RESEARCH





Approximation of the multiplicatives on random multi-normed space

Ravi P Agarwal¹, Reza Saadati^{2*} and Ali Salamati²

*Correspondence: rsaadati@eml.cc ²Department of Mathematics, Iran University of Science and Technology, Tehran, Iran Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, we consider random multi-normed spaces introduced by Dales and Polyakov (Multi-Normed Spaces, 2012). Next, by the fixed point method, we approximate the multiplicatives on these spaces.

MSC: Primary 39A10; 39B52; 39B72; 46L05; 47H10; 46B03; secondary 54E40; 54E35; 54H25

Keywords: approximation; homomorphisms; random multi-normed space; dual random multi-normed space

1 Introduction

The concept of random normed spaces and their properties are discussed in [2]. Also, the concept of multi-normed spaces was introduced by Dales and Polyakov. In this paper we combine the mentioned concepts and introduce random multi-normed spaces. Next, we get an approximation for homomorphisms in these spaces. For more results and applications, one can see [3-23].

Definition 1.1 Let $(E, \mu, *)$ be a random normed space. * is a continuous t-norm. A multirandom norm on $\{E^k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is sequence $\{N_k\}$ such that N_k is a random norm on E^k $(k \in \mathbb{N})$, $\mu_x^1(t) = \mu_x(t)$ for each $x \in E$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and the following axioms are satisfied for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \ge 2$:

- (NF1) $\mu_{A_{\sigma}(x)}^{k}(t) = \mu_{x}^{k}(t)$, for each $\sigma \in \sigma_{k}, x \in E^{k}, t \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (NF2) $\mu_{M_{\alpha}(x)}^{k}(t) \ge \mu_{\max_{i \in \mathbb{N}, |\alpha_{i}|x}}^{k}(t)$, for each $\alpha = (\alpha_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{k}) \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$, $x \in E^{k}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (NF3) $\mu_{(x_1,\dots,x_k,0)}^{k+1}(t) = \mu_{(x_1,\dots,x_k)}^{k}(t)$, for each $x_1,\dots,x_k \in E$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (NF4) $\mu_{(x_1,...,x_k,x_k)}^{(k+1)}(t) = \mu_{(x_1,...,x_k)}^k(t)$, for each $x_1,...,x_k \in E$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

In this case $\{(E^k, \mu^k, *), k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is called a random multi-normed space. Moreover, if axiom (NF4) is replaced by the following axiom:

(DF4) $\mu_{(x_1,\dots,x_k,x_k)}^{k+1}(t) = \mu_{(x_1,\dots,2x_k)}^k(t)$, for each $x_1,\dots,x_k \in E$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\{\mu^k\}$ is called a dual random multi-normed and $\{(E^k,\mu^k,*),k\in\mathbb{N}\}$ is called a dual random multi-normed space.

2 Approximation of the multiplicatives

We apply fixed point theory [24] to get an approximation for multiplicatives. A metric *d* on non-empty set Υ with range $[0, \infty]$ is called a generalized metric.





Lemma 2.1 ([25, 26]) Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and let E and F be linear spaces such that $(F^k, \mu^k, *)$ is a complete random multi-normed space. Let there exist $0 \le M < 1$, $\lambda > 0$, and a function $\psi : E^k \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\psi(\lambda x_1, \dots, \lambda x_k) \le \lambda M \psi(x_1, \dots, x_k) \quad (x_1, \dots, x_k \in E).$$
(2.1)

We set $\Upsilon := \{\eta : E \longrightarrow F : \eta(0) = 0\}$ *, and define* $d : \Upsilon \times \Upsilon$ *on* $[0, \infty]$ *by*

$$d(\eta,\zeta) = \inf \left\{ c > 0 : \mu_{(\eta(x_1) - \zeta(x_1), \dots, \eta(x_k) - \zeta(x_k))}(ct) \ge \frac{t}{t + \psi(x_1, \dots, x_k)}, x_1, \dots, x_k \in E \right\}.$$

Then (Υ, d) is a complete generalized metric space, and the mapping $J : \Upsilon \longrightarrow \Upsilon$ defined by $(Jg)(x) := \frac{g(\lambda x)}{\lambda}$ $(x \in \Upsilon)$ is a strictly contractive mapping.

Theorem 2.2 Let *E* be a linear space and let $((F^n, \mu^n, *) : n \in \mathbb{N})$ be a complete random multi-normed space. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let there exist $0 \le M_0 < 1$ and a function $\varphi : E^{2k} \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\varphi(2x_1, 2y_1, \dots, 2x_k, 2y_k) \le 2M_0 \varphi(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k)$$
(2.2)

for all $x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_k, y_k \in E$. Suppose that $f: E \longrightarrow F$ is a mapping with f(0) = 0 and

$$\mu_{(f(\lambda x_1+\lambda y_1)-\lambda f(x_1)-\lambda f(y_1),\dots,f(\lambda x_k+\lambda y_k)-\lambda f(x_k)-\lambda f(y_k))}^k(t) \\ \ge \frac{t}{t+\varphi(x_1,y_1,\dots,x_k,y_k)},$$
(2.3)

$$\mu_{(f(x_1y_1)-f(x_1)f(y_1),\dots,f(x_ky_k)-f(x_k)f(y_k))}^k(t) \ge \frac{t}{t+\varphi(x_1,y_1,\dots,x_k,y_k)},$$
(2.4)

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T} := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1\}$ and $x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k \in E, t > 0$. Then

$$H(x) := \lim_{n \to \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \tag{2.5}$$

exists for any $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in E$ and defines a random homomorphism $H: E \longrightarrow F$ such that

$$\mu_{(f(x_1)-H(x_1),\dots,f(x_k)-H(x_k))}(t) \ge \frac{(1-M_0)t}{(1-M_0)t + M_0\psi(x_1,\dots,x_k)},$$
(2.6)

$$\psi(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{x_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2}, \frac{x_k}{2}\right),$$
(2.7)

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in E$ and t > 0.

Proof Let $x_1 = \frac{x_1}{2}, \dots, x_k = \frac{x_k}{2}, y_1 = \frac{y_1}{2}, \dots, y_k = \frac{y_k}{2}$ in (2.2). We get

$$\varphi(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k) \le 2M_0 \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{y_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2}, \frac{y_k}{2}\right),$$
(2.8)

since *f* is odd, f(0) = 0. So $\mu_{f(0)}(\frac{t}{2}) = 1$. Letting $\lambda = 1$ and y = x, we get

$$\mu_{(f(2x_1)-2f(x_1),\dots,f(2x_k)-2f(x_k))}^k(t) \ge \frac{t}{t+\varphi(x_1,x_1,\dots,x_k,x_k)}$$
(2.9)

for all $x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_k, y_k \in E$. Consider the following set:

$$s =: \{g : E \longrightarrow F\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on *s*:

$$d(g,h) = \inf \left\{ \nu \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \mu_{(g(x_1) - h(x_1), \dots, g(x_k) - h(x_k))}^k(\nu t) \ge \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k)}, x_1, \dots, x_k \in E, t > 0 \right\},\$$

where, as usual, $\inf \phi = +\infty$. It is easy to show (s, d) is complete. Now, we consider the linear mapping $J : s \longrightarrow s$ such that

$$J(g(x)) := 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$$

for all $x \in E$. Let $g, h \in s$ be given such that $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$. Then we have

$$\mu_{(g(x_1)-h(x_1),\ldots,g(x_k)-h(x_k))}^k(\varepsilon t) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(x_1,x_1,\ldots,x_k,x_k)},$$

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in E$ and all t > 0 and hence we have

$$\mu_{(Jg(x_1)-Jh(x_1),\dots,Jg(x_k)-Jh(x_k))}^k(M_0\varepsilon t) = \mu_{2g(\frac{x_1}{2})-2h(\frac{x_1}{2}),\dots,2g(\frac{x_k}{2})-2h(\frac{x_k}{2}))}^k(M_0\varepsilon t)$$

$$= \mu_{g(\frac{x_1}{2})-h(\frac{x_1}{2}),\dots,g(\frac{x_k}{2})-h(\frac{x_k}{2}))}^k\left(\frac{M_0}{2}\varepsilon t\right)$$

$$\geq \frac{\frac{M_0}{2}t}{\frac{M_0}{2}+\varphi(\frac{x_1}{2},\frac{x_1}{2},\dots,\frac{x_k}{2},\frac{x_k}{2})}$$

$$\geq \frac{\frac{M_0}{2}t}{\frac{M_0}{2}+\frac{M_0}{2}\varphi(x_1,x_1,\dots,x_k,x_k)}$$

$$= \frac{t}{t+\varphi(x_1,x_1,\dots,x_k,x_k)}$$

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in E$ and t > 0. Then $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ implies that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq M_0 \varepsilon$. This means that

 $d(Jg, Jh) \leq M_0 \varepsilon$

for all $g, h \in s$. It follows that

$$\mu_{(f(x_1)-2f(\frac{x_1}{2}),\dots,f(x_k)-2f(\frac{x_k}{2}))}\left(\frac{M_0}{2}t\right) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(x_1,x_1,\dots,x_k,x_k)}$$

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in E$ and t > 0. So $d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{M_0}{2}$.

Now, there exists a mapping $H: E \longrightarrow F$ satisfying the following:

(1) *H* is a fixed point of *J*, *i.e.*,

$$H\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}H(x) \tag{2.10}$$

for all $x \in E$. Since $f : E \longrightarrow E$ is odd, $H : E \longrightarrow F$ is an odd mapping. The mapping H is a unique fixed point of J in the set

$$M = \{g \in s : d(f,g) < \infty\}.$$

This implies that *H* is a unique mapping satisfying (2.10) such that there exists a $\nu \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\mu_{(f(x_1)-H(x_1),\dots,f(x_k)-H(x_k))}^k(vt) \ge \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x_1,\dots,x_k)}$$

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in E$,

(2) $d(J^n f, H) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This implies that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2_n}\right) = H(x)$$

for all $x \in E$,

(3) $d(f, H) \leq \frac{1}{1-M_0} d(f, Jf)$, which implies

$$d(f,H) \le \frac{M_0}{2-2M_0}.$$

Put λ = 1 in (2.3). Then

$$\mu_{(2^{n}(f(\frac{x_{1}}{2^{n}}+\frac{y_{1}}{2^{n}})-f(\frac{x_{1}}{2^{n}})-f(\frac{y_{1}}{2^{n}})),\dots,2^{n}(f(\frac{x_{k}}{2^{n}}+\frac{y_{k}}{2^{n}})-f(\frac{x_{k}}{2^{n}})-f(\frac{y_{k}}{2^{n}}))))}^{k}(t)$$

$$\geq \frac{\frac{t}{2^{n}}}{\frac{t}{2^{n}}+\frac{M_{0}^{n}}{2^{n}}\varphi(x_{1},y_{1},\dots,x_{k},y_{k})}$$

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_k \in E$, t > 0 and $n \ge 1$. Since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\frac{t}{2^n}}{\frac{t}{2^n} + \frac{M_0^n}{2^n} \varphi(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k)} = 1$$

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_k \in E, t > 0$. It follows that

$$\mu^{k}_{(H(x_{1}+y_{1})-H(x_{1})-H(y_{1}),\dots,H(x_{k}+y_{k})-H(x_{k})-H(y_{k}))}(t) = 1$$

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_k \in E, t > 0$. So mapping $H : E \longrightarrow F$ is Cauchy additive. Let $y_1 = x_1, \ldots, y_k = x_k$ in (2.3). Then we have

$$\mu_{2^{n}(f(\frac{\beta x_{1}}{2^{n}})-f(\frac{\beta x_{1}}{2^{n}}),\dots,f(\frac{\beta x_{k}}{2^{n}})-f(\frac{\beta x_{k}}{2^{n}}))}(2^{n}t) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(\frac{x_{1}}{2^{n}},\frac{x_{1}}{2^{n}},\dots,\frac{x_{k}}{2^{n}},\frac{x_{k}}{2^{n}})}$$

for all $\lambda, \beta \in \mathbb{T}$, $\lambda = \frac{\beta}{2}$, $x_1, \dots, x_k \in E$, t > 0 and $n \ge 1$. So we have

$$\mu_{2^{n}(f(\frac{\beta x_{1}}{2^{n}})-f(\frac{\beta x_{1}}{2^{n}}),\dots,f(\frac{\beta x_{k}}{2^{n}})-f(\frac{\beta x_{k}}{2^{n}}))}^{k}(t) \geq \frac{\frac{t}{2^{n}}}{\frac{t}{2^{n}}+\frac{M_{0}^{n}}{2^{n}}\varphi(x_{1},x_{1},\dots,x_{k},x_{k})}$$

for all $\beta \in \mathbb{T}$, $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in E$, t > 0 and $n \ge 1$. We have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\frac{t}{2^n}}{\frac{t}{2^n} + \frac{M_0^n}{2^n} \varphi(x_1, x_1, \dots, x_k, x_k)} = 1$$

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in E$, t > 0, and

$$\mu^k_{(H(\beta x_1)-\beta H(x_1),\dots,H(\beta x_k)-\beta H(x_k))}(t)=1$$

for all $\beta \in \mathbb{T}$, $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in E$, t > 0. Thus, the additive mapping $H : E \longrightarrow F$ is \mathbb{R} -linear. From (2.4), we have

$$\mu^{k}_{(4^{n}f(\frac{x_{1}}{2^{n}}\frac{y_{1}}{2^{n}})-2^{n}f(\frac{x_{1}}{2^{n}})2^{n}f(\frac{y_{1}}{2^{n}}),...,4^{n}f(\frac{x_{k}}{2^{n}}\frac{y_{k}}{2^{n}})-2^{n}f(\frac{x_{k}}{2^{n}})2^{n}f(\frac{y_{k}}{2^{n}}))}\left(4^{n}t\right) \\ \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(\frac{x_{1}}{2^{n}},...,\frac{x_{k}}{2^{n}},\frac{y_{1}}{2^{n}},...,\frac{y_{k}}{2^{n}})}$$

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in E$, t > 0 and $n \ge 1$.

Then we have

$$\mu^{k}_{(4^{n}f(\frac{x_{1}}{2^{n}}\frac{y_{1}}{2^{n}})-2^{n}f(\frac{x_{1}}{2^{n}})2^{n}f(\frac{y_{1}}{2^{n}}),\dots,4^{n}f(\frac{x_{k}}{2^{n}}\frac{y_{k}}{2^{n}})-2^{n}f(\frac{x_{k}}{2^{n}})2^{n}f(\frac{y_{k}}{2^{n}}))}\left(4^{n}t\right) \\ \geq \frac{\frac{t}{4^{n}}}{\frac{t}{4^{n}}+\frac{M_{0}^{n}}{t^{n}}\varphi(x_{1},\dots,x_{k},y_{1},\dots,y_{k})}$$

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in E$, t > 0 and $n \ge 1$. Since

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\frac{t}{4^n}}{\frac{t}{4^n}+\frac{M_0^n}{t^n}\varphi(x_1,\ldots,x_k,y_1,\ldots,y_k)}=1$$

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in E$, t > 0, we have

 $\mu^k_{(H(x_1y_1)-H(x_1)H(y_1),\ldots,H(x_ky_k)-H(x_k)H(y_k))}(t) = 1$

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_k \in E, t > 0$. Thus, the mapping $H : E \longrightarrow F$ is multiplicative. Therefore, there exists a unique random homomorphism $H : E \longrightarrow F$ satisfying (2.6), and this completes the proof.

3 Approximation in dual random multi-normed space

The following lemma is an immediate result of the definition of random multi-normed space.

Lemma 3.1 Let $\{(E^k, \mu^k, *), k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a dual random multi-normed space, $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{k+n} \in \mathbb{E}$ and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ be real numbers of absolute value 1. Then we have:

- (i) $\mu_{(\lambda_{1}x_{1},...,\lambda_{k}x_{k})}^{k}(t) = \mu_{(x_{1},...,x_{k})}^{k}(t),$ (ii) $\mu_{(x_{1},...,x_{k})}^{k}(t) \ge \mu_{(x_{1},...,x_{k},x_{k+1})}^{k+1}(t),$ (iii) $\mu_{(x_{1},...,x_{k},x_{k+1},...,x_{k+n})}^{k}(t) \ge T_{M}(\mu_{(x_{1},...,x_{k})}^{k}(\alpha t), \mu_{(x_{k+1},...,x_{k+n})}^{n}(\beta t)), where \alpha, \beta \ge 0 and$ $\alpha + \beta = 1$,

(iv) $\min_{i\in\mathbb{N}_k} \mu_{x_i}(t) \ge \mu_{(x_1,\dots,x_k)}^k(t) \ge \min_{i\in\mathbb{N}_k} \mu_{x_i}(\alpha_i t)$, where $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \ge 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i = 1$. In particular, we have

$$\mu_{(x_1,\dots,x_k)}^k(t) \ge \min_{i\in\mathbb{N}_k} \mu_{kx_i}(t).$$

Theorem 3.2 Let *E* be a linear space, and $\{(E^k, \mu^k, *), k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a random multi space. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $f: E \longrightarrow F$ is a mapping satisfying f(0) = 0 and

$$\mu^{k}_{(f(\frac{x_{1}+y_{1}}{2})-\frac{f(x_{1})}{2}-\frac{f(y_{1})}{2},\dots,f(\frac{x_{k}+y_{k}}{2})-\frac{f(x_{k})}{2}-\frac{f(y_{k})}{2})}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) \ge 1-\frac{\alpha}{t},$$
(3.1)

where $x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_k \in E$ and $t, s \in \mathbb{N}$ with the greatest common divisor (t, s) = 1.

Then there exists a unique additive mapping $T: E \longrightarrow F$ such that

$$\mu_{(f(x_1)-T(x_1),\dots,f(x_k)-T(x_k))}^k\left(\frac{2t}{s}\right) \ge 1 - \frac{\alpha}{t}$$
(3.2)

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in E$ and $t, s \in \mathbb{N}$ with (t, s) = 1.

Proof Replacing x_1, \ldots, x_k and y_1, \ldots, y_k by $2x_1, \ldots, 2x_k$ and $0, \ldots, 0$ in (3.1), respectively, vields

$$\mu_{(2f(x_1)-f(2x_1),\dots,2f(x_k)-f(2x_k))}^k \left(\frac{2t}{s}\right) \ge 1 - \frac{\alpha}{t}.$$
(3.3)

Replacing x_1, \ldots, x_k , t, s by $2x_1, \ldots, 2x_k$, 2t, 2s, respectively, in (3.3) and repeating this process for n-time ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), it follows that

$$\mu^{k}_{(\frac{f(2^{n-1}x_{1})}{2^{n-1}} - \frac{f(2^{n}x_{1})}{2^{n}}, \dots, \frac{f(2^{n-1}x_{k})}{2^{n-1}} - \frac{f(2^{n}x_{k})}{2^{n}})}\left(\frac{t}{2^{n-1}s}\right) \ge 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2^{n-1}t}$$
(3.4)

for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with n > m. Using (3.4) and (RN2) we get

$$\mu^{k}_{(\frac{f(2^{m}x_{1})}{2^{m}}-\frac{f(2^{n}x_{1})}{2^{n}},\ldots,\frac{f(2^{m}x_{k})}{2^{m}}-\frac{f(2^{n}x_{k})}{2^{n}})}\left(\sum_{i=m}^{n-1}2^{-i}\frac{t}{s}\right)\geq 1-\frac{\alpha}{2^{m}t}$$

Then

$$\mu^{k}_{(\frac{f(2^{m}x_{1})}{2^{m}} - \frac{f(2^{n}x_{1})}{2^{n}} \dots , \frac{f(2^{m}x_{k})}{2^{m}} - \frac{f(2^{n}x_{k})}{2^{n}})}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) \ge 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2^{m}t}$$
(3.5)

for $x \in E$. Then, replacing x_1, \ldots, x_k by $x, 2x, \ldots, 2^{k-1}x$ in (3.5), we have

$$\mu_{(\frac{f(2^{m}x)}{2^{m}} - \frac{f(2^{n}x)}{2^{n}}, \dots, \frac{f(2^{m+k-1}x)}{2^{m+k-1}} - \frac{f(2^{n+k-1}x)}{2^{n+k-1}})}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) \\
\geq 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2^{m}t} \\
\geq 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2^{m}}.$$
(3.6)

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{\alpha}{2^{n_0}} < \varepsilon$. Now we substitute *m*, *n* with *n*, n + p ($p \in \mathbb{N}$), respectively, in (3.6), for each $n \ge n_0$, and we get

$$\mu_{(\frac{f(2^{n}x)}{2^{n}} - \frac{f(2^{n+p}x)}{2^{n+p}}, \dots, \frac{f(2^{n+k-1}x)}{2^{n+k-1}} - \frac{f(2^{n+p+k-1}x)}{2^{n+p+k-1}})}^{k} \left(\frac{t}{s}\right) \ge 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2^{n}t}$$

$$> 1 - \varepsilon.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\mu_{\frac{f(2^{n}x)}{2^{n}} - \frac{f(2^{n+p}x)}{2^{n+p}}}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) > 1 - \varepsilon$$
(3.7)

for all $n > n_0$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$. The density of rational numbers in \mathbb{R} is useful in checking correctness of (3.6) with positive real number *r* instead of $\frac{t}{s}$. Then we have

$$\mu_{\frac{f(2^nx)}{2^n} - \frac{f(2^{n+p}x)}{2^{n+p}}}(r) > 1 - \varepsilon$$

for each $x \in E$, $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $n \ge n_0$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{\frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, so it is convergent in the random multi-Banach space $\{(E^k, \mu^k, *), k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Setting $T(x) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$ and applying again Lemma 3.1, for each r > 0, we have

$$\mu_{(\frac{f(2^n x_1)}{2^n} - T(x_1), \dots, \frac{f(2^n x_k)}{2^n} - T(x_k))}^k(r) \ge \min_{i \in \mathbb{N}_k} \mu_{\frac{f(2^n x_i)}{2^n} - T(x_i)} \left(\frac{r}{k}\right),$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{f(2^nx_k)}{2^n}=T(x_k).$$

We put m = 0 in (3.5), and we get

$$\mu^{k}_{(f(x_{1})-\frac{f(2^{n}x_{1})}{2^{n}},\dots,f(x_{k})-\frac{f(2^{n}x_{k})}{2^{n}})}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) \ge 1 - \frac{\alpha}{t}.$$
(3.8)

Then

$$\mu_{f(x_{1})-T(x_{1}),\dots,f(x_{k})-T(x_{k})}^{k}\left(\frac{2t}{s}\right)$$

$$\geq T_{M}\left(\mu_{(f(x_{1})-\frac{f(2^{n}x_{1})}{2^{n}},\dots,f(x_{k})-\frac{f(2^{n}x_{k})}{2^{n}})}^{k}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right),$$

$$\mu_{(\frac{f(2^{n}x_{1})}{2^{n}}-T(x_{1}),...,\frac{f(2^{n}x_{k})}{2^{n}}-T(x_{k}))}^{k}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)\right)$$

$$\geq 1 - \frac{\alpha}{t}$$
(3.9)

by (3.8) and when $n \to \infty$, which implies that (3.2).

Now, we show that *T* is additive. Let $x, y \in E$ and replace x_1, \ldots, x_k by $2^n x, y_1, \ldots, y_k$ by $2^n y$, and *t* by $2^n t$ in (3.1). We get

$$\mu_{(f(2^n\frac{x+y}{2})-\frac{f(2^nx)}{2}-\frac{f(2^ny)}{2},\dots,f(2^n\frac{x+y}{2})-\frac{f(2^nx)}{2}-\frac{f(2^ny)}{2})}^k\left(\frac{2^nt}{s}\right) \ge 1-\frac{\alpha}{2^nt}.$$

Using (NF4), we conclude that

$$\mu_{\frac{f2^{n}\binom{x+y}{2}}{2^{n}}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{f(2^{n}x)}{2^{n}}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{f(2^{n}y)}{2^{n}}}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) \ge 1-\frac{\alpha}{2^{n}t}.$$
(3.10)

On the other hand, we obtain that

$$\mu_{T(\frac{x+y}{2})-\frac{1}{2}T(x)-\frac{1}{2}T(y)}\left(\frac{4t}{s}\right) \geq T_{M}\left(\mu_{T(\frac{x+y}{2})-\frac{f(2^{n}(\frac{x+y}{2}))}{2^{n}}}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right), \\ \mu_{\frac{T(x)}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{f(2^{n}x)}{2^{n}}}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right), \\ \mu_{\frac{T(y)}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{f(2^{n}y)}{2^{n}}}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right), \\ \mu_{\frac{f(2^{n}(\frac{x+y}{2}))}{2^{n}}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{f(2^{n}y)}{2^{n}}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{f(2^{n}y)}{2^{n}}}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)\right) \\ \geq 1-\frac{\alpha}{2^{n}}$$
(3.11)

for each $x, y \in E$, $t, s \in \mathbb{N}$ with (t, s) = 1. Utilizing again the density of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R} , we find that (3.11) remains true if $\frac{4t}{s}$ is substituted with a positive real number r.

Consequently,

$$\mu_{T(\frac{x+y}{2})-\frac{1}{2}T(x)-\frac{1}{2}T(y)}(r) \ge 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2^n}$$

for each $x, y \in E$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Letting $n \to \infty$ reveals that T complies with Jensen, and using the fact that T(0) = 0, we conclude that T is additive [27, Theorem 6].

It remains to show the uniqueness of *T*. Suppose that *T*' is another additive mapping satisfying (3.2). Then, for each $t, s \in \mathbb{N}$, sufficiently large *n* in \mathbb{N} and $x \in E$,

$$\begin{split} \mu_{T'(x)-T(x)} & \left(\frac{t}{s}\right) = \mu_{\frac{T'(2^n x)}{2^n} - \frac{T(2^n x)}{2^n}} \left(\frac{t}{s}\right) \\ & \geq T_M \left(\mu_{T'(2^n x) - f(2^n x)} \left(\frac{2^{n-1} t}{s}\right), \mu_{T(2^n x) - f(2^n x)} \left(\frac{2^{n-1} t}{s}\right)\right) \\ & \geq 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2^{n-2} t} \\ & \geq 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2^{n-2}}. \end{split}$$

This inequality holds for each $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ instead of $\frac{t}{s}$, too. Therefore, for each $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mu_{T'(x)-T(x)}(r) \ge 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2n-2}$, letting $n \to \infty$, it follows that T = T'.

4 Conclusion

In this paper, we consider multi-Banach spaces, approximate by multiplicatives, and provide some controlled mappings, which are stable by control functions.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the reviewer(s) for their valuable comments and suggestions.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, Texas A & M University - Kingsville, Kingsville, TX 78363, USA. ²Department of Mathematics, Iran University of Science and Technology, Tehran, Iran.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 15 May 2017 Accepted: 23 August 2017 Published online: 31 August 2017

References

- 1. Dales, HG, Polyakov, ME: Multi-Normed Spaces. Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.), vol. 488 (2012)
- 2. Saadati, R: Random Operator Theory. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2016)
- 3. Cho, YJ, Rassias, TM, Saadati, R: Stability of Functional Equations in Random Normed Spaces. Springer Optimization and Its Applications, vol. 86. Springer, New York (2013)
- Lee, SJ, Saadati, R: On stability of functional inequalities at random lattice φ-normed spaces. J. Comput. Anal. Appl. 15(8), 1403-1412 (2013)
- Vahidi, J, Park, C, Saadati, R: A functional equation related to inner product spaces in non-Archimedean *L*-random normed spaces. J. Inequal. Appl. 2012, 168 (2012)
- Kang, JI, Saadati, R: Approximation of homomorphisms and derivations on non-Archimedean random Lie C*-algebras via fixed point method. J. Inequal. Appl. 2012, 251 (2012)
- 7. Park, C, Eshaghi Gordji, M, Saadati, R: Random homomorphisms and random derivations in random normed algebras via fixed point method. J. Inequal. Appl. 2012, 194 (2012)
- 8. Rassias, JM, Saadati, R, Sadeghi, Gh, Vahidi, J: On nonlinear stability in various random normed spaces. J. Inequal. Appl. 2011, 62 (2011)
- 9. Cho, YJ, Saadati, R: Lattictic non-Archimedean random stability of ACQ functional equation. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2011, 31 (2011)
- Mihet, D, Saadati, R: On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces. Appl. Math. Lett. 24(12), 2005-2009 (2011)
- 11. Mihet, D, Saadati, R, Vaezpour, SM: The stability of the quartic functional equation in random normed spaces. Acta Appl. Math. 110(2), 797-803 (2010)
- 12. Mohamadi, M, Cho, YJ, Park, C, Vetro, P, Saadati, R: Random stability on an additive-quadratic-quartic functional equation. J. Inequal. Appl. 2010, Article ID 754210 (2010)
- 13. Saadati, R, Vaezpour, SM, Cho, YJ: A note to paper 'On the stability of cubic mappings and quartic mappings in random normed spaces'. J. Inequal. Appl. 2009, Article ID 214530 (2009)
- 14. Wang, Z, Sahoo, PK: Stability of an ACQ-functional equation in various matrix normed spaces. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 8(1), 64-85 (2015)
- Yao, Z: Uniqueness and global exponential stability of almost periodic solution for hematopoiesis model on time scales. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 8(2), 142-152 (2015)
- 16. Zaharia, C: On the probabilistic stability of the monomial functional equation. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 6(1), 51-59 (2013)
- Shen, Y, Lan, Y: On the general solution of a quadratic functional equation and its Ulam stability in various abstract spaces. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 7(6), 368-378 (2014)
- Wang, F, Shen, Y: On the Ulam stability of a quadratic set-valued functional equation. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 7(5), 359-367 (2014)
- Lu, G, Xie, J, Liu, Q, Jin, Y: Hyers-Ulam stability of derivations in fuzzy Banach space. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 9(12), 5970-5979 (2016)
- 20. Shen, Y, Chen, W: On the Ulam stability of an *n*-dimensional quadratic functional equation. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **9**(1), 332-341 (2016)
- Bae, J-H, Park, W-G: On the Ulam stability of the Cauchy-Jensen equation and the additive-quadratic equation. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 8(5), 710-718 (2015)
- 22. Shen, Y: An integrating factor approach to the Hyers-Ulam stability of a class of exact differential equations of second order. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **9**(5), 2520-2526 (2016)

- 23. Li, T, Zada, A, Faisal, S: Hyers-Ulam stability of *n*th order linear differential equations. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **9**(5), 2070-2075 (2016)
- 24. Diaz, J, Margolis, B: A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **74**, 305-309 (1968)
- Cădariu, L, Radu, V: On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed point approach. Grazer Math. Ber. 346, 43-52 (2004)
- 26. Cădariu, L, Radu, V: Fixed point methods for the generalized stability of functional equations in a single variable. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2008, Article ID 749392 (2008)
- 27. Parnami, JC, Vasudeva, HL: On Jensen's functional equation. Aequ. Math. 43(2-3), 211-218 (1992)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[●] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com