RESEARCH

Open Access

Some new inequalities for the Hadamard product of *M*-matrices

Fu-bin Chen*

*Correspondence: chenfubinyn@163.com Department of Engineering, Oxbridge College, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming, Yunnan 650106, P.R. China

Abstract

If *A* and *B* are $n \times n$ nonsingular *M*-matrices, a new lower bound for the minimum eigenvalue τ ($B \circ A^{-1}$) for the Hadamard product of *B* and A^{-1} is derived. As a consequence, a new lower bound for the minimum eigenvalue τ ($A \circ A^{-1}$) for the Hadamard product of *A* and its inverse A^{-1} is given. Theoretical results and an example demonstrate that the new bounds are better than some existing ones. **MSC:** 15A06; 15A18; 15A48

Keywords: M-matrix; lower bounds; Hadamard product; minimum eigenvalue

1 Introduction

For convenience, for any positive integer *n*, let $N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ throughout. The set of all $n \times n$ real matrices is denoted by $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ denotes the set of all $n \times n$ complex matrices.

A matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is called a nonnegative matrix if $a_{ij} \ge 0$. The spectral radius of A is denoted by $\rho(A)$. If A is a nonnegative matrix, the Perron-Frobenius theorem guarantees that $\rho(A)$ is an eigenvalue of A.

 Z_n denotes the class of all $n \times n$ real matrices all of whose off-diagonal entries are nonpositive. An $n \times n$ matrix A is called an M-matrix if there exists an $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix B and a nonnegative real number λ such that $A = \lambda I - B$ and $\lambda \ge \rho(B)$, I is the identity matrix; if $\lambda > \rho(B)$, we call A a nonsingular M-matrix; if $\lambda = \rho(B)$, we call A a singular M-matrix. Denote by M_n the set of nonsingular M-matrices.

Let $A \in Z_n$, and let $\tau(A) = \min{\text{Re}(\lambda) : \lambda \in \sigma(A)}$. Basic for our purpose are the following simple facts (see Problems 16, 19 and 28 in Section 2.5 of [1]):

- (1) $\tau(A) \in \sigma(A)$; $\tau(A)$ is called the minimum eigenvalue of *A*.
- (2) If $A, B \in M_n$, and $A \ge B$, then $\tau(A) \ge \tau(B)$.
- (3) If $A \in M_n$, then $\rho(A^{-1})$ is the Perron eigenvalue of the nonnegative matrix A^{-1} , and $\tau(A) = \frac{1}{\rho(A^{-1})}$ is a positive real eigenvalue of *A*.

For two matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$, the Hadamard product of A and B is the matrix $A \circ B = (a_{ij}b_{ij})$. If A and B are two nonsingular M-matrices, then $B \circ A^{-1}$ is also a nonsingular M-matrix [2].

Let $A, B \in M_n$ and $A^{-1} = (\beta_{ij})$, in [1, Theorem 5.7.31] the following classical result is given:

$$\tau\left(B \circ A^{-1}\right) \ge \tau(B) \min_{1 \le i \le n} \beta_{ii}.$$
(1.1)



©2013 Chen; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Huang [3, Theorem 9] improved this result and obtained the following result:

$$\tau(B \circ A^{-1}) \ge \frac{1 - \rho(J_A)\rho(J_B)}{1 + \rho^2(J_A)} \min_{1 \le i \le n} \frac{b_{ii}}{a_{ii}},\tag{1.2}$$

where $\rho(J_A)$, $\rho(J_B)$ are the spectral radii of J_A and J_B .

The lower bound (1.1) is simple, but not accurate enough. The lower bound (1.2) is difficult to evaluate.

Recently, Li [4, Theorem 2.1] improved these two results and gave a new lower bound for $\tau(B \circ A^{-1})$, that is,

$$\tau\left(B\circ A^{-1}\right) \ge \min_{i}\left\{\frac{b_{ii}-s_{i}\sum_{k\neq i}|b_{ki}|}{a_{ii}}\right\},\tag{1.3}$$

where $r_{li} = \frac{|a_{li}|}{|a_{ll}| - \sum_{k \neq l,i} |a_{lk}|}$, $l \neq i$; $r_i = \max_{l \neq i} \{r_{li}\}$, $i \in N$; $s_{ji} = \frac{|a_{ji}| + \sum_{k \neq j,i} |a_{jk}| r_k}{a_{jj}}$, $j \neq i, j \in N$; $s_i = \max_{j \neq i} \{s_{ij}\}$, $i \in N$.

For an *M*-matrix *A*, Fiedler *et al.* showed in [5] that $\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \leq 1$. Subsequently, Fiedler and Markham [2, Theorem 3] gave a lower bound on $\tau(A \circ A^{-1})$,

$$\tau\left(A \circ A^{-1}\right) \ge \frac{1}{n},\tag{1.4}$$

and proposed the following conjecture:

$$\tau\left(A \circ A^{-1}\right) \ge \frac{2}{n}.\tag{1.5}$$

Yong [6] and Song [7] have independently proved this conjecture.

Li [8, Theorem 3.1] obtained the following result:

$$\tau\left(A\circ A^{-1}\right) \ge \min_{i} \left\{\frac{a_{ii}-t_{i}R_{i}}{1+\sum_{j\neq i}t_{ji}}\right\},\tag{1.6}$$

which only depends on the entries of $A = (a_{ij})$, where $R_i = \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ik}|$; $d_i = \frac{R_i}{|a_{ii}|}$, $i \in N$; $t_{ji} = \frac{|a_{ji}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{jk}| d_k}{|a_{jj}|}$, $j \neq i, j \in N$; $t_i = \max_{j \neq i} \{t_{ij}\}$, $i \in N$.

Li [9, Theorem 3.2] improved the bound (1.6) and obtained the following result:

$$\tau\left(A\circ A^{-1}\right) \geq \min_{i}\left\{\frac{a_{ii}-m_{i}R_{i}}{1+\sum_{j\neq i}m_{ji}}\right\},\tag{1.7}$$

where $r_{li} = \frac{|a_{li}|}{|a_{li}| - \sum_{k \neq l, i} |a_{lk}|}$, $l \neq i$; $r_i = \max_{l \neq i} \{r_{li}\}$, $i \in N$; $m_{ji} = \frac{|a_{ji}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{jk}| r_i}{|a_{jj}|}$, $j \neq i, j \in N$; $m_i = \max_{j \neq i} \{m_{ij}\}$, $i \in N$.

Recently, Li [10, Theorem 3.2] improved the bound (1.7) and gave a new lower bound for $\tau(A \circ A^{-1})$, that is,

$$\tau\left(A \circ A^{-1}\right) \ge \min_{i} \left\{ \frac{a_{ii} - T_{i}R_{i}}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} T_{ji}} \right\},\tag{1.8}$$

where $T_{ji} = \min\{m_{ji}, s_{ji}\}, j \neq i; T_i = \max_{j \neq i}\{T_{ij}\}, i \in N.$

In the present paper, we present a new lower bound on $\tau(B \circ A^{-1})$. As a consequence, we present a new lower bound on $\tau(A \circ A^{-1})$. These bounds improve several existing results. The following is the list of notations that we use throughout: For *i*, *j*, *k*, *l* \in *N*,

$$\begin{split} R_{i} &= \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ik}|, \qquad C_{i} = \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ki}|, \qquad d_{i} = \frac{R_{i}}{|a_{ii}|}, \qquad \hat{c}_{i} = \frac{C_{i}}{|a_{ii}|}; \\ r_{li} &= \frac{|a_{li}|}{|a_{ll}| - \sum_{k \neq l,i} |a_{lk}|}, \qquad l \neq i; \qquad r_{i} = \max_{l \neq i} \{r_{li}\}, \quad i \in N; \\ c_{il} &= \frac{|a_{il}|}{|a_{ll}| - \sum_{k \neq l,i} |a_{kl}|}, \qquad l \neq i; \qquad c_{i} = \max_{l \neq i} \{c_{il}\}, \quad i \in N; \\ m_{ji} &= \frac{|a_{ji}| + \sum_{k \neq j,i} |a_{jk}|r_{i}}{|a_{jj}|}, \qquad j \neq i; \qquad m_{i} = \max_{j \neq i} \{m_{ij}\}, \quad i \in N; \\ s_{ji} &= \frac{|a_{ji}| + \sum_{k \neq j,i} |a_{jk}|r_{k}}{|a_{jj}|}, \qquad j \neq i; \qquad s_{i} = \max_{j \neq i} \{s_{ij}\}, \quad i \in N; \\ T_{ji} &= \min\{m_{ji}, s_{ji}\}, \quad j \neq i; \qquad T_{i} = \max_{j \neq i} \{T_{ij}\}, \quad i \in N. \end{split}$$

2 Some lemmas and the main results

In order to prove our results, we first give some lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 [11] If $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an *M*-matrix, then there exists a diagonal matrix *D* with positive diagonal entries such that $D^{-1}AD$ is a strictly row diagonally dominant *M*-matrix.

Lemma 2.2 [1] Let $A, B = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and suppose that $D \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $E \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ are diagonal matrices. Then

$$D(A \circ B)E = (DAE) \circ B = (DA) \circ (BE) = (AE) \circ (DB) = A \circ (DBE).$$

Lemma 2.3 [10] If $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a strictly row diagonally dominant *M*-matrix, then $A^{-1} = (\beta_{ij})$ satisfies

 $\beta_{ji} \leq T_{ji}\beta_{ii}, \quad i,j \in N, i \neq j.$

Lemma 2.4 [12] If A^{-1} is a doubly stochastic matrix, then Ae = e, $A^{T}e = e$, where $e = (1, 1, ..., 1)^{T}$.

Lemma 2.5 [9] Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a strictly row diagonally dominant *M*-matrix. Then, for $A^{-1} = (\beta_{ij})$, we have

$$\beta_{ii} \ge \frac{1}{a_{ii}}, \quad i \in N.$$

Lemma 2.6 [10] If $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an *M*-matrix and $A^{-1} = (\beta_{ij})$ is a doubly stochastic matrix, then

$$eta_{ii} \geq rac{1}{1+\sum_{j
eq i}T_{ji}}, \quad i\in N.$$

Lemma 2.7 [13] Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, and let $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ be positive real numbers. Then all the eigenvalues of A lie in the region

$$\bigcup_{\substack{i,j=1\\i\neq j}}^n \bigg\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z-a_{ii}||z-a_{jj}| \le \bigg(x_i \sum_{k\neq i} \frac{1}{x_k} |a_{ki}| \bigg) \bigg(x_j \sum_{k\neq j} \frac{1}{x_k} |a_{kj}| \bigg) \bigg\}.$$

Theorem 2.1 Let $A, B = (b_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be two nonsingular *M*-matrices, and let $A^{-1} = (\beta_{ij})$. *Then*

$$\tau \left(B \circ A^{-1} \right) \geq \min_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ b_{ii} \beta_{ii} + b_{jj} \beta_{jj} - \left[(b_{ii} \beta_{ii} - b_{jj} \beta_{jj})^2 + 4 \left(T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |b_{ki}| \beta_{ii} \right) \left(T_j \sum_{k \neq j} |b_{kj}| \beta_{jj} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}.$$

$$(2.1)$$

Proof It is evident that (2.1) is an equality for n = 1.

We next assume that $n \ge 2$.

If A is an M-matrix, then by Lemma 2.1 we know that there exists a diagonal matrix D with positive diagonal entries such that $D^{-1}AD$ is a strictly row diagonally dominant M-matrix and satisfies

$$\tau\left(B\circ A^{-1}\right)=\tau\left(D^{-1}\big(B\circ A^{-1}\big)D\right)=\tau\left(B\circ\left(D^{-1}AD\right)^{-1}\right).$$

So, for convenience and without loss of generality, we assume that *A* is a strictly row diagonally dominant *M*-matrix. Therefore, $0 < T_i < 1$, $i \in N$.

If $B \circ A^{-1}$ is irreducible, then B and A are irreducible. Let $\tau(B \circ A^{-1}) = \lambda$, so that $0 < \lambda < b_{ii}\beta_{ii}$, $\forall i \in N$. Thus, by Lemma 2.7, there is a pair (i, j) of positive integers with $i \neq j$ such that

$$|\lambda - b_{ii}\beta_{ii}||\lambda - b_{jj}\beta_{jj}| \leq \left(T_i\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{1}{T_k}|b_{ki}\beta_{ki}|\right)\left(T_j\sum_{k\neq j}\frac{1}{T_k}|b_{kj}\beta_{kj}|\right).$$

Observe that

$$\begin{split} &\left(T_{i}\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{1}{T_{k}}|b_{ki}\beta_{ki}|\right)\left(T_{j}\sum_{k\neq j}\frac{1}{T_{k}}|b_{kj}\beta_{kj}|\right)\\ &\leq \left(T_{i}\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{1}{T_{k}}|b_{ki}|T_{ki}\beta_{ii}\right)\left(T_{j}\sum_{k\neq j}\frac{1}{T_{k}}|b_{kj}|T_{kj}\beta_{jj}\right)\\ &\leq \left(T_{i}\sum_{k\neq i}|b_{ki}|\beta_{ii}\right)\left(T_{j}\sum_{k\neq j}|b_{kj}|\beta_{jj}\right). \end{split}$$

Thus, we have

$$|\lambda - b_{ii}\beta_{ii}||\lambda - b_{jj}\beta_{jj}| \leq \left(T_i\sum_{k\neq i}|b_{ki}|\beta_{ii}\right)\left(T_j\sum_{k\neq j}|b_{kj}|\beta_{jj}\right).$$

Then we have

$$\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2} \bigg\{ b_{ii}\beta_{ii} + b_{jj}\beta_{jj} - \bigg[(b_{ii}\beta_{ii} - b_{jj}\beta_{jj})^2 + 4\bigg(T_i\sum_{k\neq i}|b_{ki}|\beta_{ii}\bigg)\bigg(T_j\sum_{k\neq j}|b_{kj}|\beta_{jj}\bigg)\bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}}\bigg\}.$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau\left(B\circ A^{-1}\right) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ b_{ii}\beta_{ii} + b_{jj}\beta_{jj} - \left[(b_{ii}\beta_{ii} - b_{jj}\beta_{jj})^2 \right. \\ &+ 4 \left(T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |b_{ki}|\beta_{ii}\right) \left(T_j \sum_{k \neq j} |b_{kj}|\beta_{jj}\right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ &\geq \min_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ b_{ii}\beta_{ii} + b_{jj}\beta_{jj} - \left[(b_{ii}\beta_{ii} - b_{jj}\beta_{jj})^2 \right. \\ &+ 4 \left(T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |b_{ki}|\beta_{ii}\right) \left(T_j \sum_{k \neq j} |b_{kj}|\beta_{jj}\right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, assume that $B \circ A^{-1}$ is reducible. It is known that a matrix in Z_n is a nonsingular M-matrix if and only if all its leading principal minors are positive (see condition (E17) of Theorem 6.2.3 of [14]). If we denote by $D = (d_{ij})$ the $n \times n$ permutation matrix with $d_{12} = d_{23} = \cdots = d_{n-1,n} = d_{n1} = 1$, then both A - tD and B - tD are irreducible nonsingular M-matrices for any chosen positive real number t, sufficiently small such that all the leading principal minors of both A - tD and B - tD are positive. Now we substitute A - tD and B - tD for A and B, respectively in the previous case, and then letting $t \longrightarrow 0$, the result follows by continuity.

Theorem 2.2 Let $A, B = (b_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be two nonsingular *M*-matrices, and let $A^{-1} = (\beta_{ij})$. Then

$$\begin{split} \min_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \bigg\{ b_{ii} \beta_{ii} + b_{jj} \beta_{jj} - \bigg[(b_{ii} \beta_{ii} - b_{jj} \beta_{jj})^2 + 4 \bigg(T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |b_{ki}| \beta_{ii} \bigg) \bigg(T_j \sum_{k \neq j} |b_{kj}| \beta_{jj} \bigg) \bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}} \bigg\} \\ \geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \bigg\{ \frac{b_{ii} - s_i \sum_{k \neq i} |b_{ki}|}{a_{ii}} \bigg\}. \end{split}$$

Proof Since $T_{ji} = \min\{m_{ji}, s_{ji}\}, j \neq i, T_i = \max_{j\neq i}\{T_{ij}\}$, so $T_i \leq s_i, i \in N$. Without loss of generality, for $i \neq j$, assume that

$$b_{ii}\beta_{ii} - T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |b_{ki}|\beta_{ii} \le b_{jj}\beta_{jj} - T_j \sum_{k \neq j} |b_{kj}|\beta_{jj}.$$
 (2.2)

Thus, (2.2) is equivalent to

$$T_{j}\sum_{k\neq j}|b_{kj}|\beta_{jj} \le T_{i}\sum_{k\neq i}|b_{ki}|\beta_{ii} + b_{jj}\beta_{jj} - b_{ii}\beta_{ii}.$$
(2.3)

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2} \left\{ b_{ii}\beta_{ii} + b_{jj}\beta_{jj} - \left[(b_{ii}\beta_{ii} - b_{jj}\beta_{jj})^2 + 4 \left(T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |b_{ki}|\beta_{ii} \right) \left(T_j \sum_{k \neq j} |b_{kj}|\beta_{jj} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ b_{ii}\beta_{ii} + b_{jj}\beta_{jj} - \left[(b_{ii}\beta_{ii} - b_{jj}\beta_{jj})^2 + 4 \left(T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |b_{ki}|\beta_{ii} + b_{jj}\beta_{jj} - b_{ii}\beta_{ii} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ b_{ii}\beta_{ii} + b_{jj}\beta_{jj} - \left[(b_{ii}\beta_{ii} - b_{jj}\beta_{jj})^2 + 4 \left(T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |b_{ki}|\beta_{ii} \right) (b_{jj}\beta_{jj} - b_{ii}\beta_{ii}) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ b_{ii}\beta_{ii} + b_{jj}\beta_{jj} - \left[(b_{jj}\beta_{jj} - b_{ii}\beta_{ii} + 2T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |b_{ki}|\beta_{ii} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ b_{ii}\beta_{ii} + b_{jj}\beta_{jj} - \left(b_{jj}\beta_{jj} - b_{ii}\beta_{ii} + 2T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |b_{ki}|\beta_{ii} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ b_{ii}\beta_{ii} + b_{jj}\beta_{jj} - \left(b_{jj}\beta_{jj} - b_{ii}\beta_{ii} + 2T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |b_{ki}|\beta_{ii} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ &= b_{ii}\beta_{ii} - T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |b_{ki}|\beta_{ii} \\ &= \beta_{ii} \left(b_{ii} - T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |b_{ki}| \right) \\ &\geq \beta_{ii} \left(b_{ii} - s_i \sum_{k \neq i} |b_{ki}| \right) \\ &\geq \frac{b_{ii} - s_i \sum_{k \neq i} |b_{ki}|}{a_{ii}}. \end{split}$$

Thus, we have

$$\tau \left(B \circ A^{-1} \right) \ge \min_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ b_{ii}\beta_{ii} + b_{jj}\beta_{jj} - \left[\left(b_{ii}\beta_{ii} - b_{jj}\beta_{jj} \right)^2 \right. \right. \\ \left. + 4 \left(T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |b_{ki}|\beta_{ii} \right) \left(T_j \sum_{k \neq j} |b_{kj}|\beta_{jj} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ \ge \min_{1 \le i \le n} \left\{ \frac{b_{ii} - s_i \sum_{k \neq i} |b_{ki}|}{a_{ii}} \right\}.$$

This proof is completed.

Remark 2.1 Theorem 2.2 shows that the result of Theorem 2.1 is better than the result of Theorem 2.1 in [4].

If A = B, according to Theorem 2.1, we can obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1 Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an *M*-matrix, and let $A^{-1} = (\beta_{ij})$ be a doubly stochastic matrix. Then

$$\tau (A \circ A^{-1}) \ge \min_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \bigg\{ a_{ii} \beta_{ii} + a_{jj} \beta_{jj} - \bigg[(a_{ii} \beta_{ii} - a_{jj} \beta_{jj})^2 \\ + 4 \bigg(T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ki}| \beta_{ii} \bigg) \bigg(T_j \sum_{k \neq j} |a_{kj}| \beta_{jj} \bigg) \bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}} \bigg\}.$$
(2.4)

Theorem 2.3 Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an *M*-matrix, and let $A^{-1} = (\beta_{ij})$ be a doubly stochastic matrix. Then

$$\begin{split} \min_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \bigg\{ a_{ii}\beta_{ii} + a_{jj}\beta_{jj} - \bigg[(a_{ii}\beta_{ii} - a_{jj}\beta_{jj})^2 + 4\bigg(T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ki}|\beta_{ii}\bigg) \bigg(T_j \sum_{k \neq j} |a_{kj}|\beta_{jj}\bigg) \bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}} \bigg\} \\ \geq \min_i \bigg\{ \frac{a_{ii} - T_i R_i}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} T_{ji}} \bigg\}. \end{split}$$

Proof Since A is an irreducible M-matrix and A^{-1} is a doubly stochastic matrix by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$a_{ii} = \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ik}| + 1 = \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ki}| + 1, \quad i \in N.$$

Without loss of generality, for $i \neq j$, assume that

$$a_{ii}\beta_{ii} - T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ki}|\beta_{ii} \le a_{jj}\beta_{jj} - T_j \sum_{k \neq j} |a_{kj}|\beta_{jj}.$$

$$(2.5)$$

Thus, (2.5) is equivalent to

$$T_j \sum_{k \neq j} |a_{kj}| \beta_{jj} \le a_{jj} \beta_{jj} - a_{ii} \beta_{ii} + T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ki}| \beta_{ii}.$$

$$(2.6)$$

From (2.4) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2} \bigg\{ a_{ii}\beta_{ii} + a_{jj}\beta_{jj} - \bigg[(a_{ii}\beta_{ii} - a_{jj}\beta_{jj})^2 + 4 \bigg(T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ki}|\beta_{ii} \bigg) \bigg(T_j \sum_{k \neq j} |a_{kj}|\beta_{jj} \bigg) \bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \bigg\{ a_{ii}\beta_{ii} + a_{jj}\beta_{jj} - \bigg[(a_{ii}\beta_{ii} - a_{jj}\beta_{jj})^2 \\ &+ 4 \bigg(T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ki}|\beta_{ii} \bigg) \bigg(a_{jj}\beta_{jj} - a_{ii}\beta_{ii} + T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ki}|\beta_{ii} \bigg) \bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}} \bigg\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \bigg\{ a_{ii}\beta_{ii} + a_{jj}\beta_{jj} - \bigg[(a_{ii}\beta_{ii} - a_{jj}\beta_{jj})^2 \\ &+ 4 \bigg(T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ki}|\beta_{ii} \bigg)^2 + 4 \bigg(T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ki}|\beta_{ii} \bigg) (a_{jj}\beta_{jj} - a_{ii}\beta_{ii}) \bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}} \bigg\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ a_{ii}\beta_{ii} + a_{jj}\beta_{jj} - \left[\left(a_{jj}\beta_{jj} - a_{ii}\beta_{ii} + 2T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ki}|\beta_{ii} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ a_{ii}\beta_{ii} + a_{jj}\beta_{jj} - \left(a_{jj}\beta_{jj} - a_{ii}\beta_{ii} + 2T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ki}|\beta_{ii} \right) \right\} \\ &= a_{ii}\beta_{ii} - T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ki}|\beta_{ii} \\ &= \beta_{ii} \left(a_{ii} - T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ki}| \right) \\ &\geq \frac{a_{ii} - T_i R_i}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} T_{ji}}. \end{split}$$

Thus, we have

$$\tau \left(A \circ A^{-1} \right) \ge \min_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ a_{ii} \beta_{ii} + a_{jj} \beta_{jj} - \left[\left(a_{ii} \beta_{ii} - a_{jj} \beta_{jj} \right)^2 \right. \right. \\ \left. + 4 \left(T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ki}| \beta_{ii} \right) \left(T_j \sum_{k \neq j} |a_{kj}| \beta_{jj} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ \ge \min_i \left\{ \frac{a_{ii} - T_i R_i}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} T_{ji}} \right\}.$$

This proof is completed.

Remark 2.2 Theorem 2.3 shows that the result of Corollary 2.1 is better than the result of Theorem 3.2 in [10].

3 Example

For convenience, we consider that the M-matrices A and B are the same as the matrices of [4].

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -2 & 5 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 4 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.5 & 1 & -0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.5 & 1 & -0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & -0.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(1) We consider the lower bound for $\tau(B \circ A^{-1})$. If we apply (1.1), we have

$$\tau(B \circ A^{-1}) \ge \tau(B) \min_{1 \le i \le n} \beta_{ii} = 0.07.$$

If we apply (1.2), we have

$$au(B \circ A^{-1}) \ge rac{1 -
ho(J_A)
ho(J_B)}{1 +
ho^2(J_A)} \min_{1 \le i \le n} rac{b_{ii}}{a_{ii}} = 0.048.$$

If we apply (1.3), we have

$$\tau\left(B\circ A^{-1}\right)\geq \min_{i}\left\{\frac{b_{ii}-s_{i}\sum_{k\neq i}|b_{ki}|}{a_{ii}}\right\}=0.08.$$

If we apply Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\tau (B \circ A^{-1}) \ge \min_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ b_{ii} \beta_{ii} + b_{jj} \beta_{jj} - \left[(b_{ii} \beta_{ii} - b_{jj} \beta_{jj})^2 + 4 \left(T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |b_{ki}| \beta_{ii} \right) \left(T_j \sum_{k \neq j} |b_{kj}| \beta_{jj} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} = 0.1753.$$

In fact, $\tau(B \circ A^{-1}) = 0.2148$.

(2) We consider the lower bound for $\tau(A \circ A^{-1})$.

If we apply (1.5), we have

$$\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \ge \frac{2}{n} = \frac{1}{2} = 0.5.$$

If we apply (1.6), we have

$$au(A \circ A^{-1}) \ge \min_{i} \left\{ \frac{a_{ii} - t_i R_i}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} t_{ji}} \right\} = 0.6624.$$

If we apply (1.7), we have

$$au(A \circ A^{-1}) \ge \min_{i} \left\{ \frac{a_{ii} - m_{i}R_{i}}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} m_{ji}} \right\} = 0.7999.$$

If we apply (1.8), we have

$$\tau\left(A\circ A^{-1}\right)\geq \min_{i}\left\{\frac{a_{ii}-T_{i}R_{i}}{1+\sum_{j\neq i}T_{ji}}\right\}=0.85.$$

If we apply Corollary 2.1, we have

$$\tau (A \circ A^{-1}) \ge \min_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ a_{ii} \beta_{ii} + a_{jj} \beta_{jj} - \left[(a_{ii} \beta_{ii} - a_{jj} \beta_{jj})^2 + 4 \left(T_i \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ki}| \beta_{ii} \right) \left(T_j \sum_{k \neq j} |a_{kj}| \beta_{jj} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} = 0.9755.$$

In fact, $\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) = 0.9755$.

Remark 3.1 The numerical example shows that the bounds of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 are sharper than those of Theorem 2.1 in [4] and Theorem 3.2 in [10].

Competing interests The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (No: 71161020).

Received: 13 July 2013 Accepted: 20 November 2013 Published: 11 Dec 2013

References

- 1. Horn, RA, Johnson, CR: Topics in Matrix Analysis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1991)
- 2. Fiedler, M, Markham, TL: An inequality for the Hadamard product of an *M*-matrix and inverse *M*-matrix. Linear Algebra Appl. **101**, 1-8 (1988)
- 3. Huang, R: Some inequalities for the Hadamard product and the Fan product of matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. 428, 1551-1559 (2008)
- Li, YT, Li, YY, Wang, RW, Wang, YQ: Some new bounds on eigenvalues of the Hadamard product and the Fan product of matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. 432, 536-545 (2010)
- Fiedler, M, Johnson, CR, Markham, TL, Neumann, M: A trace inequality for M-matrix and the symmetrizability of a real matrix by a positive diagonal matrix. Linear Algebra Appl. 71, 81-94 (1985)
- 6. Yong, XR: Proof of a conjecture of Fiedler and Markham. Linear Algebra Appl. 320, 167-171 (2000)
- 7. Song, YZ: On an inequality for the Hadamard product of an *M*-matrix and its inverse. Linear Algebra Appl. **305**, 99-105 (2000)
- Li, HB, Huang, TZ, Shen, SQ, Li, H: Lower bounds for the minimum eigenvalue of Hadamard product of an M-matrix and its inverse. Linear Algebra Appl. 420, 235-247 (2007)
- Li, YT, Chen, FB, Wang, DF: New lower bounds on eigenvalue of the Hadamard product of an M-matrix and its inverse. Linear Algebra Appl. 430, 1423-1431 (2009)
- Li, YT, Liu, X, Yang, XY, Li, CQ: Some new lower bounds for the minimum eigenvalue of the Hadamard product of an M-matrix and its inverse. Electron. J. Linear Algebra 22, 630-643 (2011)
- 11. Chen, JL: Special Matrices. Qing Hua University Press, Beijing (2000)
- 12. Yong, XR, Wang, Z: On a conjecture of Fiedler and Markham. Linear Algebra Appl. 288, 259-267 (1999)
- 13. Horn, RA, Johnson, CR: Matrix Analysis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1985)
- 14. Berman, A, Plemmons, RJ: Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences. SIAM, Philadelphia (1979)

10.1186/1029-242X-2013-581

Cite this article as: Chen: **Some new inequalities for the Hadamard product of** *M***-matrices**. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* **2013**, **2013**:581

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com