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## Abstract

In this paper, we consider a complex $q$-Baskakov-Stancu operator and study some approximation properties. We give a quantitative estimate of the convergence, Voronovskaja-type result and exact order of approximation in compact disks.
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## 1 Introduction

Recently complex approximation operators have been studied intensively. For this approach, we refer to the book of Gal [1], where he considers approximation properties of several complex operators such as Bernstein, $q$-Bernstein, Favard-Szasz-Mirakjan, Baskakov and some others. Also we refer to the useful book of Aral, Gupta and Agarwal [2] who consider many applications of $q$-calculus in approximation theory. Now, for the construction of the new operators, we give some notations on $q$-analysis [3, 4].
Let $q>0$. The $q$-integer $[n]$ and the $q$-factorial [ $n$ ]! are defined by

$$
[n]:=[n]_{q}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1-q^{n}}{1-q}, & q \neq 1, \\
n, & q=1
\end{array} \quad \text { for } n \in \mathbb{N}\right.
$$

and

$$
[n]!:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
{[1]_{q}[2]_{q} \cdots[n]_{q},} & n=1,2, \ldots, \\
1, & n=0
\end{array} \quad \text { for } n \in \mathbb{N} \text { and }[0]!=1,\right.
$$

respectively. For integers $n \geq r \geq 0$, the $q$-binomial coefficient is defined as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
r
\end{array}\right]_{q}=\frac{[n]_{q}!}{[r]_{q}![n-r]_{q}!} .
$$

The $q$-derivative of $f(z)$ is denoted by $D_{q} f(z)$ and defined as

$$
D_{q} f(z):=\frac{f(q z)-f(z)}{(q-1) z}, \quad z \neq 0, \quad D_{q} f(0)=f^{\prime}(0),
$$

[^0]also
$$
D_{q}^{0} f:=f, \quad D_{q}^{n} f:=D_{q}\left(D_{q}^{n-1} f\right), \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$
$q$-Pochhammer formula is given by
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x, q)_{0}=1, \\
& (x, q)_{n}=\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(1-q^{k} x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

with $x \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$. The $q$-derivative of the product and the quotient of two functions $f$ and $g$ are

$$
D_{q}(f(z) g(z))=f(z) D_{q}(g(z))+g(q z) D_{q}(f(z))
$$

and

$$
D_{q}\left(\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}\right)=\frac{g(z) D_{q}(f(z))-f(z) D_{q}(g(z))}{g(z) g(q z)},
$$

respectively (see in [3]). Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; f \cdot g\right]=\sum_{i=0}^{m}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i} ; f\right]\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; g\right] \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; f\right]$ denotes the divided difference of the function $f$ on the knots $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ (see [4] also [5]).

In [6], Aral and Gupta constructed the $q$-Baskakov operator as

$$
Z_{n}^{q}(f)(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n+k-1 \\
k
\end{array}\right] q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} z^{k}(-x, q)_{n+k}^{-1} f\left(\frac{[k]}{q^{k-1}[n]}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

where $x \geq 0, q>0$ and $f$ is a real-valued continuous function on $[0, \infty)$. The authors studied the rate of convergence in a polynomial weighted norm and gave a theorem related to monotonic convergence of the sequence of operators with respect to $n$. Not only they proved a kind of monotonicity by means of $q$-derivative but also they expressed the operator in terms of divided differences as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n, q}(f)(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{[n+j-1]!}{[n-1]!} q^{\frac{-j(j-1)}{2}}\left[0, \frac{1}{[n]}, \frac{[2]}{q[n]}, \ldots, \frac{[j]}{q^{j-1}[n]} ; f\right] \frac{x^{j}}{[n]^{j}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$n \in \mathbb{N}$, similar to the case of classical Baskakov operators in the sense of Lupaş in [7]. That is to say, $Z_{n}^{q}(f)(x)=W_{n, q}(f)(x)$ for $x \geq 0$ and $q>0$, so they proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[0, \frac{1}{[n]}, \frac{[2]}{q[n]}, \ldots, \frac{[j]}{q^{j-1}[n]} ; f\right]=\frac{q^{j(j-1)} \nabla_{q}^{j} f(0)}{[j]!}[n]^{j}=\frac{f^{(j)}(\zeta)}{j!}, \quad \zeta \in\left(0, \frac{[j]}{q^{j-1}[n]}\right), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla_{q}^{r}$ stands for $q$-divided differences given by $\nabla_{q}^{0} f\left(x_{j}\right)$,

$$
\nabla_{q}^{r+1} f\left(x_{j}\right)=q^{r} \nabla_{q}^{r} f\left(x_{j+1}\right)-\nabla_{q}^{r} f\left(x_{j}\right)
$$

for $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$.
A different type of the $q$-Baskakov operator was also given by Aral and Gupta in [8]. In [9] Finta and Gupta studied the $q$-Baskakov operator $Z_{n}^{q}(f)(x)$ for $0<q<1$. Using the second-order Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness, they gave direct estimates. They also introduced the limit $q$-Baskakov operator.
In [10] Gupta and Radu introduced a $q$-analogue of Baskakov-Kantorovich operators and studied weighted statistical approximation properties of them for $0<q<1$. They also obtained some direct estimations for error with the help of weighted modulus of smoothness. Moreover, Durrmeyer-type modifications of $q$-Baskakov operators were studied in [11] and [12]. In [13], Söylemez, Tunca and Aral defined a complex form of $q$-Baskakov operators by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n, q}(f)(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{[n+j-1]!}{[n-1]!} q^{\frac{-j(j-1)}{2}}\left[0, \frac{1}{[n]}, \frac{[2]}{q[n]}, \ldots, \frac{[j]}{q^{j-1}[n]} ; f\right] \frac{z^{j}}{[n]^{j}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $q>1, f: \bar{D}_{R} \cup[R, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, replacing $x$ by $z$ in the operator $W_{n, q}(f)(x)$ given by (1.2). They obtained a quantitative estimate for simultaneous approximation, Voronovskajatype result and degree of simultaneous approximation in compact disks.
In recent years, a Stancu-type generalization of the operators has been studied. Büyükyazıcı and Atakut considered a Stancu-type generalization of the real Baskakov operators in [14]. Also in [15], $q$-Baskakov-Beta-Stancu operators were introduced. In [16] GuptaVerma studied the Stancu-type generalization of complex Favard-Szasz-Mirakjan operators and established some approximation results in the complex domain. In [17] Gal, Gupta, Verma and Agrawal introduced complex Baskakov-Stancu operators and studied Voronovskaja-type results with quantitative estimates for these operators attached to analytic functions on compact disks.
Now we define a new type of the complex $q$-Baskakov-Stancu operator

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)(z)= & \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{[n+j-1]!}{[n-1]!} q^{\frac{-j(j-1)}{2}} \\
& \times\left[\frac{[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]}, \frac{[\alpha]+[1]}{[n]+[\beta]}, \ldots, \frac{q^{j-1}[\alpha]+[j]}{q^{j-1}([n]+[\beta])} ; f\right] \frac{z^{j}}{([n]+[\beta]) j^{\prime}} \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$; for $j=0$, we take $[n][n+1] \cdots[n+j-1]=1$. We suppose that $f$ is analytic on the disk $|z|<R, R>1$ and has exponential growth in the compact disk with all derivatives bounded in $[0, \infty)$ by the same constant.
Note that taking $\alpha=\beta=0, W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)(z)$ reduces to the complex $q$-Baskakov operator $W_{n, q}(f)(z)$ given in (1.4).
In this work, for such $f$ and $q>1$, we study some approximation properties of the complex $q$-Baskakov-Stancu operator which is defined by forward differences.

## 2 Auxiliary results

In this section, we give some results which we shall use in the proof of theorems.

Lemma 1 Let us define $e_{k}(z)=z^{k}, T_{n, k}^{\alpha, \beta}(z):=W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}\left(e_{k}\right)(z)$, and $\mathbb{N}^{0}$ denotes the set of all nonnegative integers. Then, for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}^{0}, 0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we have the following recurrence formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n, k+1}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)=\frac{q z\left(1+\frac{z}{q}\right)}{[n]+[\beta]} D_{q} T_{n, k}^{\alpha, \beta}\left(\frac{z}{q}\right)+\frac{[n] z+[\alpha]}{([n]+[\beta])} T_{n, k}^{\alpha, \beta}(z) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
T_{n, 1}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)=\frac{[n] z+[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]}, \quad T_{n, 2}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)=\frac{z\left(1+\frac{z}{q}\right)}{[n]+[\beta]} \frac{[n]}{[n]+[\beta]}+\left(\frac{[n] z+[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]}\right)^{2}
$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof Now we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{n, k}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)= & \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{[n][n+1] \cdots[n+j-1]}{([n]+[\beta])^{j}} q^{-\frac{-j(j-1)}{2}} \\
& \times\left[\frac{[\alpha]}{([n]+[\beta])}, \ldots, \frac{q^{j-1}[\alpha]+[j]}{q^{j-1}([n]+[\beta])} ; e_{k}\right] z^{j} . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Using relation (1.1) and taking $f=e_{k}, g=e_{1}$ and $x_{j}=\frac{q^{j-1}[\alpha]+[j]}{q^{j-1}([n]+[\beta])}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]}, \ldots, \frac{q^{j-1}[\alpha]+[j]}{q^{j-1}([n]+[\beta])} ; e_{k+1}\right]} \\
& \quad=\frac{q^{j-1}[\alpha]+[j]}{q^{j-1}([n]+[\beta])}\left[\frac{[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]}, \ldots, \frac{q^{j-1}[\alpha]+[j]}{q^{j-1}([n]+[\beta])} ; e_{k}\right] \\
& \quad+\left[\frac{[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]}, \ldots, \frac{q^{j-2}[\alpha]+[j-1]}{q^{j-2}([n]+[\beta])} ; e_{k}\right], \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

using this in $T_{n, k+1}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)$ we reach

$$
T_{n, k+1}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)=\frac{q z\left(1+\frac{z}{q}\right)}{[n]+[\beta]} D_{q} T_{n, k}^{\alpha, \beta}\left(\frac{z}{q}\right)+\frac{[n] z+[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]} T_{n, k}^{\alpha, \beta}(z) .
$$

Lemma 2 Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ satisfy $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$. Denoting $e_{j}(z)=z^{j}$ and $W_{n, q}^{0,0}\left(e_{j}\right)$ by $W_{n, q}\left(e_{j}\right)$ given in (1.4), for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}^{0}$, we have the following recursive relation for the images of monomials $e_{k}$ under $W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}$ in terms of $W_{n, q}\left(e_{j}\right), j=0,1, \ldots, k$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n, k}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{k}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}[\alpha]^{k-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}} W_{n, q}\left(e_{j}, z\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof We can use mathematical induction with respect to $k$. For $k=0$, equality (2.4) holds. Let it be true for $k=m$, namely

$$
T_{n, m}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{m}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}[\alpha]^{m-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{m}} W_{n, q}\left(e_{j}, z\right) .
$$

Using (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n, m+1}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)= & \frac{q z\left(1+\frac{z}{q}\right)}{[n]+[\beta]} \sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{m}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}[\alpha]^{m-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{m}} D_{q} W_{n, q}\left(e_{j}, \frac{z}{q}\right) \\
& +\frac{[n] z+[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]} \sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{m}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}[\alpha]^{m-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{m}} W_{n, q}\left(e_{j}, z\right) \\
= & \sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{m}{j} \frac{[n]^{j+1}[\alpha]^{m-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{m+1}} \\
& \times\left[\frac{q z\left(1+\frac{z}{q}\right)}{[n]} D_{q} W_{n, q}\left(e_{j}, \frac{z}{q}\right)+\frac{[n] z+[\alpha]}{[n]} W_{n, q}\left(e_{j}, z\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account the recurrence relation for the complex $q$-Baskakov operator in Lemma 2 in [13], we get

$$
W_{n, q}\left(e_{j+1}, z\right)=\frac{q z\left(1+\frac{z}{q}\right)}{[n]} D_{q} W_{n, q}\left(e_{j}, \frac{z}{q}\right)+z W_{n, q}\left(e_{j}, z\right),
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n, m+1}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)= & \sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{m}{j} \frac{[n]^{j+1}[\alpha]^{m-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{m+1}}\left[W_{n, q}\left(e_{j+1}, z\right)+\frac{[\alpha]}{[n]} W_{n, q}\left(e_{j}, z\right)\right] \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{m}\binom{m}{j-1} \frac{[n]^{j}[\alpha]^{m-j+1}}{([n]+[\beta])^{m+1}} W_{n, q}\left(e_{j}, z\right) \\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{m}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}[\alpha]^{m-j+1}}{([n]+[\beta])^{m+1}} W_{n, q}\left(e_{j}, z\right) \\
= & \sum_{j=0}^{m+1}\binom{m+1}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}[\alpha]^{m-j+1}}{([n]+[\beta])^{m+1}} W_{n, q}\left(e_{j}, z\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the lemma.

## 3 Approximation by a complex $q$-Baskakov-Stancu operator

In this section, we give quantitative estimates concerning approximation with the following theorem.

Theorem 1 For $1<R<\infty$, let

$$
f: \bar{D}_{R} \cup[R, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

be a function with all its derivatives bounded in $[0, \infty)$ by the same positive constant, analytic in $D_{R}$, namely $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k}$ for all $z \in D_{R}$ and suppose that there exist $M>0$ and $A \in\left(\frac{1}{R}, 1\right)$, with the property $\left|c_{k}\right| \leq \frac{M A^{k}}{k!}$ for all $k=0,1, \ldots$ (which implies $|f(z)| \leq M e^{A|z|}$ for all $z \in D_{R}$ ).
Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta, q>1$ and $1 \leq r<\frac{1}{A}$ be arbitrary but fixed. Then, for all $|z| \leq r$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)(z)-f(z)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{M_{1, r}(f)}{[n]+[\beta]}+\frac{[\beta]}{[n]+[\beta]} M_{2, r}(f)+\frac{[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]} M_{3, r}(f) \\
& \quad=M_{r, \alpha, \beta}(f)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1, r}(f)=6 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right|(k+1)!(k-1) r^{k}<\infty, \\
& M_{2, r}(f)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right| k r^{k}<\infty, \quad M_{3, r}(f)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right| k r^{k-1}<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof Using (2.1), one can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n, k}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)-z^{k}= & \frac{q z\left(1+\frac{z}{q}\right)}{[n]+[\beta]} D_{q}\left(T_{n, k-1}^{\alpha, \beta}\left(\frac{z}{q}\right)\right)+\frac{[n] z+[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]}\left(T_{n, k-1}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)-z^{k-1}\right) \\
& +\frac{[n] z+[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]} z^{k-1}-z^{k} \\
= & \frac{z\left(1+\frac{z}{q}\right)}{[n]+[\beta]} q D_{q}\left(T_{n, k-1}^{\alpha, \beta}\left(\frac{z}{q}\right)\right)+\frac{[n] z+[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]}\left(T_{n, k-1}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)-z^{k-1}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{[n]}{[n]+[\beta]}-1\right) z^{k}+\frac{[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]} z^{k-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q D_{q}\left(T_{n, k-1}^{\alpha, \beta}\left(\frac{z}{q}\right)\right)=\left|D_{q}\left(T_{n, k-1}^{\alpha, \beta}(w)\right)\right|_{w=\frac{z}{q}} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from (3.1) and the Bernstein inequality (see [1]), we have

$$
q D_{q}\left(T_{n, k-1}^{\alpha, \beta}\left(\frac{z}{q}\right)\right)=\left|D_{q}\left(T_{n, k-1}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)\right)\right| \leq\left|T_{n, k-1}^{\prime \alpha, \beta}(z)\right| \leq \frac{k-1}{r}\left\|T_{n, k-1}^{\alpha, \beta}\right\|_{r},
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{r}$ is the standard maximum norm over $D_{r}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z| \leq r\}$. Passing to modulus for all $|z| \leq r$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|T_{n, k}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)-z^{k}\right| \leq & \frac{r(1+r)}{[n]+[\beta]}\left(\frac{k-1}{r}\right)\left\|T_{n, k-1}^{\alpha, \beta}\right\|_{r}+\frac{[n] r+[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]}\left|T_{n, k-1}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)-z^{k-1}\right| \\
& +\left(\frac{[n]}{[n]+[\beta]}-1\right) r^{k}+\frac{[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]} r^{k-1} . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to get an estimate for $\left\|T_{n, k-1}^{\alpha, \beta}\right\|_{r}$ in (3.2), we use the following fact:

$$
T_{n, k}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{[n][n+1] \cdots[n+j-1]}{([n]+[\beta])^{j}} q^{\frac{-j(j-1)}{2}}\left[\frac{[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]}, \ldots, \frac{q^{j-1}[\alpha]+[j]}{q^{j-1}([n]+[\beta])} ; e_{k}\right] z^{j}
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking into account Lemma 1 in [13] for $q>1,|z| \leq r, r \geq 1$ and (1.3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|T_{n, k}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)\right\|_{r} \leq & r^{j} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{[n][n+1] \cdots[n+j-1]}{[n]^{j}} q^{\frac{-j(j-1)}{2}} \\
& \times\left[\frac{[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]}, \cdots, \frac{q^{j-1}[\alpha]+[j]}{q^{j-1}([n]+[\beta])} ; e_{k}\right] \\
\leq & \sum_{j=0}^{k} j!\frac{k k-1 \cdots k-j+1}{j!} r^{k-j} \cdot r^{j} \\
= & r^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} k k-1 \cdots k-j+1 \leq r^{k}(k+1)!. \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, considering (3.3) in (3.2), for all $|z| \leq r, r \geq 1$, with $q>1$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$,

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|T_{n, k}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)-z^{k}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{r(1+r)}{[n]+[\beta]} r^{k-2}(k+1)!+\frac{[n] r+[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]}\left|T_{n, k-1}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)-z^{k-1}\right| \\
&+\left(\frac{[n]}{[n]+[\beta]}-1\right) r^{k}+\frac{[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]} r^{k-1} \\
& \leq \frac{[n] r+[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]}\left|T_{n, k-1}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)-z^{k-1}\right|+\frac{r(1+r)}{[n]+[\beta]} r^{k-2}(k+1)! \\
&+\frac{[\beta]}{[n]+[\beta]} r^{k}+\frac{[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]} r^{k-1} \\
& \leq r\left|T_{n, k-1}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)-z^{k-1}\right|+\frac{2 r^{k}}{[n]+[\beta]}(k+1)! \\
&+\frac{[\beta]}{[n]+[\beta]} r^{k}+\frac{[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]} r^{k-1} . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the above inequalities beginning from $k=2,3, \ldots$ and using the mathematical induction with respect to $k$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|T_{n, k}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)-z^{k}\right| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{2 r^{k}}{[n]+[\beta]} \sum_{j=2}^{k}(j+1)!+\frac{[\beta]}{[n]+[\beta]} k r^{k}+\frac{[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]} k r^{k-1} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{6 r^{k}}{[n]+[\beta]}(k+1)!(k-1)+\frac{[\beta]}{[n]+[\beta]} k r^{k}+\frac{[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]} k r^{k-1} . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Also we obtain the following: for $k=1$ it is not difficult to see that

$$
\left|T_{n, 1}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)-z\right|=\left|\frac{[\alpha]-[\beta] z}{[n]+[\beta]}\right| \leq \frac{[\alpha]+[\beta] r}{[n]+[\beta]} .
$$

Now, taking into account the proof of Theorem 1 in [13], we can write, for $q>1,|z| \leq r$, $r \geq 1$, that

$$
W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} T_{n, k}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)(z)-f(z)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right|\left|T_{n, k}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)-z^{k}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{6}{[n]+[\beta]} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right|(k+1)!(k-1) r^{k}+\frac{[\beta]}{[n]+[\beta]} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right| k r^{k} \\
&+\frac{[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right| k r^{k-1} \\
&= \frac{M_{1, r}(f)}{[n]+[\beta]}+\frac{[\beta]}{[n]+[\beta]} M_{2, r}(f)+\frac{[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]} M_{3, r}(f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here from the analyticity of $f$ we have $M_{2, r}(f)<\infty$ and $M_{3, r}(f)<\infty$. Also from the hypotheses of the theorem, one can get

$$
M_{1, r}(f)=6 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right|(k+1)!(k-1) r^{k} \leq 6 M \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+1)(k-1)(r A)^{k}
$$

for all $|z| \leq r, 1 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{A}, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 2 Let $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta, 1 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{A}$ and $q>1$. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1 , for all $|z| \leq r$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following Voronovskaja-type result

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)(z)-f(z)-\frac{[\alpha]-[\beta] z}{[n]+[\beta]} f^{\prime}(z)-\frac{z}{2[n]}\left(1+\frac{z}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{K_{1, r}(f)}{[n]^{2}}+\frac{\sum_{j=2}^{6} K_{j, r}(f)}{([n]+[\beta])^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

holds with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1, r}(f)=16 \sum_{k=3}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right|(k-1)(k-2)^{2} k!r^{k}<\infty, \\
& K_{2, r}(f)=[\alpha]^{2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right| \frac{(k-1) k!}{2} r^{k-2}<\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{3, r}(f)=6[\alpha] \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right| k^{2} k!r^{k-1}<\infty, \\
& K_{4, r}(f)=\left(\frac{[\beta]^{2}}{2}+6[\beta]\right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right| k^{2}(k+1)!r^{k}<\infty, \\
& K_{5, r}(f)=[\alpha][\beta] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right| k(k-1) r^{k-1}<\infty, \\
& K_{6, r}(f)=[\beta]^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right| k(k-1) r^{k}<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof For all $z \in D_{R}$, let us consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)(z)-f(z)-\frac{[\alpha]-[\beta] z}{[n]+[\beta]} f^{\prime}(z)-\frac{z}{2[n]}\left(1+\frac{z}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}(z) \\
&= W_{n, q}(f)(z)-f(z)-\frac{z}{2[n]}\left(1+\frac{z}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}(z) \\
&+W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)(z)-W_{n, q}(f)(z)-\frac{[\alpha]-[\beta] z}{[n]+[\beta]} f^{\prime}(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the fact that $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)(z)-f(z)-\frac{[\alpha]-[\beta] z}{[n]+[\beta]} f^{\prime}(z)-\frac{z}{2[n]}\left(1+\frac{z}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}(z) \\
& =\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_{k}\left(W_{n, q}\left(e_{k} ; z\right)-z^{k}-\frac{z}{2[n]}\left(1+\frac{z}{q}\right) k(k-1) z^{k-2}\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_{k}\left(T_{n, k}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)-W_{n, q}\left(e_{k} ; z\right)-\frac{[\alpha]-[\beta] z}{[n]+[\beta]} k z^{k-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Theorem 2 in [13], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|W_{n, q}(f)(z)-f(z)-\frac{z}{2[n]}\left(1+\frac{z}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{16}{[n]^{2}} \sum_{k=3}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right|(k-1)(k-2)^{2} k!r^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, in order to estimate the second sum, using Lemma 2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{n, k}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)-W_{n, q}\left(e_{k} ; z\right)-\frac{[\alpha]-[\beta] z}{[n]+[\beta]} k z^{k-1} \\
& \quad=\sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{k}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}[\alpha]^{k-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}} W_{n, q}\left(e_{j} ; z\right)-W_{n, q}\left(e_{k} ; z\right)-\frac{[\alpha]-[\beta] z}{[n]+[\beta]} k z^{k-1} \\
& \quad=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{k}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}[\alpha]^{k-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}} W_{n, q}\left(e_{j} ; z\right) \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{[n]^{k}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}}-1\right) W_{n, q}\left(e_{k} ; z\right)-\frac{[\alpha]-[\beta] z}{[n]+[\beta]} k z^{k-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also it is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\frac{[n]^{k}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}}=\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\binom{k}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}[\beta]^{k-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(1-\frac{[n]}{[n]+[\beta]}\right)=\frac{k[\beta]}{[n]+[\beta]}, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{n, k}^{\alpha, \beta} \\
&(z)-W_{n, q}\left(e_{k} ; z\right)-\frac{[\alpha]-[\beta] z}{[n]+[\beta]} k z^{k-1} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\binom{k}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}[\alpha]^{k-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}} W_{n, q}\left(e_{j} ; z\right)+\frac{k[n]^{k-1}[\alpha]}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}} W_{n, q}\left(e_{k-1} ; z\right) \\
&-\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\binom{k}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}[\beta]^{k-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}} W_{n, q}\left(e_{k} ; z\right)-\frac{[\alpha]-[\beta] z}{[n]+[\beta]} k z^{k-1} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\binom{k}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}[\alpha]^{k-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}} W_{n, q}\left(e_{j} ; z\right)+\frac{k[n]^{k-1}[\alpha]}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}}\left(W_{n, q}\left(e_{k-1} ; z\right)-z^{k-1}\right) \\
& \quad-\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\binom{k}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}[\beta]^{k-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}} W_{n, q}\left(e_{k} ; z\right)-\frac{k[n]^{k-1}[\beta]}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}}\left(W_{n, q}\left(e_{k} ; z\right)-z^{k}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(\frac{[n]^{k-1}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k-1}-1}\right) \frac{k[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]} z^{k-1}  \tag{3.7}\\
& \quad+\left(1-\frac{[n]^{k-1}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k-1}}\right) \frac{k[\beta]}{[n]+[\beta]} z^{k} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now from (3.3) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\binom{k}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}[\alpha]^{k-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}} W_{n, q}\left(e_{j} ; z\right)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\binom{k}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}[\alpha]^{k-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}}\left|W_{n, q}\left(e_{j} ; z\right)\right| \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \frac{k(k-1)}{(k-j)(k-j-1)}\binom{k-2}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}[\alpha]^{k-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}}\left|W_{n, q}\left(e_{j} ; z\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \frac{[\alpha]^{2}}{([n]+[\beta])^{2}} r^{k-2}(k-1)!\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\binom{k-2}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}[\alpha]^{k-2-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k-2}} \\
& \leq \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \frac{[\alpha]^{2}}{([n]+[\beta])^{2}} r^{k-2}(k-1)!. \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, we need to prove the following inequality:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\binom{k-2}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}[\alpha]^{k-2-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k-2}} & =\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\binom{k-2}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{j}} \frac{[\alpha]^{k-2-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k-2-j}} \\
& =\left(\frac{[n]+[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]}\right)^{k-2} \leq 1 \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, taking $\alpha=\beta=0$ in Theorem 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|W_{n, q}\left(e_{k} ; z\right)-z^{k}\right| \leq \frac{6}{[n]} r^{k}(k+1)!(k-1) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing (3.8), (3.6), (3.9) and (3.10) in (3.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|T_{n, k}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)-W_{n, q}\left(e_{k} ; z\right)-\frac{[\alpha]-[\beta] z}{[n]+[\beta]} k z^{k-1}\right| \\
& \leq\left|\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\binom{k}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}[\alpha]^{k-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}} W_{n, q}\left(e_{j} ; z\right)\right|+\frac{k[n]^{k-1}[\alpha]}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}}\left|W_{n, q}\left(e_{k-1} ; z\right)-z^{k-1}\right| \\
&+\left|\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\binom{k}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}[\beta]^{k-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}} W_{n, q}\left(e_{k} ; z\right)\right|+\frac{k[n]^{k-1}[\beta]}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}}\left|W_{n, q}\left(e_{k} ; z\right)-z^{k}\right| \\
&+\left|\frac{[n]^{k-1}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k-1}}-1\right| \frac{k[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]}|z|^{k-1}+\left|1-\frac{[n]^{k-1}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k-1}}\right| \frac{k[\beta]}{[n]+[\beta]}|z|^{k} \\
& \leq \frac{(k-1) k!}{2} \frac{[\alpha]^{2}}{([n]+[\beta])^{2}} r^{k-2}+\frac{k[n]^{k-1}[\alpha]}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}} \frac{6}{[n]} r^{k-1} k!(k-2) \\
&+r^{k}(k+1)!\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\binom{k}{j} \frac{[n]^{j}[\beta]^{k-j}}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}} \\
&+\frac{k[n]^{k-1}[\beta]}{([n]+[\beta])^{k}} \frac{6}{[n]} r^{k}(k+1)!(k-1)+\frac{k(k-1)[\alpha][\beta]}{([n]+[\beta])^{2}} r^{k-1}+\frac{k(k-1)[\beta]^{2}}{([n]+[\beta])^{2}} r^{k} \\
& \leq \frac{(k-1) k!}{2} \frac{[\alpha]^{2}}{([n]+[\beta])^{2}} r^{k-2}+6 \frac{k^{2}[\alpha]}{([n]+[\beta])^{2}} r^{k-1} k!+\frac{k^{2}[\beta]^{2}(k+1)!}{2([n]+[\beta])^{2}} r^{k} \\
&+6 \frac{k^{2}(k+1)![\beta]}{([n]+[\beta])^{2}} r^{k}+\frac{k(k-1)[\alpha][\beta]}{([n]+[\beta])^{2}} r^{k-1}+\frac{k(k-1)[\beta]^{2}}{([n]+[\beta])^{2}} r^{k} \\
& \leq \frac{(k-1) k!}{2} \frac{[\alpha]^{2}}{([n]+[\beta])^{2}} r^{k-2}+6 \frac{k^{2}[\alpha]}{([n]+[\beta])^{2}} r^{k-1} k! \\
&+\left(\frac{[\beta]^{2}}{2}+6[\beta]\right) \frac{k^{2}(k+1)!}{([n]+[\beta])^{2}} r^{k} \\
&+\frac{k(k-1)[\alpha][\beta]}{([n]+[\beta])^{2}} r^{k-1}+\frac{k(k-1)[\beta]^{2}}{([n]+[\beta])^{2}} r^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the proof is completed.
Now, let us give a lower estimate for the exact degree in approximation by $W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}$.

Theorem 3 Suppose that $q>1$ and suppose that the hypotheses on $f$ and on the constants $R, M, A$ in the statement of Theorem 1 hold, and let $1 \leq r<R, 0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$. Iff is not a polynomial of degree $\leq 0$, then the lower estimate

$$
\left\|W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)-f\right\|_{r} \geq \frac{C_{r}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)}{[n]}
$$

holds for all $n$, where the constant $C_{r}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)$ depends on $f, \alpha, \beta, q$ and $r$.

Proof For all $|z| \leq r$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)(z)-f(z) \\
&= \frac{1}{[n]}\left\{\frac{[n]}{[n]+[\beta]}([\alpha]-[\beta] z) f^{\prime}(z)+\frac{z}{2}\left(1+\frac{z}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{1}{[n]}[n]^{2}\left(W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)(z)-f(z)-\frac{[\alpha]-[\beta] z}{[n]+[\beta]} f^{\prime}(z)-\frac{z}{2[n]}\left(1+\frac{z}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)\right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{[n]}\left\{([\alpha]-[\beta] z) f^{\prime}(z)+\frac{z}{2}\left(1+\frac{z}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right. \\
&+\frac{1}{[n]}[n]^{2}\left(W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)(z)-f(z)-\frac{[\alpha]-[\beta] z}{[n]+[\beta]} f^{\prime}(z)\right) \\
&\left.+\frac{1}{[n]}[n]^{2}\left(-\frac{z}{2[n]}\left(1+\frac{z}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}(z)-\frac{[\beta]([\alpha]-[\beta] z)}{[n]([n]+[\beta])} f^{\prime}(z)\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We set $E_{k, n}(z)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{k, n}(z):= & W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)(z)-f(z)-\frac{[\alpha]-[\beta] z}{[n]+[\beta]} f^{\prime}(z) \\
& -\frac{z}{2[n]}\left(1+\frac{z}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}(z)-\frac{[\beta]([\alpha]-[\beta] z)}{[n]([n]+[\beta])} f^{\prime}(z) . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Passing to the norm and using the inequality

$$
\|F+G\|_{r} \geq\left|\|F\|_{r}-\|G\|_{r}\right| \geq\|F\|_{r}-\|G\|_{r},
$$

we get

$$
\left\|W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)-f\right\|_{r} \geq \frac{1}{[n]}\left\|\left([\alpha]-[\beta] e_{1}\right) f^{\prime}+\frac{e_{1}}{2}\left(1+\frac{e_{1}}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{r}-\frac{1}{[n]}[n]^{2}\left\|E_{k, n}\right\|_{r} .
$$

Since $f$ is not a polynomial of degree $\leq 0$ in $D_{R}$, we have

$$
\left\|\left([\alpha]-[\beta] e_{1}\right) f^{\prime}+\frac{e_{1}}{2}\left(1+\frac{e_{1}}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{r}>0 .
$$

It can also be seen in [1, pp.75-76]. Now, from Theorem 2 it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[n]^{2}\left\|E_{k, n}\right\|_{r} \leq } & {[n]^{2}\left\|W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)-f-\left(\frac{[\alpha]-[\beta] e_{1}}{[n]+[\beta]}\right) f^{\prime}-\frac{e_{1}}{2[n]}\left(1+\frac{e_{1}}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{r} } \\
& +\left\|[\beta]\left([\alpha]-[\beta] e_{1}\right) f^{\prime}\right\|_{r} \\
\leq & \sum_{j=1}^{6} M_{j, r}(f)+[\beta]([\alpha]+[\beta] r)\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\frac{1}{[n]} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for $q>1$, there exists an $n_{0}$ depending on $f, r, \alpha, \beta$ and $q$ such that for all $n \geq n_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{[n]}\left\|\left([\alpha]-[\beta] e_{1}\right) f^{\prime}+\frac{e_{1}}{2}\left(1+\frac{e_{1}}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{r}-\frac{1}{[n]}[n]^{2}\left\|E_{k, n}\right\|_{r} \\
& \quad \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|\left([\alpha]-[\beta] e_{1}\right) f^{\prime}+\frac{e_{1}}{2}\left(1+\frac{e_{1}}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\left\|W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)-f\right\|_{r} \geq \frac{1}{2[n]}\left\|\left([\alpha]-[\beta] e_{1}\right) f^{\prime}+\frac{e_{1}}{2}\left(1+\frac{e_{1}}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{r}
$$

for all $n \geq n_{0}$. Now, for $n \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{0}-1\right\}$, we have

$$
\left\|W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)-f\right\|_{r} \geq \frac{A_{r}(f)}{[n]}
$$

with

$$
A_{r}(f)=[n]\left\|W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)-f\right\|_{r}>0,
$$

which finally implies

$$
\left\|W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)-f\right\|_{r} \geq \frac{C_{r}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)}{[n]}
$$

for all $n \geq n_{0}$ with

$$
C_{r}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)=\min \left\{A_{r, 1}(f), \ldots, A_{r, n_{0}-1}(f), \frac{1}{2}\left\|\left([\alpha]-[\beta] e_{1}\right) f^{\prime}+\frac{e_{1}}{2}\left(1+\frac{e_{1}}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{r}\right\} .
$$

This proves the theorem.

Combining now Theorem 3 with Theorem 1, we immediately get the following equivalence result.

Remark 1 Suppose that $q>1,0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$ and that the hypotheses on $f$ and on the constants $R, M, A$ in the statement of Theorem 1 hold, and let $1 \leq r<\frac{1}{A}$ be fixed. If $f$ is not a polynomial of degree $\leq 0$, then we have the following equivalence:

$$
\left\|W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)-f\right\|_{r} \sim \frac{1}{[n]}
$$

for all $n$, where the constants in the equivalence depend on $f, \alpha, \beta, q$ and $r$.

Concerning the approximation by the derivatives of complex $q$-Baskakov-Stancu operators, we can state the following theorem.

Theorem 4 Suppose that $q>1$ and that the hypotheses on $f$ and on the constants $R, M, A$ in the statement of Theorem 1 hold, and let $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta, 1 \leq r<r_{1}<\frac{1}{A}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. If $f$ is not a polynomial of degree $\leq p-1$, then we have the following equivalence:

$$
\left\|\left[W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)\right]^{(p)}-f^{(p)}\right\|_{r} \sim \frac{1}{[n]}
$$

for all $n$, where the constants in the equivalence depend on $f($ that is, on $M, A), r, r_{1} q$ and $p$.
Proof Denote by $\Gamma$ the circle of radius $r_{1}$ with $1 \leq r<r_{1}<\frac{1}{A}$ centered at 0 . Since $|z| \leq r$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have $|\gamma-z| \geq r_{1}-r$ and from Cauchy's formulas and Theorem 1 we obtain, for all $|z| \leq r$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left[W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f, z)\right]^{(p)}-f^{(p)}(z)\right| & \leq \frac{p!}{2 \pi}\left|\int_{\Gamma} \frac{W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta} f(\gamma)-f(\gamma)}{(\gamma-z)^{p+1}} d \gamma\right| \\
& \leq \frac{M_{r_{1}, \alpha, \beta}(f)}{[n]} \frac{p!}{2 \pi} \frac{2 \pi r_{1}}{\left(r_{1}-r\right)^{p+1}} \\
& =\frac{M_{r_{1}, \alpha, \beta}(f)}{[n]} \frac{p!r_{1}}{\left(r_{1}-r\right)^{p+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves one of the inequalities in the equivalence.
Now we need to prove the lower estimate. From Cauchy's formula we get

$$
\left[W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f, z)\right]^{(p)}-f^{(p)}(z)=\frac{p!}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta} f(\gamma)-f(\gamma)}{(\gamma-z)^{p+1}} d \gamma
$$

Furthermore, using (3.11) one can have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta} f(\gamma)-f(\gamma) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{[n]}\left\{([\alpha]-[\beta] \gamma) f^{\prime}(\gamma)+\frac{\gamma}{2}\left(1+\frac{\gamma}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}(\gamma)+[n]^{2} E_{k, n}(\gamma)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Applications of Cauchy's formula imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f, z)\right]^{(p)}-f^{(p)}(z) } \\
&=\left\{\frac{1}{[n]} \frac{p!}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{([\alpha]-[\beta] \gamma) f^{\prime}(\gamma)+\frac{\gamma}{2}\left(1+\frac{\gamma}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}(\gamma)}{(\gamma-z)^{p+1}} d \gamma\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{1}{[n]} \frac{p!}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{[n]^{2} E_{k, n}(\gamma)}{(\gamma-z)^{p+1}} d \gamma\right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{[n]}\left\{\left[([\alpha]-[\beta] \gamma) f^{\prime}(\gamma)+\frac{z}{2}\left(1+\frac{z}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right]^{(p)}+\frac{p!}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{[n]^{2} E_{k, n}(\gamma)}{(\gamma-z)^{p+1}} d \gamma\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now passing to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{r}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left[W_{n, q}^{\alpha, \beta}(f)\right]^{(p)}-f^{(p)}\right\|_{r} \geq & \frac{1}{[n]}\left\{\left\|\left[\left([\alpha]-[\beta] e_{1}\right) f^{\prime}+\frac{e_{1}}{2}\left(1+\frac{e_{1}}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\right]^{(p)}\right\|_{r}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{[n]}\left\|\frac{p!}{2 \pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{[n]^{2} E_{k, n}(\gamma)}{(\gamma-z)^{p+1}} d \gamma\right\|_{r}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and from Theorem 2 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{p!}{2 \pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{[n]^{2} E_{k, n}(\gamma)}{(\gamma-z)^{p+1}} d \gamma\right\|_{r} & \leq \frac{p!}{2 \pi} \frac{2 \pi r_{1}}{\left(r_{1}-r\right)^{p+1}}[n]^{2}\left\|E_{k, n}\right\|_{r_{1}} \\
& \leq K_{1, r_{1}}(f)+[n]^{2} \frac{\sum_{j=2}^{6} K_{j, r_{1}}(f)}{([n]+[\beta])^{2}}+[\beta]\left([\alpha]+[\beta] r_{1}\right)\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{r_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f$ is not a polynomial of degree $\leq 0$ in $D_{R}$, we have

$$
\left\|\left[\left([\alpha]-[\beta] e_{1}\right) f^{\prime}+\frac{e_{1}}{2}\left(1+\frac{e_{1}}{q}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\right]^{(p)}\right\|_{r}>0
$$

(see [1, pp.77-78]). The rest of the proof is obtained similarly to that of Theorem 3.

Remark 2 Note that if we take $\alpha=\beta=0$, then Theorems 1,2,3 and 4 reduce to the results in [13].
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