# RESEARCH Open Access



# Tracial and majorisation Heinz mean-type inequalities for matrices

Seyed Mahmoud Manjegani\*

\*Correspondence: manjgani@cc.iut.ac.ir Department of Mathematical Sciences, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, 84156-13111, Iran

# **Abstract**

The Heinz mean for every nonnegative real numbers a,b and every  $0 \le \nu \le 1$  is  $H_{\nu}(a,b) = \frac{a^{\nu}b^{1-\nu}+a^{1-\nu}b^{\nu}}{2}$ . In this paper we present tracial Heinz mean-type inequalities for positive definite matrices and apply it to prove a majorisation version of the Heinz mean inequality.

MSC: Primary 15A42; secondary 15A45

**Keywords:** matrix inequality; singular values; trace; majorisation

# 1 Introduction

The arithmetic-geometric mean inequality for two positive real numbers a, b is  $\sqrt{ab} \le \frac{a+b}{2}$ , where equality holds if and only if a = b. Heinz means, introduced in [1], are means that interpolate in a certain way between the arithmetic and geometric mean. For every nonnegative real numbers a, b and  $0 \le v \le 1$ , the Heinz mean is defined as

$$H_{\nu}(a,b) = \frac{a^{\nu}b^{1-\nu} + a^{1-\nu}b^{\nu}}{2}.$$

The function  $H_{\nu}$  is symmetric about the point  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ . Note that  $H_0(a,b) = H_1(a,b) = \frac{a+b}{2}$ ,  $H_{\frac{1}{2}}(a,b) = \sqrt{ab}$ , and

$$H_{\frac{1}{2}}(a,b) \le H_{\nu}(a,b) \le H_{1}(a,b)$$
 (1)

for every  $0 \le v \le 1$ , and equality holds if and only if a = b.

Let  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  denote the space of all  $n \times n$  matrices. We shall denote the eigenvalues and singular values of a matrix  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  by  $\lambda_j(A)$  and  $\sigma_j(A)$ , respectively. We assume that singular values are sorted in non-increasing order. For two Hermitian matrices  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $A \geq B$  means that A - B is positive semi-definite. In particular,  $A \geq 0$  means A is positive semi-definite. Let us write A > 0 when A is positive definite. |A| shall denote the modulus  $|A| = (A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\operatorname{tr}(A) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j(A)$ .

The basic properties of singular values and trace function that some of them are used to establish the matrix inequalities in this paper are collected in the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.1** Assume that  $X, Y \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})^+, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , and j = 1, 2, ..., n.



- (1)  $\sigma_i(X) = \sigma_i(X^*) = \sigma_i(|X|) = and \ \sigma_i(\alpha X) = |\alpha|\sigma_i(X).$
- (2) If  $A \leq B$ , then  $\sigma_i(A) \leq \sigma_i(B)$ .
- (3)  $\sigma_i(X^r) = (\sigma_i(X))^r$ , for every positive real number r.
- (4)  $\sigma_i(XY^*) = \sigma_i(YX^*)$ .
- (5)  $\sigma_i(XY) \leq ||X||\sigma_i(Y)$ .
- (6)  $\sigma_i(YXY^*) \le ||Y||^2 \sigma_i(X)$ .

**Theorem 1.2** Assume that  $X, Y \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- (1) tr(X + Y) = tr(X) + tr(Y).
- (2) tr(XY) = tr(YX).
- (3)  $\operatorname{tr}(X) \geq 0$ , and for  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})^+$ ,  $\operatorname{tr}(A) = 0$  only if A = 0.

The absolute value for matrices does not satisfy  $|XY| = |X| \cdot |Y|$ ; however, a weaker version of this is the following:

If Y = U|Y| is the polar decomposition of Y, with unitary U, then

$$|XY^*| = U|(|X| \cdot |Y|)|U^*$$
(2)

and

$$\lambda_{j}(|XY^{*}|) = \sigma_{j}(|X| \cdot |Y|). \tag{3}$$

The Young inequality is among the most important inequalities in matrix theory. We present here the following theorem from [2, 3].

**Theorem 1.3** Let  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be positive semi-definite. If p, q > 1 with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$ , then

$$\sigma_j(AB) \le \sigma_j \left(\frac{1}{p} A^p + \frac{1}{q} B^q\right) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$
(4)

where equality holds if and only if  $A^p = B^q$ .

**Corollary 1.4** Let  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be positive semi-definite. If p, q > 1 with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$ , then

$$\operatorname{tr}(|AB|) \le \frac{1}{p}\operatorname{tr}(A^p) + \frac{1}{q}\operatorname{tr}(B^q),\tag{5}$$

where equality holds if and only if  $A^p = B^q$ .

Another interesting inequality is the following version of the triangle inequality for the matrix absolute value [1, 4].

**Theorem 1.5** Let X and Y be  $n \times n$  matrices, then there exist unitaries U, V such that

$$|X + Y| \le U|X|U^* + V|Y|V^*.$$
 (6)

We are interested to find what types of inequalities (1) hold for positive semi-definite matrices *A*, *B*? For example, do we have

$$\sqrt{|AB|} \le |H_{\nu}(A,B)| \le H_1(A,B)? \tag{7}$$

Or do we have

$$\sqrt{\sigma_j(AB)} \le \sigma_j(H_\nu(A,B)) \le \lambda_j(H_1(A,B))? \tag{8}$$

Here

$$H_{\nu}(A,B) = \frac{A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu} + A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}}{2}.$$

Bhatia and Davis [5] extended inequality (1) to the matrix case, they showed that it holds for positive semi-definite matrices, in the following form:

$$|||A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{\frac{1}{2}}||| \le |||H_{\nu}(A,B)||| \le |||\frac{A+B}{2}||,$$
 (9)

where  $\| \cdot \|$  is any invariant unitary norm. An example shows that the first inequality in (9), to singular values, does not hold [6]. One of the results in the present article is a version of Heinz mean-type inequalities for matrices in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.6** Let A, B be two positive semi-definite matrices in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\sqrt{|AB|}\right) \leq \operatorname{tr}\left(H_1\left(\left|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}\right|,\left|A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}\right|\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{tr}\left(H_1(A,B)\right).$$

Equality holds if and only if A = B.

For a real vector  $X = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ , let  $X^{\downarrow} = (x_1^{\downarrow}, x_2^{\downarrow}, ..., x_n^{\downarrow})$  be the decreasing rearrangement of X. Let X and Y are two vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we say X is (weakly) *submajorised* by Y, in symbols  $X \prec_w Y$ , if

$$\sum_{j=1}^k x_j^{\downarrow} \leq \sum_{j=1}^k y_j^{\downarrow}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

*X* is *majorised* by *Y*, in symbols  $X \prec Y$ , if *X* is submajorised by *Y* and

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{\downarrow} = \sum_{j=1}^n y_j^{\downarrow}.$$

**Definition 1.7** If  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , then we write  $A \prec_w B$  to denote that A is *weakly majorised* by B, meaning that

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j(A) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j(B), \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

If  $A \prec_w B$  and

$$\operatorname{tr}(|A|) = \operatorname{tr}(|B|),$$

then we say that A is *majorised* by B, in symbols  $A \prec B$ .

Let S(A) denote the *n*-vector whose coordinates are the singular values of A. Then we write  $A \prec_w B$  ( $A \prec B$ ) when  $S(A) \prec_w S(B)$  ( $S(A) \prec S(B)$ ).

The following theorem has been proved in [1].

**Theorem 1.8** If X and Y are two matrices in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , then

$$S^{r}(XY) \prec_{w} S^{r}(X)S^{r}(Y) \quad \text{for all } r > 0.$$
 (10)

# 2 Main results

We present here the matrix inequalities that we will use in the proof of our main results. The next theorem has been proved in [6].

**Theorem 2.1** For positive semi-definite matrices A and B and for all j = 1, 2, ..., n

$$\sigma_i(H_\nu(A,B)) \leq \sigma_i(H_1(A,B)),$$

*for every*  $v \in [0,1]$ .

Thus, this proves that the second inequality in (8) holds. The arithmetic-geometric mean inequality

$$\sqrt{ab} \le \frac{a+b}{2}$$

is used in the matrix setting, much of this is associated with Bhatia and Kittaneh. They established the next inequality in [7]:

$$\sigma_j(A^*B) \le \lambda_j\left(\frac{AA^* + BB^*}{2}\right),\tag{11}$$

where A and B are two matrices in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . They also studied many possible versions of this inequality in [8], and put a lot of emphasis on what they described as level three inequalities [9]. Drury [10] answered to the key question in this area in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2** For positive semi-definite matrices A and B in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and for all j = 1, 2, ..., n

$$\sqrt{\sigma_j(AB)} \leq \lambda_j(H_1(A,B)).$$

We will show that in both Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 equality holds if and only if A = B. It is still unknown whether

$$\sqrt{\sigma_j(AB)} \leq \sigma_j(H_\nu(A,B))$$

for every  $\nu \in (0,1)$ . However, by using Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we present a different version of this inequality.

**Lemma 2.3** For positive semi-definite matrices A and B in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and for all j = 1, 2, ..., n

$$\sqrt{\sigma_j(AB)} \le \lambda_j \left( H_1 \left( \left| A^{\nu} B^{1-\nu} \right|, \left| A^{1-\nu} B^{\nu} \right| \right) \right) \tag{12}$$

*for every*  $v \in (0,1)$ .

Proof We first aim to show that

$$\sigma_i(AB) \leq \sigma_i (A^{1-\nu} A^{\nu} B^{1-\nu} B^{\nu}).$$

We have

$$\sigma_{j}(AB) = \sigma_{j} \left( A^{1-\nu} A^{\nu} B^{1-\nu} B^{\nu} A^{1-\nu} A^{\nu-1} \right)$$

$$\leq \|A\|^{1-\nu} \sigma_{j} \left( A^{\nu} B^{1-\nu} B^{\nu} A^{1-\nu} \right) \|A\|^{\nu-1} \quad \text{(by part (5) Theorem 1.1)}. \tag{13}$$

As  $\nu-1<0$ , the matrix  $A^{\nu-1}$  exists only if A is invertible. Therefore, to prove (13) we shall assume that A is invertible. This assumption entails no loss in generality, for if A were not invertible, then we could replace A by  $A+\varepsilon I$ , which is invertible and which satisfies  $\sigma_j((A+\varepsilon I)B)\to\sigma_j(AB)$  for every  $B\in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $j=1,2,\ldots,n$ . Thus, (13) is achieved for noninvertible A as a limiting case of (13) using the invertibility of A.

By using equation (3), we get

$$\sigma_j \left( A^{\nu} B^{1-\nu} B^{\nu} A^{1-\nu} \right) = \sigma_j \left( \left| A^{\nu} B^{1-\nu} \right| \cdot \left| A^{1-\nu} B^{\nu} \right| \right).$$

Hence, by using Theorem 2.2,

$$\sqrt{\sigma_{j}(AB)} \leq \sqrt{\sigma_{j}\big(\big|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}\big|\cdot \big|A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}\big|\big)} \leq \lambda_{j}\big(H_{1}\big(\big|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}\big|, \big|A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}\big|\big)\big). \qquad \qquad \Box$$

**Remark 2.4** Note that Lemma 2.3 generalizes Theorem 2.2, in fact, it is the special case with  $\nu = 1$  of Lemma 2.3.

**Theorem 2.5** Let A, B be two positive semi-definite matrices in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\sqrt{|AB|}\right) \leq \operatorname{tr}\left(H_1\left(\left|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}\right|,\left|A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}\right|\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{tr}\left(H_1(A,B)\right).$$

*Proof* By the definition of the trace, we have

$$\operatorname{tr}(\sqrt{|AB|}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \sqrt{|AB|}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sqrt{\sigma_{j}(AB)} \quad \text{(by part (3) Theorem 1.1)}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \left( H_{1}(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}|, |A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|) \right)$$

$$= \operatorname{tr}(H_{1}(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}|, |A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|)) \quad \text{(using inequality (12))}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}) + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{tr}(\nu A + (1-\nu)B) + \operatorname{tr}(\nu B + (1-\nu)A)).$$

We applied (1.4) with  $p = \frac{1}{\nu}$  and  $q = \frac{1}{1-\nu}$  for the first summand, and  $q = \frac{1}{\nu}$  and  $p = \frac{1}{1-\nu}$  for the second one.

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{tr}(\sqrt{|AB|}) \leq \operatorname{tr}(H_1(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}|, |A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|))$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}(\nu \operatorname{tr}(A) + (1-\nu)\operatorname{tr}(B) + (1-\nu)\operatorname{tr}(A) + \nu \operatorname{tr}(B))$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(A+B) = \operatorname{tr}(H_1(A,B)).$$

**Theorem 2.6** If  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  are two positive semi-definite matrices and  $0 \le v \le 1$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $\operatorname{tr}(\sqrt{|AB|}) = \operatorname{tr}(H_1(A, B)).$
- (2)  $\operatorname{tr}(H_1(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}|, |A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|)) = \operatorname{tr}(H_1(A, B)).$
- (3)  $\operatorname{tr}(|H_{\nu}(A,B)|) = \operatorname{tr}(H_1(A,B)).$
- (4) A = B.

*Proof* We shall show that  $(1) \Longrightarrow (2) \Longrightarrow (4) \Longrightarrow (1)$  and  $(3) \Longrightarrow (2) \Longrightarrow (4) \Longrightarrow (3)$ .

Let  $tr(\sqrt{|AB|}) = tr(H_1(A, B))$ . Then the arguments of the proof of the above theorem implies

$$\operatorname{tr}(H_1(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}|,|A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|)) = \operatorname{tr}(H_1(A,B)).$$

If the equation in part (2) holds, then from what was proved in the last theorem we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr} \big( H_1(A, B) \big) &= \operatorname{tr} \big( H_1 \big( \big| A^{\nu} B^{1-\nu} \big|, \big| A^{1-\nu} B^{\nu} \big| \big) \big) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \big( \big| A^{\nu} B^{1-\nu} \big| + \big| A^{1-\nu} B^{\nu} \big| \big) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \big( \operatorname{tr} \big( \nu A + (1-\nu) B \big) + \operatorname{tr} \big( \nu B + (1-\nu) A \big) \big) = \operatorname{tr} \big( H_1(A, B) \big). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\operatorname{tr}(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}|) + \operatorname{tr}(|A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|) = \operatorname{tr}(\nu A + (1-\nu)B) + \operatorname{tr}(\nu B + (1-\nu)A). \tag{14}$$

By Corollary 1.4, this equality holds if and only if

$$\operatorname{tr}(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}|) = \operatorname{tr}(\nu A + (1-\nu)B)$$
 and  $\operatorname{tr}(|A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|) = \operatorname{tr}(\nu B + (1-\nu)A)$ ,

and therefore  $A^{1-\nu} = B^{\nu}$ ,  $B^{1-\nu} = A^{\nu}$ , which implies A = B. It is clear that (4)  $\Longrightarrow$  (1).

Now, we try to show that (3)  $\Longrightarrow$  (2)  $\Longrightarrow$  (4)  $\Longrightarrow$  (3). Therefore assume (3):  $\operatorname{tr}(|H_{\nu}(A,B)|) = \operatorname{tr}(H_1(A,B))$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{tr} \big( H_1(A,B) \big) &= \operatorname{tr} \big( \big| H_{\nu}(A,B) \big| \big) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \big( \big| A^{\nu} B^{1-\nu} + A^{1-\nu} B^{\nu} \big| \big) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \Big[ \operatorname{tr} \big( U \big| A^{\nu} B^{1-\nu} \big| U^* \big) + \operatorname{tr} \big( V^* \big| A^{1-\nu} B^{\nu} \big| V \big) \Big] \text{ (by the triangle inequality(6))} \end{split}$$

for some unitaries U and  $V \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

Thus,

$$tr(H_{1}(A,B)) \leq \frac{1}{2} tr(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}| + |A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|)$$

$$= tr(H_{1}(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}|, |A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|))$$

$$\leq tr(H_{1}(A,B)) \quad \text{(by Theorem 2.5),}$$

thereby proving (2). (2)  $\Longrightarrow$  (4) was shown in the first part. It is clear that (4)  $\Longrightarrow$  (3).  $\Box$ 

The following two corollaries are almost immediate from Theorem 2.6.

**Corollary 2.7** For positive semi-definite matrices A and B in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and for all j = 1, 2, ..., n

$$\sqrt{\sigma_j(AB)}=\lambda_j\big(H_1(A,B)\big),$$

if and only if A = B.

**Corollary 2.8** For positive semi-definite matrices A and B in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and for all j = 1, 2, ..., n

$$\sigma_i(H_\nu(A,B)) = \lambda_i(H_1(A,B)),$$

for  $v \in [0,1]$  if and only if A = B.

We do not know whether

$$\sqrt{\sigma_j(AB)} \le \sigma_j(H_\nu(A,B)) \le \lambda_j(H_1(A,B))$$

for every  $\nu \in [0,1]$ .

To answer this question, just we need to know whether

$$\sqrt{\sigma_j(AB)} \leq \sigma_j(H_v(A,B))$$

for every  $\nu \in [0,1]$ .

In the rest of this paper, we apply the results of singular value inequalities for the means to present a new majorisation version of the means.

**Lemma 2.9** Let A and B be two positive semi-definite matrices. Then

$$S^{\frac{1}{2}}(AB) \prec_{w} \frac{1}{2} (S(A) + S(B)).$$

Proof By Theorem 1.8,

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j(AB)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j(A)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_j(B)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for every } 1 \le k \le n.$$

By using an arithmetic-geometric mean inequality for singular values of A and B,

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j(AB)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{2} \lambda_j(A) + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{2} \lambda_j(B) \quad \text{for every } 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j(AB)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_j(A) + \lambda_j(B) \quad \text{for every } 1 \le k \le n,$$

which implies  $S^{\frac{1}{2}}(AB) \prec_{w} \frac{1}{2}(S(A) + S(B))$ .

**Lemma 2.10** *If A and B*  $\in$   $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , then

$$\sqrt{|AB|} \prec_{\scriptscriptstyle W} H_1(A,B).$$

*Proof* It is direct result of the definition of the majorisation and Theorem 2.2.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.11** If A and B are positive semi-definite  $\in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , then

$$H_{\nu}(A,B) \prec_{w} H_{1}(A,B).$$

*Proof* It is direct result of definition of the majorisation and Theorem 2.1.  $\Box$ 

It is interesting to know whether

$$\sqrt{|AB|} \prec_w H_j(A,B).$$

**Lemma 2.12** *If A and B are positive semi-definite*  $\in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ *, then* 

$$\sqrt{|AB|} \prec_w H_1(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}|, |A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|).$$

*Proof* It is direct result of definition of the majorisation and Lemma 2.3.  $\Box$ 

The results to this point lead to the following theorem about majorisation for positive definite matrices.

**Theorem 2.13** For every two positive matrices A and B in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $S^{\frac{1}{2}}(AB) \prec \frac{1}{2}(S(A) + S(B)).$
- (2)  $\sqrt{|AB|} \prec (H_1(A,B))$ .
- (3)  $H_{\nu}(A,B) \prec H_1(A,B)$ .
- (4)  $\sqrt{|AB|} \prec_w H_1(|A^{\nu}B^{1-\nu}|, |A^{1-\nu}B^{\nu}|).$
- (5) A = B.

### Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Department of Mathematical Sciences at Isfahan University of Technology, Iran.

Received: 14 November 2015 Accepted: 7 January 2016 Published online: 21 January 2016

### References

- 1. Bhatia, R: Matrix Analysis. Springer, New York (1997)
- 2. Ando, T: Matrix Young inequalities. Oper. Theory, Adv. Appl. 75, 33-38 (1995)
- 3. Hirzallah, O, Kittaneh, F: Matrix Young inequalities for the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Linear Algebra Appl. 308, 77-84 (2000)
- 4. Thompson, RC: Convex and concave functions of singular values of matrix sums. Pac. J. Math. 66, 285-290 (1976)
- 5. Bhatia, R, Davis, C: More matrix forms of the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 14, 132-136 (1993)
- 6. Audenaert, KMR: A singular value inequality for Heinz mean. Linear Algebra Appl. 422, 279-283 (2007)
- 7. Bhatia, R, Kittaneh, F: On the singular values of a product of operators. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 11, 272-277 (1990)
- 8. Bhatia, R, Kittaneh, F: Notes on matrix arithmetic-geometric mean inequalities. Linear Algebra Appl. **308**, 203-211 (2000)
- 9. Bhatia, R, Kittaneh, F: On the singular values of a product of operators. Linear Algebra Appl. 428, 2177-2191 (2008)
- 10. Drury, SW: On a question of Bhatia and Kittaneh. Linear Algebra Appl. 437, 1955-1960 (2012)

# Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com