B|}\int_{B}\left[\varphi(x,t)\right]^{q}dx\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}\left\{\frac{1}{|B|}\int_{B}\varphi(y,t)\,dy\right\}^{-1}\leq C$$

and, when $q = \infty$,

$$\left\{ \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x\in B} \varphi(x,t) \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} \varphi(y,t) \, dy \right\}^{-1} \leq C$$

Define $\mathbb{A}_{\infty} := \bigcup_{q \in [1,\infty)} \mathbb{A}_q$ and, for any $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_{\infty}$,

$$q(\varphi) := \inf \left\{ q \in [1, \infty) : \varphi \in \mathbb{A}_q \right\}.$$
(2.2)

Observe that, if $q(\varphi) \in (1, \infty)$, then $\varphi \notin \mathbb{A}_{q(\varphi)}$, and there exists $\varphi \notin \mathbb{A}_1$ such that $q(\varphi) = 1$ (see, for example, [25]).

Definition 2.2 ([13], Definition 2.1) A function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is called a *growth function* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) φ is a Musielak-Orlicz function;
- (ii) $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_{\infty}$;
- (iii) φ is of uniformly lower type *p* for some $p \in (0,1]$ and of uniformly upper type 1.

Suppose that φ is a Musielak-Orlicz function. Recall that the *Musielak-Orlicz space* L^{φ} is defined to be the set of all measurable functions f such that, for some $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi\left(x, \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx < \infty$$

equipped with the Luxembourg-Nakano (quasi-)norm

$$\|f\|_{L^{\varphi}} := \inf \left\{ \lambda \in (0,\infty) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi\left(x, \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

In what follows, we denote by S the *set of all Schwartz functions* and by S' its *dual space* (namely, the *set of all tempered distributions*). For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let S_m be the *set* of all $\psi \in S$

such that $\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}_m} \leq 1$, where

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}_m} \coloneqq \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\alpha| \le m+1} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|)^{(m+2)(n+1)} |\partial^{\alpha}\psi(x)|.$$

Then, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in S'$, the *non-tangential grand maximal function* f_m^* of f is defined by setting, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$f_m^*(x) := \sup_{\psi \in S_m} \sup_{|y-x| < t, t \in (0,\infty)} |f * \psi_t(y)|,$$
(2.3)

where, for any $t \in (0, \infty)$, $\psi_t(\cdot) := t^{-n} \psi(\frac{\cdot}{t})$. When

$$m = m(\varphi) := \left\lfloor n \left(\frac{q(\varphi)}{i(\varphi)} - 1 \right) \right\rfloor,\tag{2.4}$$

we denote f_m^* simply by f^* , where $q(\varphi)$ and $i(\varphi)$ are as in (2.2) and (2.1), respectively.

Definition 2.3 ([13], Definition 2.2) Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.2. The *Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space* H^{φ} is defined as the set of all $f \in S'$ such that $f^* \in L^{\varphi}$ endowed with the (quasi-)norm

$$\|f\|_{H^{\varphi}} \coloneqq \|f^*\|_{L^{\varphi}}.$$

Throughout the paper, we always assume that Ω is homogeneous of degree zero and satisfies (1.1).

Recall that, for any $q \in [1, \infty)$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, a function $\Omega \in L^q(S^{n-1})$ is said to satisfy the L^q -Dini type condition of order α (when $\alpha = 0$, it is called the L^q -Dini condition) if

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\omega_q(\delta)}{\delta^{1+\alpha}}\,d\delta < \infty,$$

where $\omega_q(\delta)$ is the integral modulus of continuity of order q of Ω defined by setting, for any $\delta \in (0,1]$,

$$\omega_{q}(\delta) := \sup_{\|\gamma\| < \delta} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \left| \Omega(\gamma x') - \Omega(x') \right|^{q} d\sigma(x') \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

and γ denotes a rotation on S^{n-1} with $\|\gamma\| := \sup_{y' \in S^{n-1}} |\gamma y' - y'|$. For any $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1]$ with $\beta < \alpha$, it is easy to see that if Ω satisfies the L^q -Dini type condition of order α , then it also satisfies the L^q -Dini type condition of order β . We thus denote by $\text{Din}^q_{\alpha}(S^{n-1})$ the class of all functions which satisfy the L^q -Dini type conditions of all orders $\beta < \alpha$. For any $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, we define

$$\operatorname{Din}_{\alpha}^{\infty}(S^{n-1}) := \bigcap_{q\geq 1} \operatorname{Din}_{\alpha}^{q}(S^{n-1}).$$

See [7], pp.89-90, for more properties of $\text{Din}_{\alpha}^{q}(S^{n-1})$ with $q \in [1, \infty]$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

The main results of this paper are as follows, the proofs of which are given in Section 3.

Theorem 2.4 Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$, $\beta := \min\{\alpha, 1/2\}$, $p \in (n/(n + \beta), 1)$, $q \in (1, \infty]$, and let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.2. Suppose that $\Omega \in L^q(S^{n-1}) \cap \text{Din}^1_{\alpha}(S^{n-1})$. If

(i) $q \in (1, 1/p]$ and $\varphi^{q'} \in \mathbb{A}_{\frac{p\beta}{n(1-p)}}$, or

(ii) $q \in (1/p, \infty]$ and $\varphi^{1/(1-p)} \in \mathbb{A}_{\frac{p\beta}{p(1-p)}}$

then there exists a positive constant C independent of f such that

$$\left\|\mu_{\Omega}(f)\right\|_{L^{\varphi}} \leq C \|f\|_{H^{\varphi}}.$$

Theorem 2.5 Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$, $\beta := \min\{\alpha, 1/2\}$, $p \in (n/(n + \beta), 1]$, $q \in (1, \infty)$, and let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.2. Suppose that $\Omega \in Din_{\alpha}^{q}(S^{n-1})$. If $\varphi^{q'} \in \mathbb{A}_{(p+\frac{p\beta}{n}-\frac{1}{q})q'}$, then there exists a positive constant C independent of f such that

$$\left\|\mu_{\Omega}(f)\right\|_{L^{\varphi}} \leq C \|f\|_{H^{\varphi}}.$$

Corollary 2.6 Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$, $\beta := \min\{\alpha, 1/2\}$, $p \in (n/(n+\beta), 1]$, and let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.2. Suppose that $\Omega \in Din_{\alpha}^{\infty}(S^{n-1})$. If $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_{p(1+\frac{\beta}{n})}$, then there exists a positive constant C independent of f such that

$$\left\|\mu_{\Omega}(f)\right\|_{L^{\varphi}} \leq C \|f\|_{H^{\varphi}}.$$

Remark 2.7

- (i) It is worth noting that Corollary 2.6 can be regarded as the limit case of Theorem 2.5 by letting $q \rightarrow \infty$.
- (ii) Let ω be a classical Muckenhoupt weight and ϕ be an Orlicz function.
 - (a) When $\varphi(x, t) := \omega(x)\phi(t)$ for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$, we have $H^{\varphi} \equiv H^{\phi}_{\omega}$. In this case, Theorem 2.4, Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.6 hold true for the weighted Orlicz Hardy space. Even when $\omega \equiv 1$, the above results are also new.
 - (b) When φ(x, t) := ω(x)t^p for all (x, t) ∈ ℝⁿ × [0, ∞), namely, H^φ ≡ H^p_ω, Theorem 2.4, Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.6 are reduced to [7], Theorem 1.4, Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.7, respectively.
- (iii) Theorem 2.4, Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.6 jointly answer the question: when $\Omega \in \text{Din}^q_{\alpha}(S^{n-1})$ with $q = 1, q \in (1, \infty)$ or $q = \infty$, respectively, what kind of additional conditions on growth function φ and Ω can deduce the boundedness of μ_{Ω} from H^{φ} to L^{φ} ?

3 Proofs of main results

To show Theorem 2.4, Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.6, let us begin with some lemmas. Since φ satisfies the uniform Muckenhoupt condition, the proofs of (i), (ii) and (iii) of the following Lemma 3.1 are identical to those of Exercises 9.1.3, Theorem 9.2.5 and Corollary 9.2.6 in [26], respectively, the details being omitted.

Lemma 3.1 Let $q \in [1, \infty]$. If $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_q$, then the following statements hold true:

- (i) $\varphi^{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{A}_q$ for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$;
- (ii) $\varphi^{\eta} \in \mathbb{A}_q$ for some $\eta \in (1, \infty)$;
- (iii) $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_d$ for some $d \in (1, q)$ with $q \neq 1$.

Lemma 3.2 ([13], Lemma 4.5) Let $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_q$ with $q \in [1, \infty)$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\lambda \in (1, \infty)$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\varphi(\lambda B, t) \le C \lambda^{nq} \varphi(B, t).$$

- **Definition 3.3** ([13], Definition 2.4) Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.2.
 - (i) A triplet (φ, q, s) is said to be *admissible* if $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty]$ and $s \in [m(\varphi), \infty) \cap \mathbb{N}$, where $q(\varphi)$ and $m(\varphi)$ are as in (2.2) and (2.4), respectively.
 - (ii) For an admissible triplet (φ, q, s), a measurable function *a* is called a (φ, q, s)-*atom* if there exists some ball B ⊂ ℝⁿ such that the following conditions are satisfied:
 - (a) *a* is supported in *B*;
 - (b) $||a||_{L^q_{\omega}(B)} \le ||\chi_B||_{L^{\varphi}}^{-1}$, where

$$\|a\|_{L^{q}_{\varphi}(B)} := \begin{cases} \sup_{t \in (0,\infty)} [\frac{1}{\varphi(B,t)} \int_{B} |a(x)|^{q} \varphi(x,t) \, dx]^{1/q}, & q \in [1,\infty), \\ \|a\|_{L^{\infty}(B)}, & q = \infty; \end{cases}$$

- (c) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x) x^{\alpha} dx = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq s$.
- (iii) For an admissible triplet (φ , q, s), the *Musielak-Orlicz atomic Hardy space* $H_{at}^{\varphi,q,s}$ is defined as the set of all $f \in S'$ which can be represented as a linear combination of (φ, q, s) -atoms, that is, $f = \sum_{j} b_{j}$ in S', where b_{j} for each j is a multiple of some (φ, q, s) -atom supported in some ball $x_{j} + B_{r_{j}}$ with the property

$$\sum_{j} \varphi \left(x_j + B_{r_j}, \|b_j\|_{L^q_{\varphi}(x_j + B_{r_j})} \right) < \infty.$$

For any given sequence of multiples of (φ, q, s) -atoms, $\{b_j\}_j$, let

$$\Lambda_q(\{b_j\}_j) := \inf \left\{ \lambda \in (0,\infty) : \sum_j \varphi\left(x_j + B_{r_j}, \frac{\|b_j\|_{L^q_{\varphi}(x_j + B_{r_j})}}{\lambda}\right) \le 1 \right\}$$

and then the (quasi-)norm of $f \in S'$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{H^{\varphi,q,s}_{\mathrm{at}}} := \inf\{\Lambda_q(\{b_j\}_j)\},\$$

where the infimum is taken over all admissible decompositions of f as above.

We refer the reader to [13] and [24] for more details on the real-variable theory of Musielak-Orlicz Hardy spaces.

Lemma 3.4 Let b be a multiple of a (φ, ∞, s) -atom associated with some ball B_r . Then there exists a positive constant $C := C_{\Omega}$ independent of b such that, for any $x \in B_{2R} \setminus B_R$ with $R \in [2r, \infty)$,

$$\mu_{\Omega}(b)(x) \Big| \le C \|b\|_{L^{\infty}} \left[\ln \frac{2R+r}{R-r} + \frac{(R+2r)^2}{2(2R+r)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Proof Observe that, since supp $b \subset B_r$, it follows that, for any $y \in B_r$ and $x \in B_{2R} \setminus B_R$ with $R \in [2r, \infty)$,

$$R - r < |x - y| < 2R + r.$$
(3.1)

On the other hand, for any $x \in B_{2R} \setminus B_R$ with $R \in [2r, \infty)$, write

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\mu_{\Omega}(b)(x)\right|^{2} &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \left|\int_{|x-y| \le t} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} b(y) \, dy\right|^{2} \frac{dt}{t^{3}} \\ &= \int_{0}^{R-r} \left|\int_{|x-y| \le t} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} b(y) \, dy\right|^{2} \frac{dt}{t^{3}} + \int_{R-r}^{2R+r} \dots + \int_{2R+r}^{\infty} \dots \\ &=: I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3}. \end{aligned}$$

For I₁, from $t \in (0, R - r]$ and (3.1), it follows that $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - y| \le t\} = \emptyset$ and hence I₁ = 0.

For I₂, by a spherical coordinates transform and $\Omega \in L^1(S^{n-1})$ (see (1.1)), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{I}_{2} &\leq \|b\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2} \int_{R-r}^{2R+r} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{|\Omega(y')|}{\rho^{n-1}} \rho^{n-1} \, d\rho \, d\sigma \left(y'\right) \right)^{2} \frac{dt}{t^{3}} \\ &\sim \|b\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2} \int_{R-r}^{2R+r} \frac{1}{t} \, dt \sim \|b\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2} \ln \frac{2R+r}{R-r}. \end{split}$$

For I₃, by (3.1), a spherical coordinates transform and $\Omega \in L^1(S^{n-1})$ (see (1.1)), we have

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{I}_{3} &\leq \|b\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2} \int_{2R+r}^{\infty} \left(\int_{B_{2R+r} \setminus B_{R-r}} \frac{|\Omega(y)|}{|y|^{n-1}} \, dy \right)^{2} \frac{dt}{t^{3}} \\ &= \|b\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2} \int_{2R+r}^{\infty} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{R-r}^{2R+r} \frac{|\Omega(y')|}{\rho^{n-1}} \rho^{n-1} \, d\rho \, d\sigma\left(y'\right) \right)^{2} \frac{dt}{t^{3}} \\ &\sim \|b\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2} (R+2r)^{2} \int_{2R+r}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{3}} \, dt \sim \|b\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2} \frac{(R+2r)^{2}}{2(2R+r)^{2}}. \end{split}$$

Combining the estimates of I_1 , I_2 and I_3 , we obtain the desired inequality. This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.4.

Since φ satisfies the uniform Muckenhoupt condition, the proofs of Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 are identical to those of Corollary 6.2 in [27] and Lemma 4.4 in [7], respectively, the details being omitted.

Lemma 3.5 Let $d \in (1, \infty)$. Then $\varphi^d \in \mathbb{A}_{\infty}$ if and only if $\varphi \in \mathbb{RH}_d$.

Lemma 3.6 For $q \in [1, \infty)$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, suppose that Ω satisfies the L^q -Dini type condition of order α , and $\beta := \min\{\alpha, 1/2\}$. Let $b \in L^{\infty}$ with supp $b \subset B_r$ satisfy

$$\int_{B_r} b(x)\,dx = 0.$$

(i) If q = 1, then there exists a positive constant C independent of b such that, for any R ∈ [2r,∞),

$$\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_R} \left| \mu_\Omega(b)(x) \right| dx \le C \|b\|_{L^\infty} R^n \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{n+\beta}$$

(ii) If $q \in (1, \infty)$ and, for any $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$, $\varphi(x, t) \ge 0$, then there exists a positive constant *C* independent of *b* such that, for any $R \in [2r, \infty)$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_R} \left| \mu_{\Omega}(b)(x) \right| \varphi(x,t) \, dx \leq C \|b\|_{L^{\infty}} \left[\varphi^{q'}(B_{2R},t) \right]^{\frac{1}{q'}} R^{\frac{n}{q}} \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^{n+\beta}.$$

Lemma 3.7 Let $\alpha \in (0,1]$, $\beta := \min\{\alpha, 1/2\}$, $p \in (n/(n + \beta), 1)$ and $q \in (1, \infty]$. Suppose that $\Omega \in L^q(S^{n-1}) \cap \text{Din}^1_{\alpha}(S^{n-1})$. If

(i) $q \in (1, 1/p]$ and $\varphi^{q'} \in \mathbb{A}_{\frac{p\beta}{n(1-p)}}$, or (ii) $q \in (1/p, \infty]$ and $\varphi^{1/(1-p)} \in \mathbb{A}_{\frac{p\beta}{n(1-p)}}$,

then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$ and multiple of a (φ, ∞, s) -atom b associated with some ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \left(x, \frac{|\mu_\Omega(b)(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx \leq C \varphi \left(B, \frac{\|b\|_{L^\infty}}{\lambda} \right).$$

Proof Without loss of generality, we may assume that *b* is a multiple of a (φ, ∞, s)-atom associated with a ball B_r for some $r \in (0, \infty)$. For the general case, we refer the reader to the method of proof in [7], Theorem 1.4. We claim that, in either case (i) or (ii) of Lemma 3.7, there exists some $d \in (1, p\beta/[n(1-p)])$ such that

$$\varphi^{q'} \in \mathbb{A}_d \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi^{1/(1-p)} \in \mathbb{A}_d.$$
 (3.2)

We only prove (3.2) under case (ii) since the proof under case (i) is similar. By Lemma 3.1(iii) with $\varphi^{1/(1-p)} \in \mathbb{A}_{\frac{p\beta}{n(1-p)}}$, we see that there exists some $d \in (1, p\beta/[n(1-p)])$ such that $\varphi^{1/(1-p)} \in \mathbb{A}_d$. On the other hand, notice that q' < 1/(1-p), then, by Lemma 3.1(i), we know $\varphi^{q'} \in \mathbb{A}_d$, which is wished.

For any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi\left(x, \frac{|\mu_{\Omega}(b)(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx = \int_{B_{2r}} \varphi\left(x, \frac{|\mu_{\Omega}(b)(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx + \int_{(B_{2r})^{\complement}} \cdots =: I_1 + I_2.$$

For I₁, by the uniformly upper type 1 property of φ , Theorem A with $\Omega \in L^q(S^{n-1})$ and $\varphi^{q'} \in \mathbb{A}_d$, and Lemma 3.2 with $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_d$ (which is guaranteed by Lemma 3.1(i) with (3.2)), we know that, for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$egin{aligned} &\mathrm{I}_1 \lesssim \int_{B_{2r}} igg(1 + rac{|\mu_\Omega(b)(x)|}{\|b\|_{L^\infty}}igg)^d arphiigg(x, rac{\|b\|_{L^\infty}}{\lambda}igg) dx \ &\lesssim \int_{B_{2r}} igg(1 + rac{|\mu_\Omega(b)(x)|^d}{\|b\|_{L^\infty}^d}igg) arphiigg(x, rac{\|b\|_{L^\infty}}{\lambda}igg) dx \ &\lesssim arphiigg(B_{2r}, rac{\|b\|_{L^\infty}}{\lambda}igg) + rac{1}{\|b\|_{L^\infty}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} igg|\mu_\Omega(b)(x)igg|^d arphiigg(x, rac{\|b\|_{L^\infty}}{\lambda}igg) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} &\lesssim arphiigg(B_{2r},rac{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\lambda}igg) + rac{1}{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}^d}\int_{B_r}ig|b(x)ig|^darphiigg(x,rac{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\lambda}igg)dx \ &\lesssim arphiigg(B_r,rac{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\lambda}igg), \end{aligned}$$

which is wished.

Now we are interested in I₂. For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $E_j := B_{2^{j+1}r} \setminus B_{2^jr}$. By Lemma 3.4, we know that, for any $x \in E_j$,

$$|\mu_{\Omega}(b)(x)| \lesssim \|b\|_{L^{\infty}} igg[\ln rac{2^{j+1}+1}{2^{j}-1} + rac{(2^{j}+2)^2}{2(2^{j+1}+1)^2} igg]^{rac{1}{2}}.$$

Notice that

$$\left[\ln\frac{2^{j+1}+1}{2^{j}-1} + \frac{(2^{j}+2)^{2}}{2(2^{j+1}+1)^{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \to \left(\ln 2 + \frac{1}{8}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < 1 \quad \text{as } j \to \infty,$$

then there exists some $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ independent of *b* such that, for any $j \in [J + 1, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\left[\ln\frac{2^{j+1}+1}{2^j-1}+\frac{(2^j+2)^2}{2(2^{j+1}+1)^2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}<1.$$

From this, the uniformly lower type *p* and the uniformly upper type 1 properties of φ , Theorem A with $\Omega \in L^q(S^{n-1})$ and $\varphi^{q'} \in \mathbb{A}_d$, Lemma 3.2 with $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_d$ (which is guaranteed by Lemma 3.1(i) with (3.2)), and Hölder's inequality, we deduce that, for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{I}_{2} &= \sum_{j=1}^{J} \int_{E_{j}} \varphi \left(x, \frac{|\mu_{\Omega}(b)(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx + \sum_{j=J+1}^{\infty} \int_{E_{j}} \varphi \left(x, \frac{|\mu_{\Omega}(b)(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{J} \int_{E_{j}} \left(1 + \frac{|\mu_{\Omega}(b)(x)|^{d}}{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}^{d}} \right) \varphi \left(x, \frac{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\lambda} \right) dx \\ &+ \sum_{j=J+1}^{\infty} \int_{E_{j}} \frac{|\mu_{\Omega}(b)(x)|^{p}}{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}^{p}} \varphi \left(x, \frac{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\lambda} \right) dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left[\varphi \left(B_{2^{j+1}r}, \frac{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\lambda} \right) + \varphi \left(B_{r}, \frac{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\lambda} \right) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{j=J+1}^{\infty} \int_{E_{j}} \frac{|\mu_{\Omega}(b)(x)|^{p}}{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}^{p}} \varphi \left(x, \frac{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\lambda} \right) dx \\ &\lesssim \varphi \left(B_{r}, \frac{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\lambda} \right) + \frac{1}{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}^{p}} \sum_{j=J+1}^{\infty} \int_{E_{j}} |\mu_{\Omega}(b)(x)|^{p} \varphi \left(x, \frac{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\lambda} \right) dx \\ &\lesssim \varphi \left(B_{r}, \frac{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\lambda} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}^{p}} \sum_{j=J+1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{E_{j}} \left[\varphi \left(x, \frac{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{\frac{1-p}{1-p}} dx \right)^{1-p} \left(\int_{E_{j}} |\mu_{\Omega}(b)(x)| dx \right)^{p}. \end{split}$$

.

Notice that $\varphi^{1/(1-p)} \in \mathbb{A}_d \subset \mathbb{A}_\infty$ (see (3.2)). By Lemma 3.5, we have $\varphi \in \mathbb{RH}_{\frac{1}{1-p}}$. Thus, from Lemma 3.2 with $\varphi^{1/(1-p)} \in \mathbb{A}_d$ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{RH}_{\frac{1}{1-p}}$, we deduce that, for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{split} \left(\int_{E_j} \left[\varphi \left(x, \frac{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{1-p}} dx \right)^{1-p} &\leq \left[\varphi^{\frac{1}{1-p}} \left(B_{2^{j+1}r}, \frac{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{1-p} \\ &\lesssim 2^{jnd(1-p)} \left[\varphi^{\frac{1}{1-p}} \left(B_r, \frac{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{1-p} \\ &\lesssim 2^{jnd(1-p)} r^{-np} \varphi \left(B_r, \frac{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\lambda} \right). \end{split}$$

Since $d < p\beta/[n(1-p)]$, we may choose $\widetilde{\alpha} \in (0, \alpha)$ such that $d < p\widetilde{\beta}/[n(1-p)]$, where $\widetilde{\beta} := \min\{\widetilde{\alpha}, 1/2\}$. By the assumption $\Omega \in Din^1_{\alpha}(S^{n-1})$, Ω satisfies the L^1 -Dini type condition of order $\widetilde{\alpha}$. Applying Lemma 3.6(i), we obtain

$$\int_{E_j} \left| \mu_\Omega(b)(x) \right| dx \lesssim \|b\|_{L^\infty} \left(2^j r \right)^n \left(\frac{r}{2^j r} \right)^{n+\widetilde{\beta}} \sim \|b\|_{L^\infty} r^n 2^{-j\widetilde{\beta}}.$$

Substituting the above two inequalities back into I₂, we know that, for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$I_2 \lesssim \varphi \left(B_r, \frac{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\lambda} \right) \left(1 + \sum_{j=J+1}^{\infty} 2^{j(nd-ndp-p\widetilde{eta})} \right) \lesssim \varphi \left(B_r, \frac{\|b\|_{L^{\infty}}}{\lambda} \right),$$

where the last inequality is due to $d < p\widetilde{\beta}/[n(1-p)]$.

Combining the estimates of $\rm I_1$ and $\rm I_2$, we obtain the desired inequality. This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.7. $\hfill \Box$

The following three lemmas come from [13], Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.3(i) and Theorem 3.1, respectively, and also can be found in [24].

Lemma 3.8 Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.2. Then there exists a positive constant *C* such that, for any $(x, t_j) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$ with $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\varphi\left(x,\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}t_j\right)\leq C\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\varphi(x,t_j).$$

Lemma 3.9 Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.2. For a given positive constant \widetilde{C} , there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi\left(x, \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx \leq \widetilde{C} \quad implies \ that \quad \|f\|_{L^{\varphi}} \leq C\lambda.$$

Lemma 3.10 Let (φ, q, s) be an admissible triplet as in Definition 3.3. Then

$$H^{\varphi} = H_{\rm at}^{\varphi,q,s}$$

with equivalent (quasi-)norms.

Lemma 3.11 ([24], Remark 4.1.4(i)) Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.2. Then $H^{\varphi} \cap L^2$ is dense in H^{φ} .

The following lemma gives a criterion of the boundedness of operators from H^{φ} to L^{φ} .

Lemma 3.12 Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.2. Suppose that a linear or a positive sublinear operator T is bounded on L^2 . If there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ and multiple of a (φ, q, s) -atom b associated with some ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi\left(x, \frac{|T(b)(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx \le C\varphi\left(B, \frac{\|b\|_{L^q_{\psi}(B)}}{\lambda}\right),\tag{3.3}$$

then T extends uniquely to a bounded operator from H^{φ} to L^{φ} .

Proof We first assume that $f \in H^{\varphi} \cap L^2$. By the well-known Calderón reproducing formula (see also [28], Theorem 2.14), we know that there exists a sequence of multiples of (φ, q, s) -atoms $\{b_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ associated with balls $\{x_j + B_{r_j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ such that

$$f = \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_j =: \lim_{k \to \infty} f_k \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}' \text{ and also in } L^2.$$
(3.4)

From the assumption that the linear or positive sublinear operator T is bounded on L^2 and (3.4), it follows that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left\| T(f) - T(f_k) \right\|_{L^2} \leq \lim_{k\to\infty} \left\| T(f-f_k) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lim_{k\to\infty} \|f-f_k\|_{L^2} = 0,$$

which implies that

$$T(f) = \lim_{k \to \infty} T(f_k) \le \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^k T(b_j) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty T(b_j)$$
 almost everywhere.

By this, Lemma 3.8 and (3.3), we obtain

$$egin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} arphiigg(x,rac{|T(f)(x)|}{\Lambda_q(\{b_j\}_j)}igg) dx \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} arphiigg(x,rac{|T(b_j)(x)|}{\Lambda_q(\{b_j\}_j)}igg) dx \ &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^\infty arphiigg(x_j+B_{r_j},rac{\|b_j\|_{L^q_\psi(x_j+B_{r_j})}}{\Lambda_q(\{b_j\}_j)}igg) \lesssim 1, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with Lemma 3.9, further implies that

$$\|T(f)\|_{L^{\varphi}} \lesssim \Lambda_q(\{b_j\}_j).$$

Taking infimum for all admissible decompositions of f as above and using Lemma 3.10, we obtain that, for any $f \in H^{\varphi} \cap L^2$,

$$\left\| T(f) \right\|_{L^{\varphi}} \lesssim \left\| f \right\|_{H^{\varphi,q,s}_{\operatorname{at}}} \lesssim \left\| f \right\|_{H^{\varphi}}.$$

$$(3.5)$$

Next, suppose $f \in H^{\varphi}$. By Lemma 3.11, it follows that $H^{\varphi} \cap L^2$ is dense in H^{φ} . From this, (3.5) and a standard density argument, we deduce that T extends uniquely to a bounded operator from H^{φ} to L^{φ} , namely, $||T(f)||_{L^{\varphi}} \leq ||f||_{H^{\varphi}}$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.12.

Proof of Theorem 2.4 Obviously, μ_{Ω} is a positive sublinear operator. From Theorem A with $\omega \equiv 1$ and Lemma 3.7, it follows that μ_{Ω} is bounded on L^2 and, for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ and multiple of a (φ, ∞, s) -atom *b* associated with some ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi\left(x, \frac{|\mu_\Omega(b)(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx \lesssim \varphi\left(B, \frac{\|b\|_{L^\infty}}{\lambda}\right)$$

Applying Lemma 3.12 with $q = \infty$, we know that $\|\mu_{\Omega}(f)\|_{L^{\varphi}} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{\varphi}}$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.4.

Proof of Theorem 2.5 By using the same method as in Theorem 2.4 and repeating the estimate of *J* in the proof of [7], Theorem 1.5, with [7], Lemma 4.4(a), replaced by Lemma 3.6(ii), it is quite believable that Theorem 2.5 holds true. We leave the details to the interested reader.

Proof of Corollary 2.6 By Lemma 3.1(ii) with $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_{p(1+\frac{\beta}{n})}$, we see that there exists some $d \in (1, \infty)$ such that $\varphi^d \in \mathbb{A}_{p(1+\frac{\beta}{n})}$. For any $q \in (1, \infty)$, by $p > n/(n + \beta)$, we have $(p + p\beta/n - 1/q)q' > p(1 + \beta/n)$ and hence $\varphi^d \in \mathbb{A}_{(p+\frac{p\beta}{n}-\frac{1}{q})q'}$. Thus, we may choose q = d/(d-1) such that

$$\varphi^{q'} = \varphi^d \in \mathbb{A}_{(p + \frac{\beta}{n} - \frac{1}{q})q'}$$

and hence Corollary 2.6 follows from Theorem 2.5.

4 Conclusions

What we have seen from the above is the boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integral μ_{Ω} from H^{φ} to L^{φ} under weaker smoothness conditions assumed on Ω , which generalizes the corresponding results under the setting of both the weighted Hardy space (see, for example, [14]) and the Orlicz-Hardy space (see, for example, [15, 16]), and hence has a wide generality.

Acknowledgements

This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11461065 & 11661075) and A Cultivate Project for Young Doctor from Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (No. qn2015bs003). The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their constructive comments.

Competing interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Authors' contributions

Baode Li conceived of the study. Bo Li, Minfeng Liao and Baode Li carried out the main results, participated in the sequence alignment and drafted the manuscript. Moreover, all authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 7 June 2017 Accepted: 6 September 2017 Published online: 19 September 2017

References

- 1. Marcinkiewicz, J: Sur quelques intégrales du type de Dini. Ann. Soc. Pol. Math. 17, 42-50 (1938)
- 2. Stein, EM: On the functions of Littlewood-Paley, Lusin, and Marcinkiewicz. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 88, 430-466 (1958)
- 3. Benedek, A, Calderón, A-P, Panzone, R: Convolution operators on Banach space valued functions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 48, 356-365 (1962)
- 4. Torchinsky, A, Wang, S: A note on the Marcinkiewicz integral. Collog. Math. 60/61(1), 235-243 (1990)
- Ding, Y, Fan, D, Pan, Y: Weighted boundedness for a class of rough Marcinkiewicz integrals. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 48(3), 1037-1055 (1999)
- 6. Ding, Y, Lee, M-Y, Lin, C-C: Marcinkiewicz integral on weighted Hardy spaces. Arch. Math. (Basel) 80(6), 620-629 (2003)
- 7. Lin, C-C, Lin, Y-C: $H_{\omega}^{\rho} L_{\omega}^{\rho}$ boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integral. Integral Equ. Oper. Theory **58**(1), 87-98 (2007) 8. Cao, Y, Zhou, J: The boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integrals commutators on non-homogeneous metric measure
- spaces. J. Inequal. Appl. 2015, 259 (2015)
 9. Ding, Y. Lin, C-C: Weighted boundedness for rough Marcinkiewicz integrals with different weights. Nonlinear Anal. 72(5), 2159-2169 (2010)
- Liu, F, Wu, H: Multiple singular integrals and Marcinkiewicz integrals with mixed homogeneity along surfaces. J. Inegual. Appl. 2012. 189 (2012)
- Lu, G, Zhou, J: Estimates for fractional type Marcinkiewicz integrals with non-doubling measures. J. Inequal. Appl. 2014, 285 (2014)
- Wu, H: L^p bounds for Marcinkiewicz integrals associated to surfaces of revolution. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 321(2), 811-827 (2006)
- Ky, LD: New Hardy spaces of Musielak-Orlicz type and boundedness of sublinear operators. Integral Equ. Oper. Theory 78(1), 115-150 (2014)
- 14. Strömberg, J-O, Torchinsky, A: Weighted Hardy Spaces. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1381. Springer, Berlin (1989)
- Janson, S: Generalizations of Lipschitz spaces and an application to Hardy spaces and bounded mean oscillation. Duke Math. J. 47(4), 959-982 (1980)
- Jiang, R, Yang, D: New Orlicz-Hardy spaces associated with divergence form elliptic operators. J. Funct. Anal. 258(4), 1167-1224 (2010)
- Cao, J, Chang, D-C, Yang, D, Yang, S: Riesz transform characterizations of Musielak-Orlicz-Hardy spaces. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 368(10), 6979-7018 (2016)
- Fan, X, He, J, Li, B, Yang, D: Real-variable characterizations of anisotropic product Musielak-Orlicz Hardy spaces. Sci. China Math. 60 (2017, in press). doi:10.1007/s11425-016-9024-2
- 19. Hou, S, Yang, D, Yang, S: Lusin area function and molecular characterizations of Musielak-Orlicz Hardy spaces and their applications. Commun. Contemp. Math. **15**(6), 1350029 (2013)
- Li, B, Fan, X, Fu, Z, Yang, D: Molecular characterization of anisotropic Musielak-Orlicz Hardy spaces and their applications. Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser. 32(11), 1391-1414 (2016)
- 21. Li, J, Sun, R, Li, B: Anisotropic interpolation theorems of Musielak-Orlicz type. J. Inequal. Appl. 2016, 243 (2016)
- Liang, Y, Huang, J, Yang, D: New real-variable characterizations of Musielak-Orlicz Hardy spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 395(1), 413-428 (2012)
- 23. Liang, Y, Yang, D: Musielak-Orlicz Campanato spaces and applications. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 406(1), 307-322 (2013)
- 24. Yang, D, Liang, Y, Ky, LD: Real-Variable Theory of Musielak-Orlicz Hardy Spaces. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2182. Springer, Cham (2017)
- 25. Johnson, R, Neugebauer, CJ: Homeomorphisms preserving Ap. Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 3(2), 249-273 (1987)
- 26. Grafakos, L: Modern Fourier Analysis, 2nd edn. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 250. Springer, New York (2009)
- Strömberg, J-O, Wheeden, RL: Fractional integrals on weighted H^p and L^p spaces. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 287(1), 293-321 (1985)
- Li, B, Fan, X, Yang, D: Littlewood-Paley characterizations of anisotropic Hardy spaces of Musielak-Orlicz type. Taiwan. J. Math. 19(1), 279-314 (2015)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com