

RESEARCH

Open Access

Fixed point theory in partial metric spaces via φ -fixed point's concept in metric spaces

Mohamed Jleli¹, Bessem Samet^{1*} and Calogero Vetro²

*Correspondence:
bsamet@ksu.edu.sa
¹Department of Mathematics,
College of Science, King Saud
University, P.O. Box 2455, Riyadh,
11451, Saudi Arabia
Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article

Abstract

Let X be a non-empty set. We say that an element $x \in X$ is a φ -fixed point of T , where $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$, if x is a fixed point of T and $\varphi(x) = 0$. In this paper, we establish some existence results of φ -fixed points for various classes of operators in the case, where X is endowed with a metric d . The obtained results are used to deduce some fixed point theorems in the case where X is endowed with a partial metric p .

MSC: 54H25; 47H10

Keywords: φ -fixed point; fixed point; metric space; partial metric space

1 Introduction and preliminaries

In 1994, Matthews [1] introduced the concept of partial metric spaces as a part of the study of denotational semantics of dataflow networks and showed that the Banach contraction principle can be generalized to the partial metric context for applications in program verification.

We start by recalling some basic definitions and properties of partial metric spaces (see [1, 2] for more details).

A partial metric on a non-empty set X is a function $p : X \rightarrow X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$, we have

$$(P1) \quad p(x, x) = p(y, y) = p(x, y) \Leftrightarrow x = y;$$

$$(P2) \quad p(x, x) \leq p(x, y);$$

$$(P3) \quad p(x, y) = p(y, x);$$

$$(P4) \quad p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y) - p(z, z).$$

A partial metric space is a pair (X, p) such that X is a non-empty set and p is a partial metric on X . It is clear that, if $p(x, y) = 0$, then from (P1) and (P2), $x = y$; but if $x = y$, $p(x, y)$ may not be 0. A basic example of a partial metric space is the pair $([0, \infty), p)$, where $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$. Other examples of partial metric spaces which are interesting from a computational point of view may be found in [1].

Each partial metric p on X generates a T_0 topology τ_p on X which has as a base the family of open p -balls $\{B_p(x, \varepsilon) : x \in X, \varepsilon > 0\}$, where

$$B_p(x, \varepsilon) := \{y \in X : p(x, y) < p(x, x) + \varepsilon\}.$$

Let (X, p) be a partial metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ converges to some $x \in X$ with respect to p if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x) = p(x, x).$$

A sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence if and only if $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m)$ exists and is finite. The partial metric space (X, p) is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to some $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) = p(x, x)$.

If p is a partial metric on X , then the function $d_p : X \rightarrow X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$d_p(x, y) = 2p(x, y) - p(x, x) - p(y, y), \quad (x, y) \in X^2 \tag{1}$$

is a metric on X .

Lemma 1.1 *Let (X, p) be a partial metric space. Then*

- (i) $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, p) if and only if $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the metric space (X, d_p) ;
- (ii) the partial metric space (X, p) is complete if and only if the metric space (X, d_p) is complete.

Recently, many works on fixed point theory in the partial metric context have been published. For more details, we refer to [2–22]. On the other hand, Haghi *et al.* [10] observed that some fixed point theorems for certain classes of operators can be deduced easily from the same theorems in metric spaces. The idea presented in [10] is interesting, however it cannot be applied for a large class of operators, as, for example, in the case of an implicit contraction.

In [23], Rus presented three interesting open problems in the context of a complete partial metric space (X, p) .

Problem 1. If $T : (X, p) \rightarrow (X, p)$ is an operator satisfying a certain contractive condition with respect to p , which condition satisfies T with respect to the metric d_p defined by (1)?

Problem 2. The problem is to give fixed point theorems for these new classes of operators on a metric space.

Problem 3. Use the results for the above problems to give fixed point theorems in a partial metric space.

In [18], Samet answered to the above problems by considering Boyd-Wong contraction mappings. Other types of contractions were considered in [11, 19].

In this paper, we introduce the concept of a φ -fixed point, and we establish some φ -fixed point results for various classes of operators defined on a metric space (X, d) . The obtained results are then used to obtain some fixed point theorems, in the case where X is endowed with a partial metric p .

2 φ -Fixed point results

Let (X, d) be a metric space, $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a given function, and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be an operator.

We denote by

$$T^0 := 1_X, \quad T^1 := T, \quad T^{n+1} := T \circ T^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

the iterate operators of T . The set of all fixed points of the operator T will be denoted by

$$F_T := \{x \in X : Tx = x\}.$$

The set of all zeros of the function φ will be denoted by

$$Z_\varphi := \{x \in X : \varphi(x) = 0\}.$$

We introduce the notion of φ -fixed point as follows.

Definition 2.1 An element $z \in X$ is said to be a φ -fixed point of the operator T if and only if $z \in F_T \cap Z_\varphi$.

Definition 2.2 We say that the operator T is a φ -Picard operator if and only if

- (i) $F_T \cap Z_\varphi = \{z\}$;
- (ii) $T^n x \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $x \in X$.

Definition 2.3 We say that the operator T is a weakly φ -Picard operator if and only if

- (i) $F_T \cap Z_\varphi \neq \emptyset$;
- (ii) the sequence $\{T^n x\}$ converges for each $x \in X$, and the limit is a φ -fixed point of T .

We denote by \mathcal{F} the set of functions $F : [0, \infty)^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (F1) $\max\{a, b\} \leq F(a, b, c)$, for all $a, b, c \in [0, \infty)$;
- (F2) $F(0, 0, 0) = 0$;
- (F3) F is continuous.

As examples, the following functions belong to \mathcal{F} :

- (i) $F(a, b, c) = a + b + c$;
- (ii) $F(a, b, c) = \max\{a, b\} + c$;
- (iii) $F(a, b, c) = a + a^2 + b + c$.

In this section, we study the existence and uniqueness of φ -fixed points for various classes of operators.

2.1 (F, φ) -Contraction mappings

Definition 2.4 Let (X, d) be a metric space, $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a given function, and $F \in \mathcal{F}$. We say that the operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ is an (F, φ) -contraction with respect to the metric d if and only if

$$F(d(Tx, Ty), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(Ty)) \leq kF(d(x, y), \varphi(x), \varphi(y)), \quad (x, y) \in X^2, \quad (2)$$

for some constant $k \in (0, 1)$.

Our first main result is the following.

Theorem 2.1 Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a given function, and $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

- (H1) φ is lower semi-continuous;
- (H2) $T : X \rightarrow X$ is an (F, φ) -contraction with respect to the metric d .

Then

- (i) $F_T \subseteq Z_\varphi$;
- (ii) T is a φ -Picard operator;
- (iii) for all $x \in X$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$d(T^n x, z) \leq \frac{k^n}{1-k} F(d(Tx, x), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(x)),$$

where $\{z\} = F_T \cap Z_\varphi = F_T$.

Proof Suppose that $\xi \in X$ is a fixed point of T . Applying (2) with $x = y = \xi$, we obtain

$$F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)) \leq kF(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)),$$

which implies (since $k \in (0, 1)$) that

$$F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)) = 0. \tag{3}$$

On the other hand, from (F1), we have

$$\varphi(\xi) \leq F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)). \tag{4}$$

Using (3) and (4), we obtain $\varphi(\xi) = 0$, which proves (i).

Let $x \in X$ be an arbitrary point. Using (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &F(d(T^{n+1}x, T^n x), \varphi(T^{n+1}x), \varphi(T^n x)) \\ &\leq kF(d(T^n x, T^{n-1}x), \varphi(T^n x), \varphi(T^{n-1}x)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we obtain easily

$$F(d(T^{n+1}x, T^n x), \varphi(T^{n+1}x), \varphi(T^n x)) \leq k^n F(d(Tx, x), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(x)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

which implies by property (F1) that

$$\max\{d(T^{n+1}x, T^n x), \varphi(T^{n+1}x)\} \leq k^n F(d(Tx, x), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(x)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \tag{5}$$

From (5), we have

$$d(T^{n+1}x, T^n x) \leq k^n F(d(Tx, x), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(x)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

which implies (since $k \in (0, 1)$) that $\{T^n x\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since (X, d) is complete, there is some $z \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^n x, z) = 0. \tag{6}$$

Now, we shall prove that z is a φ -fixed point of T . Observe that from (5), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(T^{n+1}x) = 0. \tag{7}$$

Since φ is lower semi-continuous, from (6) and (7), we obtain

$$\varphi(z) = 0. \tag{8}$$

Using (2), we have

$$F(d(T^{n+1}x, Tz), \varphi(T^{n+1}x), \varphi(Tz)) \leq kF(d(T^n x, z), \varphi(T^n x), \varphi(z)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, using (6), (7), (8), (F2), and the continuity of F , we get

$$F(d(z, Tz), 0, \varphi(Tz)) \leq kF(0, 0, 0) = 0,$$

which implies from condition (F1) that

$$d(z, Tz) = 0. \tag{9}$$

It follows from (8) and (9) that z is a φ -fixed point of T .

Suppose now that $z' \in X$ is another φ -fixed point of T . Applying (2) with $x = z$ and $y = z'$, we obtain

$$F(d(z, z'), 0, 0) \leq kF(d(z, z'), 0, 0),$$

which implies that $d(z, z') = 0$, that is, $z = z'$. So we get (ii).

Finally, using (5) and the triangle inequality, we get

$$d(T^n x, T^{n+m} x) \leq \frac{k^n(1 - k^m)}{1 - k} F(d(Tx, x), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(x)), \quad n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, from (6), we obtain

$$d(T^n x, z) \leq \frac{k^n}{1 - k} F(d(Tx, x), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(x)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

which proves (iii). □

2.2 Graphic (F, φ) -contraction mappings

Definition 2.5 Let (X, d) be a metric space, $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a given function, and $F \in \mathcal{F}$. We say that the operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a graphic (F, φ) -contraction with respect to the metric d if and only if

$$F(d(T^2x, Tx), \varphi(T^2x), \varphi(Tx)) \leq kF(d(Tx, x), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(x)), \quad x \in X, \tag{10}$$

for some constant $k \in (0, 1)$.

Theorem 2.2 Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a given function, and $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(H1) φ is lower semi-continuous;

(H2) $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a graphic (F, φ) -contraction with respect to the metric d ;

(H3) T is continuous.

Then

- (i) $F_T \subseteq Z_\varphi$;
- (ii) T is a weakly φ -Picard operator;
- (iii) for all $x \in X$, if $T^n x \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$d(T^n x, z) \leq \frac{k^n}{1-k} F(d(Tx, x), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(x)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof Suppose that $\xi \in X$ is a fixed point of T . Applying (10) with $x = \xi$, we obtain

$$F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)) \leq kF(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)),$$

which implies (since $k \in (0, 1)$) that

$$F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)) = 0. \tag{11}$$

On the other hand, from (F1), we have

$$\varphi(\xi) \leq F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)). \tag{12}$$

Using (11) and (12), we obtain $\varphi(\xi) = 0$, which proves (i).

Let $x \in X$ be an arbitrary point. Using (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &F(d(T^{n+1}x, T^n x), \varphi(T^{n+1}x), \varphi(T^n x)) \\ &\leq kF(d(T^n x, T^{n-1}x), \varphi(T^n x), \varphi(T^{n-1}x)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we obtain easily

$$F(d(T^{n+1}x, T^n x), \varphi(T^{n+1}x), \varphi(T^n x)) \leq k^n F(d(Tx, x), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(x)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

which implies by property (F1) that

$$\max\{d(T^{n+1}x, T^n x), \varphi(T^{n+1}x)\} \leq k^n F(d(Tx, x), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(x)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \tag{13}$$

From (13), we have

$$d(T^{n+1}x, T^n x) \leq k^n F(d(Tx, x), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(x)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

which implies that $\{T^n x\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since (X, d) is complete, there is some $z \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^n x, z) = 0. \tag{14}$$

Now, we shall prove that z is a φ -fixed point of T . Observe that from (13), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(T^{n+1}x) = 0. \tag{15}$$

Since φ is lower semi-continuous, from (14) and (15), we obtain $\varphi(z) = 0$. On the other hand, using the continuity of T and (14), we get $z = Tz$. Then z is a φ -fixed point of T . So T is a weakly φ -Picard operator.

Finally, the proof of (iii) follows by using similar arguments as in the proof of (ii), Theorem 2.1. \square

2.3 (F, φ) -Weak contraction mappings

Definition 2.6 Let (X, d) be a metric space, $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a given function, and $F \in \mathcal{F}$. We say that the operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ is an (F, φ) -weak contraction with respect to the metric d if and only if

$$F(d(Tx, Ty), \varphi(Tx), \varphi(Ty)) \leq kF(d(x, y), \varphi(x), \varphi(y)) + L(F(d(y, Tx), \varphi(y), \varphi(Tx)) - F(0, \varphi(y), \varphi(Tx))), \quad (16)$$

for all $(x, y) \in X^2$, for some constants $k \in (0, 1)$ and $L \geq 0$.

For this class of operators, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.3 Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a given function, and $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(H1) φ is lower semi-continuous;

(H2) $T : X \rightarrow X$ is an (F, φ) -weak contraction with respect to the metric d .

Then

- (i) $F_T \subseteq Z_\varphi$;
- (ii) T is a weakly φ -Picard operator;
- (iii) for all $x \in X$, if $T^n x \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$d(T^n x, z) \leq \frac{k^n}{1-k} F(d(x, Tx), \varphi(x), \varphi(Tx)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof Let $\xi \in X$ be a fixed point of T . Applying (16) with $x = y = \xi$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)) &\leq kF(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)) \\ &\quad + L(F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)) - F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi))) \\ &= kF(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $F(0, \varphi(\xi), \varphi(\xi)) = 0$. Using property (F1), we obtain $\varphi(\xi) = 0$, that is, $\xi \in Z_\varphi$. Then (i) is proved.

Let $x \in X$ be an arbitrary point. Applying (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &F(d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x), \varphi(T^n x), \varphi(T^{n+1} x)) \\ &\leq kF(d(T^{n-1} x, T^n x), \varphi(T^{n-1} x), \varphi(T^n x)) \\ &\quad + L(F(0, \varphi(T^n x), \varphi(T^n x)) - F(0, \varphi(T^n x), \varphi(T^n x))) \\ &= kF(d(T^{n-1} x, T^n x), \varphi(T^{n-1} x), \varphi(T^n x)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we get

$$F(d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x), \varphi(T^n x), \varphi(T^{n+1} x)) \leq k^n F(d(x, Tx), \varphi(x), \varphi(Tx)), \quad n \geq 0.$$

The rest of the proof follows using similar arguments to the proof of Theorem 2.2. \square

3 Links with partial metric spaces

From the previous obtained results in metric spaces, we deduce in this section some fixed point theorems in partial metric spaces; see also [21].

We start by the Matthews fixed point theorem [1].

Corollary 3.1 *Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that*

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq kp(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in X^2,$$

for some constant $k \in (0, 1)$. Then T has a unique fixed point $z \in X$. Moreover, we have $p(z, z) = 0$.

Proof Consider the metric d_p on X defined by (1) and the function $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by $\varphi(x) = p(x, x)$. Applying Theorem 2.1 with $F(a, b, c) = a + b + c$, and using Lemma 1.1, we obtain the desired result. \square

Similarly, from Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.2 *Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that*

$$p(T^2x, Tx) \leq kp(Tx, x), \quad x \in X,$$

for some constant $k \in (0, 1)$. Then T has a fixed point $z \in X$. Moreover, we have $p(z, z) = 0$.

Finally, from Theorem 2.3, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.3 *Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that*

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq kp(x, y) + L \left(p(y, Tx) - \frac{p(y, y) + p(Tx, Tx)}{2} \right), \quad (x, y) \in X^2,$$

for some constants $k \in (0, 1)$ and $L \geq 0$. Then T has a fixed point $z \in X$. Moreover, we have $p(z, z) = 0$.

Observe that if p is a metric on X , we obtain from Corollary 3.3 the Berinde fixed point theorem for (k, L) -weak contractions [24].

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, College of Science, King Saud University, P.O. Box 2455, Riyadh, 11451, Saudi Arabia.

²Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università degli Studi di Palermo, via Archirafi 34, Palermo, 90123, Italy.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to extend their sincere appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University for its funding of this research through the International Research Group Project No. IRG14-04.

Received: 29 May 2014 Accepted: 14 October 2014 Published: 30 Oct 2014

References

1. Matthews, SG: Partial metric topology. In: Proceedings of the 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, vol. 728, pp. 183-197 (1994)
2. Oltra, S, Valero, O: Banach's fixed point theorem for partial metric spaces. *Rend. Ist. Mat. Univ. Trieste* **36**, 17-26 (2004)
3. Abdeljawad, T, Karapinar, E, Taş, K: A generalized contraction principle with control functions on partial metric spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **63**(3), 716-719 (2012)
4. Altun, I, Acar, O: Fixed point theorems for weak contractions in the sense of Berinde on partial metric spaces. *Topol. Appl.* **159**, 2642-2648 (2012)
5. Altun, I, Erduran, A: Fixed point theorems for monotone mappings on partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2011**, Article ID 508730 (2011)
6. Altun, I, Sola, F, Simsek, H: Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces. *Topol. Appl.* **157**(18), 2778-2785 (2010)
7. Argarwal, RP, Alghamdi, MA, Shahzad, N: Fixed point theory for cyclic generalized contractions in partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2012**, 40 (2012)
8. Aydi, H, Abbas, M, Vetro, C: Partial Hausdorff metric and Nadler's fixed point theorem on partial metric spaces. *Topol. Appl.* **159**, 3234-3242 (2012)
9. Ćirić, L, Samet, B, Aydi, H, Vetro, C: Common fixed points of generalized contractions on partial metric spaces and an application. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**, 2398-2406 (2011)
10. Haghi, RH, Rezapour, S, Shahzad, N: Be careful on partial metric fixed point results. *Topol. Appl.* **160**(3), 450-454 (2013)
11. Jleli, M, Karapinar, E, Samet, B: Further remarks on fixed point theorems in the context of partial metric spaces. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2013**, Article ID 715456 (2013). doi:10.1155/2013/715456
12. Karapinar, E: Weak ϕ -contraction on partial metric spaces and existence of fixed points in partially ordered sets. *Math. Aeterna* **1**, 237-244 (2011)
13. Karapinar, E, Erhan, IM: Fixed point theorems for operators on partial metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24**, 1894-1899 (2011)
14. Kumam, P, Vetro, C, Vetro, F: Fixed points for weak α - ψ -contractions in partial metric spaces. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2013**, Article ID 986028 (2013). doi:10.1155/2013/986028
15. Paesano, D, Vetro, P: Suzuki's type characterizations of completeness for partial metric spaces and fixed points for partially ordered metric spaces. *Topol. Appl.* **159**(3), 911-920 (2012)
16. Romaguera, S: Matkowski's type theorems for generalized contractions on (ordered) partial metric spaces. *Appl. Gen. Topol.* **12**, 213-220 (2011)
17. Romaguera, S: Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions on partial metric spaces. *Topol. Appl.* **159**(1), 194-199 (2012)
18. Samet, B: Existence and uniqueness of solutions to a system of functional equations and applications to partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory* **14**(2), 473-481 (2013)
19. Samet, B, Vetro, C, Vetro, F: From metric spaces to partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, 5 (2013). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-5
20. Shahzad, N, Valero, O: On 0-complete partial metric spaces and quantitative fixed point techniques in denotational semantics. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2013**, Article ID 985095 (2013)
21. Vetro, C, Vetro, F: Metric or partial metric spaces endowed with a finite number of graphs: a tool to obtain fixed point results. *Topol. Appl.* **164**, 125-137 (2014)
22. Vetro, F, Radenović, S: Nonlinear ψ -quasi-contractions of Ćirić-type in partial metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **219**, 1594-1600 (2012)
23. Rus, IA: Fixed point theory in partial metric spaces. *An. Univ. Vest. Timiș., Ser. Mat.-Inform.* **46**(2), 141-160 (2008)
24. Berinde, V: Approximating fixed points of weak contractions using the Picard iteration. *Nonlinear Anal. Forum* **9**(1), 43-53 (2004)

10.1186/1029-242X-2014-426

Cite this article as: Jleli et al.: Fixed point theory in partial metric spaces via φ -fixed point's concept in metric spaces. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2014, **2014**:426