

RESEARCH

Open Access

# A study on pointwise approximation by double singular integral operators

Gumrah Uysal<sup>1\*</sup>, Mine Menekse Yilmaz<sup>2</sup> and Ertan Ibikli<sup>3</sup>

Dedicated to the memory of Prof. Akif Gadjiev

\*Correspondence:  
guysal@karabuk.edu.tr  
<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science, Karabuk  
University, Karabuk, Turkey  
Full list of author information is  
available at the end of the article

## Abstract

In the present work we prove the pointwise convergence and the rate of pointwise convergence for a family of singular integral operators with radial kernel in two-dimensional setting in the following form:  $L_\lambda(f; x, y) = \iint_D f(t, s) H_\lambda(t - x, s - y) dt ds$ ,  $(x, y) \in D$ , where  $D = \langle a, b \rangle \times \langle c, d \rangle$  is an arbitrary closed, semi-closed or open region in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda$  is a set of non-negative numbers with accumulation point  $\lambda_0$ . Also we provide an example to justify the theoretical results.

**MSC:** Primary 41A35; secondary 41A25

**Keywords:**  $\mu$ -generalized Lebesgue point; radial kernel; rate of convergence; bimonotonicity; bounded bivariate variation

## 1 Introduction

Taberski [1] analyzed both the pointwise convergence of functions in  $L_1(-\pi, \pi)$ , where  $L_1(-\pi, \pi)$  is the collection of all measurable functions  $f$  for which  $|f|$  is integrable on  $(-\pi, \pi)$  and the approximation properties of their derivatives by a two parameter family of convolution type singular integral operators  $U_\lambda(f; x)$  of the form

$$U_\lambda(f; x) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) K_\lambda(t - x) dt, \quad x \in (-\pi, \pi). \quad (1.1)$$

Here,  $K_\lambda(t)$  denotes a kernel fulfilling appropriate conditions with  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda$  is a given set of non-negative numbers with accumulation point  $\lambda_0$ . Following this work, Gadjiev [2] proved the pointwise convergence of operators of type (1.1) at a generalized Lebesgue point and established the pertinent convergence order. Rydzewska [3] extended these results to approximation at a  $\mu$ -generalized Lebesgue point. Karsli and Ibikli [4, 5] proceeded to the study of the more general integral operators defined by

$$T_\lambda(f; x) = \int_a^b f(t) K_\lambda(t - x) dt, \quad x \in \langle a, b \rangle, \lambda \in \Lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.2)$$

with functions in  $L_1\langle a, b \rangle$  where  $\langle a, b \rangle$  is an arbitrary interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  such as  $[a, b]$ ,  $(a, b)$ ,  $[a, b)$  or  $(a, b]$ .

The convergence of the other operators have been studied at characteristic points such as a generalized Lebesgue point,  $m$ -Lebesgue point, and so on, by other workers: a family

of nonlinear singular integral operators [6, 7], a family of nonlinear  $m$ -singular integral operators [8], Fejer-Type singular integrals [9], moment type operators [10], a family of nonlinear Mellin type convolution operators [11], nonlinear integral operators with homogeneous kernels [12] and a family of Mellin type nonlinear  $m$ -singular integral operators [13].

Taberski [14] stepped up his analysis to two-dimensional singular integrals of the form

$$T_\lambda(f; x, y) = \iint_Q f(t, s) K_\lambda(t - x, s - y) dt ds, \quad (x, y) \in Q, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $Q$  denotes a given rectangle. His findings were later used by Siudut [15, 16] rendering significant results. Yilmaz *et al.* [17] replaced  $K_\lambda$  in (1.3) by a radial function  $H_\lambda$  as follows:

$$L_\lambda(f; x, y) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t, s) H_\lambda(t - x, s - y) dt ds, \quad (x, y) \in \langle -\pi, \pi \rangle \times \langle -\pi, \pi \rangle. \quad (1.4)$$

The new operator approaches  $f(x_0, y_0)$  as  $(x, y, \lambda)$  tends to  $(x_0, y_0, \lambda_0)$ . In [18], the function  $f \in L_1(\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle \times \langle -\pi, \pi \rangle)$  became  $f \in L_p(D)$  where  $D = \langle a, b \rangle \times \langle c, d \rangle$  is an arbitrary closed, semi-closed or open region in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

The current manuscript presents a continuation and further generalization of [18]. The main purpose is to investigate the pointwise convergence and the rate of convergence of the operators in the following form:

$$L_\lambda(f; x, y) = \iint_D f(t, s) H_\lambda(t - x, s - y) ds dt, \quad (x, y) \in D, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $D = \langle a, b \rangle \times \langle c, d \rangle$  is an arbitrary closed, semi-closed or open region in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , at a  $\mu$ -generalized Lebesgue point of  $f \in L_1(D)$  as  $(x, y, \lambda) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0, \lambda_0)$ . Here  $L_1(D)$  is the collection of all measurable functions  $f$  for which  $|f|$  is integrable on  $D$  and the kernel function  $H_\lambda(s, t)$  is a radial function. As concerns the study of linear singular operators in several settings, the reader may see also *e.g.* [19–23].

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce the fundamental definitions. In Section 3, we give a theorem concerning the existence of the operator of type (1.5). In Section 4, we prove two theorems about the pointwise convergence of  $L_\lambda(f; x, y)$  to  $f(x_0, y_0)$  whenever  $(x_0, y_0)$  is a  $\mu$ -generalized Lebesgue point of  $f$  in bounded region and unbounded region. In Section 5, we establish the rate of convergence of operators of type (1.5) to  $f(x_0, y_0)$  as  $(x, y, \lambda)$  tends to  $(x_0, y_0, \lambda_0)$  and the paper is ended with an example to support our results.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we introduce the main definitions used in this paper.

**Definition 1** A function  $H \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is said to be radial, if there exists a function  $K : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $H(t, s) = K(\sqrt{t^2 + s^2})$  a.e. [24].

**Definition 2** A point  $(x_0, y_0) \in D$  is called a  $\mu$ -generalized Lebesgue point of function  $f \in L_1(D)$  if

$$\lim_{(h,k) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{1}{\mu_1(h)\mu_2(k)} \int_0^h \int_0^k |f(t + x_0, s + y_0) - f(x_0, y_0)| dt ds = 0,$$

where  $\mu_1(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , absolutely continuous on  $[-\delta_0, \delta_0]$ , increasing on  $[0, \delta_0]$  and  $\mu_1(0) = 0$  and also  $\mu_2(s) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , absolutely continuous on  $[-\delta_0, \delta_0]$ , increasing on  $[0, \delta_0]$  and  $\mu_2(0) = 0$ . Here  $0 < h, k < \delta_0$  [25].

The following two examples are simple applications to a generalized Lebesgue point and  $\mu$ -generalized Lebesgue point of some functions that belong to  $L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

**Example 1** Let  $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by

$$g(t, s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (t, s) = (0, 0), \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{|t|(1+|t|)}\sqrt{|s|(1+|s|)}}, & \text{if } (t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (0, 0). \end{cases}$$

Now, if  $\mu_1(t) = t^{\frac{1}{4}}e^t$  and  $\mu_2(s) = s^{\frac{1}{4}}e^s$ , then the origin is a  $\mu$ -generalized Lebesgue point of  $g \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  but not a generalized Lebesgue point.

**Example 2** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by

$$f(t, s) = \begin{cases} e^{-(t+s)}, & \text{if } (t, s) \in (0, 1] \times (0, 1], \\ 0, & \text{if } (t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (0, 1] \times (0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

If we take  $\mu_1(t) = t^{\frac{1}{4}+1}$  and  $\mu_2(s) = s^{\frac{1}{4}+1}$ , then the origin is a  $\mu$ -generalized Lebesgue point of  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . On the other hand, if we take  $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}$  and  $p = 1$ , then the origin is also a generalized Lebesgue point. Clearly, this example shows that generalized Lebesgue points are also  $\mu$ -generalized Lebesgue points.

**Definition 3** (Class A) Let  $H_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a radial function *i.e.*, there exists a function  $K_\lambda : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that the following equality holds for  $(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  a.e.:

$$H_\lambda(t, s) := K_\lambda(\sqrt{t^2 + s^2}),$$

where  $\Lambda$  is a given set of non-negative numbers with accumulation point  $\lambda_0$ .

$H_\lambda(t, s)$  belongs to class A, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- $H_\lambda(t, s) = K_\lambda(\sqrt{t^2 + s^2})$  is even, non-negative and integrable as a function of  $(s, t)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  for each fixed  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .
- For fixed  $(x_0, y_0) \in D$ ,  $K_\lambda(\sqrt{x_0^2 + y_0^2})$  tends to infinity as  $\lambda$  tends to  $\lambda_0$ .
- $\lim_{(x, y, \lambda) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0, \lambda_0)} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_\lambda(\sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (s-y)^2}) dt ds = 1$ .
- $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} [\sup_{\xi \leq \sqrt{t^2 + s^2}} K_\lambda(\sqrt{t^2 + s^2})] = 0, \forall \xi > 0$ .
- $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \iint_{\xi \leq \sqrt{t^2 + s^2}} K_\lambda(\sqrt{t^2 + s^2}) dt ds = 0, \forall \xi > 0$ .
- $K_\lambda(\sqrt{t^2 + s^2})$  is monotonically increasing with respect to  $t$  on  $(-\infty, 0]$  and similarly  $K_\lambda(\sqrt{t^2 + s^2})$  is monotonically increasing with respect to  $s$  on  $(-\infty, 0]$  for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Analogously,  $K_\lambda(\sqrt{t^2 + s^2})$  is bimonotonically increasing with respect to  $(t, s)$  on  $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$  and  $(-\infty, 0] \times (-\infty, 0]$  and bimonotonically decreasing with respect to  $(t, s)$  on  $[0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0]$  and  $(-\infty, 0] \times [0, \infty)$  for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

Throughout this paper we assume that the kernel  $H_\lambda(t, s)$  belongs to class A.

### 3 Existence of the operator

**Lemma 1** *If  $f \in L_1(D)$ , then the operator  $L_\lambda(f; x, y)$  defines a continuous transformation over  $L_1(D)$  [26].*

### 4 Pointwise convergence

The following theorem gives a pointwise approximation of the integral operators of type (1.5) to the function  $f$  at a  $\mu$ -generalized Lebesgue point of  $f \in L_1(D)$  where  $D = \langle a, b \rangle \times \langle c, d \rangle$  is a bounded region in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , which is closed, semi-closed or open.

**Theorem 1** *If  $(x_0, y_0)$  is a  $\mu$ -generalized Lebesgue point of  $f \in L_1(D)$ , then*

$$\lim_{(x,y,\lambda) \rightarrow (x_0,y_0,\lambda_0)} L_\lambda(f; x, y) = f(x_0, y_0)$$

on any set  $Z$  on which the functions

$$\int_{x_0-\delta}^{x_0+\delta} \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0+\delta} K_\lambda(\sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (s-y)^2}) \left| \left\{ \mu_1(|t-x_0|) \right\}'_t \right| \left| \left\{ \mu_2(|s-y_0|) \right\}'_s \right| dt ds \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$K_\lambda(0)\mu_1(|x-x_0|) \quad \text{and} \quad K_\lambda(0)\mu_2(|y-y_0|) \quad (4.2)$$

are bounded as  $(x, y, \lambda)$  tends to  $(x_0, y_0, \lambda_0)$ .

*Proof* Suppose that  $(x_0, y_0) \in D$  is a  $\mu$ -generalized Lebesgue point of  $f \in L_1(D)$ . Therefore, for all given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $h, k$  satisfying  $0 < h, k \leq \delta$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta} \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0} |f(t, s) - f(x_0, y_0)| dt ds < \varepsilon \mu_1(h) \mu_2(k). \quad (4.3)$$

If we follow the same strategy as used in the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [18], then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |L_\lambda(f; x, y) - f(x_0, y_0)| &\leq \iint_D |f(t, s) - f(x_0, y_0)| K_\lambda(\sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (s-y)^2}) dt ds \\ &\quad + |f(x_0, y_0)| \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_\lambda(\sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (s-y)^2}) dt ds - 1 \right| \\ &\quad + |f(x_0, y_0)| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus D} K_\lambda(\sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (s-y)^2}) dt ds \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

In view of conditions (c) and (d) of class  $A$ ,  $I_2 \rightarrow 0$ , and  $I_3 \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$ , respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \left\{ \iint_{D \setminus B_\delta} + \iint_{B_\delta} \right\} |f(t, s) - f(x_0, y_0)| K_\lambda(\sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (s-y)^2}) dt ds \\ &= I_{11} + I_{12}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $B_\delta := \{(s, t) : (s-x_0)^2 + (t-y_0)^2 < \delta^2, (x_0, y_0) \in D\}$ .

Since  $K_\lambda(\sqrt{t^2 + s^2})$  is monotonically decreasing on  $D \setminus B_\delta$ , the inequality

$$I_{11} \leq K_\lambda((\sqrt{2} - 1)\delta/\sqrt{2})(\|f\|_{L_1(D)} + |f(x_0, y_0)| |b - a| |d - c|)$$

holds. Hence by condition (d) of class  $A$ ,  $I_{11} \rightarrow 0$  as  $(x, y, \lambda) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0, \lambda_0)$ .

Now, we prove that  $I_{12}$  tends to zero as  $(x, y, \lambda)$  tends to  $(x_0, y_0, \lambda_0)$ . It is easy to see that the following inequality holds for  $I_{12}$ , i.e.:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{12} &\leq \left\{ \int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta} \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0} + \int_{x_0-\delta}^{x_0} \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0} \right\} |f(t, s) - f(x_0, y_0)| K_\lambda(\sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (s-y)^2}) dt ds \\ &\quad + \left\{ \int_{x_0-\delta}^{x_0} \int_{y_0}^{y_0+\delta} + \int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta} \int_{y_0}^{y_0+\delta} \right\} |f(t, s) - f(x_0, y_0)| K_\lambda(\sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (s-y)^2}) dt ds \\ &= I_{121} + I_{122} + I_{123} + I_{124}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the integral  $I_{121}$ . In view of (4.3), for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_0+h} \int_{y_0-k}^{y_0} |f(t, s) - f(x_0, y_0)| dt ds < \varepsilon \mu_1(h) \mu_2(k)$$

holds for all  $0 < h, k \leq \delta$ .

Let us define a new function by

$$F(t, s) := \int_{x_0}^t \int_s^{y_0} |f(u, v) - f(x_0, y_0)| du dv. \quad (4.4)$$

For all  $t$  and  $s$  satisfying  $0 < t - x_0 \leq \delta$  and  $0 < y_0 - s \leq \delta$  we have

$$|F(t, s)| \leq \varepsilon \mu_1(t - x_0) \mu_2(y_0 - s). \quad (4.5)$$

In view of (4.4) and (4.5) and applying the method of bivariate integration by parts to  $I_{121}$  (see Theorem 2.2, p.100 in [14]) we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{121} &\leq \varepsilon \int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta} \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0} \mu_1(t - x_0) \mu_2(y_0 - s) |dK_\lambda(\sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (s-y)^2})| \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \mu_2(\delta) \int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta} \mu_1(t - x_0) |dK_\lambda(\sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (y_0 - \delta - y)^2})| \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \mu_1(\delta) \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0} \mu_2(y_0 - s) |dK_\lambda(\sqrt{(x_0 + \delta - x)^2 + (s-y)^2})| \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \mu_1(\delta) \mu_2(\delta) K_\lambda(\sqrt{(x_0 + \delta - x)^2 + (y_0 - \delta - y)^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Let us define the variations:

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(u, v) &:= \begin{cases} \bigvee_u^{x_0+\delta-x} \bigvee_{y_0-\delta-y}^v (K_\lambda(\sqrt{t^2 + s^2})), & x_0 - x \leq u < x_0 + \delta - x, \\ & y_0 - \delta - y < v \leq y_0 - y, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ B_2(u) &:= \begin{cases} \bigvee_u^{x_0+\delta-x} (K_\lambda(\sqrt{t^2 + (y_0 - \delta - y)^2})), & x_0 - x \leq u < x_0 + \delta - x, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$B_3(v) := \begin{cases} \bigvee_{y_0-\delta-y}^v (K_\lambda(\sqrt{(x_0-x+\delta)^2+s^2})), & y_0-\delta-y < v \leq y_0-y, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Taking the above variations into account and applying the method of bivariate integration by parts to the last inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{121} &\leq -\varepsilon \int_{x_0-x}^{x_0-x+\delta} \int_{y_0-y-\delta}^{y_0-y} [B_1(t,s) + B_2(t) + B_3(s) + K_\lambda(\sqrt{(x_0-x+\delta)^2+(y_0-\delta-y)^2})] \\ &\quad \times \{\mu_1(t-x_0+x)\}'_t \{\mu_2(y_0-s-y)\}'_s dt ds \\ &= \varepsilon(i_1 + i_2 + i_3 + i_4). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 1** If the function  $g: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bimonotonic on  $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2] \times [\beta_1, \beta_2] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  then the equality given by

$$V(g; [\alpha_1, \alpha_2] \times [\beta_1, \beta_2]) = \bigvee_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_2} \bigvee_{\beta_1}^{\beta_2} (g(t,s)) = |g(\alpha_1, \beta_1) - g(\alpha_1, \beta_2) - g(\alpha_2, \beta_1) + g(\alpha_2, \beta_2)|$$

holds [14, 27].

Splitting  $i_1$  into two parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} i_1 &= -\left\{ \int_{x_0-x}^{x_0-x+\delta} \int_0^{y_0-y} + \int_{x_0-x}^{x_0-x+\delta} \int_{y_0-y-\delta}^0 \right\} B_1(t,s) \{\mu_1(t-x_0+x)\}'_t \{\mu_2(y_0-s-y)\}'_s dt ds \\ &= i_{11} + i_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Remark 1 and condition (f) of class A, we can write for  $i_{11}$

$$\begin{aligned} i_{11} &= -\int_{x_0-x}^{x_0-x+\delta} \int_0^{y_0-y} \left[ \left\{ \bigvee_t^{x_0+\delta-x} \bigvee_{y_0-\delta-y}^0 + \bigvee_t^{x_0+\delta-x} \bigvee_0^s \right\} K_\lambda(\sqrt{u^2+v^2}) \right] \\ &\quad \times \{\mu_1(t-x_0+x)\}'_t \{\mu_2(y_0-s-y)\}'_s dt ds \\ &= \int_{x_0-x}^{x_0-x+\delta} \int_0^{y_0-y} (K_\lambda(\sqrt{t^2+(y_0-\delta-y)^2}) - K_\lambda(\sqrt{s^2+(x_0+\delta-x)^2}) - 2K_\lambda(|t|) \\ &\quad + K_\lambda(\sqrt{t^2+s^2}) + 2K_\lambda(|x_0+\delta-x|) - K_\lambda(\sqrt{(y_0-\delta-y)^2+(x_0+\delta-x)^2})) \\ &\quad \times \{\mu_1(t-x_0+x)\}'_t \{\mu_2(y_0-s-y)\}'_s dt ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using the same method for  $i_{12}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} i_{12} &= \int_{x_0-x}^{x_0-x+\delta} \int_{y_0-y-\delta}^0 (K_\lambda(\sqrt{t^2+(y_0-\delta-y)^2}) + K_\lambda(\sqrt{s^2+(x_0+\delta-x)^2}) \\ &\quad - K_\lambda(\sqrt{t^2+s^2}) - K_\lambda(\sqrt{(y_0-\delta-y)^2+(x_0+\delta-x)^2})) \\ &\quad \times \{\mu_1(t-x_0+x)\}'_t \{\mu_2(y_0-s-y)\}'_s dt ds. \end{aligned}$$

Making similar calculations for  $i_2$  and  $i_3$  and collecting the obtained terms, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} i_1 + i_2 + i_3 + i_4 = & - \int_{x_0-x}^{x_0-x+\delta} \int_{y_0-y-\delta}^0 K_\lambda(\sqrt{t^2+s^2}) \{\mu_1(t-x_0+x)\}'_t \{\mu_2(y_0-s-y)\}'_s dt ds \\ & + \int_{x_0-x}^{x_0-x+\delta} \int_0^{y_0-y} (K_\lambda(\sqrt{t^2+s^2}) - 2K_\lambda(|t|)) \\ & \times \{\mu_1(t-x_0+x)\}'_t \{\mu_2(y_0-s-y)\}'_s dt ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the following inequality holds for  $I_{121}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} I_{121} \leq & \varepsilon \int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta} \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0} K_\lambda(\sqrt{(t-x)^2+(s-y)^2}) |\{\mu_1(t-x_0)\}'_t| |\{\mu_2(y_0-s)\}'_s| dt ds \\ & + 2\varepsilon K_\lambda(0) \mu_1(\delta) \mu_2(|y_0-y|). \end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument to the evaluation of the integral  $I_{121}$ , we can easily obtain the following inequalities for  $I_{122}$ ,  $I_{123}$ , and  $I_{124}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} I_{122} \leq & \varepsilon \int_{x_0-\delta}^{x_0} \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0} K_\lambda(\sqrt{(t-x)^2+(s-y)^2}) |\{\mu_1(x_0-t)\}'_t| |\{\mu_2(y_0-s)\}'_s| dt ds \\ & + 2\varepsilon K_\lambda(0) (\mu_1(\delta) \mu_2(|y_0-y|) + \mu_2(\delta) \mu_1(|x_0-x|)) \\ & + 4\varepsilon K_\lambda(0) \mu_1(|x_0-x|) \mu_2(|y_0-y|), \\ I_{123} \leq & \varepsilon \int_{x_0-\delta}^{x_0} \int_{y_0}^{y_0+\delta} K_\lambda(\sqrt{(t-x)^2+(s-y)^2}) |\{\mu_1(x_0-t)\}'_t| |\{\mu_2(s-y_0)\}'_s| dt ds \\ & + 2\varepsilon K_\lambda(0) \mu_2(\delta) \mu_1(|x_0-x|), \\ I_{124} \leq & \varepsilon \int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta} \int_{y_0}^{y_0+\delta} K_\lambda(\sqrt{(t-x)^2+(s-y)^2}) |\{\mu_1(t-x_0)\}'_t| |\{\mu_2(s-y_0)\}'_s| dt ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the following inequality is obtained for  $I_{12}$  i.e.:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{12} \leq & \varepsilon \int_{x_0-\delta}^{x_0+\delta} \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0+\delta} K_\lambda(\sqrt{(t-x)^2+(s-y)^2}) |\{\mu_1(|x_0-t|)\}'_t| |\{\mu_2(|y_0-s|)\}'_s| dt ds \\ & + 4\varepsilon K_\lambda(0) (\mu_1(\delta) \mu_2(|y_0-y|) + \mu_2(\delta) \mu_1(|x_0-x|)) \\ & + 4\varepsilon K_\lambda(0) \mu_1(|x_0-x|) \mu_2(|y_0-y|). \end{aligned}$$

The remaining part of the proof is obvious by the hypotheses (4.1) and (4.2). Hence  $I_{12} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$ . Thus the proof is completed.  $\square$

The following theorem gives a pointwise approximation of the integral operators of type (1.5) to the function  $f$  at a  $\mu$ -generalized Lebesgue point of  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

**Theorem 2** Suppose that the hypothesis of Theorem 1 is satisfied for  $D = \mathbb{R}^2$ . If  $(x_0, y_0)$  is a  $\mu$ -generalized Lebesgue point of  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  then

$$\lim_{(x,y,\lambda) \rightarrow (x_0,y_0,\lambda_0)} L_\lambda(f; x, y) = f(x_0, y_0).$$

*Proof* The proof of this theorem is quite similar to the proof of Theorem 4.2 in [18] and thus is omitted.  $\square$

## 5 Rate of convergence

In this section, we give a theorem concerning the rate of pointwise convergence.

**Theorem 3** *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are satisfied. Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\lambda, \delta, x, y) &= \int_{x_0-\delta}^{x_0+\delta} \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0+\delta} K_\lambda(\sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (s-y)^2}) \\ &\quad \times \left| \left\{ \mu_1(|t-x_0|) \right\}'_t \right| \left| \left\{ \mu_2(|s-y_0|) \right\}'_s \right| dt ds \end{aligned}$$

for  $\delta > 0$  and the following assumptions be satisfied:

- (i)  $\Delta(\lambda, \delta, x, y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $(x, y, \lambda) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0, \lambda_0)$  for some  $\delta > 0$ .
- (ii) For every  $\xi > 0$

$$K_\lambda(\xi) = o(\Delta(\lambda, \delta, x, y))$$

$$\text{as } (x, y, \lambda) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0, \lambda_0).$$

- (iii) For every  $\xi > 0$

$$\iint_{\xi \leq \sqrt{s^2+t^2}} K_\lambda(\sqrt{t^2+s^2}) dt ds = o(\Delta(\lambda, \delta, x, y))$$

$$\text{as } (x, y, \lambda) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0, \lambda_0).$$

Then at each  $\mu$ -generalized Lebesgue point of  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  we have as  $(x, y, \lambda) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0, \lambda_0)$

$$|L_\lambda(f; x, y) - f(x_0, y_0)| = o(\Delta(\lambda, \delta, x, y)).$$

*Proof* Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &|L_\lambda(f; x, y) - f(x_0, y_0)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_{x_0-\delta}^{x_0+\delta} \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0+\delta} K_\lambda(\sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (s-y)^2}) \left| \left\{ \mu_1(|x_0-t|) \right\}'_t \right| \left| \left\{ \mu_2(|y_0-s|) \right\}'_s \right| dt ds \\ &\quad + 4\varepsilon K_\lambda(0) (\mu_1(\delta)\mu_2(|y_0-y|) + \mu_2(\delta)\mu_1(|x_0-x|)) \\ &\quad + 4\varepsilon K_\lambda(0) \mu_1(|x_0-x|) \mu_2(|y_0-y|) \\ &\quad + K_\lambda((\sqrt{2}-1)\delta/\sqrt{2}) \|f\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)} + |f(x_0, y_0)| \iint_{(\sqrt{2}-1)\delta/\sqrt{2} \leq \sqrt{s^2+t^2}} K_\lambda(\sqrt{t^2+s^2}) dt ds \\ &\quad + |f(x_0, y_0)| \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_\lambda(\sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (s-y)^2}) dt ds - 1 \right|. \end{aligned}$$

From (i)-(iii) and using conditions of class  $A$ , we have the desired result i.e.,

$$|L_\lambda(f; x, y) - f(x_0, y_0)| = o(\Delta(\lambda, \delta, x, y)).$$

$\square$



**Example 3** Let  $\Lambda = (0, \infty)$ ,  $\lambda_0 = 0$ , and

$$H_\lambda(t, s) = \frac{1}{4\pi\lambda} e^{-\frac{(t^2+s^2)}{4\lambda}}.$$

To verify that  $H_\lambda(t, s)$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 see [15].

Let  $(x_0, y_0) = (0, 0)$ ,  $\mu_1(t) = t$  and  $\mu_2(s) = s$ . Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\lambda, \delta, x, y) &= \int_{-\delta}^{+\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{+\delta} \frac{1}{4\pi\lambda} e^{-\frac{((t-x)^2+(s-y)^2)}{4\lambda}} dt ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \operatorname{Erf}\left(\frac{\delta-x}{2\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) + \operatorname{Erf}\left(\frac{x}{2\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) \right) \left( \operatorname{Erf}\left(\frac{\delta-y}{2\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) + \operatorname{Erf}\left(\frac{y}{2\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In order to find for which  $\delta > 0$  the condition (i) in Theorem 3 is satisfied, let  $\Delta(\lambda, \delta, x, y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $(x, y, \lambda) \rightarrow (0, 0, 0)$ . Hence

$$\lim_{(x,y,\lambda) \rightarrow (0,0,0)} \Delta(\lambda, \delta, x, y) = 0$$

if and only if  $\delta^2 = o(\lambda)$ . Consequently, the following equality holds:

$$\Delta(\lambda, \delta, x, y) = O(\lambda).$$

Finally, in order to get finite limit values from the expressions

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{(x,y,\lambda) \rightarrow (x_0,y_0,\lambda_0)} K_\lambda(0) \mu_1(|x_0 - x|) &= \lim_{(x,y,\lambda) \rightarrow (0,0,0)} \frac{1}{4\pi\lambda} e^{-\frac{(x^2+y^2)}{4\lambda}} |x|, \\ \lim_{(x,y,\lambda) \rightarrow (x_0,y_0,\lambda_0)} K_\lambda(0) \mu_2(|y_0 - y|) &= \lim_{(x,y,\lambda) \rightarrow (0,0,0)} \frac{1}{4\pi\lambda} e^{-\frac{(x^2+y^2)}{4\lambda}} |y|, \end{aligned}$$

the rates of convergence  $\frac{1}{4\pi\lambda} e^{-\frac{(x^2+y^2)}{4\lambda}} \rightarrow \infty$  and  $|x| \rightarrow 0$  and also  $\frac{1}{4\pi\lambda} e^{-\frac{(x^2+y^2)}{4\lambda}} \rightarrow \infty$  and  $|y| \rightarrow 0$  must be equivalent. Note that  $|x| = |y| = O(\lambda)$ .

Hence

$$|L_\lambda(f; x, y) - f(x_0, y_0)| = o(\Delta(\lambda, \delta, x, y)) = o(\lambda).$$

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Karabuk University, Karabuk, Turkey. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Science, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep, Turkey. <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ankara University, Tandoğan, Ankara, Turkey.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions for the improvement of the manuscript.

Received: 28 October 2014 Accepted: 27 February 2015 Published online: 07 March 2015

## References

1. Taberski, R: Singular integrals depending on two parameters. *Roczniki Polskiego towarzystwa matematycznego, Seria I. Prace matematyczne*, VII (1962)
2. Gadjević, AD: The order of convergence of singular integrals which depend on two parameters. In: *Special Problems of Functional Analysis and Their Applications to the Theory of Differential Equations and the Theory of Functions*, pp. 40-44. Izdat. Akad. Nauk Azerbaidžan. SSR., Baku (1968)
3. Rydzewska, B: Approximation des fonctions par des intégrales singulières ordinaires. *Fasc. Math.* **7**, 71-81 (1973)
4. Karsli, H, Ibikli, E: Approximation properties of convolution type singular integral operators depending on two parameters and of their derivatives in  $L_1(a, b)$ . In: *Proc. 16th Int. Conf. Jangjeon Math. Soc.*, vol. 16, pp. 66-76 (2005)
5. Karsli, H, Ibikli, E: On convergence of convolution type singular integral operators depending on two parameters. *Fasc. Math.* **38**, 25-39 (2007)
6. Karsli, H: Convergence and rate of convergence by nonlinear singular integral operators depending on two parameters. *Appl. Anal.* **85**(6-7), 781-791 (2006)
7. Karsli, H: On the approximation properties of a class of convolution type nonlinear singular integral operators. *Georgian Math. J.* **15**(1), 77-86 (2008)
8. Karsli, H: Fatou type convergence of nonlinear  $m$ -singular integral operators. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **246**, 221-228 (2014)
9. Bardaro, C, Gori Cocchieri, C: On the degree of approximation for a class of singular integrals. *Rend. Mat.* **4**(4), 481-490 (1984) (in Italian)
10. Bardaro, C: On approximation properties for some classes of linear operators of convolution type. *Atti Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena* **33**(2), 329-356 (1984)
11. Bardaro, C, Mantellini, I: Pointwise convergence theorems for nonlinear Mellin convolution operators. *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **27**(4), 431-447 (2006)
12. Bardaro, C, Vinti, G, Karsli, H: Nonlinear integral operators with homogeneous kernels: pointwise approximation theorems. *Appl. Anal.* **90**(3-4), 463-474 (2011)
13. Bardaro, C, Karsli, H, Vinti, G: On pointwise convergence of Mellin type nonlinear  $m$ -singular integral operators. *Commun. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **20**(2), 25-39 (2013)
14. Taberski, R: On double integrals and Fourier series. *Ann. Pol. Math.* **15**, 97-115 (1964)
15. Siudut, S: On the convergence of double singular integrals. *Comment. Math. Prace Mat.* **28**(1), 143-146 (1988)
16. Siudut, S: A theorem of Romanovski type for double singular integrals. *Comment. Math. Prace Mat.* **29**, 277-289 (1989)
17. Yilmaz, MM, Serenbay, SK, Ibikli, E: On singular integrals depending on three parameters. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**(3), 1132-1135 (2011)
18. Yilmaz, MM, Uysal, G, Ibikli, E: A note on rate of convergence of double singular integral operators. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2014**, 287 (2014)
19. Angeloni, L, Vinti, G: Convergence and rate of approximation for linear integral operators in  $BV-\varphi$  spaces in multidimensional setting. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **349**, 317-334 (2009)
20. Angeloni, L, Vinti, G: Approximation with respect to Goffman-Serrin variation by means of non-convolution type integral operators. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **31**, 519-548 (2010)
21. Bardaro, C, Karsli, H, Vinti, G: On pointwise convergence of linear integral operators with homogeneous kernel. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **19**(6), 429-439 (2008)
22. Costarelli, D, Vinti, G: Approximation by multivariate generalized sampling Kantorovich operator in the setting of Orlicz spaces. *Boll. UMI* **4**, 445-468 (2011)
23. Vinti, G, Zampogni, L: A unifying approach to convergence of linear sampling type operators in Orlicz spaces. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **16**, 573-600 (2011)
24. Bochner, S, Chandrasekharan, K: *Fourier Transforms*. ix+219 pp. *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, vol. 19. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1949)
25. Serenbay, SK, Dalmanoglu, O, Ibikli, E: On convergence of singular integral operators with radial kernels. In: *Approximation Theory XIV: San Antonio 2013. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics*, vol. 83, pp. 295-308 (2014)
26. Yilmaz, MM: On convergence of singular integral operators depending on three parameters with radial kernels. *Int. J. Math. Anal.* **4**(39), 1923-1928 (2010)
27. Ghorpade, SR, Limaye, BV: *A Course in Multivariable Calculus and Analysis*. xii+475 pp. Springer, New York (2010)

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)