RESEARCH Open Access

How sharp is the Jensen inequality?

Danilo Costarelli¹ and Renato Spigler^{2*}

*Correspondence: spigler@mat.uniroma3.it 2Department of Mathematics and Physics, Roma Tre University, 1, Largo S. Leonardo Murialdo, Roma, 00146, Italy Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

We study how good the Jensen inequality is, that is, the discrepancy between $\int_0^1 \varphi(f(x)) \, dx$, and $\varphi(\int_0^1 f(x) \, dx)$, φ being convex and f(x) a nonnegative L^1 function. Such an estimate can be useful to provide error bounds for certain approximations in L^p , or in Orlicz spaces, where convex modular functionals are often involved. Estimates for the case of C^2 functions, as well as for merely Lipschitz continuous convex functions φ , are established. Some examples are given to illustrate how sharp our results are, and a comparison is made with some other estimates existing in the literature. Finally, some applications involving the Gamma function are obtained.

MSC: 26B25; 39B72

Keywords: Jensen inequality; convex functions; Orlicz spaces; convex modular functionals; Cauchy-Schwarz inequality; Hölder inequality

1 Introduction

The celebrated Jensen inequality,

$$\varphi\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx\right) \le \int_{0}^{1} \varphi(f(x)) dx,\tag{1}$$

valid for every real-valued convex function $\varphi: I \to \mathbf{R}$, where I is a connected bounded set in \mathbf{R} , and for every real-valued nonnegative function $f: [0,1] \to I$, $f \in L^1(0,1)$, plays an important role in convex analysis. It was established by the Danish mathematician JLWV Jensen in [1], and it is important in convex analysis [2, 3], since it can be used to generalize the triangle inequality. In addition, the Jensen inequality is an important tool in L^p -spaces and in connection to modular and Orlicz spaces; see, e.g., [4, 5]. In particular, Orlicz spaces are often generated by convex φ -functions [6], and the convexity allows one to prove several important properties for such spaces.

Inequality (1) is written on the interval [0,1], but a more general version, on arbitrary intervals, can be promptly obtained by a linear change of the independent variable,

$$\varphi\left(\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx\right) \le \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \varphi\left((b-a)f(x)\right) dx. \tag{2}$$

Other forms, pertaining to discrete sums, probability, and other fields, are found in the literature; see, e.g., [2, 3, 7-9].

Inequality (1) reduces to an equality whenever either (i) φ is affine, or (ii) f(x) is a constant. In this paper, we assume that φ is *strictly* convex on I. Since (1) and (2) reduce to



equalities when φ is affine, we expect that the discrepancy between the two sides of such inequalities depends on the departure of φ from the affine behavior. Such a departure should be measured somehow.

It is a natural question to ask *how sharp* the Jensen inequality can be, which amounts to estimating the difference

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(f(x)) dx - \varphi\left(\int_0^1 f(x) dx\right). \tag{3}$$

Estimates for such a quantity can be useful to determine the degree of accuracy in the approximation by families of linear as well as nonlinear integral operators, in various settings, such as in the case of L^p convergence (see, *e.g.*, [10]), or in the more general case of modular convergence in Orlicz spaces; see, *e.g.*, [6, 11–15].

In this paper, we derive several estimates for (3), and we will consider the case of convex functions which are in the C^2 class, as well as when they are merely Lipschitz continuous. We will also provide a few examples for the purpose of illustration. Moreover, we compared our estimates with the bounds derived from some other results, already known in the literature, in order to test the quality of our estimates. Some applications involving the Gamma function are also made.

2 Some estimates

A first estimate for (3) can be established as follows. Assume, for simplicity, that φ is smooth, say a C^2 function. We then expand $\varphi(f(x))$ around any given value of f(x), say $f(x_0) = c$, which can be chosen arbitrarily in the domain I of φ , such that $f(x_0) \in I^\circ$, *i.e.*, $c = f(x_0)$ is an inner point of I. Thus,

$$\varphi(f(x)) = \varphi(c) + \varphi'(c)[f(x) - c] + \frac{1}{2}\varphi''(c^*(x))[f(x) - c]^2,$$

where $c^*(x)$ is a suitable value between f(x) and $f(x_0) = c$, hence a function of x. Then we have

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(f(x)) dx = \varphi(c) + \varphi'(c) \int_0^1 [f(x) - c] dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \varphi''(c^*(x)) [f(x) - c]^2 dx,$$

while

$$\varphi\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \, dx\right)$$

$$= \varphi(c) + \varphi'(c) \left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \, dx - c\right) + \frac{1}{2} \varphi''(c^{**}) \left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \, dx - c\right)^{2},$$

where c^{**} is a suitable number between c and $\int_0^1 f(x) dx$. Therefore,

$$0 \le \int_0^1 \varphi(f(x)) dx - \varphi\left(\int_0^1 f(x) dx\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \varphi''(c^*(x)) [f(x) - c]^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \varphi''(c^{**}) \left(\int_0^1 f(x) dx - c\right)^2. \tag{4}$$

Thus, we obtain immediately

$$0 \leq \int_{0}^{1} \varphi(f(x)) dx - \varphi\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi''\|_{L^{\infty}(I_{2})} \cdot \|f - c\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi''\|_{L^{\infty}(I_{2})} \cdot \|f - c\|_{L^{1}}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi''\|_{L^{\infty}(I_{2})} \cdot \left[\|f - c\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|f - c\|_{L^{1}}^{2} \right], \tag{5}$$

where I_2 denotes the domain of φ'' .

In the special case of $\varphi \in C^2$, the 'second mean-value theorem for integrals' can be used to write

$$\int_{0}^{1} \varphi''(c^{*}(x)) [f(x) - c]^{2} dx = \varphi''(\tilde{c}) \int_{0}^{1} [f(x) - c]^{2} dx, \tag{6}$$

for a suitable $\tilde{c} \in I_2 \subseteq I$, I_2 denoting the domain of φ'' . Then, if more, φ'' is also *Lipschitz* continuous with a Lipschitz constant $L_{\varphi''}$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \varphi''(\tilde{c}) \int_{0}^{1} (f(x) - c)^{2} dx - \varphi''(c^{**}) \left[\left(\int_{0}^{1} (f(x) - c) dx \right)^{2} - \int_{0}^{1} (f(x) - c)^{2} dx \right] \right.$$

$$- \varphi''(c^{**}) \int_{0}^{1} (f(x) - c)^{2} dx \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left[\varphi''(\tilde{c}) - \varphi''(c^{**}) \right] \int_{0}^{1} (f(x) - c)^{2} dx \right.$$

$$+ \varphi''(c^{**}) \left[\int_{0}^{1} (f(x) - c)^{2} dx - \left(\int_{0}^{1} (f(x) - c) dx \right)^{2} \right] \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left| \varphi''(\tilde{c}) - \varphi''(c^{**}) \right| \int_{0}^{1} (f(x) - c)^{2} dx + \sup_{I_{2}} \varphi'' \cdot \left[\left\| f - c \right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \left\| f - c \right\|_{L^{1}}^{2} \right] \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} L_{\varphi''} \left| \tilde{c} - c^{**} \right| \cdot \left\| f - c \right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{I_{2}} \varphi'' \left[\left\| f - c \right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \left\| f - c \right\|_{L^{1}}^{2} \right]. \tag{7}$$

Recall that $\varphi''(x) > 0$ for every $x \in I_2$, being φ convex on I. The estimate in (7) is quite elegant but seems to be not very useful in practice, since it depends on some unknowns constants, such as \tilde{c} and c^{**} . However, $|\tilde{c} - c^{**}| \le |I_2| \le |I|$.

On the other hand, we can also write, from (5) and (6),

$$0 \leq \int_{0}^{1} \varphi(f(x)) dx - \varphi\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi''\|_{L^{\infty}(D)} \cdot \|f - c\|_{L^{2}}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \inf_{I_{2}} \varphi'' \left[\int_{0}^{1} (f(x) - c) dx\right]^{2}.$$
 (8)

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, instead, we have from (4)

$$0 \leq \int_{0}^{1} \varphi(f(x)) dx - \varphi\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \langle (\varphi'' \circ c^{*})(\cdot), (f(\cdot) - c)^{2} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi''\|_{L^{\infty}(I_{2})} \cdot \|f - c\|_{L^{1}}^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi'' \circ c^*\|_{L^2} \|(f - c)^2\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi''\|_{L^{\infty}(I_2)} \|f - c\|_{L^1}^2
= \frac{1}{2} [\|\varphi'' \circ c^*\|_{L^2} \cdot \|f - c\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\varphi''\|_{L^{\infty}(I_2)} \|f - c\|_{L^1}^2].$$
(9)

Now,

$$\left\|\varphi''\circ c^*\right\|_{L^2} \equiv \left\|\varphi''\left(c^*(\cdot)\right)\right\|_{L^2}^2 \equiv \left\|\varphi''\left(c^*(\cdot)\right)\right\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2.$$

In this case, we should have $c^*(x) \in I_2 \subseteq I$, for every $x \in [0,1]$, but this is obviously true whenever $I_2 = I$ and $\varphi \in C^2(I)$. Hence,

$$\int_{0}^{1} \left[\varphi'' \left(c^{*}(x) \right) \right]^{2} dx \leq \int_{I_{2}} \left[\varphi''(x) \right]^{2} dx \equiv \left\| \varphi'' \right\|_{L^{2}(I_{2})}^{2},$$

so that

$$0 \leq \int_{0}^{1} \varphi(f(x)) dx - \varphi\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\|\varphi''\|_{L^{2}(I_{2})} \|f(\cdot) - c\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\varphi''\|_{L^{\infty}(I_{2})} \|f(\cdot) - c\|_{L^{1}}^{2} \right]. \tag{10}$$

Clearly, one may try to optimize (*i.e.*, to minimize) the right-hand side of each estimate, suitably choosing the value of the constant c, which is only constrained to belong to the range of f(x).

Remark 2.1 Note that the estimate (10) can be generalized by using the Hölder inequality in place of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. We recall that, for every $0 \le p, q \le +\infty$, with 1/p + 1/q = 1 and for every measurable real- or complex-valued functions $f \in L^p$ and $g \in L^q$, the relation

$$\int_{D} |f(t)g(t)| dt \equiv ||fg||_{L^{1}} \le ||f||_{p} ||g||_{q}$$

holds. If $\varphi'' \circ c^* \in L^p$ and $f \in L^{2q}$, we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{1} \varphi''(c^{*}(x)) [f(x) - c]^{2} dx \equiv \| (\varphi'' \circ c^{*}) \cdot [f(\cdot) - c]^{2} \|_{L^{1}} \leq \| \varphi'' \circ c^{*} \|_{L^{p}} \cdot \| (f - c)^{2} \|_{L^{q}}$$

$$= \| \varphi'' \circ f^{*} \|_{L^{p}} \cdot \| f - c \|_{L^{2q}}^{2}.$$

From (4) and by the Hölder inequality, we have

$$0 \leq \int_{0}^{1} \varphi(f(x)) dx - \varphi\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi'' \circ c^{*}\|_{L^{p}} \|(f - c)^{2}\|_{L^{q}} + \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi''\|_{L^{\infty}(I_{2})} \|f - c\|_{L^{1}}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} [\|\varphi'' \circ c^{*}\|_{L^{p}} \cdot \|f - c\|_{L^{2q}}^{2} + \|\varphi''\|_{L^{\infty}(I_{2})} \|f - c\|_{L^{1}}^{2}]. \tag{11}$$

Now, observing that

$$\|\varphi'' \circ c^*\|_{L^p}^p = \int_0^1 \left[\varphi''(c^*(x))\right]^p dx \le \int_{I_2} \left[\varphi''(x)\right]^p dx \equiv \|\varphi''\|_{L^p(I_2)}^p,$$

and assuming, as before, that $c^*(x) \in I_2 \subseteq I$ for every $x \in [0,1]$, unless $I_2 = I$, we obtain, finally,

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq \int_{0}^{1} \varphi \big(f(x) \big) \, dx - \varphi \bigg(\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \, dx \bigg) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \big[\left\| \varphi'' \right\|_{L^{p}(I_{2})} \cdot \left\| f - c \right\|_{L^{2q}}^{2} + \left\| \varphi'' \right\|_{L^{\infty}(I_{2})} \left\| f - c \right\|_{L^{1}}^{2} \big]. \end{split}$$

Another estimate can be established under weaker conditions on φ . When φ is (strictly convex but) just Lipschitz continuous on the bounded subsets of the real line, $J \subseteq \mathbf{R}$, with the Lipschitz constant L_{φ} , observing that L_{φ} depends on J, we have in (3)

$$0 \leq \int_{0}^{1} \varphi(f(x)) dx - \varphi\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx\right) = \int_{0}^{1} \varphi(f(x)) dx - \int_{0}^{1} \varphi\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx\right) dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \left[\varphi(f(t)) - \varphi\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx\right)\right] dt \leq L_{\varphi} \int_{0}^{1} \left|f(t) - \left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx\right)\right| dt$$

$$\equiv L_{\varphi} \left\|f - \|f\|_{L^{1}}\right\|_{L^{1}}.$$
(12)

3 Examples

It is easy to provide some simple examples to show how sharp the Jensen inequality can be in practice.

Example 3.1 Let now be $\varphi(y) = -\sin \pi y$ and $f(x) = x^2$. Then

$$-\int_0^1 \sin(\pi x^2) dx$$

is not elementary, but we can use the Fresnel integral $S(x) := \int_0^x \sin(\frac{\pi}{2}t^2) dt$; see [16]. Setting $x = t/\sqrt{2}$, we obtain $S(\sqrt{2}) \approx 0.7139$, and hence

$$\int_0^1 \sin(\pi x^2) dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^{\sqrt{2}} \sin(\frac{\pi t^2}{2}) dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} S(\sqrt{2}) \approx 0.50480,$$

while

$$\sin\left(\pi \int_{0}^{1} x^{2} dx\right) = \sin\frac{\pi}{3} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \approx 0.8660.$$

Thus, the true discrepancy between the two sides of the Jensen inequality is

$$E_1 := -\int_0^1 \sin(\pi x^2) \, dx + \sin\left(\pi \int_0^1 x^2 \, dx\right) \approx -0.50480 + 0.8660 \approx 0.3612,$$

while inequality (5) would read

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \varphi'' \right\|_{L^{\infty}} \cdot \left[\left\| f - c \right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \left\| f - c \right\|_{L^{1}}^{2} \right] &= \frac{\pi^{2}}{2} \left[c^{2} - \frac{2}{3}c + \frac{1}{5} + \left(\frac{4}{3}c^{3/2} - c + 1/3 \right)^{2} \right] \\ &=: \frac{\pi^{2}}{2} g(c), \end{split}$$

and hence, since the function g(c) reaches its minimum at $c \approx 0.31$ (evaluated with the help of Maple), we have $E_1 \leq \frac{\pi^2}{2} g(0.31) \approx 1.3627...$

Moreover, estimating E_1 using inequality (8), and observing that $\inf_{x \in [0,1]} |\varphi''(x)| = 0$, we obtain

$$E_1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi''\|_{L^{\infty}} \cdot \|f - c\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{\pi^2}{2} \left(c^2 - \frac{2}{3}c + \frac{1}{5} \right) =: \frac{\pi^2}{2} \cdot h(c).$$

Since h(c) attains its minimum on [0,1] at $c \approx 0.33$, we finally obtain

$$E_1 \le (\pi^2/2) \cdot 0.0889 \approx 0.43870...$$

Such a value is very close to the true discrepancy, E_1 . Clearly, it is evident that under the C^2 regularity assumption on the convex function φ , the estimate in (8) turns out to be much better than the estimate in (5). Indeed, the relative errors inherent to the estimates in (8) and (5) are, respectively the 21% and 277%.

Remark 3.2 Generally speaking, using a kind of Cauchy mean-value theorem, some bounds could be established for the error made using the Jensen inequality; see, *e.g.*, [17, 18]. In particular, from Theorem 6 of [18], the following estimate can easily be proved:

$$\int_{0}^{1} \varphi(f(x)) dx - \varphi\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx\right) \le \frac{1}{2} \sup_{I} |\varphi''| \left[\int_{0}^{1} f^{2}(x) dx - \left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx\right)^{2}\right], \tag{13}$$

where $\varphi \in C^2(I)$. This inequality can be compared with that in (8). In particular, in the case of Example 3.1, estimating E_1 using (13) we obtain $E_1 \leq \pi^2 \cdot (2/45) \approx 0.4386...$, which is essentially the same value obtained using the estimate in (8). Therefore, our estimate (8) provides a result comparable to that given by (13). Yet, these two results have been obtained by different methods.

Example 3.3 Consider the case of a nonsmooth convex functions φ , say, $\varphi(x) := |x - (1/2)|^p$ on [0,1], with $1 \le p < +\infty$. Here, only the estimate in (12) can be used to estimate how sharp the Jensen inequality might be. Choosing the function f(x) = x, we obtain the discrepancy

$$E_{2} := \int_{0}^{1} \left| x - \frac{1}{2} \right|^{2p} dx - \left| \int_{0}^{1} x \, dx - \frac{1}{2} \right|^{2p}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \left| x - \frac{1}{2} \right|^{2p} dx = \frac{1}{(2p+1)4^{p}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{2p+1},$$

while we have from (12) $E_2 \le p/4$.

We stress that in this case, the inequalities showed in (5), (8), and (13) *cannot* be applied to the functions involved in Example 3.3, since now $\varphi(x)$ is *not* a C^2 , but it is merely Lipschitz continuous.

Example 3.4 The Gamma function, Γ , is known to be strictly convex on the real positive halfline. Keep in mind that it attains its minimum at $x_0 \approx 1.4616$, with $\Gamma(x_0) \approx 0.8856$; see [19, 20].

Consider $\varphi(y) := \Gamma(y)$, and f(x) := x + 1 for $0 \le x \le 1$. Thus we have, by the Jensen inequality,

$$\Gamma\left(\int_0^1 (x+1)\,dx\right) \le \int_0^1 \Gamma(x+1)\,dx,$$

i.e.,

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \le \int_0^1 \Gamma(x+1) \, dx \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \le \int_1^2 \Gamma(x) \, dx.$$

Therefore, the 'true' discrepancy between the two sides of such inequality is

$$E_3 := \int_1^2 \Gamma(x) \, dx - \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2},\tag{14}$$

which can be evaluated by numerical integration. Using, e.g., the Simpson rule, we have

$$\int_{1}^{2} \Gamma(x) dx \approx \frac{1}{6} \left[\Gamma(1) + 4\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) + \Gamma(2) \right] = \frac{1 + \sqrt{\pi}}{3} \approx 0.92415,$$

hence

$$E_3 = \int_1^2 \Gamma(x) \, dx - \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{6} \approx 0.0379. \tag{15}$$

Now we wish to test our estimates, evaluating the right-hand sides of (5), (10), and (12). Recall that c should belong to [1, 2], and it an easy though lengthy argument to show that the minimum values are attained for c = 3/2, resulting in

$$||f(\cdot) - 3/2||_{L^1} = \frac{1}{4}, \qquad (||f(\cdot) - 3/2||_{L^2})^2 = \frac{1}{12}, \qquad (||f(\cdot) - 3/2||_{L^4})^4 = \frac{1}{80}.$$

To estimate $\Gamma''(x+1)$, we need to estimate Γ , $\psi := \Gamma'/\Gamma$, and ψ' , since from the definition of $\psi := \Gamma'/\Gamma$ follows that $\psi' := \Gamma''/\Gamma - (\Gamma'/\Gamma)^2$, and hence

$$\varphi''(x) = \Gamma''(x+1) = \Gamma(x+1) \big[\psi'(x+1) + \psi^2(x+1) \big].$$

Now, for $0 \le x \le 1$, $1 \le \Gamma(x+1) \le \Gamma(x_0) \approx 0.8856$, while $\psi(1) = -\gamma \le \psi(x) \le \psi(2) = 1 - \gamma$; see [19]. Here, $\gamma = 0.57721...$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Thus, $0 \le \psi(x) \le \max\{\gamma, 1 - \gamma\} = \gamma$. Moreover, it is well known that $\psi'(x)$ is monotone decreasing for x > 0

(see the representations of ψ and its derivatives ([19], Section 6.4.1, p.260)); hence, in particular, $\psi'(2) \leq \psi(x) \leq \psi'(1)$, and $\psi'(1) = \pi^2/6$ and $\psi'(2) = \pi^2/6 - 1$. Therefore, we have $\|\varphi''\|_{L^{\infty}(0,1)} \leq \pi^2/6 + \gamma^2 \approx 1.9776$, and finally

$$E_3 \le \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi''\|_{L^{\infty}(0,1)} \left[\left(\|f(\cdot) - 3/2\|_{L^2} \right)^2 + \left(\|f(\cdot) - 3/2\|_{L^1} \right)^2 \right] \approx 0.1442. \tag{16}$$

This numerical value should be compared with the 'true' discrepancy computed in (15) above, which is about 0.0379. Therefore, the estimate in (5) provides a relative error of 280%, which is similar to that computed in Example 3.1.

However, we can do better, using the estimate which exploits the value of $\inf \varphi''$, *i.e.*, the estimate in (8). We have in fact, for $0 \le x \le 1$,

$$\varphi''(x) = \Gamma(x+1) \{ \psi'(x+1) + \psi^2(x+1) \} \ge \Gamma(x_0) \psi'(2) \approx 0.5711, \tag{17}$$

hence the estimate

$$E_3 \le \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi''\|_{L^{\infty}(0,1)} \cdot (\|f(\cdot) - 3/2\|_{L^2})^2 - \frac{1}{2} \inf \varphi''(x) \cdot (\|f(\cdot) - 3/2\|_{L^1})^2 \approx 0.0645, \quad (18)$$

which definitely represents an appreciable improvement with respect to the previous estimate. This is in agreement with what was observed in Example 3.1. At this point, we can also test the estimate in (9), which involves the L^4 norm of f(x) - 3/2. We have

$$(\|\varphi''\|_{L^{2}(0,1)})^{2} = \int_{1}^{2} (\Gamma''(t))^{2} dt = \int_{1}^{2} (\Gamma(t) [\psi'(t) + \psi^{2}(t)])^{2} dt$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} + \gamma^{2}\right)^{2} \approx 3.9109,$$

and $||f(\cdot) - 3/2||_{L^4}^4 = 1/80$, so that we will have

$$E_3 \le \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} + \gamma^2 \right) \left\{ \left(\left\| f(\cdot) - 3/2 \right\|_{L^4} \right)^8 + \left(\left\| f(\cdot) - 3/2 \right\|_{L^1} \right)^2 \right\} \approx 0.0618, \tag{19}$$

only slightly better than the previous one (where we obtained about 0.0645), the 'true' discrepancy being about 0.032.

In closing, we observe that, in Example 3.4, the estimate in (13) yields the result $E_3 \le 0.0824$, which is clearly worse than that obtained from (8). In this case, we are able to improve the estimate given by (13), which was derived from [18].

4 Final remarks and conclusions

The purpose of this paper is to establish estimates concerning the Jensen inequality, which involve convex functions. Such estimates can be useful in many instances, such as, *e.g.*, modular estimates in Orlicz spaces, or L^p -estimates for linear and nonlinear integral operators. For instance, the convex function can be $\varphi(x) := |x|^p$ with $p \ge 1$, namely the convex ' φ -function' used of the general theory of Orlicz spaces, used to generate the L^p -spaces; see, *e.g.*, [4, 5]. Thus, the previous estimates, aimed at assessing how sharp the Jensen

inequality might be, can be used to obtain estimates for the L^p -norms of certain given functions.

Besides, one can consider the same functions φ with p < 0, for instance, $\varphi(x) := x^{-1/2}$, or $\varphi(x) := x^{-1}$, which are smooth and convex on every interval [a,b] with $0 < a < b \le +\infty$. Estimates have been derived for both, smooth and Lipschitz continuous convex φ . They depend, respectively, on the uniform norm of the φ'' and on the Lipschitz constant of φ , as well as on the L^1 and L^2 norms of the function f involved in the inequality. From the numerical experiments it is clear that, in general, the estimate in (8) is sharper than the other ones established in this paper. Moreover, the estimate in (8) improves that in (13), established in [18], when the function φ is a C^2 convex function. Finally, we stress the usefulness of estimates (12), which allows one to obtain a rough estimate for the error made using the Jensen inequality when φ is merely Lipschitz continuous. Clearly, a major advantage will occur when the C^2 -assumption of φ is not satisfied.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no financial or other competing interests.

Authors' contributions

Both authors, DC and RS, contributed substantially to this paper, participated in drafting and checking the manuscript and have approved the version to be published.

Author details

¹Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, University of Perugia, 1, Via Vanvitelli, Perugia, 06123, Italy. ²Department of Mathematics and Physics, Roma Tre University, 1, Largo S. Leonardo Murialdo, Roma, 00146, Italy.

Acknowledgements

This work was accomplished within the GNFM and GNAMPA research groups of the Italian INdAM.

Received: 8 October 2014 Accepted: 6 February 2015 Published online: 24 February 2015

References

- 1. Jensen, JLWV: Sur les fonctions convexes et les inégalités entre les valeurs moyennes. Acta Math. **30**(1), 175-193
- 2. Kuczma, M: An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities: Cauchy's Equation and Jensen's Inequality. Birkhaüser, Basel (2008)
- 3. Mukhopadhyay, N: On sharp Jensen's inequality and some unusual applications. Commun. Stat., Theory Methods 40, 1283-1297 (2011)
- 4. Musielak, J: Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1034. Springer, Berlin (1983)
- 5. Musielak, J, Orlicz, W: On modular spaces. Stud. Math. 28, 49-65 (1959)
- 6. Bardaro, C, Musielak, J, Vinti, G: Nonlinear Integral Operators and Applications. de Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, vol. 9. de Gruyter, Berlin (2003)
- Dragomir, SS, Ionescu, NM: Some converse of Jensen's inequality and applications. Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx. 23, 71-78 (1994)
- 8. Dragomir, SS, Pečarić, J, Persson, LE: Properties of some functionals related to Jensen's inequality. Acta Math. Hung. **69**(4), 129-143 (1995)
- 9. Dragomir, SS: Bounds for the normalized Jensen functional. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 74(3), 471-478 (2006)
- Costarelli, D, Spigler, R: Convergence of a family of neural network operators of the Kantorovich type. J. Approx. Theory 185, 80-90 (2014)
- 11. Costarelli, D, Vinti, G: Approximation by multivariate generalized sampling Kantorovich operators in the setting of Orlicz spaces. Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. (9) IV, 445-468 (2011); Special volume dedicated to Prof. Giovanni Prodi
- 12. Costarelli, D, Vinti, G: Approximation by nonlinear multivariate sampling Kantorovich type operators and applications to image processing. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 34(8), 819-844 (2013)
- Costarelli, D, Vinti, G: Order of approximation for nonlinear sampling Kantorovich operators in Orlicz spaces. Comment. Math. 53(2), 271-292 (2013); Special volume dedicated to Prof. Julian Musielak
- Costarelli, D, Vinti, G: Order of approximation for sampling Kantorovich operators. J. Integral Equ. Appl. 26(3), 345-368
 (2014)
- 15. Cluni, F, Costarelli, D, Minotti, AM, Vinti, G: Applications of sampling Kantorovich operators to thermographic images for seismic engineering. J. Comput. Anal. Appl. 19(4), 602-617 (2015)
- van Wijngaarden, A, Scheen, WL: Table of Fresnel integrals. http://www.dwc.knaw.nl/DL/publications/PU00011466.pdf
- 17. Mercer, AMcD: Some new inequalities involving elementary mean values. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **229**, 677-681 (1999)
- Pečarić, JE, Perić, I, Srivastava, HM: A family of the Cauchy type mean-value theorems. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 306, 730-739 (2005)

- Abramowitz, M, Stegun, IA (eds.): Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables. National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series, vol. 55. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington (1964)
- 20. Olver, FWJ, Lozier, DW, Boisvert, RF, Clark, CW (eds.): NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Immediate publication on acceptance
- \blacktriangleright Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com