

RESEARCH

Open Access



Multi- C^* -ternary algebras and applications

Hamed H Alsulami¹, Hassan Morghi Kenari², Donal O'Regan^{1,3} and Reza Saadati^{4*}

*Correspondence: rsaadati@eml.cc

⁴Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Iran University of Science and Technology, Tehran, Iran

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, we look at the concept of multi- C^* -ternary algebras and consider some properties. As an application we approximate multi- C^* -ternary algebra homomorphisms and derivations in these spaces.

MSC: Primary 39A10; 39B72; secondary 47H10; 46B03

Keywords: approximation; additive functional equation; multi-Banach space; multi- C^* -ternary algebra

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Ternary algebraic structures arise naturally in theoretical and mathematical physics, for example, the quark model inspired a particular brand of ternary algebraic system. We also refer the reader to 'Nambu mechanics' [1] (see also [2, 3] and [4]).

A C^* -ternary algebra is a complex Banach space A , equipped with a ternary product $(x, y, z) \mapsto [x, y, z]$ of A^3 into A , which is \mathbf{C} -linear in the outer variables, conjugate \mathbf{C} -linear in the middle variable, and associative in the sense that $[x, y, [z, w, v]] = [x, [w, z, y], v] = [[x, y, z], w, v]$, and satisfies $\|[x, y, z]\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\| \cdot \|z\|$ and $\|[x, x, x]\| = \|x\|^3$ (see [4]).

If a C^* -ternary algebra $(A, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot])$ has the identity, *i.e.*, the element $e \in A$ such that $x = [x, e, e] = [e, e, x]$ for all $x \in A$, then it is routine to verify that A , endowed with $x \circ y := [x, e, y]$ and $x^* := [e, x, e]$, is a unital C^* -algebra. Conversely, if (A, \circ) is a unital C^* -algebra, then $[x, y, z] := x \circ y^* \circ z$ makes A into a C^* -ternary algebra.

A \mathbf{C} -linear mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is called a C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism if

$$H([x, y, z]) = [H(x), H(y), H(z)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. A \mathbf{C} -linear mapping $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ is called a C^* -ternary derivation if

$$\delta([x, y, z]) = [\delta(x), y, z] + [x, \delta(y), z] + [x, y, \delta(z)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$ (see [5]).

Ternary structures and their generalization, the so-called n -ary structures, are important in view of their applications in physics (see [6]).

Let X be a set. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a *generalized metric* on X if d satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (3) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Theorem 1.1 ([7]) *Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space and let $J : X \rightarrow X$ be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $L < 1$. Then, for each $x \in X$, either*

$$d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = \infty$$

for all non-negative integers n or there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

- (1) $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) < \infty$ for all $n \geq n_0$;
- (2) *the sequence $\{J^n x\}$ converges to a fixed point y^* of J ;*
- (3) y^* *is the unique fixed point of J in the set $Y = \{y \in X \mid d(J^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$;*
- (4) $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, Jy)$ for all $y \in Y$.

2 Multi-normed spaces

The notion of a multi-normed space was introduced by Dales and Polyakov in [8] and many examples are given in [8–10].

Let $(\mathcal{E}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a complex normed space and let $k \in \mathbf{N}$. We denote by \mathcal{E}^k the linear space $\mathcal{E} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{E}$ consisting of k -tuples (x_1, \dots, x_k) , where $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{E}$. The linear operations on \mathcal{E}^k are defined coordinate-wise. The zero element of either \mathcal{E} or \mathcal{E}^k is denoted by 0. We denote by \mathbf{N}_k the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and by Σ_k the group of permutations on k symbols.

Definition 2.1 A *multi-norm* on $\{\mathcal{E}^k : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is a sequence

$$(\|\cdot\|_k) = (\|\cdot\|_k : k \in \mathbf{N})$$

such that $\|\cdot\|_k$ is a norm on \mathcal{E}^k for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$ with $k \geq 2$:

- (A1) $\|(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k)})\|_k = \|(x_1, \dots, x_k)\|_k$ for any $\sigma \in \Sigma_k$ and $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{E}$;
 - (A2) $\|(\alpha_1 x_1, \dots, \alpha_k x_k)\|_k \leq (\max_{i \in \mathbf{N}_k} |\alpha_i|) \|(x_1, \dots, x_k)\|_k$ for any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbf{C}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{E}$;
 - (A3) $\|(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, 0)\|_k = \|(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})\|_{k-1}$ for any $x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \in \mathcal{E}$;
 - (A4) $\|(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k)\|_k = \|(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})\|_{k-1}$ for any $x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \in \mathcal{E}$.
- In this case, we say that $(\{\mathcal{E}^k, \|\cdot\|_k\} : k \in \mathbf{N})$ is a *multi-normed space*.

Lemma 2.2 ([10]) *Suppose that $(\{\mathcal{E}^k, \|\cdot\|_k\} : k \in \mathbf{N})$ is a multi-normed space and let $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Then*

- (1) $\|(x, \dots, x)\|_k = \|x\|$ for any $x \in \mathcal{E}$;
- (2) $\max_{i \in \mathbf{N}_k} \|x_i\| \leq \|x_1, \dots, x_k\|_k \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \|x_i\| \leq k \max_{i \in \mathbf{N}_k} \|x_i\|$ for any $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{E}$.

It follows from (2) that, if $(\mathcal{E}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space, then $(\mathcal{E}^k, \|\cdot\|_k)$ is a Banach space for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$. In this case, $(\{\mathcal{E}^k, \|\cdot\|_k\} : k \in \mathbf{N})$ is a multi-Banach space.

Now, we present two examples (see [8]).

Example 2.3 The sequence $(\|\cdot\|_k : k \in \mathbf{N})$ on $\{\mathcal{E}^k : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$ defined by

$$\|(x_1, \dots, x_k)\|_k := \max_{i \in \mathbf{N}_k} \|x_i\|$$

for any $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{E}$ is a multi-norm, which is called the *minimum multi-norm*.

Example 2.4 Let $\{(\|\cdot\|_k^\alpha : k \in \mathbf{N}) : \alpha \in A\}$ be the (non-empty) family of all multi-norms on $\{\mathcal{E}^k : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$. For each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, set

$$\|(x_1, \dots, x_k)\|_k := \sup_{\alpha \in A} \|(x_1, \dots, x_k)\|_k^\alpha$$

for any $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{E}$. Then $(\|\cdot\|_k : k \in \mathbf{N})$ is a multi-norm on $\{\mathcal{E}^k : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$, which is called the *maximum multi-norm*.

Now, we need the following observation which can easily be deduced from Lemma 2.2(2) of multi-norms.

Lemma 2.5 Suppose that $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathcal{E}^k$. For each $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, let (x_n^j) be a sequence in \mathcal{E} such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^j = x_j$. Then, for each $(y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \mathcal{E}^k$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n^1 - y_1, \dots, x_n^k - y_k) = (x_1 - y_1, \dots, x_k - y_k).$$

Definition 2.6 Let $(\{\mathcal{E}^k, \|\cdot\|_k\} : k \in \mathbf{N})$ be a multi-normed space. A sequence (x_n) in \mathcal{E} is a *multi-null sequence* if, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbf{N}} \|(x_n, \dots, x_{n+k-1})\|_k < \epsilon$$

for any $n \geq n_0$. Let $x \in \mathcal{E}$. We say that the sequence (x_n) is *multi-convergent* to $x \in \mathcal{E}$ and write

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$$

if $(x_n - x)$ is a multi-null sequence.

Definition 2.7 ([8, 11]) Let $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed algebra such that $(\{A^k, \|\cdot\|_k\} : k \in \mathbf{N})$ is a multi-normed space. Then $(\{A^k, \|\cdot\|_k\} : k \in \mathbf{N})$ is called a *multi-normed algebra* if

$$\|(a_1 b_1, \dots, a_k b_k)\|_k \leq \|(a_1, \dots, a_k)\|_k \cdot \|(b_1, \dots, b_k)\|_k$$

for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_k \in A$. Further, the multi-normed algebra $(\{A^k, \|\cdot\|_k\} : k \in \mathbf{N})$ is a *multi-Banach algebra* if $(\{A^k, \|\cdot\|_k\} : k \in \mathbf{N})$ is a multi-Banach space.

Example 2.8 ([8, 11]) Let p, q with $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$ and let $A = \ell^p$. The algebra A is a Banach sequence algebra with respect to a coordinate-wise multiplication of sequences (see [12]). Let $(\|\cdot\|_k : k \in \mathbf{N})$ be the standard (p, q) -multi-norm on $\{A^k : k \in \mathbf{N}\}$. Then $(\{A^k, \|\cdot\|_k\} : k \in \mathbf{N})$ is a multi-Banach algebra.

Definition 2.9 Let $(\{A^k, \|\cdot\|_k\} : k \in \mathbf{N})$ be a multi-Banach algebra. A *multi- C^* -algebra* is a complex multi-Banach algebra $(\{A^k, \|\cdot\|_k\} : k \in \mathbf{N})$ with an involution $*$ satisfying

$$\|(a_1^* a_1, \dots, a_k^* a_k)\|_k = \|(a_1, \dots, a_k)\|_k^2$$

for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A$.

Definition 2.10 Let $((A^k, \|\cdot\|_k) : k \in \mathbf{N})$ be a multi-Banach space. A *multi- C^* -ternary algebra* is a complex multi-Banach space $((A^k, \|\cdot\|_k) : k \in \mathbf{N})$ equipped with a ternary product.

3 Approximation of homomorphisms in multi-Banach algebras

Throughout this paper, assume that A, B are C^* -ternary algebras.

For a given mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$, we define

$$C_\mu f(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d) := 2f\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^p \mu x_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^d \mu y_j\right) - \sum_{j=1}^p \mu f(x_j) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \mu f(y_j)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbf{T}^1 := \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : |\lambda| = 1\}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$.

One can easily show that a mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ satisfies

$$C_\mu f(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d) = 0$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbf{T}^1$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d \in A$ if and only if

$$f(\mu x + \lambda y) = \mu f(x) + \lambda f(y)$$

for all $\mu, \lambda \in \mathbf{T}^1$ and $x, y \in A$.

Lemma 3.1 ([13]) *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be an additive mapping such that $f(\mu x) = \mu f(x)$ for all $x \in A$ and $\mu \in \mathbf{T}^1$. Then the mapping f is \mathbf{C} -linear.*

Lemma 3.2 *Let $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$, and $\{z_n\}$ be the convergent sequences in A . Then the sequence $\{[x_n, y_n, z_n]\}$ is convergent in A .*

Proof Let $x, y, z \in A$ be such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_n, y_n, z_n] - [x, y, z] \\ &= [x_n - x, y_n - y, z_n, z] + [x_n, y_n, z] + [x, y_n - y, z_n] + [x_n, y, z_n - z] \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|[x_n, y_n, z_n] - [x, y, z]\| &= \|x_n - x\| \|y_n - y\| \|z_n - z\| + \|x_n - x\| \|y_n\| \|z\| \\ &\quad + \|x\| \|y_n - y\| \|z_n\| + \|x_n\| \|y\| \|z_n - z\| \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq 1$, and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_n, y_n, z_n] = [x, y, z].$$

This completes the proof. □

Using Theorem 1.1, we approximate homomorphisms in multi- C^* -ternary algebras for the functional equation $C_\mu f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$.

Theorem 3.3 *Let $(B^k, \|\cdot\|_k) : k \in \mathbf{N}$ be a multi- C^* -ternary algebra. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping for which there are functions $\varphi : A^{(p+d)k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi : A^{3k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^{-n} \varphi(\gamma^n x_{11}, \dots, \gamma^n x_{1p}, \gamma^n y_{11}, \dots, \gamma^n y_{1p}, \dots, \gamma^n x_{k1}, \dots, \gamma^n x_{kp}, \gamma^n y_{k1}, \dots, \gamma^n y_{kd}) = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (c_\mu f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}), \dots, c_\mu f(x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd})) \|_k \\ & \leq \varphi(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd}), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (f([x_1, y_1, z_1]) - [f(x_1), f(y_1), f(z_1)], \dots, f([x_k, y_k, z_k]) - [f(x_k), f(y_k), f(z_k)]) \|_k \\ & \leq \psi(x_1, y_1, z_1, \dots, x_k, y_k, z_k), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^{-3n} \psi(\gamma^n x_1, \gamma^n y_1, \gamma^n z_1, \dots, \gamma^n x_k, \gamma^n y_k, \gamma^n z_k) = 0, \tag{4}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^{-2n} \psi(\gamma^n x_1, \gamma^n y_1, z_1, \dots, \gamma^n x_k, \gamma^n y_k, z_k) = 0 \tag{5}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbf{T}^1$ and $x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd}, x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k \in A$, where $\gamma = \frac{p+2d}{2}$. If there exists a constant $L < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(\overbrace{\gamma x_1, \dots, \gamma x_1}^{p+d}, \overbrace{\gamma x_2, \dots, \gamma x_2}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{\gamma x_k, \dots, \gamma x_k}^{p+d}) \\ & \leq \gamma L \varphi(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d}) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in A$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (f(x_1) - H(x_1), \dots, f(x_k) - H(x_k)) \|_k \\ & \leq \frac{1}{(1-L)2\gamma} \varphi(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d}) \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$.

Proof Let $\mu = 1$ and $x_{ij} = y_{ij} = x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ in (2). Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (f(\gamma x_1) - \gamma f(x_1), \dots, f(\gamma x_k) - \gamma f(x_k)) \|_k \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \varphi(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d}) \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$. Consider the set

$$E := \{g : A \rightarrow B\}$$

and introduce the *generalized metric* on E :

$$d(g, h) = \inf\{C \in \mathbf{R}_+ : \|(g(x_1) - h(x_1), \dots, g(x_k) - h(x_k))\|_k \leq C\varphi(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d}), \forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in A\}.$$

It is easy to see that (E, d) is complete (see also [9]).

First we show that d is metric on E . It is obvious $d(g, g) = 0$ for all $g \in E$. If $d(g, h) = 0$, then, for every fixed $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$,

$$\|(g(x_1) - h(x_1), \dots, g(x_k) - h(x_k))\|_k = 0$$

and therefore $g = h$. If $d(g, h) = a < \infty$ and $d(h, l) = b < \infty$ for all $g, h, l \in E$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(g(x_1) - l(x_1), \dots, g(x_k) - l(x_k))\|_k \\ &= \|(g(x_1) - h(x_1) + h(x_1) - l(x_1), \dots, g(x_k) - h(x_k) + h(x_k) - l(x_k))\|_k \\ &\leq \|(g(x_1) - h(x_1), \dots, g(x_k) - h(x_k))\|_k + \|(h(x_1) - l(x_1), \dots, h(x_k) - l(x_k))\|_k \\ &\leq a\varphi(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d}) + b\varphi(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d}) \\ &= (a + b)\varphi(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d}). \end{aligned}$$

So we have $d(g, l) \leq d(g, h) + d(h, l)$.

Let $\{g_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in (E, d) . Then for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists N such that $d(g_n, g_i) < \epsilon$, if $n, i \geq N$. Let $n, i \geq N$. Since $d(g_n, g_i) < \epsilon$ there exists $C \in [0, \epsilon)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(g_n(x_1) - g_i(x_1), \dots, g_n(x_k) - g_i(x_k))\|_k \\ &\leq C\varphi(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d}) \\ &\leq \epsilon\varphi(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d}) \tag{9} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$, so for each $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$, $\{g_n(x_1, \dots, x_k)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in B . Since B is complete, there exists $g(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in B$ such that $g_n(x_1, \dots, x_k) \rightarrow g(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we have $g \in E$. Taking the limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (9) we obtain, for $n \geq N$,

$$\|(g_n(x_1) - g(x_1), \dots, g_n(x_k) - g(x_k))\|_k \leq \epsilon\varphi(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d}).$$

Therefore $d(g_n, g) \leq \epsilon$. Hence $g_n \rightarrow g$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so (E, d) is complete. Now, we consider the linear mapping $\Lambda : E \rightarrow E$ such that

$$\Lambda g(x) := \frac{1}{\gamma}g(\gamma x)$$

for all $x \in A$. From Theorem 3.1 of [14] (also see Lemma 3.2 of [9]),

$$d(\Lambda g, \Lambda h) \leq Ld(g, h)$$

for all $g, h \in E$. Let $g, h \in E$ and let $C \in [0, \infty]$ be an arbitrary constant with $d(g, h) \leq C$. From the definition of d , we have

$$\| (g(x_1) - h(x_1), \dots, g(x_k) - h(x_k)) \|_k \leq C\varphi(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d})$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$. From our assumption and the last inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (\Lambda g(x_1) - \Lambda h(x_1), \dots, \Lambda g(x_k) - \Lambda h(x_k)) \|_k \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma} \| (g(\gamma x_1) - h(\gamma x_1), \dots, g(\gamma x_k) - h(\gamma x_k)) \|_k \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\gamma} \varphi(\overbrace{\gamma x_1, \dots, \gamma x_1}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{\gamma x_k, \dots, \gamma x_k}^{p+d}) \\ &\leq CL\varphi(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (\Lambda f(x_1) - f(x_1), \dots, \Lambda f(x_k) - f(x_k)) \|_k \\ &= \left\| \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} f(\gamma x_1) - f(x_1), \dots, \frac{1}{\gamma} f(\gamma x_k) - f(x_k) \right) \right\|_k \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma} \| (f(\gamma x_1) - \gamma f(x_1), \dots, f(\gamma x_k) - \gamma f(x_k)) \|_k \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\gamma} \varphi(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$. Hence $d(\Lambda f, f) \leq \frac{1}{2\gamma}$. From Theorem 1.1, the sequence $\{\Lambda^n f\}$ converges to a fixed point H of Λ , i.e., $H : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping defined by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda^n f)(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^n} f(\gamma^n x) \tag{10}$$

and $H(\gamma x) = \gamma H(x)$ for all $x \in A$. Also, H is the unique fixed point of Λ in the set $E' = \{g \in E : d(f, g) < \infty\}$ and

$$d(H, f) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(\Lambda f, f) \leq \frac{1}{(1-L)2\gamma},$$

i.e., the inequality (7) hold for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$. Thus it follows from the definition of H , (1), and (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(2H \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^p \mu x_{1j}}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^d \mu y_{1j} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^p \mu H(x_{1j}) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \mu H(y_{1j}), \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \dots, 2H \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^p \mu x_{kj}}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^d \mu y_{kj} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^p \mu H(x_{kj}) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \mu H(y_{kj}) \right) \|_k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^n} \left\| \left(2f \left(\gamma^n \frac{\sum_{j=1}^p \mu x_{1j}}{2} + \gamma^n \sum_{j=1}^d \mu y_{1j} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^p \mu f(\gamma^n x_{1j}) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \mu f(\gamma^n y_{1j}), \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \dots, 2f \left(\gamma^n \frac{\sum_{j=1}^p \mu x_{kj}}{2} + \gamma^n \sum_{j=1}^d \mu y_{kj} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^p \mu f(\gamma^n x_{kj}) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \mu f(\gamma^n y_{kj}) \right\|_k \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^n} \left\| (C_\mu f(\gamma^n x_{11}, \dots, \gamma^n x_{1p}, \gamma^n y_{11}, \dots, \gamma^n y_{1d}), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \dots, C_\mu f(\gamma^n x_{k1}, \dots, \gamma^n x_{kp}, \gamma^n y_{k1}, \dots, \gamma^n y_{kd})) \right\|_k \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^n} \varphi(\gamma^n x_{11}, \dots, \gamma^n x_{1p}, \gamma^n y_{11}, \dots, \gamma^n y_{1d}, \\
 &\quad \dots, \gamma^n x_{k1}, \dots, \gamma^n x_{kp}, \gamma^n y_{k1}, \dots, \gamma^n y_{kd}) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbf{T}^1$ and $x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd} \in A$. Hence we have

$$2H \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^p \mu x_{ij}}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^d \mu y_{ij} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^p \mu H(x_{ij}) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \mu H(y_{ij})$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbf{T}^1$, $x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd} \in A$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$ and so $H(\lambda x + \mu y) = \lambda H(x) + \mu H(y)$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{T}^1$ and $x, y \in A$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1, the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is \mathbf{C} -linear.

Also it follows from (3) and (4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\| (H([x_1, y_1, z_1]) - [H(x_1), H(y_1), H(z_1)], \dots, H([x_k, y_k, z_k]) - [H(x_k), H(y_k), H(z_k)]) \|_k \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^{3n}} \| (f([\gamma^n x_1, \gamma^n y_1, \gamma^n z_1]) - [f(\gamma^n x_1), f(\gamma^n y_1), f(\gamma^n z_1)], \\
 &\quad \dots, f([\gamma^n x_k, \gamma^n y_k, \gamma^n z_k]) - [f(\gamma^n x_k), f(\gamma^n y_k), f(\gamma^n z_k)]) \|_k \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^{3n}} \psi(\gamma^n x_1, \gamma^n y_1, \gamma^n z_1, \dots, \gamma^n x_k, \gamma^n y_k, \gamma^n z_k) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, y_1, z_1, \dots, x_k, y_k, z_k \in A$. Thus we have

$$H([x, y, z]) = [H(x), H(y), H(z)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Thus $H : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism satisfying (7).

Now, let $T : A \rightarrow B$ be another C^* -ternary-algebras homomorphism satisfying (7). Since $d(f, T) \leq \frac{1}{(1-L)2\gamma}$ and T is \mathbf{C} -linear, we get $T \in E'$ and $(\Lambda T)(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma}(T\gamma x) = T(x)$ for all $x \in A$, i.e., T is a fixed point of Λ . Since H is the unique fixed point of $\Lambda \in E'$, we get $H = T$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.4 *Let $((B^k, \|\cdot\|_k) : k \in \mathbf{N})$ be a multi- C^* -ternary algebra. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping for which there are the functions $\varphi : A^{(p+d)k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi : A^{3k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying the inequalities (2) and (3) such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^n \varphi \left(\frac{x_{11}}{\gamma^n}, \dots, \frac{x_{1p}}{\gamma^n}, \frac{y_{11}}{\gamma^n}, \dots, \frac{y_{1p}}{\gamma^n}, \dots, \frac{x_{k1}}{\gamma^n}, \dots, \frac{x_{kp}}{\gamma^n}, \dots, \frac{y_{k1}}{\gamma^n}, \dots, \frac{y_{kd}}{\gamma^n} \right) = 0, \tag{11}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^{3n} \psi \left(\frac{x_1}{\gamma^n}, \frac{y_1}{\gamma^n}, \frac{z_1}{\gamma^n}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{\gamma^n}, \frac{y_k}{\gamma^n}, \frac{z_k}{\gamma^n} \right) = 0, \tag{12}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^{2n} \psi \left(\frac{x_1}{\gamma^n}, \frac{y_1}{\gamma^n}, z_1, \dots, \frac{x_k}{\gamma^n}, \frac{y_k}{\gamma^n}, z_k \right) = 0 \tag{13}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbf{T}^1$ and $x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd}, x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k \in A$, where $\gamma = \frac{p+2d}{2}$. If the constant $L < 1$ exists such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi \left(\overbrace{\frac{x_1}{\gamma}, \dots, \frac{x_1}{\gamma}}^{p+d}, \overbrace{\frac{x_2}{\gamma}, \dots, \frac{x_2}{\gamma}}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{\frac{x_k}{\gamma}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{\gamma}}^{p+d} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{L}{\gamma} \varphi \left(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in A$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (f(x_1) - H(x_1), \dots, f(x_k) - H(x_k)) \|_k \\ & \leq \frac{1}{(1-L)2\gamma} \varphi \left(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$.

Proof If we replace x_i in (8) by $\frac{x_i}{\gamma}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(f(x_1) - \gamma f \left(\frac{1}{x_1} \right), \dots, f(x_k) - \gamma f \left(\frac{1}{x_k} \right) \right) \right\|_k \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \varphi \left(\overbrace{\frac{1}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{x_1}}^{p+d}, \overbrace{\frac{1}{x_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{x_2}}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{\frac{1}{x_k}, \dots, \frac{1}{x_k}}^{p+d} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$. Consider the set

$$E := \{g : A \rightarrow B\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on E :

$$\begin{aligned} d(g, h) &= \inf \{ C \in \mathbf{R}_+ : \| (g(x_1) - h(x_1), \dots, g(x_k) - h(x_k)) \|_k \\ & \leq C \varphi \left(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d} \right), \forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in A \}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that (E, d) is complete (see [9]).

Now, we consider the linear mapping $\Lambda : E \rightarrow E$ such that

$$\Lambda g(x) := \gamma g \left(\frac{x}{\gamma} \right)$$

for all $x \in A$. From Theorem 3.1 of [14] (also see Lemma 3.2 of [9]),

$$d(\Lambda g, \Lambda h) \leq Ld(g, h)$$

for all $g, h \in E$. Let $g, h \in E$ and let $C \in [0, \infty]$ be an arbitrary constant with $d(g, h) \leq C$. From the definition of d , we have

$$\| (g(x_1) - h(x_1), \dots, g(x_k) - h(x_k)) \|_k \leq C\varphi(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d})$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$. From our assumption and the last inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (\Lambda g(x_1) - \Lambda h(x_1), \dots, \Lambda g(x_k) - \Lambda h(x_k)) \|_k \\ &= \gamma \left\| \left(g\left(\frac{x_1}{\gamma}\right) - h\left(\frac{x_1}{\gamma}\right), \dots, g\left(\frac{x_k}{\gamma}\right) - h\left(\frac{x_k}{\gamma}\right) \right) \right\|_k \\ &\leq C\gamma\varphi\left(\overbrace{\frac{x_1}{\gamma}, \dots, \frac{x_1}{\gamma}}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{\frac{x_k}{\gamma}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{\gamma}}^{p+d}\right) \\ &\leq CL\varphi(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$ and so $d(\Lambda g, \Lambda h) \leq Ld(g, h)$ for any $g, h \in E$. It follows from (16) that $d(\Lambda f, f) \leq \frac{1}{2\gamma}$. Therefore, according to Theorem 1.1, the sequence $\{\Lambda^n f\}$ converges to a fixed point H of Λ , i.e., $H : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping defined by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda^n f)(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^n f\left(\frac{x}{\gamma^n}\right) \tag{17}$$

for all $x \in A$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3 and so we omit it. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.5 *Let r and θ be non-negative real numbers such that $r \notin [1, 3]$ and let $((B^k, \|\cdot\|_k) : k \in \mathbf{N})$ be a multi- C^* -ternary algebra. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (C_\mu f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}), \dots, C_\mu f(x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd})) \|_k \\ & \leq \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \|x_{1j}\|_A^r + \sum_{j=1}^d \|y_{1j}\|_A^r + \dots + \sum_{j=1}^p \|x_{kj}\|_A^r + \sum_{j=1}^d \|y_{kj}\|_A^r \right) \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (f([x_1, y_1, z_1]) - [f(x_1), f(y_1), f(z_1)], \dots, f([x_k, y_k, z_k]) - [f(x_k), f(y_k), f(z_k)]) \|_k \\ & \leq \theta (\|x_1\|_A^r \cdot \|y_1\|_A^r \cdot \|z_1\|_A^r + \dots + \|x_k\|_A^r \cdot \|y_k\|_A^r \cdot \|z_k\|_A^r) \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbf{T}^1$ and $x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd}, x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k \in A$. Then there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (f(x_1) - H(x_1), \dots, f(x_k) - H(x_k)) \|_B \\ & \leq \frac{2^r(p+d)\theta}{|2(p+2d)^r - (p+2d)2^r|} (\|x_1\|_A^r + \dots + \|x_k\|_A^r) \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$.

Proof The proof follows from Theorem 3.3 by taking

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd}) \\ &:= \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \|x_{ij}\|_A^r + \sum_{j=1}^d \|y_{ij}\|_A^r + \dots + \sum_{j=1}^p \|x_{kj}\|_A^r + \sum_{j=1}^d \|y_{kj}\|_A^r \right), \\ &\psi(x_1, y_1, z_1, \dots, x_k, y_k, z_k) \\ &:= \theta \left(\|x_1\|_A^r \cdot \|y_1\|_A^r \cdot \|z_1\|_A^r + \dots + \|x_k\|_A^r \cdot \|y_k\|_A^r \cdot \|z_k\|_A^r \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbf{T}^1$ and $x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd}, x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k \in A$. Then we can choose $L = 2^{1-r}(p + 2d)^{r-1}$, when $0 < r < 1$, and $L = 2 - 2^{1-r}(p + 2d)^{r-1}$, when $r > 3$, and so we get the desired result. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.6 *Let $(B^k, \|\cdot\|_k) : k\mathbf{N}$ be a multi- C^* -ternary algebra. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping for which there are functions $\varphi : A^{(p+d)k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi : A^{3k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d^{-n} \varphi(d^n x_{11}, \dots, d^n x_{1p}, d^n y_{11}, \dots, d^n y_{1p}, \\ &\dots, d^n x_{k1}, \dots, d^n x_{kp}, \dots, d^n y_{k1}, \dots, d^n y_{kd}) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\| (c_\mu f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}), \dots, c_\mu f(x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd})) \|_k \\ &\leq \varphi(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd}), \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\| (f([x_1, y_1, z_1]) - [f(x_1), f(y_1), f(z_1)], \\ &\dots, f([x_k, y_k, z_k]) - [f(x_k), f(y_k), f(z_k)]) \|_k \\ &\leq \psi(x_1, y_1, z_1, \dots, x_k, y_k, z_k), \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d^{-3n} \psi(d^n x_1, d^n y_1, d^n z_1, \dots, d^n x_k, d^n y_k, d^n z_k) = 0, \tag{24}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d^{-2n} \psi(d^n x_1, d^n y_1, z_1, \dots, d^n x_k, d^n y_k, z_k) = 0 \tag{25}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbf{T}^1$ and $x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd}, x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k \in A$, where $\gamma = \frac{p+2d}{2}$. If there exists the constant $L < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(\overbrace{dx_1, \dots, dx_1}^{p+d}, \overbrace{dx_2, \dots, dx_2}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{dx_k, \dots, dx_k}^{p+d}) \\ &\leq dL \varphi(\overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^p, \overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^d, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^p, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^d, \dots, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^p, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^d) \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in A$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\| (f(x_1) - H(x_1), \dots, f(x_k) - H(x_k)) \|_k \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1-L)2d} \varphi(\overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^p, \overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^d, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^p, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^d, \dots, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^p, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^d) \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$.

Proof Let $\mu = 1$ and $x_{ij} = 0, y_{ij} = x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ in (22). Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (f(dx_1) - df(x_1), \dots, f(dx_k) - df(x_k)) \|_k \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \varphi \left(\overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^p, \overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^d, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^p, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^d, \dots, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^p, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^d \right) \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$. Consider the set

$$E := \{g : A \rightarrow B\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on E :

$$\begin{aligned} d(g, h) &= \inf \{ C \in \mathbf{R}_+ : \| (g(x_1) - h(x_1), \dots, g(x_k) - h(x_k)) \|_k \\ & \leq C \varphi \left(\overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^p, \overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^d, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^p, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^d, \dots, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^p, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^d \right), \\ & \forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in A \}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that (E, d) is complete (see [9]).

Now, we consider the linear mapping $\Lambda : E \rightarrow E$ such that

$$\Lambda g(x) := \frac{1}{d} g(dx)$$

for all $x \in A$. From Theorem 3.1 of [14] (also see Lemma 3.2 of [9]),

$$d(\Lambda g, \Lambda h) \leq L d(g, h)$$

for all $g, h \in E$. Let $g, h \in E$ and let $C \in [0, \infty]$ be an arbitrary constant with $d(g, h) \leq C$. From the definition of d , we have

$$\| (g(x_1) - h(x_1), \dots, g(x_k) - h(x_k)) \|_k \leq C \varphi \left(\overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^p, \overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^d, \dots, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^p, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^d \right)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$. From our assumption and the last inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (\Lambda g(x_1) - \Lambda h(x_1), \dots, \Lambda g(x_k) - \Lambda h(x_k)) \|_k \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \| (g(dx_1) - h(dx_1), \dots, g(dx_k) - h(dx_k)) \|_k \\ & \leq \frac{C}{d} \varphi \left(\overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^p, \overbrace{dx_1, \dots, dx_1}^d, \dots, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^p, \overbrace{dx_k, \dots, dx_k}^d \right) \\ & \leq CL \varphi \left(\overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^p, \overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^d, \dots, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^p, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^d \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (\Lambda f(x_1) - f(x_1), \dots, \Lambda f(x_k) - f(x_k)) \|_k \\ &= \left\| \left(\frac{1}{d} f(dx_1) - f(x_1), \dots, \frac{1}{d} f(dx_k) - f(x_k) \right) \right\|_k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{d} \left\| (f(dx_1) - df(x_1), \dots, f(dx_k) - df(x_k)) \right\|_k \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2d} \varphi \left(\overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^p, \overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^d, \dots, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^p, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^d \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$. Hence $d(\Lambda f, f) \leq \frac{1}{2d}$. From Theorem 1.1, the sequence $\{\Lambda^n f\}$ converges to a fixed point H of Λ , i.e., $H : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping defined by

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda^n f)(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} f(d^n x) \tag{29}$$

and $H(dx) = dH(x)$ for all $x \in A$. Also, H is the unique fixed point of Λ in the set $E' = \{g \in E : d(f, g) < \infty\}$ and

$$d(H, f) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(\Lambda f, f) \leq \frac{1}{(1-L)2d},$$

i.e., the inequality (27) hold for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$. It follows from the definition of H , (21), and (22) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\| 2H \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^p \mu x_{1j}}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^d \mu y_{1j} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^p \mu H(x_{1j}) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \mu H(y_{1j}), \right. \\
 &\quad \dots, 2H \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^p \mu x_{kj}}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^d \mu y_{kj} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^p \mu H(x_{kj}) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \mu H(y_{kj}) \left. \right\|_k \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \left\| 2f \left(d^n \frac{\sum_{j=1}^p \mu x_{1j}}{2} + d^n \sum_{j=1}^d \mu y_{1j} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^p \mu f(d^n x_{1j}) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \mu f(d^n y_{1j}), \right. \\
 &\quad \dots, 2f \left(d^n \frac{\sum_{j=1}^p \mu x_{kj}}{2} + d^n \sum_{j=1}^d \mu y_{kj} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^p \mu f(d^n x_{kj}) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \mu f(d^n y_{kj}) \left. \right\|_k \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \left\| (C_\mu f(d^n x_{11}, \dots, d^n x_{1p}, d^n y_{11}, \dots, d^n y_{1d}), \right. \\
 &\quad \dots, C_\mu f(d^n x_{k1}, \dots, d^n x_{kp}, d^n y_{k1}, \dots, d^n y_{kd})) \left. \right\|_k \\
 &\quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \varphi(d^n x_{11}, \dots, d^n x_{1p}, d^n y_{11}, \dots, d^n y_{1d}, \\
 &\quad \dots, d^n x_{k1}, \dots, d^n x_{kp}, d^n y_{k1}, \dots, d^n y_{kd}) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbf{T}^1$ and $x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd} \in A$. Hence we have

$$2H \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^p \mu x_{ij}}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^d \mu y_{ij} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^p \mu H(x_{ij}) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \mu H(y_{ij})$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbf{T}^1$, $x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd} \in A$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$ and so $H(\lambda x + \mu y) = \lambda H(x) + \mu H(y)$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{T}^1$ and all $x, y \in A$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1, the mapping $H : A \rightarrow B$ is \mathbf{C} -linear.

Also it follows from (23) and (24) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|H([x_1, y_1, z_1]) - [H(x_1), H(y_1), H(z_1)], \dots, H([x_k, y_k, z_k]) - [H(x_k), H(y_k), H(z_k)]\|_k \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^{3n}} \|f([d^n x_1, d^n y_1, d^n z_1]) - [f(d^n x_1), f(d^n y_1), f(d^n z_1)], \\ & \quad \dots, f([d^n x_k, d^n y_k, d^n z_k]) - [f(d^n x_k), f(d^n y_k), f(d^n z_k)]\|_k \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^{3n}} \psi(d^n x_1, d^n y_1, d^n z_1, \dots, d^n x_k, d^n y_k, d^n z_k) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, y_1, z_1, \dots, x_k, y_k, z_k \in A$. Thus

$$H([x, y, z]) = [H(x), H(y), H(z)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Thus $H : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism satisfying (26).

Now, let $T : A \rightarrow B$ be another C^* -ternary algebras homomorphism satisfying (27). Since $d(f, T) \leq \frac{1}{(1-L)2d}$ and T is \mathbf{C} -linear, we get $T \in E'$ and $(\Lambda T)(x) = \frac{1}{d}(T\gamma x) = T(x)$ for all $x \in A$, i.e., T is a fixed point of Λ . Since H is the unique fixed point of $\Lambda \in E'$, we get $H = T$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.7 *Let r, s , and θ be non-negative real numbers such that $0 < r \neq 1, 0 < s \neq 3$, and let $d \geq 2$. Suppose that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ satisfying (18) and*

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (f([x_1, y_1, z_1]) - [f(x_1), f(y_1), f(z_1)], \dots, f([x_k, y_k, z_k]) - [f(x_k), f(y_k), f(z_k)]) \| \\ & \leq \theta (\|x_1\|_A^s \cdot \|y_1\|_A^s \cdot \|z_1\|_A^s + \dots + \|x_k\|_A^s \cdot \|y_k\|_A^s \cdot \|z_k\|_A^s) \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbf{T}^1$ and $x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k \in A$. Then there exists a unique C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (f(x_1) - H(x_1), \dots, f(x_k) - H(x_k)) \|_K \\ & \leq \frac{d\theta}{2|d - d^r|} (\|x_1\|_A^r + \dots + \|x_k\|_A^r) \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$.

Proof We only prove the theorem when $0 < r < 1$ and $0 < s < 3$. One can prove the theorem for the other cases in a similar way. The proof follows from Theorem 3.6 by taking

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd}) \\ & := \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \|x_{1j}\|_A^r + \sum_{j=1}^d \|y_{1j}\|_A^r + \dots + \sum_{j=1}^p \|x_{kj}\|_A^r + \sum_{j=1}^d \|y_{kj}\|_A^r \right), \\ & \psi(x_1, y_1, z_1, \dots, x_k, y_k, z_k) := \theta (\|x_1\|_A^s \cdot \|y_1\|_A^s \cdot \|z_1\|_A^s + \dots + \|x_k\|_A^s \cdot \|y_k\|_A^s \cdot \|z_k\|_A^s) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbf{T}^1$ and $x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd}, x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k \in A$. Then we can choose $L = d^{r-1}$, when $0 < r < 1$ and $0 < s < 3$, and $L = 2 - d^{r-1}$, when $r > 1$ and $s > 3$, and so we get the desired result. \square

Now, assume that A is a unital C^* -ternary algebra with norm $\| \cdot \|$ and unit e and B is a unital C^* -ternary algebra with norm $\| \cdot \|$ and unit e' .

We investigate homomorphisms in C^* -ternary algebras associated with the functional equation $C_{\mu}f(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d) = 0$.

Theorem 3.8 ([5]) *Let $r > 1$ (resp., $r < 1$) and θ be non-negative real numbers and let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a bijective mapping satisfying (18) and*

$$f([x, y, z]) = [f(x), f(y), f(z)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(p+2d)^n}{2^n} f\left(\frac{2^n e}{(p+2d)^n}\right) = e'$ (resp., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n}{(p+2d)^n} f\left(\frac{(p+2d)^n}{2^n} e\right) = e'$), then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a C^* -ternary algebra isomorphism.

Theorem 3.9 *Let $r < 1$ and θ be non-negative real numbers and let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping satisfying (18) and (19). If there exist a real number $\lambda > 1$ (resp., $0 < \lambda < 1$) and an element $x_0 \in A$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} f(\lambda^n x_0) = e'$ (resp., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n f\left(\frac{x_0}{\lambda^n}\right) = e'$), then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a multi- C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism.*

Proof By using the proof of Theorem 3.5, there exists a unique multi- C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism $H : A \rightarrow B$ satisfying (20). It follows from (20) that

$$H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} f(\lambda^n x) \quad \left(\text{resp., } H(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^n}\right) \right)$$

for all $x \in A$ and $\lambda > 1$ ($0 < \lambda < 1$). Therefore, from our assumption, we get $H(x_0) = e'$.

Let $\lambda > 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} f(\lambda^n x_0) = e'$. It follows from (19) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left([H(x_1), H(y_1), H(z_1)] - [H(x_1), H(y_1), f(z_1)] \right), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \dots, [H(x_k), H(y_k), H(z_k)] - [H(x_k), H(y_k), f(z_k)] \right\| \\ &= \left\| \left(H[x_1, y_1, z_1] - [H(x_1), H(y_1), f(z_1)], \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \dots, H[x_k, y_k, z_k] - [H(x_k), H(y_k), f(z_k)] \right\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2n}} \left\| \left(f\left([\lambda^n x_1, \lambda^n y_1, z_1]\right) - [f(\lambda^n x_1), f(\lambda^n y_1), f(z_1)], \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \dots, f\left([\lambda^n x_k, \lambda^n y_k, z_k]\right) - [f(\lambda^n x_k), f(\lambda^n y_k), f(z_k)] \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{\lambda^{3n}} \theta (\|x_1\|_A^r \cdot \|y_1\|_A^r \cdot \|z_1\|_A^r + \dots + \|x_k\|_A^r \cdot \|y_k\|_A^r \cdot \|z_k\|_A^r) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$. Thus $[H(x), H(y), H(z)] = [H(x), H(y), f(z)]$ for all $x, y, z \in A$. Letting $x = y = x_0$ in the last equality, we get $f(z) = H(z)$ for all $z \in A$. Similarly, one can show that $H(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in A$ when $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n f\left(\frac{x_0}{\lambda^n}\right) = e'$.

Similarly, one can show the theorem for the case $\lambda > 1$. Therefore, the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a multi- C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.10 *Let $r > 1$ and θ be non-negative real numbers and let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping satisfying (18) and (19). If there exist a real number $\lambda > 1$ (resp., $0 < \lambda < 1$) and an element $x_0 \in A$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} f(\lambda^n x_0) = e'$ (resp., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n f\left(\frac{x_0}{\lambda^n}\right) = e'$), then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a multi- C^* -ternary algebra homomorphism.*

Proof The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.9 and we omit it. □

4 Approximation of derivations on multi- C^* -ternary algebras

Throughout this section, assume that A is a C^* -ternary algebra with norm $\| \cdot \|$.

Park [5] studied approximation of derivations on C^* -ternary algebras for the functional equation $C_{\mu}f(x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_d) = 0$ (see also [5, 13, 15–59] and [60]).

For any mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$, let

$$Df(x, y, z) = f([x, y, z]) - [f(x), y, z] - [x, f(y), z] - [x, y, f(z)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$.

Theorem 4.1 ([13]) *Let r and θ be non-negative real numbers such that $r \notin [1, 3]$ and let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping satisfying (19) and*

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \theta (\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Then there exists a unique C^* -ternary derivation $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \delta(x)\| \leq \frac{2^r(p+d)}{|2(p+2d)^r - (p+2d)2^r|} \theta \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in A$.

In the following theorem, we generalize and improve the result in Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.2 *Let $((A^k, \| \cdot \|_k) : k \in \mathbf{N})$ be a multi- C^* -ternary algebra. Let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping for which there are the functions $\varphi : A^{(p+d)k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi : A^{3k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying the inequalities (1), (2), and (4) such that*

$$\|(Df(x_1, y_1, z_1), \dots, Df(x_k, y_k, z_k))\| \leq \psi(x_1, y_1, z_1, \dots, x_k, y_k, z_k) \tag{32}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbf{T}^1$ and $x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd}, x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k \in A$, where $\gamma = \frac{p+2d}{2}$. If the constant $L < 1$ exists such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(\overbrace{\gamma x_1, \dots, \gamma x_1}^{p+d}, \overbrace{\gamma x_2, \dots, \gamma x_2}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{\gamma x_k, \dots, \gamma x_k}^{p+d}) \\ & \leq \gamma L \varphi(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d}) \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in A$, then there exists a unique C^* -ternary derivation $\delta : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(f(x_1) - \delta(x_1), \dots, f(x_k) - \delta(x_k))\|_k \\ & \leq \frac{1}{(1-L)2\gamma} \varphi(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d}) \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$.

Proof The same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 3.3, guarantees there exists a unique \mathbf{C} -linear mapping $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying (32). The mapping $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ is given by

$$\delta(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda^n f)(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^n} f(\gamma^n x) \tag{35}$$

and $\delta(\gamma x) = \gamma \delta(x)$ for all $x \in A$. Also, H is the unique fixed point of Λ in the set $E' = \{g \in E : d(f, g) < \infty\}$ and

$$d(\delta, f) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(\Lambda f, f) \leq \frac{1}{(1-L)2\gamma},$$

i.e., the inequality (6) holds for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$. It follows from the definition of δ , (1) and (2), and (35) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (C_\mu \delta(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}), \dots, C_\mu \delta(x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd})) \right\|_k \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^n} \left\| (C_\mu f(\gamma^n x_{11}, \dots, \gamma^n x_{1p}, \gamma^n y_{11}, \dots, \gamma^n y_{1d}), \dots, \right. \\ & \quad \left. C_\mu f(\gamma^n x_{k1}, \dots, \gamma^n x_{kp}, \gamma^n y_{k1}, \dots, \gamma^n y_{kd})) \right\|_k \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^n} \varphi(\gamma^n x_{11}, \dots, \gamma^n x_{1p}, \gamma^n y_{11}, \dots, \gamma^n y_{1d}, \\ & \quad \dots, \gamma^n x_{k1}, \dots, \gamma^n x_{kp}, \gamma^n y_{k1}, \dots, \gamma^n y_{kd}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbf{T}^1$ and $x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd} \in A$. Hence we have

$$2\delta \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^p \mu x_{ij}}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^d \mu y_{ij} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^p \mu \delta(x_{ij}) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \mu \delta(y_{ij})$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbf{T}^1$, $x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd} \in A$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$ and so $\delta(\lambda x + \mu y) = \lambda \delta(x) + \mu \delta(y)$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{T}^1$ and $x, y \in A$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1, the mapping $\delta : A \rightarrow B$ is \mathbf{C} -linear.

Also it follows from (4) and (32) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (\mathbf{D}\delta(x_1, y_1, z_1), \dots, \mathbf{D}\delta(x_k, y_k, z_k)) \right\|_k \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^{3n}} \left\| f(\mathbf{D}f(\gamma^n x_1, \gamma^n y_1, \gamma^n z_1), \dots, f(\gamma^n x_k, \gamma^n y_k, \gamma^n z_k)) \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^{3n}} \psi(\gamma^n x_1, \gamma^n y_1, \gamma^n z_1, \dots, \gamma^n x_k, \gamma^n y_k, \gamma^n z_k) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, y_1, z_1, \dots, x_k, y_k, z_k \in A$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (\delta([x_1, y_1, z_1]), \dots, \delta([x_k, y_k, z_k])) \\ & \quad + ([\delta(x_1), (y_1), (z_1)] + [x_1, \delta(y_1), z_1] + [x_1, y_1, \delta(z_1)], \\ & \quad \dots, [\delta(x_k), (y_k), (z_k)] + [x_k, \delta(y_k), z_k] + [x_k, y_k, \delta(z_k)]) \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$ and so the mapping $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary derivation. It follows from (32) and (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\delta[x_1, y_1, z_1] - [\delta(x_1), y_1, z_1] - [x_1, \delta(y_1), z_1] - [x, y, f(z_1)], \\ & \quad \dots, \delta[x_k, y_k, z_k] - [\delta(x_k), y_k, z_k] - [x_k, \delta(y_k), z_k] - [x, y, f(z_k)])\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^{2n}} \| (f[\gamma^n x_1, \gamma^n y_1, z_1] - [f(\gamma^n x_1), \gamma^n y_1, z_1] \\ & \quad - [\gamma^n x_1, f(\gamma^n y_1), z_1] - [\gamma^n x_1, \gamma^n y_1, f(z_1)], \\ & \quad \dots, f[\gamma^n x_k, \gamma^n y_k, z_k] - [f(\gamma^n x_k), \gamma^n y_k, z_k] \\ & \quad - [\gamma^n x_k, f(\gamma^n y_k), z_k] - [\gamma^n x_k, \gamma^n y_k, f(z_k)]) \| \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma^{2n}} \psi(\gamma^n x_1, \gamma^n y_1, z_1, \dots, \gamma^n x_k, \gamma^n y_k, z_k) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, y_1, z_1, \dots, x_k, y_k, z_k \in A$ and so we have

$$(\delta[x, y, z]) = [\delta(x), y, z] + [x, \delta(y), z] + [x, y, f(z)] \tag{37}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Hence it follows from (36) and (37) that

$$[x, y, \delta(z)] = [x, y, f(z)] \tag{38}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Letting $x = y = f(z) - \delta(z)$ in (38), we get

$$\|f(z) - \delta(z)\|^3 = \|[f(z) - \delta(z), f(z) - \delta(z), f(z) - \delta(z)]\| = 0 \tag{39}$$

for all $z_1, \dots, z_k \in A$ and hence $f(z) = \delta(z)$ for all $z \in A$. Therefore, the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary derivation. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.3 *Let $r < 1, s < 2$, and θ be non-negative real numbers and let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping satisfying (18) and*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathbf{D}f(x_1, y_1, z_1), \dots, \mathbf{D}f(x_k, y_k, z_k))\| \\ & \leq \theta (\|x_1\|_A^s \cdot \|y_1\|_A^s \cdot \|z_1\|_A^s + \dots + \|x_k\|_A^s \cdot \|y_k\|_A^s \cdot \|z_k\|_A^s) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, y_1, z_1, \dots, x_k, y_k, z_k \in A$. Then the mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ is a C^* -ternary derivation.

Proof Define

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd}) \\ &= \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \|x_{1j}\|_A^r + \sum_{j=1}^d \|y_{1j}\|_A^r, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^p \|x_{kj}\|_A^r + \sum_{j=1}^d \|y_{kj}\|_A^r \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(x_1, y_1, z_1, \dots, x_k, y_k, z_k) \\ &= \theta (\|x_1\|_A^s \cdot \|y_1\|_A^s \cdot \|z_1\|_A^s + \dots + \|x_k\|_A^s \cdot \|y_k\|_A^s \cdot \|z_k\|_A^s) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, y_1, z_1, \dots, x_k, y_k, z_k, x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd} \in A$ and applying Theorem 4.2, we get the desired result. \square

Theorem 4.4 *Let $((A^k, \|\cdot\|_k) : k \in \mathbf{N})$ be a multi- C^* -ternary algebra. Let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping for which there are the functions $\varphi : A^{(p+d)k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi : A^{3k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying the inequalities (2), (11), (12), and (32) for all $\mu \in \mathbf{T}^1$ and $x_{11}, \dots, x_{1p}, y_{11}, \dots, y_{1d}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kp}, y_{k1}, \dots, y_{kd}, x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k \in A$, where $\gamma = \frac{p+2d}{2}$. If there exists the constant $L < 1$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi \left(\overbrace{\frac{x_1}{\gamma}, \dots, \frac{x_1}{\gamma}}^{p+d}, \overbrace{\frac{x_2}{\gamma}, \dots, \frac{x_2}{\gamma}}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{\frac{x_k}{\gamma}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{\gamma}}^{p+d} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{L}{\gamma} \varphi \left(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in A$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (f(x_1) - \delta(x_1), \dots, f(x_k) - \delta(x_k)) \right\|_k \\ & \leq \frac{1}{(1-L)2\gamma} \varphi \left(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^{p+d}, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^{p+d}, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^{p+d} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in A$.

Proof The same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 3.4 guarantees there exists a unique C -linear mapping $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying (32). The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.2 and so we omit it. \square

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors carried out the proof. All authors conceived of the study, and participated in its design and coordination. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹NAAM Research Group, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, 660-701, Saudi Arabia. ²Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Ashrafi Esfahani Ave., Tehran, 14778, Iran. ³School of Mathematics, Statistics and Applied Mathematics, National University of Ireland, Galway, 660-701, Ireland. ⁴Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Iran University of Science and Technology, Tehran, Iran.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the editor for his/her comment.

Received: 26 February 2015 Accepted: 29 June 2015 Published online: 15 July 2015

References

1. Takhtajan, L: On foundation of the generalized Nambu mechanics. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **160**, 295-315 (1994)
2. Abramov, V, Kerner, R, Le Roy, B: Hypersymmetry: a Z_3 graded generalization of supersymmetry. *J. Math. Phys.* **38**, 1650-1669 (1997)
3. Vainerman, L, Kerner, R: On special classes of n -algebras. *J. Math. Phys.* **37**, 2553-2565 (1996)
4. Zettl, H: A characterization of ternary rings of operators. *Adv. Math.* **48**, 117-143 (1983)
5. Park, C: Isomorphisms between C^* -ternary algebras. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **327**, 101-115 (2007)
6. Kerner, R: The cubic chessboard: geometry and physics. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **14**, A203-A225 (1997)
7. Diaz, J, Margolis, B: A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **74**, 305-309 (1968)
8. Dales, HG, Polyakov, ME: Multi-normed spaces and multi-Banach algebras. Preprint

9. Dales, HG, Moslehian, MS: Stability of mappings on multi-normed spaces. *Glasg. Math. J.* **49**, 321-332 (2007)
10. Moslehian, MS, Nikodem, K, Popa, D: Asymptotic aspect of the quadratic functional equation in multi-normed spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **355**, 717-724 (2009)
11. Moslehian, MS: Superstability of higher derivations in multi-Banach algebras. *Tamsui Oxf. J. Math. Sci.* **24**, 417-427 (2008)
12. Dales, HG: *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity*. London Mathematical Society Monographs, New Series, vol. 24. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2000)
13. Park, C: Homomorphisms between Poisson JC^* -algebras. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.* **36**, 79-97 (2005)
14. Cădariu, L, Radu, V: Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **4**, Article ID 4 (2003)
15. Ulam, SM: *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems*. Interscience, New York (1960)
16. Hyers, DH: On the stability of the linear functional equation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **27**, 222-224 (1941)
17. Rassias, TM: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **72**, 297-300 (1978)
18. Aoki, T: On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces. *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **2**, 64-66 (1950)
19. Bourgin, DG: Classes of transformations and bordering transformations. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **57**, 223-237 (1951)
20. Găvruta, P: A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **184**, 431-436 (1994)
21. Agarwal, RP, Cho, YJ, Saadati, R, Wang, S: Nonlinear L -fuzzy stability of cubic functional equations. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2012**, 77 (2012)
22. Baktash, E, Cho, YJ, Jalili, M, Saadati, R, Vaezpour, SM: On the stability of cubic mappings and quadratic mappings in random normed spaces. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2008**, Article ID 902187 (2008)
23. Chahbi, A, Bounader, N: On the generalized stability of d'Alembert functional equation. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **6**(3), 198-204 (2013)
24. Yao, Z: Uniqueness and global exponential stability of almost periodic solution for hematopoiesis model on time scales. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **8**(2), 142-152 (2015)
25. Cho, YJ, Kang, JI, Saadati, R: Fixed points and stability of additive functional equations on the Banach algebras. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **14**, 1103-1111 (2012)
26. Cho, YJ, Kang, SM, Sadaati, R: Nonlinear random stability via fixed-point method. *J. Appl. Math.* **2012**, Article ID 902931 (2012)
27. Wang, Z, Sahoo, PK: Stability of an ACQ-functional equation in various matrix normed spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **8**(1), 64-85 (2015)
28. Cho, YJ, Park, C, Yang, Y-O: Stability of derivations in fuzzy normed algebras. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **8**(1), 1-7 (2015)
29. Raffoul, Y, Unal, M: Stability in nonlinear delay Volterra integro-differential systems. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **7**(6), 422-428 (2014)
30. Shen, Y, Lan, Y: On the general solution of a quadratic functional equation and its Ulam stability in various abstract spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **7**(6), 368-378 (2014)
31. Wang, F, Shen, Y: On the Ulam stability of a quadratic set-valued functional equation. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **7**(5), 359-367 (2014)
32. Chahbi, A, Bounader, N: On the generalized stability of d'Alembert functional equation. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **6**(3), 198-204 (2013)
33. Urs, C: Ulam-Hyers stability for coupled fixed points of contractive type operators. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **6**(2), 124-136 (2013)
34. Mlesnité, O: Existence and Ulam-Hyers stability results for coincidence problems. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **6**(2), 108-116 (2013)
35. Zaharia, C: On the probabilistic stability of the monomial functional equation. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **6**(1), 51-59 (2013)
36. Cădariu, L, Găvruta, L, Găvruta, P: On the stability of an affine functional equation. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **6**(2), 60-67 (2013)
37. Park, C: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach modules. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **275**, 711-720 (2002)
38. Park, C: Modified Trif's functional equations in Banach modules over a C^* -algebra and approximate algebra homomorphisms. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **278**, 93-108 (2003)
39. Park, C: Orthogonal stability of a cubic-quartic functional equation. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **5**(1), 28-36 (2012) (special issue)
40. Park, C: On an approximate automorphism on a C^* -algebra. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **132**, 1739-1745 (2004)
41. Park, C: Lie $*$ -homomorphisms between Lie C^* -algebras and Lie $*$ -derivations on Lie C^* -algebras. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **293**, 419-434 (2004)
42. Park, C: Homomorphisms between Lie JC^* -algebras and Cauchy-Rassias stability of Lie JC^* -algebra derivations. *J. Lie Theory* **15**, 393-414 (2005)
43. Park, C: Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a generalized Euler-Lagrange type additive mapping and isomorphisms between C^* -algebras. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **13**, 619-631 (2006)
44. Park, C: Fixed points and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Cauchy-Jensen functional equations in Banach algebras. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2007**, Article ID 50175 (2007)
45. Park, C: Additive ρ -functional inequalities. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **7**(5), 296-310 (2014)
46. Park, C, Cui, J: Generalized stability of C^* -ternary quadratic mappings. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2007**, Article ID 23282 (2007)
47. Park, C, Hou, J: Homomorphisms between C^* -algebras associated with the Trif functional equation and linear derivations on C^* -algebras. *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **41**, 461-477 (2004)
48. Park, C, Najati, A: Homomorphisms and derivations in C^* -algebras. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2007**, Article ID 80630 (2007)
49. Park, C: Proper CQ^* -ternary algebras. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **7**(4), 278-287 (2014)
50. Rassias, TM: Problem 16; 2, Report of the 27th International Symp. on Functional Equations. *Aequ. Math.* **39**, 292-293, 309 (1990)
51. Rassias, TM: The problem of S.M. Ulam for approximately multiplicative mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **246**, 352-378 (2000)
52. Rassias, TM: On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **251**, 264-284 (2000)

53. Rassias, JM: On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings. *J. Funct. Anal.* **46**, 126-130 (1982)
54. Rassias, JM: On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings. *Bull. Sci. Math.* **108**, 445-446 (1984)
55. Rassias, JM: Solution of a problem of Ulam. *J. Approx. Theory* **57**, 268-273 (1989)
56. Ravi, K, Thandapani, E, Senthil Kumar, BV: Solution and stability of a reciprocal type functional equation in several variables. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **7**(1), 18-27 (2014)
57. Saadati, R, Cho, YJ, Vahidi, J: The stability of the quartic functional equation in various spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **60**, 1994-2002 (2010)
58. Radu, V: The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations. *Fixed Point Theory* **4**, 91-96 (2003)
59. Cădariu, L, Radu, V: On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed point approach. *Grazer Math. Ber.* **346**, 43-52 (2004)
60. Jung, S-M: *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Nonlinear Analysis*. Springer, Berlin (2011)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com
