

RESEARCH

Open Access

Behavior of solutions of a third-order dynamic equation on time scales

M Tamer Şenel*

*Correspondence:
senel@erciyes.edu.tr
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science, Erciyes
University, Kayseri, 38039, Turkey

Abstract

In this paper, we will establish some sufficient conditions which guarantee that every solution of the third-order nonlinear dynamic equation

$$(r_1(t)(r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta)^\Delta + P(t, x(t), x^\Delta(t)) + F(t, x(t)) = 0$$

oscillates or converges to zero on an arbitrary time scale \mathbb{T} .

1 Introduction

The theory of time scales, which has recently received a lot of attention, was introduced by Stefan Hilger in his Ph.D. Thesis [1] in order to unify continuous and discrete analysis. A time scale \mathbb{T} is an arbitrary nonempty closed subset of the reals, and the cases when this time scale is equal to the reals or to the integers represent the classical theories of differential and of difference equations. A book on the subject of time scales by Bohner and Peterson [2] summarizes and organizes much of the time scale calculus. Many other interesting time scales exist and they give rise to plenty of applications, among them the study of population dynamic models (see [3]). In the last few years, there has been much research activity concerning the oscillation and nonoscillation of solutions of some dynamic equations on time scales, and we refer the reader to the papers [3–21].

Following this trend, in this paper, we will consider the third-order nonlinear dynamic equation

$$(r_1(t)(r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta)^\Delta + P(t, x(t), x^\Delta(t)) + F(t, x(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad (1)$$

where $r_1(t)$, $r_2(t)$ are positive, real-valued, rd-continuous functions defined on the time scale interval $[a, b]$ (throughout $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ with $a < b$). We assume throughout that:

- (i) $F: \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that $uF(t, u) > 0$, $\frac{F(t, u)}{u} \geq f(t)$ for $u \neq 0$,
- (ii) $P: \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that $\frac{P(t, u, v)}{u} \geq q(t)$, $uP(t, u, v) > 0$ for $u \neq 0$,
- (iii) $q, f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are rd-continuous functions such that $q(t) + f(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$.

Since we are interested in oscillatory behavior, we suppose that the time scale under consideration is not bounded above, *i.e.*, it is a time scale interval of the form $[a, \infty)$. By a solution of (1), we mean a nontrivial real-valued function satisfying equation (1) for $t \geq a$. A solution $x(t)$ of (1) is said to be oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative; otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory. Equation (1) is said to be oscillatory if

all its solutions are oscillatory. Our attention is restricted to those solutions of (1) which exist on some half-line $[t_x, \infty)$ and satisfy $\sup\{|x(t)| : t > t_0\} > 0$ for any $t_0 \geq t_x$.

2 Some lemmas

In this section, we state and prove some lemmas which we will need in the proofs of our main results.

Lemma 2.1 *Suppose that x is an eventually positive solution of (1) and*

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_1(t)} \Delta t = \infty, \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_2(t)} \Delta t = \infty. \tag{2}$$

Then there is a $t_1 \in [t_0, \infty)$ such that either

- (i) $x(t) > 0, x^\Delta(t) > 0, (r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta > 0, t \in [t_1, \infty)$, or
- (ii) $x(t) > 0, x^\Delta(t) < 0, (r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta > 0, t \in [t_1, \infty)$.

Proof Let x be an eventually positive solution of (1). Then there exists $t_1 \in [t_0, \infty)$ such that $x(t) > 0$ for $t \in [t_1, \infty)$. From (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (r_1(t)(r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta)^\Delta &= -P(t, x(t), x^\Delta(t)) - F(t, x(t)) \\ &\leq -x(t)q(t) - x(t)f(t) \\ &< 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [t_1, \infty)$. Hence, $r_1(t)(r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta$ is strictly decreasing on $[t_1, \infty)$. We claim that $r_1(t)(r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta > 0$ on $[t_1, \infty)$. Assume not, then there is a $t_2 \in [t_1, \infty)$ such that $r_1(t)(r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta < 0, t \in [t_2, \infty)$. Then we can choose a negative constant c and $t_3 \in [t_2, \infty)$ such that $r_1(t)(r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta \leq c < 0$ for $t \in [t_3, \infty)$. Dividing by $r_1(t)$ and integrating from t_3 to t , we obtain

$$r_2(t)x^\Delta(t) \leq r_2(t_3)x^\Delta(t_3) + c \int_{t_3}^t \frac{\Delta s}{r_1(s)}.$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, then $r_2(t)x^\Delta(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ by (2). Thus, there is a $t_4 \in [t_3, \infty)$ such that for $t \in [t_4, \infty)$, $r_2(t)x^\Delta(t) \leq r_2(t_4)x^\Delta(t_4) < 0$. Dividing by $r_2(t)$ and integrating from t_4 to t , we obtain

$$x(t) - x(t_4) \leq r_2(t_4)x^\Delta(t_4) \int_{t_4}^t \frac{\Delta s}{r_2(s)},$$

which implies that $x(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ by (2), a contradiction with the fact that $x(t) > 0$. Hence, we have

$$(r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta > 0, \quad t \in [t_1, \infty).$$

This implies that $r_2(t)x^\Delta(t)$ is strictly increasing on $[t_1, \infty)$. It follows from this that either $r_2(t)x^\Delta(t) < 0$ on $[t_1, \infty)$ or $r_2(t)x^\Delta(t)$ is eventually positive and the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 2.2 *Assume that*

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \varphi(t) \Delta t = \infty, \tag{3}$$

where $\varphi(t) = q(t) + f(t)$ and $x(t)$ is a solution of (1) that satisfies Case (ii) in Lemma 2.1. Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$.

Proof Let x be a solution of (1) satisfying Case (ii) in Lemma 2.1, that is,

$$x(t) > 0, \quad x^\Delta(t) < 0, \quad (r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta > 0, \quad t \in [t_1, \infty).$$

Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = b \geq 0$. Assume $b > 0$ and we now show that this leads to a contradiction. From (1) and $x \geq b$,

$$\begin{aligned} (r_1(t)(r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta)^\Delta &= -P(t, x(t), x^\Delta(t)) - F(t, x(t)) \\ &\leq -x(t)q(t) - x(t)f(t) \\ &= -x(t)(q(t) + f(t)) \\ &\leq -b\varphi(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi(t) = q(t) + f(t)$, for $t \in [t_1, \infty)$. Now let

$$u(t) = r_1(t)(r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta, \quad t \in [t_1, \infty),$$

then we have

$$u^\Delta(t) \leq -b\varphi(t), \quad t \in [t_1, \infty).$$

Integrating the last inequality from t_1 to t , we have

$$u(t) \leq u(t_1) - b \int_{t_1}^t \varphi(s) \Delta s.$$

Using (3) it is possible to choose a $t_2 \in [t_1, \infty)$, sufficiently large, such that $u(t) < 0$ for all $t \in [t_2, \infty)$, which is a contradiction, and this completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.3 *Assume that $x(t)$ is a solution of (1) satisfying Case (i) of Lemma 2.1. Then there exists $t_1 \in [t_0, \infty)$ such that*

$$x^\Delta(t) \geq \frac{\delta(t, t_1)r_1(t)}{r_2(t)} (r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1, \tag{4}$$

where $\delta(t, t_1) = \int_{t_1}^t \frac{\Delta s}{r_1(s)}$.

Proof From Case (i) of Lemma 2.1, we have $x(t)$ as a solution of (1) satisfying

$$x(t) > 0, \quad x^\Delta(t) > 0, \quad (r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta > 0$$

for $t \geq t_1$. Using $x(t)$ is a solution of (1), we get $(r_1(t)(r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta)^\Delta < 0$ and hence $r_1(t)(r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta$ is decreasing on $[t_1, \infty)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} r_2(t)x^\Delta(t) &= r_2(t_1)x^\Delta(t_1) + \int_{t_1}^t \frac{r_1(s)(r_2(s)x^\Delta(s))^\Delta}{r_1(s)} \Delta s \\ &\geq r_1(t)\delta(t, t_1)(r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta, \quad t \geq t_1, \end{aligned}$$

and this leads to (4) and the proof is complete. □

3 Main results

In this section, we establish some sufficient conditions which guarantee that every solution $x(t)$ of (1) oscillates on $[t_0, \infty)$ or converges as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 3.1 *Assume that (2) holds. Furthermore, assume that there exists a positive function $z(t)$ such that $z^\Delta(t)$ is rd-continuous on $[t_0, \infty)$ and if*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_1}^t \left(z(s)\varphi(s) - \frac{(z^\Delta(s))^2}{4Q(s, t_1)} \right) \Delta s = \infty, \tag{5}$$

for all sufficiently large t_1 , where $Q(t, t_1) = \frac{z(t)\delta(t, t_1)}{r_2(t)}$, $\varphi(t) = q(t) + f(t)$, then every solution $x(t)$ of (1) is oscillatory or $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)$ exists (finite).

Proof Let $x(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1). We only consider the case when $x(t)$ is eventually positive, since the case when $x(t)$ is eventually negative is similar. By Lemma 2.1 either Case (i) or Case (ii) in Lemma 2.1 holds. Assume $x(t)$ satisfies Case (i) in Lemma 2.1. Define the Riccati-type function $w(t)$ by

$$w(t) = z(t) \frac{r_1(t)(r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta}{x(t)}, \quad t \geq t_1. \tag{6}$$

By the product rule,

$$\begin{aligned} w^\Delta(t) &= r_1(\sigma(t))(r_2x^\Delta(t))^\Delta(\sigma(t)) \left[\frac{z(t)}{x(t)} \right]^\Delta + \frac{z(t)}{x(t)} (r_1(t)(r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta)^\Delta \\ &= r_1(\sigma(t))(r_2x^\Delta(t))^\Delta(\sigma(t)) \left[\frac{z(t)}{x(t)} \right]^\Delta + \frac{z(t)}{x(t)} (-P(t, x(t), x^\Delta(t)) - F(t, x(t))). \end{aligned}$$

Using (1) we have

$$w^\Delta(t) \leq -z(t)q(t) - z(t)f(t) + z^\Delta(t) \frac{w^\sigma(t)}{z^\sigma(t)} - z(t) \frac{x^\Delta(t)}{x(t)x^\sigma(t)} (r_1(t)(r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta)^\sigma.$$

Using (4) and $x^\Delta(t) > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w^\Delta(t) &\leq -z(t)q(t) - z(t)f(t) + z^\Delta(t) \frac{w^\sigma(t)}{z^\sigma(t)} \\ &\quad - z(t) \frac{r_1(t)(r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta (r_1(t)(r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta)^\sigma \delta(t, t_1)}{r_2(t)(x^\sigma(t))^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $r_1(t)(r_2(t)x^\Delta(t))^\Delta$ is decreasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w^\Delta(t) &\leq -z(t)\varphi(t) + z^\Delta(t) \frac{w^\sigma(t)}{z^\sigma(t)} - z(t) \frac{\delta(t, t_1)}{r_2(t)} \frac{(w^\sigma(t))^2}{(z^\sigma(t))^2} \\ &= -z(t)\varphi(t) + z^\Delta(t) \frac{w^\sigma(t)}{z^\sigma(t)} - Q(t, t_1) \frac{(w^\sigma(t))^2}{(z^\sigma(t))^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $\varphi(t) = q(t) + f(t)$ and $Q(t, t_1) = \frac{z(t)\delta(t, t_1)}{r_2(t)}$. From (7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} w^\Delta(t) &\leq -z(t)\varphi(t) + \frac{(z^\Delta(t))^2}{4Q(t, t_1)} - \left[\sqrt{Q(t, t_1)} \frac{w^\sigma(t)}{z^\sigma(t)} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{Q(t, t_1)}} z^\Delta(t) \right]^2 \\ &\leq - \left[z(t)\varphi(t) - \frac{(z^\Delta(t))^2}{4Q(t, t_1)} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Integrating (8) from t_1 to t , we obtain

$$-w(t_1) \leq w(t) - w(t_1) \leq - \int_{t_1}^t \left[z(s)\varphi(s) - \frac{(z^\Delta(s))^2}{4Q(s, t_1)} \right] \Delta s, \tag{9}$$

which yields

$$\int_{t_1}^t \left[z(s)\varphi(s) - \frac{(z^\Delta(s))^2}{4Q(s, t_1)} \right] \Delta s \leq w(t_1) \tag{10}$$

for all large t . This is contrary to (5) and so Case (i) is not possible. If Case (ii) in Lemma 2.1 holds, then clearly $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)$ exists (finite). \square

On the basis of Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 3.1, we have the following results.

Corollary 3.2 *If (2) and (3) hold, then every solution of (1) is either oscillatory or $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$.*

Corollary 3.3 *Assume that (2) holds. If*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_1}^t \left(s\varphi(s) - \frac{r_2(s)}{4s\delta(s, t_1)} \right) \Delta s = \infty,$$

then every solution of (1) is either oscillatory or $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)$ exists.

Example 3.4 We consider the third-order dynamic equation

$$x^{\Delta^3}(t) + \frac{a(1 + (x^\Delta(t))^2)}{t} x(t) + \frac{b}{t} x(t) = 0, \quad a > 0, b > 0, t \geq 1, \tag{11}$$

where $r_1(t) = r_2(t) = 1$, $P(t, x(t), x^\Delta(t)) = a(1 + (x^\Delta(t))^2)x(t)/t$, $F(t, x(t)) = bx(t)/t$. Let $f(t) = b/t$ and $q(t) = a/t$. It is not difficult to verify that all conditions of Corollary 3.3 are satisfied. Hence, every solution of equation (11) is oscillatory or satisfies $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$.

Now we establish a Kamenev-type (see [18]) oscillation criterion for (1).

Theorem 3.5 *Assume that (2) holds. Furthermore, assume that there is a positive function $z(t)$ such that $z^\Delta(t)$ is rd-continuous on $[t_0, \infty)$, and for all sufficient large t_1 ,*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^m} \int_{t_1}^t (t-s)^m \left[z(s)\varphi(s) - \frac{(z^\Delta(s))^2}{4Q(s, t_1)} \right] \Delta s = \infty, \tag{12}$$

where $m \geq 1$, $Q(t, t_1)$ and $\varphi(t)$ are as in Theorem 3.1. Then every solution of (1) is either oscillatory or $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)$ exists.

Proof Proceeding as in Theorem 3.1, we assume that (1) has a nonoscillatory solution, say $x(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq t_1$, where t_1 is chosen so large that Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 hold. By Lemma 2.1 there are two possible cases. First, if Case (i) holds, then by defining again $w(t)$ by (6) as in Theorem 3.1, we have $w(t) > 0$ and (8), that is,

$$\left[z(t)\varphi(t) - \frac{(z^\Delta(t))^2}{4Q(t, t_1)} \right] \leq -w^\Delta(t).$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{t_1}^t (t-s)^m \left[z(s)\varphi(s) - \frac{(z^\Delta(s))^2}{4Q(s, t_1)} \right] \Delta s \leq - \int_{t_1}^t (t-s)^m w^\Delta(s) \Delta s. \tag{13}$$

Integrating by parts of the right-hand side leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^t (t-s)^m w^\Delta(s) \Delta s &= (t-s)^m w(s) \Big|_{t_1}^t - \int_{t_1}^t h(t, s) w^\sigma(s) \Delta s \\ &= -(t-t_1)^m w(t_1) - \int_{t_1}^t h(t, s) w^\sigma(s) \Delta s, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where $h(t, s) := ((t-s)^m)^\Delta s$. Note that

$$h(t, s) = \begin{cases} -m(t-s)^{m-1}, & \mu(s) = 0; \\ \frac{(t-\sigma(s))^m - (t-s)^m}{\mu(s)}, & \mu(s) > 0, \end{cases}$$

and $m \geq 1$, $h(t-s) \leq 0$ for $t \geq \sigma(s)$. It follows from (14) that

$$\int_{t_1}^t (t-s)^m w^\Delta(s) \Delta s \geq -(t-t_1)^m w(t_1).$$

Then from (13) we have

$$\int_{t_1}^t (t-s)^m \left[z(s)\varphi(s) - \frac{(z^\Delta(s))^2}{4Q(s, t_1)} \right] \Delta s \leq (t-t_1)^m w(t_1).$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{t^m} \int_{t_1}^t (t-s)^m \left[z(s)\varphi(s) - \frac{(z^\Delta(s))^2}{4Q(s, t_1)} \right] \Delta s \leq \left(\frac{t-t_1}{t} \right)^m w(t_1).$$

Hence,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^m} \int_{t_1}^t (t-s)^m \left[z(s)\varphi(s) - \frac{(z^\Delta(s))^2}{4Q(s, t_1)} \right] \Delta s \leq w(t_1),$$

which is a contradiction of (12). If Case (ii) holds, then, as before, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)$ exists and the proof is complete. \square

Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Research Fund of the Erciyes University. Project Number: FBA-11-3391. The author is grateful to the anonymous referee for a careful checking of the details and for helpful comments that improved this paper.

Received: 6 November 2012 Accepted: 4 February 2013 Published: 12 February 2013

References

1. Hilger, S: Analysis on measure chains - a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus. *Results Math.* **18**, 18-56 (1990)
2. Bohner, M, Peterson, A: *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications*. Birkhäuser, Boston (2001)
3. Guseinov, GSh, Kaymakçalan, B: On a disconjugacy criterion for second order dynamic equations on time scales. Special issue on 'Dynamic Equations on Time Scales' (R.P. Agarwal, M. Bohner and D. O'Regan, eds.). *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **141**, 187-196 (2002)
4. Bohner, EA, Hoffacker, J: Oscillation properties of an Emden-Fowler type equation on discrete time scales. *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **9**(6), 603-612 (2003)
5. Bohner, M, Doşlý, O, Kratz, W: An oscillation theorem for discrete eigenvalue problems. *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* **33**(4), 1233-1260 (2003)
6. Bohner, M, Saker, SH: Oscillation of second order nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales. *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* **34**(4), 1239-1254 (2004)
7. Doşlý, O, Hilger, S: A necessary and sufficient condition for oscillation of the Sturm-Liouville dynamic equation on time scales. Special issue on 'Dynamic Equations on Time Scales' (R.P. Agarwal, M. Bohner and D. O'Regan, eds.). *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **141**, 147-158 (2002)
8. Erbe, L: Oscillation criteria for second order linear equations on a time scale. *Can. Appl. Math. Q.* **9**, 1-31 (2001)
9. Erbe, L, Peterson, A: Positive solutions for a nonlinear differential equation on a measure chain. *Math. Comput. Model.* **32**, 571-585 (2000)
10. Erbe, L, Peterson, A: Riccati equations on a measure chain. In: Ladde, GS, Medhin, NG, Sambandham, M (eds.) *Proceedings of Dynamic Systems and Applications*, vol. 3, pp. 193-199. Dynamic Publishers, Atlanta (2001)
11. Erbe, L, Peterson, A: Boundedness and oscillation for nonlinear dynamic equations on a time scale. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **132**, 735-744 (2004)
12. Erbe, L, Peterson, A, Rehak, P: Comparison theorems for linear dynamic equations on time scales. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **275**, 418-438 (2002)
13. Erbe, L, Peterson, A, Saker, SH: Oscillation criteria for second-order nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **67**, 701-714 (2003)
14. Huff, S, Olumolode, G, Pennington, N, Peterson, A: Oscillation of an Euler-Cauchy dynamic equation. In: *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Dynamical Systems and Differential Equations*, Wilmington, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, pp. 24-27 (2002)
15. Saker, SH: Oscillation of nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **148**(1), 81-91 (2004)
16. Grace, SR, Agarwal, RP, Zafer, A: Oscillation of higher order nonlinear dynamic equations on time scales. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2012**, Article ID 67 (2012). doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2012-67
17. Agarwal, RP, Akin-Bohner, E, Sun, S: Oscillation criteria for fourth-order nonlinear dynamic equations. *Commun. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **18**, 1-16 (2011)
18. Kamenev, IV: An integral criterion for oscillation of linear differential equations of second order. *Mat. Zametki* **23**, 249-251 (1978)
19. Agarwal, RP, Grace, SR, O'Regan, D: *Oscillation Theory for Difference and Functional Differential Equations*. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (2000)
20. Agarwal, RP, Grace, SR, O'Regan, D: *Oscillation Theory for Second Order Dynamic Equations*. Series in Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 5. Taylor & Francis, London (2003)
21. Şenel, MT: Kamenev-type oscillation criteria for the second order nonlinear dynamic equations with damping on time scales. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2012**, Article ID 253107 (2012). doi:10.1155/2012/253107

doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-47

Cite this article as: Şenel: Behavior of solutions of a third-order dynamic equation on time scales. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013 **2013**:47.