

RESEARCH

Open Access



Nonexistence of stable F -stationary maps of a functional related to pullback metrics

Jing Li, Fang Liu and Peibiao Zhao*

*Correspondence:
pbzhao@njjust.edu.cn
Department of Applied
Mathematics, Nanjing University of
Science and Technology, Nanjing,
Jiangsu 210094, P.R. China

Abstract

Let M^m be a compact convex hypersurface in R^{m+1} . In this paper, we prove that if the principal curvatures λ_i of M^m satisfy $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_m$ and $3\lambda_m < \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \lambda_j$, then there exists no nonconstant stable F -stationary map between M and a compact Riemannian manifold when (6) or (7) holds.

MSC: 58E20; 53C21

Keywords: F -stationary map; compact convex hypersurfaces

1 Introduction

Let $u : (M^m, g) \rightarrow (N^n, h)$ be a smooth map between Riemannian manifolds (M^m, g) and (N^n, h) . Recently, Kawai and Nakauchi [1] introduced a functional related to the pullback metric u^*h as follows:

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{4} \int_M \|u^*h\|^2 dv_g, \quad (1)$$

(see [2–4]), where u^*h is the symmetric 2-tensor defined by

$$(u^*h)(X, Y) = h(du(X), du(Y))$$

for any vector fields X, Y on M and $\|u^*h\|$ is given by

$$\|u^*h\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^m [h(du(e_i), du(e_j))]^2,$$

with respect to a local orthonormal frame $(e_1, \dots, e_m)$ on (M, g) . The map u is stationary for Φ if it is a critical point of $\Phi(u)$ with respect to any compact supported variation of u , and u is stable if the second variation for the functional $\Phi(u)$ is nonnegative. They showed the nonexistence of a nonconstant stable stationary map for Φ , either from S^m ($m \geq 5$) to any manifold, or from any compact Riemannian manifold to S^n ($n \geq 5$). In this paper, for a smooth function $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $F(0) = 0$ and $F'(t) > 0$ on $t \in (0, \infty)$, we are concerned with the instability of F -stationary maps which is the generalization of a stationary map for Φ introduced by Asserda in [4]. In [4], they obtained some monotonicity

formulas for F -stationary maps via the coarea formula and the comparison theorem. Also, by using monotonicity formulas, they got some Liouville type results for these maps.

The authors in [5] obtained the first and second variation formula for F -stationary maps. By using the second variation formula, they proved that every stable F -stationary map from $S^m(1)$ to any Riemannian manifold is constant if

$$\int_{S^m} \|u^*h\|^2 \left\{ F''\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) \|u^*h\|^2 + (4-m)F'\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) \right\} dv_g < 0, \quad (2)$$

or every F -stationary map from any compact Riemannian manifold N^n to S^m is constant if

$$\int_{N^n} \|u^*h\|^2 \left\{ F''\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) \|u^*h\|^2 + (4-m)F'\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) \right\} dv_g < 0. \quad (3)$$

In this paper, we obtain the results on the instability of F -stationary maps which are from or into the compact convex hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space.

2 Preliminaries

Let $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a C^2 -function such that $F(0) = 0$ and $F'(t) > 0$ on $t \in (0, \infty)$. For a smooth map $u : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ between compact Riemannian manifolds (M, g) and (N, h) with Riemannian metrics g and h , respectively, following Ara [6] for an F -harmonic map (also see [7–10]), Asserda in [4] gave the following definition.

Definition 2.1 We call u an F -stationary map for Φ_F if

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Phi_F(u_t)|_{t=0} = 0$$

for any compactly supported variation $u_t : M \rightarrow N$ with $u_0 = u$, where

$$\Phi_F(u) = \int_{M^m} F\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) dv_g.$$

Let ∇ and ${}^N\nabla$ always denote the Levi-Civita connections of M and N , respectively. Let $\tilde{\nabla}$ be the induced connection on $u^{-1}TN$ defined by $\tilde{\nabla}_X W = {}^N\nabla_{du(X)} W$, where X is a tangent vector of M and W is a section of $u^{-1}TN$. We choose a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_i\}$ on M . We define the F -tension field $\tau_{\Phi_F}(u)$ of u by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\Phi_F}(u) &= -\delta \left(F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \sigma_u \right) \\ &= F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \operatorname{div}_g(\sigma_u) + \sigma_u \left(\operatorname{grad} \left(F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\sigma_u = \sum_j h(du(\cdot), du(e_j)) du(e_j)$, which was defined in [1].

We need the following second variation formula for F -stationary maps (cf. [5]). Let $u : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ be an F -stationary map. Let $u_{s,t} : M \rightarrow N$ ($-\varepsilon < s, t < \varepsilon$) be a compactly supported two-parameter variation such that $u_{0,0} = u$, and set $V = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{s,t}|_{s,t=0}$, $W = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} u_{s,t}|_{s,t=0}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} \Phi_F(u_{s,t})|_{s,t=0} &= \int_M F''\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) \langle \tilde{\nabla} V, \sigma_u \rangle \langle \tilde{\nabla} W, \sigma_u \rangle dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_M F'\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) \sum_{i,j=1}^m h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} W) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_M F'\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) \sum_{i,j=1}^m h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V, du(e_j)) h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} W, du(e_j)) dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_M F'\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) \sum_{i,j=1}^m h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V, du(e_j)) h(du(e_i), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} W) dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_M F'\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) h(R^N(V, du(e_i))W, du(e_j)) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g, \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product on $T^*M \otimes u^{-1}TN$ and R^N is the curvature tensor of N .

We put

$$I(V, W) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} \Phi_F(u_{s,t})|_{s,t=0}. \quad (5)$$

An F -stationary map u is called stable if $I(V, V) \geq 0$ for any compactly supported vector field V along u .

3 F -stationary maps from compact convex hypersurfaces

In this section, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $M \subset R^{m+1}$ be a compact convex hypersurface. Assume that the principal curvatures λ_i of M^m satisfy $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_m$ and $3\lambda_m < \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \lambda_i$. Then every nonconstant F -stationary map from M to any compact Riemannian manifold N is unstable if there exists a constant $c_F = \inf\{c \geq 0 | F'(t)/t^c \text{ is nonincreasing}\}$ such that*

$$c_F < \frac{1}{4\lambda_m^2} \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \lambda_i \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k - 2\lambda_i - 2\lambda_m \right) \right\} \quad (6)$$

or when $F''(t) = F'(t)$ (for example, $F(t) = \exp(t)$)

$$\|u^*h\|^2 < \frac{1}{\lambda_m^2} \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \lambda_i \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k - 2\lambda_i - 2\lambda_m \right) \right\}. \quad (7)$$

Proof In order to prove the instability of $u: M^m \rightarrow N$, we need to consider some special variational vector fields along u . To do this, we choose an orthonormal field $\{e_i, e_{m+1}\}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, of R^{m+1} such that $\{e_i\}$ are tangent to $M^m \subset R^{m+1}$, e_{m+1} is normal to M^m and $\nabla_{e_i} e_j|_P = 0$. Meanwhile, we take a fixed orthonormal basis E_A , $A = 1, \dots, m+1$, of R^{m+1} and set

$$V_A = \sum_{i=1}^m v_A^i e_i, \quad v_A^i = \langle E_A, e_i \rangle, \quad v_A^{m+1} = \langle E_A, e_{m+1} \rangle, \quad (8)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the canonical Euclidean inner product. Then $du(V_A) \in \Gamma(u^{-1}TN)$ and

$$\sum_A v_A^i v_A^j = \sum_A \langle E_A, e_i \rangle \langle E_A, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad (9)$$

$$\nabla_{e_i} V_A = v_A^{m+1} B_{ij} e_j, \quad (10)$$

$$\nabla_{e_i} (\nabla_{e_i} V_A) = -v_A^k B_{ik} B_{ij} e_j + v_A^{m+1} (\nabla_{e_i} h_{ij}) e_j, \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} (du(\nabla_{e_i} V_A)) &= -v_A^k B_{ik} B_{ij} du(e_j) \\ &\quad + v_A^{m+1} (\nabla_{e_i} B_{ij}) du(e_j) + v_A^{m+1} B_{ij} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_j), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where B_{ij} denotes the components of the second fundamental form of M^m in R^{m+1} . Suppose that $u : M^m \rightarrow N$ is a nonconstant F -stationary map. Then the condition $\tau_F(u) = -\delta(F'(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4})\sigma_u) = 0$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_A \int_{M^m} F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \langle (\Delta du)(V_A), \sigma_u(V_A) \rangle dv_g \\ &= \sum_A \int_{M^m} F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) v_A^i v_A^j \langle (\Delta du)(e_i), \sigma_u(e_j) \rangle dv_g \\ &= \sum_i \int_{M^m} F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \langle (\Delta du)(e_i), \sigma_u(e_i) \rangle dv_g \\ &= \int_{M^m} F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \langle (\Delta du), \sigma_u \rangle dv_g \\ &= \int_{M^m} \left\langle \delta du, \delta \left(F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \sigma_u \right) \right\rangle dv_g = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

It follows from the Weitzenböck formula that

$$-\sum_{k=1}^m R^N(du(X), du(e_k)) du(e_k) + du(\text{Ric}^M(X)) = \Delta du(X) + \tilde{\nabla}^2 du(X), \quad (14)$$

where X is any smooth vector field on M^m . With respect to the variational vector field $du(V_A)$ along u , it follows from (13) and (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_A I(du(V_A), du(V_A)) \\ &= \int_M F'' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_A \langle \tilde{\nabla} du(V_A), \sigma_u \rangle^2 dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_{i,j,A} h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} du(V_A)) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_{i,j,A} h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), du(e_j)) h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), du(e_j)) dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_{i,j,A} h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), du(e_j)) h(du(e_i), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} du(V_A)) dv_g \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_A h(du(\operatorname{Ric}^{M^m}(V_A)), \sigma_u(V_A)) dv_g \\
& + \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_A h((\tilde{\nabla}^2 du)(V_A), \sigma_u(V_A)) dv_g.
\end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

For any fixed point $P \in M$, choose $\{e_i\}$ such that $\nabla_{e_i} e_j|_P = 0$. We have

$$\tilde{\nabla}^2 du(V_A) = \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} (du(V_A)) - 2\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} (du(\nabla_{e_i} V_A)) + du(\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_i} V_A) \quad (16)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_{A,i} h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), \sigma_u(V_A)) dv_g \\
& = - \int_M \sum_{A,i} h \left(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \left[F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \sigma_u(V_A) \right] \right) dv_g \\
& = - \int_M \sum_{A,i} h \left(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \left[F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \right] \sigma_u(V_A) \right) dv_g \\
& \quad - \int_M \sum_{A,i} h \left(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \sigma_u(V_A) \right) dv_g \\
& = - \int_M \sum_{A,i} h \left(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \left[F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \right] \sigma_u(V_A) \right) dv_g \\
& \quad - \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_{A,i,j} h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_j)) h(du(V_A), du(e_j)) dv_g \\
& \quad - \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_{A,i,j} h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), du(e_j)) h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), du(e_j)) dv_g \\
& \quad - \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_{A,i,j} h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), du(e_j)) h(du(V_A), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_j)) dv_g.
\end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Substituting (16) and (17) into (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_A I(du(V_A), du(V_A)) \\
& = \int_M \left\{ F'' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_A \langle \tilde{\nabla} du(V_A), \sigma_u \rangle^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. - h \left(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \left[F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \right] \sigma_u(V_A) \right) \right\} dv_g \\
& \quad + \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) h(-2\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} (du(\nabla_{e_i} V_A)) \\
& \quad + du(\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_i} V_A) - du(\operatorname{Ric}^{M^m}(V_A)), \sigma_u(V_A)) dv_g \\
& \quad + \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_{i,j,A} h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} du(V_A)) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_{i,j,A} h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), du(e_j)) h(du(e_i), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} du(V_A)) dv_g \\
& - \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_{A,i,j} h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_j)) h(du(V_A), du(e_j)) dv_g \\
& - \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_{A,i,j} h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), du(e_j)) h(du(V_A), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_j)) dv_g. \quad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

In the following, we shall estimate each term in (18). Because trace is independent of the choice of orthonormal basis, we can take pointwisely $\{e_i, e_{m+1}\}$ such that $B_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$.

A straightforward computation shows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_A h \left(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \left[F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \right] \sigma_u(V_A) \right) \\
& = \sum_A F'' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) h(v_A^{m+1} B_{ik} du(e_k) + v_A^k \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_k), v_A^l \sigma_u(e_l)) \\
& = F'' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_k), \sigma_u(e_k)) \\
& = F'' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \langle \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du, \sigma_u \rangle^2 \quad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_A F'' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \langle \tilde{\nabla} du(V_A), \sigma_u \rangle^2 \\
& = \sum_A F'' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \langle v_A^{m+1} B_{ik} du(e_k) + v_A^k \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_k), \sigma_u(e_i) \rangle^2 \\
& = \sum_A F'' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \{ B_{ik} B_{jl} h(du(e_k), \sigma_u(e_i)) h(du(e_l), \sigma_u(e_j)) \\
& \quad + h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_k), \sigma_u(e_i)) h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} du(e_k), \sigma_u(e_j)) \} \\
& = \sum_A F'' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \{ \lambda_i \lambda_j h(du(e_i), \sigma_u(e_i)) h(du(e_j), \sigma_u(e_j)) + \langle \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du, \sigma_u \rangle^2 \}. \quad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from (19) and (20) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_M \left\{ F'' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_A \langle \tilde{\nabla} du(V_A), \sigma_u \rangle^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. - h \left(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \left[F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \right] \sigma_u(V_A) \right) \right\} dv_g \\
& = \int_M F'' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \lambda_i \lambda_j h(du(e_i), \sigma_u(e_i)) h(du(e_j), \sigma_u(e_j)) dv_g. \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

From the Gauss equation it follows that

$$\text{Ric}^M(V_A) = v_A^i (B_{kk} B_{ij} - B_{ik} B_{jk}) e_j. \quad (22)$$

Using (10), (11), (12) and (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) h(-2\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i}(du(\nabla_{e_i} V_A)) \\
 & \quad + du(\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_i} V_A) - du(\operatorname{Ric}^{M^m}(V_A)), \sigma_u(V_A)) dv_g \\
 &= \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \{ [h(2v_A^k B_{ik} B_{ij} du(e_j) - v_A^{m+1} \nabla_{e_i}(B_{ij}) du(e_j) \\
 & \quad - v_A^{m+1} B_{ij} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_j), v_A^l \sigma_u(e_l))] \\
 & \quad + h(-v_A^k B_{ik} B_{ij} du(e_j) + v_A^{m+1} (\nabla_{e_i} B_{ij}) du(e_j), v_A^l \sigma_u(e_l)) \\
 & \quad + h(v_A^k B_{ik} B_{ij} du(e_j) - v_A^i B_{kk} B_{ij} du(e_j), v_A^l \sigma_u(e_l))] \} dv_g \\
 &= \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \{ h(2v_A^k B_{ik} B_{ij} du(e_j) - v_A^i B_{kk} B_{ij} du(e_j), v_A^l \sigma_u(e_l)) \} dv_g \\
 &= \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_i \left\{ \left[2\lambda_i - \left(\sum_k \lambda_k \right) \right] \lambda_i h(du(e_i), \sigma_u(e_i)) \right\} dv_g. \tag{23}
 \end{aligned}$$

A straightforward computation shows

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_{i,j,A} h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} du(V_A)) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g \\
 &= \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) h(v_A^{m+1} B_{ik} du(e_k) + v_A^k \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_k), \\
 & \quad v_A^{m+1} B_{jl} du(e_l) + v_A^l \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} du(e_l)) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g \\
 &= \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \{ B_{ik} B_{jl} h(du(e_k), du(e_l)) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) \\
 & \quad + h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_k), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} du(e_k)) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) \} dv_g \\
 &= \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \{ \lambda_i \lambda_j h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) \\
 & \quad + h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_k), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} du(e_k)) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) \} dv_g \tag{24}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_{i,j,A} h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), du(e_j)) h(du(e_i), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} du(V_A)) dv_g \\
 &= \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \{ h(v_A^{m+1} B_{ik} du(e_k) + v_A^k \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_k), du(e_j)) \\
 & \quad \times h(du(e_i), v_A^{m+1} B_{jk} du(e_k) + v_A^k \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} du(e_k)) \} dv_g \\
 &= \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \{ \lambda_i \lambda_j h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) \\
 & \quad + h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_k), du(e_j)) h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} du(e_k), du(e_i)) \} dv_g \tag{25}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_{A,i,j} h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_j)) h(du(V_A), du(e_j)) dv_g \\
 &= \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \{ h(v_A^{m+1} B_{ik} du(e_k) + v_A^k \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_k), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_j)) \\
 &\quad \times h(v_A^l du(e_l), du(e_j)) \} dv_g \\
 &= \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_k), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_j)) h(du(e_k), du(e_j)) dv_g \quad (26)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_{A,i,j} h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(V_A), du(e_j)) h(du(V_A), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_j)) dv_g \\
 &= \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \{ h(v_A^{m+1} B_{ik} du(e_k) + v_A^k \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_k), du(e_j)) \\
 &\quad \times h(v_A^l du(e_l), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_j)) \} dv_g \\
 &= \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_k), du(e_j)) h(du(e_k), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_j)) dv_g. \quad (27)
 \end{aligned}$$

From (18), (21), (23), (24), (25), (26), (27) and $\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} du(e_j) = \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} du(e_i)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_A I(du(V_A), du(V_A)) \\
 &= \int_M F'' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \lambda_i \lambda_j h(du(e_i), \sigma_u(e_i)) h(du(e_j), \sigma_u(e_j)) dv_g \\
 &\quad + \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_i \left\{ \left[2\lambda_i - \left(\sum_k \lambda_k \right) \right] \lambda_i h(du(e_i), \sigma_u(e_i)) \right\} dv_g \\
 &\quad + 2 \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \lambda_i \lambda_j h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g \\
 &\leq \int_M F'' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \lambda_i \lambda_j h(du(e_i), \sigma_u(e_i)) h(du(e_j), \sigma_u(e_j)) dv_g \\
 &\quad + \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_i \left\{ \left[2\lambda_i - \left(\sum_k \lambda_k \right) \right] \lambda_i h(du(e_i), \sigma_u(e_i)) \right\} dv_g \\
 &\quad + 2 \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \lambda_i \lambda_m h(du(e_i), \sigma_u(e_i)) dv_g \\
 &= \int_M F'' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \lambda_i \lambda_j h(du(e_i), \sigma_u(e_i)) h(du(e_j), \sigma_u(e_j)) dv_g \\
 &\quad + \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_i \left\{ \left[2\lambda_i + 2\lambda_m - \left(\sum_k \lambda_k \right) \right] \lambda_i h(du(e_i), \sigma_u(e_i)) \right\} dv_g. \quad (28)
 \end{aligned}$$

If $F''(t) = F'(t)$, then (28) leads to the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_A I(du(V_A), du(V_A)) \\
 & \leq \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \lambda_m^2 \|u^*h\|^4 dv_g \\
 & \quad + \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \left[2\lambda_i + 2\lambda_m - \left(\sum_k \lambda_k \right) \right] \lambda_i \right\} \|u^*h\|^2 dv_g \\
 & = \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \|u^*h\|^2 \left\{ \lambda_m^2 \|u^*h\|^2 \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \left[2\lambda_i + 2\lambda_m - \left(\sum_k \lambda_k \right) \right] \lambda_i \right\} \right\} dv_g. \tag{29}
 \end{aligned}$$

If there exists a constant c_F such that $\frac{F'(t)}{t^2}$ is nonincreasing, it follows that $F''(t)t \leq c_F F'(t)$ on $t \in (0, \infty)$, thus (28) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_A I(du(V_A), du(V_A)) \\
 & \leq \int_M 4c_F F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \lambda_m^2 \|u^*h\|^2 dv_g \\
 & \quad + \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \left[2\lambda_i + 2\lambda_m - \left(\sum_k \lambda_k \right) \right] \lambda_i \right\} \|u^*h\|^2 dv_g \\
 & = \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4} \right) \|u^*h\|^2 \left\{ 4c_F \lambda_m^2 \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \left[2\lambda_i + 2\lambda_m - \left(\sum_k \lambda_k \right) \right] \lambda_i \right\} \right\} dv_g. \tag{30}
 \end{aligned}$$

If u is nonconstant and (6) or (7) holds, we have

$$\sum_A I(du(V_A), du(V_A)) < 0 \tag{31}$$

and u is unstable. $\square$

Corollary 3.2 *Let $u : S^m \rightarrow N$ be a nonconstant F -stationary map and $m > 4$. If $c_F < \frac{m}{4} - 1$ or $\|u^*h\|^2 < m - 4$, then u is unstable.*

4 F -stationary maps into compact convex hypersurfaces

In this section, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.1 *With the same assumption on M^m as in Theorem 3.1, every nonconstant F -stationary map from any compact Riemannian manifold N to M^m is unstable if (6) or (7) holds.*

Proof In order to prove the instability of $u : N^n \rightarrow M^m$, we need to consider some special variational vector fields along u . To do this, we choose an orthonormal field $\{\epsilon_\alpha, \epsilon_{m+1}\}$,

$\alpha = 1, \dots, m$, of R^{m+1} such that $\{\epsilon_\alpha\}$ are tangent to $M^m \subset R^{m+1}$, ϵ_{m+1} is normal to M^m , ${}^{M^m}\nabla_{\epsilon_\alpha}\epsilon_\beta|_P = 0$ and $B_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda_\alpha\delta_{\alpha\beta}$, where $B_{\alpha\beta}$ denotes the components of the second fundamental form of M^m in R^{m+1} . Meanwhile, take a fixed orthonormal basis E_A , $A = 1, \dots, m+1$, of R^{m+1} and set

$$V_A = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m v_A^\alpha \epsilon_\alpha, \quad v_A^\alpha = \langle E_A, \epsilon_\alpha \rangle, \quad v_A^{m+1} = \langle E_A, \epsilon_{m+1} \rangle, \quad (32)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the canonical Euclidean inner product. We shall consider the second variation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_A I(V_A, V_A) &= \int_N F''\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) \langle \tilde{\nabla} V_A, \sigma_u \rangle \langle \tilde{\nabla} V_A, \sigma_u \rangle dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_N F'\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) \sum_{i,j=1}^m h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V_A, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} V_A) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_N F'\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) \sum_{i,j=1}^m h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V_A, du(e_j)) h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V_A, du(e_j)) dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_N F'\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) \sum_{i,j=1}^m h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V_A, du(e_j)) h(du(e_i), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} V_A) dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_N F'\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) \sum_i h(R^{M^m}(V_A, du(e_i)) V_A, \sigma_u(e_i)) dv_g, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is the local orthonormal frame of N^n .

Firstly, we compute the first term of (33)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_A \int_N F''\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) \langle \tilde{\nabla} V_A, \sigma_u \rangle \langle \tilde{\nabla} V_A, \sigma_u \rangle dv_g &= \int_N F''\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) \left[\sum_i h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V_A, \sigma_u(e_i)) \right]^2 dv_g \\ &= \int_N F''\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) \left[\sum_i h({}^{M^m}\nabla_{du(e_i)} V_A, \sigma_u(e_i)) \right]^2 dv_g \\ &= \int_N F''\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) \left[\sum_i u_i^\alpha h({}^{M^m}\nabla_{\epsilon_\alpha} V_A, \sigma_u(e_i)) \right]^2 dv_g \\ &= \int_N F''\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) \left[\sum_i v_A^{m+1} u_i^\alpha B_{\alpha\beta} h(\epsilon_\beta, \sigma_u(e_i)) \right]^2 dv_g \\ &= \int_N F''\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) \left[\sum_i v_A^{m+1} u_i^\alpha \lambda_\alpha h(\epsilon_\alpha, \sigma_u(e_i)) \right]^2 dv_g \\ &= \int_N F''\left(\frac{\|u^*h\|^2}{4}\right) \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta h(u_i^\alpha \epsilon_\alpha, \sigma_u(e_i)) h(u_j^\beta \epsilon_\beta, \sigma_u(e_j)) dv_g. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The second term of (33)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_A \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_{ij=1}^m h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V_A, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} V_A) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g \\
 &= \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) h(M^m \nabla_{du(e_i)} V_A, M^m \nabla_{du(e_j)} V_A) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g \\
 &= \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) u_i^\alpha u_j^\beta h(M^m \nabla_{\epsilon_\alpha} V_A, M^m \nabla_{\epsilon_\beta} V_A) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g \\
 &= \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) u_i^\alpha u_j^\beta B_{\alpha\gamma} B_{\beta\delta} h(\epsilon_\gamma, \epsilon_\delta) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g \\
 &= \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta h(u_i^\alpha \epsilon_\alpha, u_j^\beta \epsilon_\beta) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g \\
 &= \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \lambda_\alpha^2 h(u_i^\alpha \epsilon_\alpha, du(e_j)) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g. \tag{35}
 \end{aligned}$$

The third term of (33)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_A \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_{ij=1}^m h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V_A, du(e_j)) h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V_A, du(e_j)) dv_g \\
 &= \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta h(u_i^\alpha \epsilon_\alpha, du(e_j)) h(u_i^\beta \epsilon_\beta, du(e_j)) dv_g. \tag{36}
 \end{aligned}$$

The fourth term of (33)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_A \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_{ij=1}^m h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V_A, du(e_j)) h(du(e_i), \tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} V_A) dv_g \\
 &= \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta h(u_i^\alpha \epsilon_\alpha, du(e_j)) h(du(e_i), u_j^\beta \epsilon_\beta) dv_g. \tag{37}
 \end{aligned}$$

The fifth term of (33)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_A \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \sum_i h(R^{M^m}(V_A, du(e_i)) V_A, \sigma_u(e_i)) dv_g \\
 &= \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) v_A^\alpha v_A^\beta h(R^{M^m}(\epsilon_\alpha, du(e_i)) \epsilon_\beta, \sigma_u(e_i)) dv_g \\
 &= \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) u_i^\gamma u_j^\delta h(R^{M^m}(\epsilon_\alpha, \epsilon_\gamma) \epsilon_\alpha, \epsilon_\delta) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g \\
 &= \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) u_i^\gamma u_j^\delta [B_{\alpha\delta} B_{\gamma\alpha} - B_{\alpha\alpha} B_{\gamma\delta}] h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g \\
 &= \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) u_i^\alpha u_j^\alpha \left[\lambda_\alpha^2 - \left(\sum_\beta \lambda_\beta \right) \lambda_\alpha \right] h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \left[\lambda_\alpha^2 - \left(\sum_\beta \lambda_\beta \right) \lambda_\alpha \right] h(u_i^\alpha \epsilon_\alpha, u_j^\gamma \epsilon_\gamma) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g \\
&= \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \left[\lambda_\alpha^2 - \left(\sum_\beta \lambda_\beta \right) \lambda_\alpha \right] h(u_i^\alpha \epsilon_\alpha, du(e_j)) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g. \quad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

From (33)-(38), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_A I(V_A, V_A) \\
&= \int_N F'' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta h(u_i^\alpha \epsilon_\alpha, \sigma_u(e_i)) h(u_j^\beta \epsilon_\beta, \sigma_u(e_j)) dv_g \\
&\quad + \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \left[2\lambda_\alpha^2 - \left(\sum_\beta \lambda_\beta \right) \lambda_\alpha \right] h(u_i^\alpha \epsilon_\alpha, du(e_j)) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g \\
&\quad + \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta h(u_i^\alpha \epsilon_\alpha, du(e_j)) h(u_i^\beta \epsilon_\beta, du(e_j)) dv_g \\
&\quad + \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta h(u_i^\alpha \epsilon_\alpha, du(e_j)) h(du(e_i), u_j^\beta \epsilon_\beta) dv_g \\
&\leq \int_N F'' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta h(u_i^\alpha \epsilon_\alpha, \sigma_u(e_i)) h(u_j^\alpha \epsilon_\alpha, \sigma_u(e_j)) dv_g \\
&\quad + \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \left[2\lambda_\alpha^2 - \left(\sum_\beta \lambda_\beta \right) \lambda_\alpha \right] h(u_i^\alpha \epsilon_\alpha, du(e_j)) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g \\
&\quad + \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) 2\lambda_\alpha \lambda_m h(u_i^\alpha \epsilon_\alpha, du(e_j)) h(du(e_i), du(e_j)) dv_g \\
&\leq \int_N F'' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta h(u_i^\alpha \epsilon_\alpha, \sigma_u(e_i)) h(u_j^\alpha \epsilon_\alpha, \sigma_u(e_j)) dv_g \\
&\quad + \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \left[2\lambda_\alpha^2 + 2\lambda_\alpha \lambda_m - \left(\sum_\beta \lambda_\beta \right) \lambda_\alpha \right] h(u_i^\alpha \epsilon_\alpha, \sigma_u(e_i)) dv_g. \quad (39)
\end{aligned}$$

If $F''(t) = F'(t)$, then (39) leads to the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_A I(V_A, V_A) &\leq \int_N F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \|u^* h\|^2 \left\{ \|u^* h\|^2 \lambda_m^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \max_{1 \leq \alpha \leq m} \left[2\lambda_\alpha^2 + 2\lambda_\alpha \lambda_m - \left(\sum_\beta \lambda_\beta \right) \lambda_\alpha \right] \right\} dv_g. \quad (40)
\end{aligned}$$

If there exists a constant c_F such that $\frac{F'(t)}{t^{c_F}}$ is nonincreasing, it follows that $F''(t)t \leq c_F F'(t)$ on $t \in (0, \infty)$, thus (39) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_A I(V_A, V_A) &\leq \int_M F' \left(\frac{\|u^* h\|^2}{4} \right) \|u^* h\|^2 \left\{ 4c_F \lambda_m^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \max_{1 \leq \alpha \leq m} \left[2\lambda_\alpha + 2\lambda_m - \left(\sum_\beta \lambda_\beta \right) \lambda_\alpha \right] \right\} dv_g. \quad (41)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, if $u : N \rightarrow M^m$ is a nonconstant F -stationary map and (6) or (7) holds, then, from (41) or (40), we know that $\sum_A I(V_A, V_A) < 0$ and u is unstable. $\square$

Corollary 4.2 *Let $u : N \rightarrow S^m$ be a nonconstant F -stationary map with $m > 4$, where N is any compact Riemannian manifold. If $c_F < \frac{m}{4} - 1$ or $\|u^*h\|^2 < m - 4$, then u is unstable.*

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we investigate F -stationary maps between the compact convex hypersurface M^m and any compact Riemannian manifold N . Assume that the principal curvatures λ_i of M^m satisfy $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_m$ and $3\lambda_m < \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \lambda_i$, then every nonconstant F -stationary map from M^m to N or from N to M^m is unstable if (6) or (7) holds. We mainly use the second variation formula for F -stationary maps (cf. [5]) to get the instability. In particular, we consider S^m as a special case of compact convex hypersurfaces and obtain similar inferences.

Acknowledgements

The first author wishes to thank Professor Yingbo Han for his guidance. This research was supported by the NNSF of China (No. 11371194; No. 11501292), by a Grant-in-Aid for Science Research from Nanjing University of Science and Technology (No. 30920140132035) and by the NUST Research Funding (No. CXZZ11-0258; No. AD20370).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 25 December 2016 Accepted: 27 August 2017 Published online: 08 September 2017

References

1. Kawai, S, Nakauchi, N: Some result for stationary maps of a functional related to pullback metrics. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**, 2284-2295 (2011)
2. Nakauchi, N: A variational problem related to conformal maps. *Osaka J. Math.* **48**, 719-741 (2011)
3. Nakauchi, N, Takenaka, Y: A variational problem for pullback metrics. *Ric. Mat.* **60**, 219-235 (2011)
4. Asserda, S: Liouville-type results for stationary maps of a class of functional related to pullback metrics. *Nonlinear Anal.* **75**, 3480-3492 (2012)
5. Han, YB, Feng, SX: Monotonicity formulas and the stability of F -stationary maps with potential. *Houst. J. Math.* **40**, 681-713 (2014)
6. Ara, M: Geometry of F -harmonic maps. *Kodai Math. J.* **22**, 243-263 (1999)
7. Dong, YX, Wei, SS: On vanishing theorems for vector bundle valued p -forms and their applications. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **304**, 329-368 (2011)
8. Dong, YX, Lin, HZ, Yang, GL: Liouville theorems for F -harmonic maps and their applications. *Results Math.* **69**, 105-127 (2016)
9. Kassi, M: A Liouville theorems for F -harmonic maps with finite F -energy. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **2006**, 15 (2006)
10. Liu, JC: Liouville theorems of stable F -harmonic maps for compact convex hypersurfaces. *Hiroshima Math. J.* **36**, 221-234 (2006)