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#### Abstract

In this article, we study the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for the quasi-linear elliptic problems involving critical Sobolev exponent and a Hardy term. The main tools adopted in our proofs are the concentration compactness principle and Nehari manifold.
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## 1 Introduction

In this article, we consider the following quasi-linear elliptic problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} u-\mu \frac{|u|^{p-2} u}{|x|^{p}}=|u|^{p^{*}-2} u+\beta|x|^{\alpha-p}|u|^{p-2} u+\lambda|u|^{q-2} u \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.1}\\
u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 3)$ is a bounded domain with the smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ such that $0 \in \Omega . \Delta_{p} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)$ is the $p$-Laplacian operator of $u, 1<p<N, \lambda>0$ is a positive real number. $0 \leq \mu<\bar{\mu}\left(\bar{\mu}=\frac{(N-p)^{p}}{p}\right.$ is the best Hardy constant). $1<q<p$ and $p^{*}=\frac{N p}{N-p}$ is the critical Sobolev exponent. $0<\alpha<p-1,0<\beta<\beta_{1}$ ( $\beta_{1}$ is the first eigenvalue that $-\Delta_{p} u-\mu \frac{|u|^{p-2} u}{|x|^{p}}=|x|^{\alpha-p}|u|^{p-2} u$ under Dirichlet boundary condition).

Definition 1.1 The function $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is called a weak solution of (1.1) if $u$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v-\mu \frac{|u|^{p-2} u v}{|x|^{p}}\right) d x \\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega}\left(|u|^{p^{*}-2} u v+\beta|x|^{\alpha-p}|u|^{p-2} u v+\lambda|u|^{q-2} u v\right) d x \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $v \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.
In this paper, we use the following norm of $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ :

$$
\|u\|=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p}-\mu \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{p}}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} .
$$

By the Hardy inequality (see [1, 2])

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{p}} d x \leq \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x, \quad \forall u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)
$$

so this norm is equivalent to $\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, the usual norm in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.
The norm in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ is represented by $\|u\|_{p}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. According to Hardy inequality, the following best Sobolev constant is well defined for $1<p<N$, and $0 \leq \mu<\bar{\mu}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mu, 0}=\inf _{u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p}-\mu \left\lvert\, \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{p}}\right.\right) d x}{\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}}} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quasi-linear problems on Hardy inequality have been studied extensively, either in the smooth bounded domain or in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. More and more excellent results have been obtained, which provide us opportunities to understand the singular problems. However, compared with the semilinear case, the quasi-linear problems related to Hardy inequality are more complicated [3-16]. Abdellaoui, Felli and Peral [3] considered the extremal function which achieves the best constant $S_{\mu, 0}$, and gave the properties of the extremal functions. The conclusions obtained in [3] can be applied in the problems with critical Sobolev exponent and Hardy term.
Wang, Wei and Kang [10] investigated the following problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} u-\lambda \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p}} u=\mu f(x)|u|^{q-2} u+g(x)|u|^{p^{*}-2} u, \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{1.4}\\
u(x)=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $1<q<p, \mu>0, f$ and $g$ are non-negative functions and $p^{*}=\frac{N p}{N-p}$ is the critical Sobolev exponent. The property of the Nehari manifold was used to prove the existence of multiple positive solutions for (1.4). Furthermore, Hsu [11, 12] improved and complemented the main results obtained in [10]. Recently, Goyal and Sreenadh [13] investigated a class of singular $N$-Laplacian problems with exponential nonlinearities in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Very recently, Xiang [14] established the asymptotic estimates of weak solutions for $p$-Laplacian equation with Hardy term and critical Sobolev exponent.
We should mention that Liu, Guo and Lei [17] studied the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions of Kirchhoff equation with critical exponential nonlinearity. Inspired by $[17,18]$, we study the problem (1.1) on critical Sobolev exponent. Comparing with the main results obtained in $[4,6,10-12]$, in this paper, on the one hand, we will analysis the effect of $\beta|x|^{\alpha-p}|u|^{p-2} u$, and the more careful estimates are needed. On the other hand, we establish an lower bound for $\lambda_{*}\left(\lambda_{*}\right.$ is defined in Theorem 1.1).
Define the energy functional associated to problem (1.1) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{p}\|u\|^{p}-\frac{\beta}{p} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x-\frac{1}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x-\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.1 Suppose that $1<q<p, 0<\alpha<p-1$. Then there exists $\lambda_{*}>0$ such that problem (1.1) admits at least two solutions and one of the solutions is a ground state solution for all $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{*}\right)$.

## 2 Preliminaries

Firstly, we introduce the Nehari manifold

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}=\left\{u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}:\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0\right\} .
$$

Furthermore $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|^{p}-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x-\beta \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x=0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\psi(u):=\|u\|^{p}-\beta \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x,
$$

then

$$
\left\langle\psi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=p\|u\|^{p}-p \beta \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x-p^{*} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x-q \lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x .
$$

$\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ can be divided into the following three parts:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}= & \left\{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}: p\|u\|^{p}-p \beta \int_{\Omega}|x|^{\alpha-p}|u|^{p} d x\right. \\
& \left.-p^{*} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x-q \lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x>0\right\},  \tag{2.2}\\
\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0}= & \left\{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}: p\|u\|^{p}-p \beta \int_{\Omega}|x|^{\alpha-p}|u|^{p} d x\right. \\
& \left.-p^{*} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x-q \lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x=0\right\},  \tag{2.3}\\
\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}= & \left\{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}: p\|u\|^{p}-p \beta \int_{\Omega}|x|^{\alpha-p}|u|^{p} d x\right. \\
& \left.-p^{*} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x-q \lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x<0\right\} . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev inequality, for all $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q \cdot \frac{p^{*}}{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{p^{*}}}\left(\int_{\Omega} 1 d x\right)^{1-\frac{q}{p^{*}}}=|\Omega|^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*}}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{p^{*}}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1 Assume that $\lambda \in\left(0, T_{1}\right)$ with

$$
T_{1}=\frac{\left(\frac{\left(\beta_{1}-\beta\right)\left(p-p^{*}\right)}{\beta_{1}\left(q-p^{*}\right)}\right)^{\frac{q-p^{*}}{p-p^{*}}}\left(\frac{q-p}{p-p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{q-p}{p-p^{*}}} S_{\mu, 0}^{\frac{q-p^{*}}{p-p^{*}}}}{|\Omega|^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*}}}} .
$$

Then (i) $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{ \pm} \neq \emptyset$, and (ii) $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0}=\emptyset$.

Proof (i) We define a function $\Phi \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ by

$$
\Phi(s)=\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_{1}}\right) s^{p-p^{*}}\|u\|^{p}-\lambda s^{q-p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x .
$$

Let $\Phi^{\prime}(s)=0$, that is,

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(s)=\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_{1}}\right)\left(p-p^{*}\right) s^{p-p^{*}-1}\|u\|^{p}-\lambda\left(q-p^{*}\right) s^{q-p^{*}-1} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x=0 .
$$

We can deduce that

$$
s_{\max }:=s=\left[\frac{\left(\beta_{1}-\beta\right)\left(p-p^{*}\right)\|u\|^{p}}{\beta_{1} \lambda\left(q-p^{*}\right) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x}\right]^{\frac{1}{q-p}} .
$$

It is easy to check that $\Phi^{\prime}(s)>0$ for all $0<s<s_{\max }$ and $\Phi^{\prime}(s)<0$ for all $s>s_{\max }$. Consequently, $\Phi(s)$ attains its maximum at $s_{\max }$, that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(s_{\max }\right)= & \left(1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_{1}}\right)\left\{\left[\frac{\left(\beta_{1}-\beta\right)\left(p-p^{*}\right)\|u\|^{p}}{\beta_{1} \lambda\left(q-p^{*}\right) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x}\right]^{\frac{1}{q-p}}\right\}^{p-p^{*}}\|u\|^{p} \\
& -\lambda\left\{\left[\frac{\left(\beta_{1}-\beta\right)\left(p-p^{*}\right)\|u\|^{p}}{\beta_{1} \lambda\left(q-p^{*}\right) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x}\right]^{\frac{1}{q-p}}\right\}^{q-p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x \\
= & \left(\frac{\left(\beta_{1}-\beta\right)\left(p-p^{*}\right)}{\beta_{1}\left(q-p^{*}\right)}\right)^{\frac{q-p^{*}}{q-p}}\left(\frac{q-p}{p-p^{*}}\right) \frac{\|u\|^{\frac{p\left(q-p^{*}\right)}{q-p}}}{\left(\lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{p-p^{*}}{q-p}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\Phi}(s) & :=s^{p-p^{*}}\|u\|^{p}-\beta s^{p-p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x-\lambda s^{q-p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x \\
& \geq s^{p-p^{*}}\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_{1}}\right)\|u\|^{p}-\lambda s^{q-p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

By (1.3) and (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\Phi}\left(s_{\max }\right)-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x \\
& \geq \Phi\left(s_{\max }\right)-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x \\
&=\left(\frac{\left(\beta_{1}-\beta\right)\left(p-p^{*}\right)}{\beta_{1}\left(q-p^{*}\right)}\right)^{\frac{q-p^{*}}{q-p}}\left(\frac{q-p}{p-p^{*}}\right) \frac{\|u\|^{\frac{p\left(q-p^{*}\right)}{q-p}}}{\left(\lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{p-p^{*}}{q-p}}}-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x \\
&>\left(\frac{\left(\beta_{1}-\beta\right)\left(p-p^{*}\right)}{\beta_{1}\left(q-p^{*}\right)}\right)^{\frac{q-p^{*}}{q-p}}\left(\frac{q-p}{p-p^{*}}\right) \frac{\|u\|^{\frac{p\left(q-p^{*}\right)}{q-p}}}{\left[\lambda|\Omega|^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*}}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\left.\frac{q}{p^{*}}\right]^{\frac{p-p^{*}}{q-p}}}-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x\right.} \\
& \quad=\left\{( \frac { ( \beta _ { 1 } - \beta ) ( p - p ^ { * } ) } { \beta _ { 1 } ( q - p ^ { * } ) } ) ^ { \frac { q - p ^ { * } } { q - p } } ( \frac { q - p } { p - p ^ { * } } ) \frac { 1 } { [ \lambda | \Omega | ^ { \frac { p ^ { * } - q } { p ^ { * } } } ] ^ { \frac { p - p ^ { * } } { q - p } } } \left(\frac{\|u\|^{p}}{\left.\left.\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}}\right)^{\frac{q-p^{*}}{q-p}}-1\right\}}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x \\
\geq & \left\{\left(\frac{\left(\beta_{1}-\beta\right)\left(p-p^{*}\right)}{\beta_{1}\left(q-p^{*}\right)}\right)^{\frac{q-p^{*}}{q-p}}\left(\frac{q-p}{p-p^{*}}\right) \frac{1}{\left[\lambda|\Omega|^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*}}}\right]^{\frac{p-p^{*}}{q-p}}} S_{\mu, 0}^{\frac{q-p^{*}}{q-p}}-1\right\} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x \\
> & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0<\lambda<T_{1}$. Thus, there exist constants $s^{+}$and $s^{-}$such that

$$
0<s^{+}=s^{+}(u)<s_{\max }<s^{-}=s^{-}(u), \quad s^{+} u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+} \text {and } s^{-} u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-} .
$$

(ii) We prove that $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0}=\emptyset$ for all $\lambda \in\left(0, T_{1}\right)$. By contradiction, assume that there exists $u_{0} \neq 0$ such that $u_{0} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0}$. From (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{p}-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p^{*}} d x-\beta \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{q} d x=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

combining with (2.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p-p^{*}\right)\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{p}=\left(p-p^{*}\right) \beta \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x+\left(p^{*}-q\right) \lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{q} d x . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (2.6) and (2.7) imply that

$$
(p-q)\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{p}-(p-q) \beta \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x=\left(p^{*}-q\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p^{*}} d x
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p^{*}} d x \geq \frac{p-q}{p^{*}-q}\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_{1}}\right)\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{p} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\left(p-p^{*}\right)\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{p}-\left(p-p^{*}\right) \beta \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x=\lambda\left(q-p^{*}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{q} d x
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{q} d x \geq \frac{p-p^{*}}{q-p^{*}}\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_{1}}\right)\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{p} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (1.3) holds for $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0} \backslash\{0\}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta & :=\frac{\left(|\Omega|^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*}}}\right)^{\frac{p-p^{*}}{q-p}}}{S_{\mu, 0}^{\frac{q-p^{*}}{q-p}}} \frac{\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{\frac{p\left(q-p^{*}\right)}{q-p}}}{\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right)^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{p-p^{*}}{q-p}}}-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p^{*}} d x \\
& >\left[\frac{1}{S_{\mu, 0}^{\frac{q-p^{*}}{q-p}}}\left(\frac{\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{p}}{\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}}}\right)^{\frac{q-p^{*}}{q-p}}-1\right] \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p^{*}} d x \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (2.8) and (2.9) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta & =\frac{\left(|\Omega|^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*}}}\right)^{\frac{p-p^{*}}{q-p}}}{S_{\mu, 0}^{\frac{q-p^{*}}{q-p}}} \lambda^{\frac{p-p^{*}}{q-p}} \frac{\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{\frac{p\left(q-p^{*}\right)}{q-p}}}{\left(\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{0}^{+}\right)^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{p-p^{*}}{q-p}}}-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right|^{p^{*}} d x \\
& \leq \frac{\left(|\Omega|^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*}}}\right)^{\frac{p-p^{*}}{q-p}}}{S_{\mu, 0}^{\frac{q-p^{*}}{q-p}}} \lambda^{\frac{p-p^{*}}{q-p}} \frac{\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{p}}{\left[\left(\frac{p-p^{*}}{q-p^{*}}\right)\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_{1}}\right)\right]^{\frac{p-p^{*}}{q-p}}}-\left(\frac{p-q}{p^{*}-q}\right)\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_{1}}\right)\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{p} \\
& =\left[\frac{\left(|\Omega|^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*}}}\right)^{\frac{p-p^{*}}{q-p}}}{S_{\mu, 0}^{\frac{q-p^{*}}{q-p}}} \frac{\lambda^{\frac{p-p^{*}}{q-p}}}{\left[\left(\frac{p-p^{*}}{q-p^{*}}\right)\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_{1}}\right)\right]^{\frac{p-p^{*}}{q-p}}}-\left(\frac{p-q}{p^{*}-q}\right)\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_{1}}\right)\right]\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{p} \\
& <0,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0<\lambda<T_{1}$. This is a contradiction.

Lemma 2.2 $I_{\lambda}$ is coercive and bounded below on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$.

Proof For $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$, we can deduce from (1.3) and (2.5) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}(u) & =\frac{1}{p}\|u\|^{p}-\frac{\beta}{p} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x-\frac{1}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x-\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\|u\|^{p}-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right) \beta \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x-\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right) \lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_{1}}\right)\|u\|^{p}-\lambda\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)|\Omega|^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*}}} S_{\mu, 0}^{-\frac{q}{p}}\|u\|^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $1<q<p$ and $0<\beta<\beta_{1}$, we see that $I_{\lambda}$ is coercive and bounded below on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$.

From Lemma 2.1, we know that $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$and $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$are nonempty. Furthermore, taking into account Lemma 2.2, we define

$$
\kappa_{\lambda}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}} I_{\lambda}(u), \quad \kappa_{\lambda}^{+}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}} I_{\lambda}(u), \quad \kappa_{\lambda}^{-}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}} I_{\lambda}(u) .
$$

Lemma $2.3 \kappa_{\lambda} \leq \kappa_{\lambda}^{+}<0$.

Proof For $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$, using (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$
(p-q)\|u\|^{p}-(p-q) \beta \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x>\left(p^{*}-q\right) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x
$$

and

$$
(p-q)\|u\|^{p}\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_{1}}\right)>\left(p^{*}-q\right) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x,
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x<\frac{p-q}{p^{*}-q}\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_{1}}\right)\|u\|^{p} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.10), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}(u) & =\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)\|u\|^{p}-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \beta \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x-\left(\frac{1}{p^{*}}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x \\
& <\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_{1}}\right)\|u\|^{p}-\left(\frac{1}{p^{*}}-\frac{1}{q}\right)\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{p-q}{p^{*}-q}\right)\|u\|^{p} \\
& =\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_{1}}\right)(q-p)\left(\frac{1}{q p}-\frac{1}{q p^{*}}\right)\|u\|^{p} \\
& <0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have $\kappa_{\lambda} \leq \kappa_{\lambda}^{+}<0$.
Lemma 2.4 For $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$, there exist $\varepsilon>0$ and a differentiable function $\widehat{f}=\widehat{f}(\omega): B(0, \varepsilon) \subset$ $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that

$$
\widehat{f}(0)=1, \quad \widehat{f}(\omega)(u+\omega) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}, \quad \forall \omega \in B(0, \varepsilon) .
$$

Proof Define

$$
\widehat{F}: \mathbb{R} \times W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{F}(s, \omega)= & s^{p-q} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla(u+\omega)|^{p}-\mu \frac{|u+\omega|^{p}}{|x|^{p}}\right) d x-s^{p-q} \beta \int_{\Omega}|u+\omega|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x \\
& -s^{p^{*}-q} \int_{\Omega}|u+\omega|^{p^{*}} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}|u+\omega|^{q} d x, \quad u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that

$$
\widehat{F}(1,0)=\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p}-\mu \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{p}}\right) d x-\beta \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} d x
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{F}_{s}(s, \omega)= & (p-q) s^{p-q-1} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla(u+\omega)|^{p}-\mu \frac{|u+\omega|^{p}}{|x|^{p}}\right) d x \\
& -(p-q) s^{p-q-1} \beta \int_{\Omega}|u+\omega|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x \\
& -\left(p^{*}-q\right) s^{p^{*}-q-1} \int_{\Omega}|u+\omega|^{p^{*}} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{F}_{s}(1,0)= & (p-q) \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p}-\mu \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{p}}\right) d x-(p-q) \beta \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x \\
& -\left(p^{*}-q\right) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.1 tells us that $\widehat{F}_{s}(1,0) \neq 0$. Thus, by the implicit function theorem at the point $(0,1)$, there exist $\varepsilon>0$, and a differentiable function

$$
\widehat{f}: B(0, \varepsilon) \subset W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

such that

$$
\widehat{f}(0)=1, \quad \widehat{f}(\omega)>0 \quad \text { and } \quad \widehat{f}(\omega)(u+\omega) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}, \quad \forall \omega \in B(0, \varepsilon) .
$$

Lemma 2.5 For $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$, there exist $\varepsilon>0$ and a differentiable function $\widetilde{f}=\widetilde{f}(v): B(0, \varepsilon) \subset$ $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that

$$
\tilde{f}(0)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{f}(v)(u+v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}, \quad \forall v \in B(0, \varepsilon) .
$$

Proof The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.4, and we omit it here.

Lemma 2.6 If $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ is a minimizing sequence of $I_{\lambda}$, for every $\phi \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right|\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\|\phi\|}{n} \leq\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \phi\right\rangle \leq \frac{\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right|\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\|\phi\|}{n} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof It follows from Lemma 2.2 that $I_{\lambda}$ is coercive on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$. Using the Ekeland variational principle [19], we can find a minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ of $I_{\lambda}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)<\kappa_{\lambda}+\frac{1}{n}, \quad I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq I_{\lambda}(w)+\frac{1}{n}\left\|w-u_{n}\right\| \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $u_{n} \geq 0$. By Lemma 2.2, we know that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. As a consequence, there exist a subsequence (still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ ) and $u_{*}$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{*} \quad \text { weakly in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)  \tag{2.13}\\
u_{n} \rightarrow u_{*} \quad \text { strongly in } L^{p}(\Omega)\left(1 \leq p<p^{*}\right) \\
u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u_{*}(x) \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

From Lemma 2.4, for $s>0$ sufficiently small and $\phi \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, and set $u=u_{n}, \omega=s \phi \in$ $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, we can find that $f_{n}(s)=f_{n}(s \phi)$ such that $f_{n}(0)=1$ and $f_{n}(s)\left(u_{n}+s \phi\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} d x-\beta \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d x=0 . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{n}\left[\left|f_{n}(s)-1\right|\left\|u_{n}\right\|+s f_{n}(s)\|\phi\|\right] & \geq \frac{1}{n}\left\|f_{n}(s)\left(u_{n}+s \phi\right)-u_{n}\right\| \\
& \geq I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-I_{\lambda}\left[f_{n}(s)\left(u_{n}+s \phi\right)\right] . \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}\left[f_{n}(s)\left(u_{n}+s \phi\right)\right]= & \frac{1}{p}\left\|f_{n}(s)\left(u_{n}+s \phi\right)\right\|^{p}-\frac{\beta}{p} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{\alpha-p}\left|f_{n}(s)\left(u_{n}+s \phi\right)\right|^{p} d x \\
& -\frac{1}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}\left|f_{n}(s)\left(u_{n}+s \phi\right)\right|^{p^{*}} d x-\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega}\left|f_{n}(s)\left(u_{n}+s \phi\right)\right|^{q} d x \\
= & \frac{f_{n}^{p}(s)}{p}\left\|u_{n}+s \phi\right\|^{p}-\frac{\beta}{p} f_{n}^{p}(s) \int_{\Omega}|x|^{\alpha-p}\left|\left(u_{n}+s \phi\right)\right|^{p} d x \\
& -\frac{f_{n}^{p^{*}}(s)}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\left(u_{n}+s \phi\right)\right|^{p^{*}} d x-\frac{\lambda}{q} f_{n}^{q}(s) \int_{\Omega}\left|\left(u_{n}+s \phi\right)\right|^{q} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) & -I_{\lambda}\left[f_{n}(s)\left(u_{n}+s \phi\right)\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}-\frac{f_{n}^{p}(s)}{p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}+\frac{f_{n}^{p^{*}}(s)}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}+s \phi\right|^{p^{*}} d x-\frac{1}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}+s \phi\right|^{p^{*}} d x \\
& +\frac{\lambda}{q} f_{n}^{q}(s) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}+s \phi\right|^{q} d x-\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}+s \phi\right|^{q} d x+\frac{\beta}{p} f_{n}^{p}(s) \int_{\Omega}|x|^{\alpha-p}\left|u_{n}+s \phi\right|^{p} d x \\
& -\frac{\beta}{p} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{\alpha-p}\left|u_{n}+s \phi\right|^{p} d x+\frac{f_{n}^{p}(s)}{p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}-\frac{f_{n}^{p}(s)}{p}\left\|u_{n}+s \phi\right\|^{p}+\frac{1}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}+s \phi\right|^{p^{*}} d x \\
& -\frac{1}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} d x+\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}+s \phi\right|^{q} d x-\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d x \\
& +\frac{\beta}{p} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{\alpha-p}\left|u_{n}+s \phi\right|^{p} d x-\frac{\beta}{p} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x \\
= & \frac{1-f_{n}^{p}(s)}{p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}+\frac{f_{n}^{p^{*}}(s)-1}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}+s \phi\right|^{p^{*}} d x+\frac{\lambda}{q}\left(f_{n}^{q}(s)-1\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}+s \phi\right|^{q} d x \\
& +\frac{\beta}{p}\left(f_{n}^{p}(s)-1\right) \int_{\Omega}|x|^{\alpha-p}\left|u_{n}+s \phi\right|^{p} d x+\frac{f_{n}^{p}(s)}{p}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}-\left\|u_{n}+s \phi\right\|^{p}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}+s \phi\right|^{p^{*}} d x-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} d x\right)+\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{n}+s \phi\right|^{q}-\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}\right) d x \\
& +\frac{\beta}{p} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left|u_{n}+s \phi\right|^{p}-\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}\right]|x|^{\alpha-p} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing by $s>0$ and taking the limit for $s \rightarrow 0$, combining with (2.14) and (2.15), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right|\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\|\phi\|}{n} \\
& \quad \geq-f_{n}^{\prime}(0)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}+f_{n}^{\prime}(0) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} d x+\lambda f_{n}^{\prime}(0) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d x \\
& \quad+\beta f_{n}^{\prime}(0) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x-\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \phi d x \\
& \quad+\mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n} \phi}{|x|^{p}} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}-1} \phi d x \\
& \quad+\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q-1} \phi d x+\beta \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-1} \phi|x|^{\alpha-p} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-f_{n}^{\prime}(0)\left[\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d x-\beta \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x\right]-\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}, \phi\right\rangle \\
& =-\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}, \phi\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\left|f_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right|\left\|u_{n}\right\|+\|\phi\|}{n} \leq\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}, \phi\right\rangle \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\phi \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Note that (2.16) holds equally for $-\phi$, we see that (2.11) holds.

Lemma 2.7 (see $[8,10])$ Set $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{u \in L^{p^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):|\nabla u| \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\}$. Assume that $1<$ $p<N$ and $0 \leq \mu<\bar{\mu}$. Then the limiting problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} u-\mu \frac{u^{p-1}}{|x|^{p}}=u^{p^{*}-1} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}  \tag{2.17}\\
u>0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\} \\
u \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

has radially symmetric ground states

$$
V_{\epsilon}(x)=\epsilon^{\frac{p-N}{p}} U_{p, \mu}\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)=\epsilon^{\frac{p-N}{p}} U_{p, \mu}\left(\frac{|x|}{\epsilon}\right) \quad \forall \epsilon>0
$$

such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla V_{\epsilon}(x)\right|^{p}-\mu \frac{\left|V_{\epsilon}(x)\right|^{p}}{|x|^{p}}\right) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|V_{\epsilon}(x)\right|^{p^{*}} d x=S_{\mu, 0}^{\frac{N}{p}}
$$

where the function $U_{p, \mu}(x)=U_{p, \mu}(|x|)$ is the unique radial solution of the above limiting problem with

$$
U_{p, \mu}(1)=\left(\frac{N(\bar{\mu}-\mu)}{N-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}}
$$

In the following, we define $\Lambda=\frac{1}{N} S_{\mu, 0}^{\frac{N}{p}}$.
Lemma 2.8 Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$be a minimizing sequence for $I_{\lambda}$ with $\kappa_{\lambda}^{-}<\Lambda-D \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{p-q}{p}\left[\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*} q}|\Omega|^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*}}} S_{\mu, 0}^{-\frac{q}{p}}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}-\beta}{N \beta_{1}}\right)^{-\frac{q}{p}}\right]^{\frac{p}{p-q}} . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p^{*}}(\Omega)$.

Proof Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \kappa_{\lambda}^{-} \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.2, we know that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. In fact, we can deduce from (1.3) and (2.19) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+ & \kappa_{\lambda}^{-}+o\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) \\
\geq & I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left|I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
= & \frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}-\frac{\beta}{p} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x-\left.\frac{1}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|\right|^{p^{*}} d x-\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d x \\
& -\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d x-\beta \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x\right) \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right) \beta \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{p^{*}}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d x \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_{1}}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}+\left(\frac{1}{p^{*}}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d x \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_{1}}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{p^{*}}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \lambda|\Omega|^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*}}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{p^{*}}} \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_{1}}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}+\left(\frac{1}{p^{*}}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \lambda|\Omega|^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*}}} S_{\mu, 0}^{-\frac{q}{p}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0<\beta<\beta_{1}, 1<q<p$, we see that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. We can choose a subsequence (still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ ) and $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{cases}u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { weakly in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)  \tag{2.20}\\ u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { strongly in } L^{p}(\Omega)\left(1 \leq p<p^{*}\right) \\ u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega\end{cases}
$$

In term of the concentration compactness principle, going if necessary to a subsequence, there exist an at most countable set $\mathcal{J}$, a set of points $\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \subset \Omega \backslash\{0\}$, and real numbers $\mu_{j}, v_{j}, \tilde{\chi_{0}}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \rightharpoonup d \mu \geq|\nabla u|^{p}+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}+\mu_{0} \delta_{0} \\
& \left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} \rightharpoonup d v=|u|^{p^{*}}+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} v_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}+v_{0} \delta_{0}, \\
& \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}}{|x|^{p}} \rightharpoonup d \widetilde{\chi}=\frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{p}}+\widetilde{\chi_{0}} \delta_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta_{x_{j}}$ is the Dirac mass at $x_{j}$.
Let $\epsilon$ be sufficient small satisfying $0 \notin B\left(x_{j}, \epsilon\right)$ and $B\left(x_{j}, \epsilon\right) \cap B\left(x_{i}, \epsilon\right)=\emptyset$ for $i \neq j, i, j=$ $1,2, \ldots, k$. Let $\psi_{\epsilon, j}(x)$ be a smooth cut-off function centered at $x_{j}$ such that $0 \leq \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x) \leq 1$,
$\psi_{\epsilon, j}(x)=1$ for $x \in B\left(x_{j}, \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right), \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x)=0$ for $x \in \Omega \backslash B\left(x_{j}, \epsilon\right)$ and $\left|\nabla \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x)\right| \leq \frac{4}{\epsilon}$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n} \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x)\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x) d x+\int_{\Omega} u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x) d x-\mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}}{|x|^{p}} \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x) d x \\
& \quad-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x) d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x) d x-\beta \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x) d x=\int_{\Omega} \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x) d \mu \geq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x) d x+\mu_{j}, \\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x) d x=\int_{\Omega} \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x) d v=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x) d x+v_{j} \\
& \left.\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\int_{\Omega} u_{n}\right| \nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x) \mid=0 \\
& \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}}{|x|^{p}} \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x)\right|=0
\end{aligned}
$$

By (1.3), we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u_{n}\right|^{q} \psi_{\epsilon, j} d x \mid & \leq \int_{B\left(x_{j}, \epsilon\right)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d x \\
& \leq\left(\int_{B\left(x_{j}, \epsilon\right)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q \frac{p^{*}}{q}} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{p^{*}}}\left(\int_{B\left(x_{j}, \epsilon\right)} d x\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*}}} \\
& \leq S_{\mu, 0}^{-\frac{q}{p}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q}\left(\int_{B\left(x_{j}, \epsilon\right)} d x\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*}}} \\
& \leq S_{\mu, 0}^{-\frac{q}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{\epsilon} r^{N-1} d r\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*}}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*}}} S_{\mu, 0}^{-\frac{q}{p}} \epsilon^{\frac{N\left(p^{*}-q\right)}{p^{*}}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u_{n}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x) d x \mid & \leq\left(\int_{B\left(x_{j}, \epsilon\right)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\frac{p^{*}}{p}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}}\left(\int_{B\left(x_{j}, \epsilon\right)}|x|^{\frac{p^{*}(\alpha-p)}{p^{*}-p}} d x\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*}}} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{B\left(x_{j}, \epsilon\right)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\frac{p^{*}}{p}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}}\left(\int_{B\left(x_{j}, \epsilon\right)}\left|x-x_{j}\right|^{\frac{p^{*}(\alpha-p)}{p^{*}-p}} d x\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*}}} \\
& \leq S_{\mu, 0}^{-1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}\left(\int_{0}^{\epsilon} r^{N-1} r^{\frac{p^{*}(\alpha-p)}{p^{*}-p}} d r\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*}}} \\
& =S_{\mu, 0}^{-1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}\left(\frac{p}{N \alpha} \epsilon^{\frac{N \alpha}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, and $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $L^{p^{*}}(\Omega)$, we conclude that

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x) d x=0
$$

and

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x) d x=0
$$

By (2.11), we have

$$
0=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n} \psi_{\epsilon, j}(x)\right\rangle \geq \mu_{j}-v_{j}
$$

Since $S_{0,0} v_{j}^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}} \leq \mu_{j}$, we have $\mu_{j}=v_{j}=0$ or $\mu_{j} \geq\left(S_{0,0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}$.
On the other hand, let $\epsilon>0$ be sufficiently small satisfying $x_{j} \notin B(0, \epsilon), \forall j \in \mathcal{J}$. Let $\psi_{\epsilon, 0}(x)$ a smooth cut-off function centered at the origin such that $0 \leq \psi_{\epsilon, 0}(x) \leq 1, \psi_{\epsilon, 0}(x)=1$ for $|x| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \psi_{\epsilon, 0}(x)=0$ for $|x| \geq \epsilon$ and $\left|\nabla \psi_{\epsilon, 0}(x)\right| \leq \frac{4}{\epsilon}$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \psi_{\epsilon, 0}(x) d x=\int_{\Omega} \psi_{\epsilon, 0}(x) d \mu \geq \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \psi_{\epsilon, 0}(x) d x+\mu_{0} \\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} \psi_{\epsilon, 0}(x) d x=\int_{\Omega} \psi_{\epsilon, 0}(x) d \nu=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} \psi_{\epsilon, 0}(x) d x+v_{0} \\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}}{|x|^{p}} \psi_{\epsilon, 0}(x) d x=\int_{\Omega} \psi_{\epsilon, 0}(x) d \tilde{\chi}=\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{p}} \psi_{\epsilon, 0}(x) d x+\tilde{\chi_{0}} \\
& \left.\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\int_{\Omega} u_{n}\right| \nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\epsilon, 0}(x) d x \mid=0 \\
& \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} \psi_{\epsilon, 0}(x) d x=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} \psi_{\epsilon, 0}(x) d x=0
$$

Therefore

$$
0=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n} \psi_{\epsilon, 0}(x)\right\rangle \geq \mu_{0}-\mu \widetilde{\chi_{0}}-v_{0}
$$

Combining the definition of $S_{\mu, 0}$, we get that $S_{\mu, 0} \nu_{0}^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}} \leq \mu_{0}-\mu \tilde{\chi}_{0} \leq \nu_{0}$, which implies that $v_{0}=0$ or $v_{0} \geq\left(S_{\mu, 0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}$. Now, we prove that $\mu_{j} \geq\left(S_{0,0}\right)^{)^{\frac{N}{p}}}$ and $v_{0} \geq\left(S_{\mu, 0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}$ are not true. If not, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{\lambda}^{-} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left|I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle\right] \\
& \geq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}+\left(\frac{1}{p^{*}}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \lambda|\Omega|^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*}}} S_{\mu, 0}^{-\frac{q}{p}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{1}{N}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}+\left(\frac{1}{p^{*}}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \lambda|\Omega|^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*}}} S_{\mu, 0}^{-\frac{q}{p}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q}\right] \\
& \geq \frac{1}{N}\left(\|u\|^{p}+\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mu_{j}+\mu_{0}-\mu \widetilde{\chi_{0}}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{p^{*}}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \lambda|\Omega|^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*}}} S_{\mu, 0}^{-\frac{q}{p}}\|u\|^{q} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{N} S_{\mu, 0}^{\frac{N}{p}}+\frac{1}{N}\|u\|^{p}+\left(\frac{1}{p^{*}}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \lambda|\Omega|^{\frac{p}{}^{p^{*}-q}} S_{\mu, 0}^{-\frac{q}{p}}\|u\|^{q} \\
& =\frac{1}{N} S_{\mu, 0}^{\frac{N}{p}}+\frac{1}{N}\|u\|^{p}-\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*} q} \lambda|\Omega|^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*}}} S_{\mu, 0}^{-\frac{q}{p}}\|u\|^{q} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{N} S_{\mu, 0}^{\frac{N}{p}}-D \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D$ is defined in (2.18). Hence, we conclude that $\Lambda-D \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \leq \kappa_{\lambda}^{-}<\Lambda-D \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}$, which is a contradiction. It follows that $v_{j}=0$ for $j \in\{0\} \cup \mathcal{J}$, which means that $\left.\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|\right|^{p^{*}} d x \rightarrow$ $\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The proof is completed.

In the following, we need some estimates for the extremal function $V_{\epsilon}$ defined in Lemma 2.7. Given $R>0$, let $\varphi(x) \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega), 0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1, \varphi(x)=1$ for $|x| \leq R, \varphi(x)=0$ for $|x| \geq 2 R$. Set $v_{\epsilon}(x)=\varphi(x) V_{\epsilon}(x)$. For $1<p<N$ and $1<q<p^{*}$, we have the following estimates (see $[4,6]$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|v_{\epsilon}\right\|^{p}=\left(S_{\mu, 0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}+O\left(\epsilon^{b(\mu) p+p-N}\right),  \tag{2.21}\\
& \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{p^{*}} d x=\left(S_{\mu, 0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}+O\left(\epsilon^{b(\mu) p^{*}-N}\right), \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{q} d x= \begin{cases}C \epsilon^{N+q\left(1-\frac{N}{p}\right)} & \frac{N}{b(\mu)}<q<p  \tag{2.23}\\ C \epsilon^{N+q\left(1-\frac{N}{p}\right)}|\ln \epsilon| & q=\frac{N}{b(\mu)} \\ C \epsilon^{q\left(b(\mu)+1-\frac{N}{p}\right)} & 1<q<\frac{N}{b(\mu)}\end{cases}
$$

where $b(\mu)$ is the zero of the function

$$
f(\xi)=(p-1) \xi^{p}-(N-p) \xi^{p-1}+\mu, \quad \xi \geq 0,0 \leq \mu<\bar{\mu},
$$

satisfying $0<\frac{N-p}{p}<b(\mu)<\frac{N-p}{p-1}$.
Lemma 2.9 There exists $\lambda_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{s \geq 0} I_{\lambda}\left(s v_{\epsilon}\right)<\Lambda-D \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}, \quad \text { for } \lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right) \text {, }
$$

where $\Lambda$ and $D$ are defined in Lemma 2.8.

Proof For two positive constants $s_{0}$ and $s_{1}$ (independent of $\epsilon, \lambda$ ), we show that there exists $s_{\epsilon}>0$ with $0<s_{0} \leq s_{\epsilon} \leq s_{1}<\infty$ such that $\sup _{s \geq 0} I_{\lambda}\left(s v_{\epsilon}\right)=I_{\lambda}\left(s_{\epsilon} v_{\epsilon}\right)$. In fact, since $\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} I_{\lambda}\left(s v_{\epsilon}\right)=-\infty$, we can deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\epsilon}^{p-1}\left\|v_{\epsilon}\right\|^{p}-\beta s_{\epsilon}^{p-1} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x-s_{\epsilon}^{p^{*}-1} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{p^{*}} d x-\lambda s_{\epsilon}^{q-1} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{q} d x=0 \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& (p-1) s_{\epsilon}^{p-2}\left\|v_{\epsilon}\right\|^{p}-(p-1) \beta s_{\epsilon}^{p-2} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x \\
& \quad-\left(p^{*}-1\right) s_{\epsilon}^{p^{*}-2} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{p^{*}} d x-(q-1) \lambda s_{\epsilon}^{q-2} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{q} d x<0 \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (2.24) and (2.25) imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (p-1) s_{\epsilon}^{p-2}\left\|v_{\epsilon}\right\|^{p}-(p-1) \beta s_{\epsilon}^{p-2} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x-\left.\left(p^{*}-1\right) s_{\epsilon}^{p^{*}-2} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|\right|^{p^{*}} d x \\
& \quad<(q-1) s_{\epsilon}^{p-2}\left\|v_{\epsilon}\right\|^{p}-(q-1) \beta s_{\epsilon}^{p-2} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x-(q-1) s_{\epsilon}^{p^{*}-2} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{p^{*}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p-q) s_{\epsilon}^{p-2}\left\|v_{\epsilon}\right\|^{p}-(p-q) \beta s_{\epsilon}^{p-2} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x<\left(p^{*}-q\right) s_{\epsilon}^{p^{*}-2} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{p^{*}} d x \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we can obtain from (2.26) that $s_{\epsilon}$ is bounded below. Moreover, it is clear to see from (2.24) that $s_{\epsilon}$ is bounded above for all $\epsilon>0$ small enough. Therefore, our claim holds.

Set

$$
h\left(s_{\epsilon} v_{\epsilon}\right)=\frac{s_{\epsilon}^{p}}{p}\left\|v_{\epsilon}\right\|^{p}-\left.\frac{s_{\epsilon}^{p^{*}}}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|\right|^{p^{*}} d x .
$$

In the following, we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(s_{\epsilon} v_{\epsilon}\right) \leq \Lambda+O\left(\epsilon^{p\left(b(\mu)-\frac{N}{p}+1\right)}\right) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\widetilde{h}(s)=\frac{s^{p}}{p}\left\|v_{\epsilon}\right\|^{p}-\left.\frac{s^{p^{*}}}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|\right|^{p^{*}} d x .
$$

Direct computations give us that $\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{h}(s)=-\infty$ and $\widetilde{h}(0)=0$. Thus $\sup _{s \geq 0} \widetilde{h}(s)$ is obtained at some $S_{\epsilon}>0$, and

$$
S_{\epsilon}=\left(\frac{\left\|v_{\epsilon}\right\|^{p}}{\int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\epsilon}\right| p^{*} d x}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}}
$$

Since $\left.\widetilde{h}^{\prime}(s)\right|_{S_{\epsilon}}=0$, that is,

$$
S_{\epsilon}^{p-1}\left\|v_{\epsilon}\right\|^{p}-S_{\epsilon}^{p^{*}-1} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{p^{*}} d x=0
$$

It is easy to check that $h(s)$ is increasing in $\left[0, S_{\epsilon}\right)$, according to (2.21) and (2.22), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(s_{\epsilon} v_{\epsilon}\right) & \leq \widetilde{h}\left(S_{\epsilon}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right) \frac{\left(\left\|v_{\epsilon}\right\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}}{\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}-p}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right) \frac{\left(\left(S_{\mu, 0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}+O\left(\epsilon^{b(\mu) p+p-N}\right)\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}}{\left.\left(\left(S_{\mu, 0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}+O\left(\epsilon^{b(\mu) p^{*}-N}\right)\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}-p}}} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right) \frac{\left.\left(S_{\mu, 0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p} p}\right)^{p^{*}-p}}{\left(S_{\mu, 0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p} \frac{p}{p^{*}-p}}}+O\left(\epsilon^{b(\mu) p+p-N}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left(S_{\mu, 0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}+O\left(\epsilon^{p\left(b(\mu)-\frac{N}{p}+1\right)}\right) \\
& =\Lambda+O\left(\epsilon^{p\left(b(\mu)-\frac{N}{p}+1\right)}\right) . \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.27), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\lambda}\left(s_{\epsilon} v_{\epsilon}\right) & =h\left(s_{\epsilon} v_{\epsilon}\right)-\frac{\beta s_{\epsilon}^{p}}{p} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x-\frac{\lambda s_{\epsilon}^{q}}{q} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{q} d x \\
& \leq \Lambda+C \epsilon^{p\left(b(\mu)-\frac{N}{p}+1\right)}-\frac{\beta}{p} s_{0}^{p} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x-\frac{\lambda s_{0}^{q}}{q} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{q} d x . \tag{2.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we consider the following cases:
(i) $\frac{N}{b(\mu)}<q<p$. Choose $\epsilon=\lambda^{\frac{1}{(p-q)\left(b(\mu)-\frac{N}{p}+1\right)}}$, for $\lambda<\lambda_{1}:=\left(\frac{C_{1}+D}{C_{2}}\right)^{\frac{(p-q)\left(b(\mu)-\frac{N}{p}+1\right)}{N-q b(\mu)}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1} \epsilon^{p\left(b(\mu)-\frac{N}{p}+1\right)}-\lambda C_{2} \epsilon^{N+q\left(1-\frac{N}{p}\right)} & =C_{1} \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}-\lambda C_{2} \lambda^{\frac{N+q\left(1-\frac{N}{p}\right)}{(p-q)\left(b(\mu)-\frac{N}{p}+1\right)}} \\
& =C_{1} \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}-C_{2} \lambda^{\frac{N+q\left(1-\frac{N}{p}\right)}{(p-q)\left(b(\mu)-\frac{N}{p}+1\right)}+1} \\
& =\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}\left(C_{1}-C_{2} \lambda^{\frac{N-q b(\mu)}{(p-q)\left(b(\mu)-\frac{N}{p}+1\right)}}\right) \\
& <-D \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) $q=\frac{N}{b(\mu)}$. We still choose $\epsilon=\lambda^{\frac{1}{(p-q)\left(b(\mu)-\frac{N}{p}+1\right)}}$, for $\lambda<\lambda_{2}:=e^{-\left(\frac{C_{1}+D}{C_{3}}\right)}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1} \epsilon^{p\left(b(\mu)-\frac{N}{p}+1\right)}-\lambda C_{2} \epsilon^{N+q\left(1-\frac{N}{p}\right)}|\ln \epsilon| & =C_{1} \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}-\lambda C_{3} \lambda^{\frac{N+q\left(1-\frac{N}{p}\right)}{(p-q)\left(b(\mu)-\frac{N}{p}+1\right)}}|\ln \lambda| \\
& =C_{1} \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}-C_{3} \lambda^{\frac{N+q\left(1-\frac{N}{p}\right)}{(p-q)\left(b(\mu)-\frac{N}{p}+1\right)}+1}|\ln \lambda| \\
& <\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}\left(C_{1}-C_{3}|\ln \lambda|\right) \\
& <-D \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{3}=\frac{C_{2}}{(p-q)\left(b(\mu)-\frac{N}{p}+1\right)}$.
(iii) $1<q<\frac{N}{b(\mu)}$. Put $\epsilon^{p\left(b(\mu)-\frac{N}{p}+1\right)} \leq \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}$, for $\lambda<\lambda_{3}:=\left(\frac{C_{2}-D}{C_{1}}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p q-p}}$ with $C_{2}>D$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1} \epsilon^{p\left(b(\mu)-\frac{N}{p}+1\right)}-\lambda C_{2} \epsilon^{q\left(b(\mu)+1-\frac{N}{p}\right)} & :=C_{1} \lambda^{\frac{p q}{p-q}}-\lambda C_{2} \lambda^{\frac{q}{p-q}} \\
& =\lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}\left(C_{1} \lambda^{\frac{p q-p}{p-q}}-C_{2}\right) \\
& <-D \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, for $\lambda<\lambda_{0}:=\min \left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right\}$, we deduce that

$$
I_{\lambda}\left(s_{\epsilon} v_{\epsilon}\right)<\Lambda-D \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}} .
$$

## 3 Proof of main result

We can find a constant $\delta>0$ such that $\Lambda-D \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}>0$ for $\lambda<\delta$. Let $\lambda_{*}=\min \left\{T_{1}, \delta, \lambda_{0}\right\}$. For $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{*}\right)$, Lemmas 2.1-2.4, 2.6 and 2.8 hold.

Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ be a minimizing sequence of $I_{\lambda}$. It is easy to see that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and there exist a subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ (still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ ) and $u_{\lambda} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{\lambda} \quad \text { weakly in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)  \tag{3.1}\\
u_{n} \rightarrow u_{\lambda} \quad \text { strongly in } L^{s}(\Omega)\left(1 \leq s<p^{*}\right) \\
u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u_{\lambda}(x) \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Firstly, by Lemma 2.4, we can know that $f_{n}^{\prime}(0)$ is bounded with respect to $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.11), we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{*}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{*} \cdot \nabla \phi-\mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{*}\right|^{p-2} u_{*}}{|x|^{p}} \phi \\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{*}\right|^{p^{*}-1} \phi+\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{*}\right|^{q-1} \phi+\beta \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{*}\right|^{p-1}|x|^{\alpha-p} \phi \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\phi \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Equation (3.2) implies that $u_{\lambda}$ is a solution of (1.1). We claim that $u_{\lambda} \not \equiv 0$. If not, $u_{\lambda}=0$, since $u_{n} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$, we have

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}-\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}}-\beta \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p}-\lambda \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}=0 .
$$

Note that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d x=0
$$

Put $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}\right\|=m$, we conclude that $m \geq S_{\mu, 0}^{\frac{p^{*}}{p\left(p^{*}-p\right)}}$. By Lemma 2.8, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{\lambda} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{1}{p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}-\frac{\beta}{p} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} d x-\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} d x\right] \\
& \geq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p} \\
& \geq \frac{p^{*}-p}{p p^{*}} S_{\mu, 0}^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} \\
& =\frac{1}{N} S_{\mu, 0}^{\frac{N}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts with $\kappa_{\lambda}<\Lambda-D \lambda^{\frac{p}{p-q}}$ (from Lemma 2.9).

Secondly, we prove that $u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$. Suppose that this is not true, i.e., $u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$. From Lemma 2.1, we can find positive numbers $s^{+}$and $s^{-}$with $s^{+}<s_{\max }<s^{-}=1$ such that $s^{+} u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}, s^{-} u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$and

$$
\kappa_{\lambda}<I_{\lambda}\left(s^{+} u_{\lambda}\right)<I_{\lambda}\left(s^{-} u_{\lambda}\right)=I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=\kappa_{\lambda},
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+}$. Furthermore, combining with Lemma 2.3, we can obtain

$$
I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=\kappa_{\lambda}^{+}=\kappa_{\lambda}<0 .
$$

Therefore, we see that $u_{\lambda}$ is a non-negative ground state solution of problem (1.1).
In the following, we prove that problem (1.1) has a second solution $v_{\lambda}$ with $v_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$. Since $I_{\lambda}$ is coercive on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$, according to the Ekeland variational principle and Lemma 2.9, there exists a minimizing sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$of $I_{\lambda}$ such that
(i) $I_{\lambda}\left(v_{n}\right)<\kappa_{\lambda}^{-}+\frac{1}{n}$;
(ii) $I_{\lambda}(u) \geq I_{\lambda}\left(v_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{n}\left\|u-v_{n}\right\|$ for all $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$.

Note that $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, there exist a subsequence (still denoted by $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ ) and $\nu_{\lambda} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{n} \rightharpoonup v_{\lambda} \quad \text { weakly in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega),  \tag{3.3}\\
v_{n} \rightarrow v_{\lambda} \quad \text { strongly in } L^{s}(\Omega)\left(1 \leq s<p^{*}\right), \\
v_{n}(x) \rightarrow v_{\lambda}(x) \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega,
\end{array}\right.
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Similar to the above discussion, we can deduce that $v_{n} \rightarrow v_{\lambda}$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and $v_{\lambda}$ is a nonnegative solution of (1.1). Thirdly, we show that $\nu_{\lambda} \neq 0$ in $\Omega$. According to $v_{n} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(p-q)\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{p} & =\left(p^{*}-q\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} d x+(p-q) \beta \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{n}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x \\
& <\left(p^{*}-q\right) S_{\mu, 0}^{-\frac{p^{*}}{p}}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{p^{*}}+(p-q) \frac{\beta}{\beta_{1}}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{p},
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{n}\right\|>\left[\frac{(p-q)\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_{1}}\right) S_{\mu, 0}^{\frac{p^{*}}{p}}}{p^{*}-q}\right]^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}}, \quad \forall v_{n} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with $v_{n} \rightarrow v_{\lambda}$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ means that $v_{\lambda} \not \equiv 0$.
Lastly, we show that $\nu_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$. We only need to prove that $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$is closed. In fact, for $\left\{v_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$, it follows from Lemmas 2.8 and 2.9 that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} d x=\int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\lambda}\right|^{p^{*}} d x
$$

In addition

$$
(p-q)\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{p}-\left(p^{*}-q\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} d x-(p-q) \beta \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{n}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x<0
$$

Thus

$$
(p-q)\left\|v_{\lambda}\right\|^{p}-\left(p^{*}-q\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\lambda}\right|^{p^{*}} d x-(p-q) \beta \int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\lambda}\right|^{p}|x|^{\alpha-p} d x \leq 0
$$

which means that $v_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{0} \cup \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$. Combining with Lemma 2.1 and $v_{\lambda} \not \equiv 0$, we see that $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}$ is closed. Note that $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{+} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^{-}=\emptyset$, we know that $u_{\lambda}$ and $v_{\lambda}$ are different.

## 4 Conclusions

In this paper, we study the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for the quasilinear elliptic problem which consists of critical Sobolev exponent and a Hardy term.
The main conclusions of this work:
(1) Adding a linear perturbation in the nonlinear term of elliptic equation.
(2) The main challenge of this study is the lack of compactness of the embedding $W_{0}^{1, p} \hookrightarrow L^{p^{*}}$. We overcome it by the concentration compactness principle.
(3) We apply the Ekeland variational principle to obtain a minimizing sequence with good properties.

## 5 Discussion

In the future, a natural question is whether the multiplicity of positive solutions for (1.1) can be established with negative exponent $\frac{1}{u^{\gamma}}(0<\gamma<1)$.
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