RESEARCH

Journal of Inequalities and Applications a SpringerOpen Journal

Open Access

Einstein half lightlike submanifolds of a Lorentzian space form with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection

Dae Ho Jin*

Dedicated to Professor Hari M Srivastava

*Correspondence: jindh@dongguk.ac.kr Department of Mathematics, Dongguk University, Gyeongju, 780-714, Republic of Korea

Abstract

We study screen conformal Einstein half lightlike submanifolds M of a Lorentzian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$ of constant curvature c admitting a semi-symmetric non-metric connection subject to the conditions; (1) the structure vector field of \widetilde{M} is tangent to M, and (2) the canonical normal vector field of M is conformal Killing. The main result is a characterization theorem for such a half lightlike submanifold. **MSC:** 53C25; 53C40; 53C50

Keywords: semi-symmetric non-metric connection; screen conformal; conformal Killing distribution; half lightlike submanifold

1 Introduction

The theory of lightlike submanifolds is used in mathematical physics, in particular, in general relativity as lightlike submanifolds produce models of different types of horizons [1, 2]. Lightlike submanifolds are also studied in the theory of electromagnetism [3]. Thus, large number of applications but limited information available, motivated us to do the research on this subject matter. As for any semi-Riemannian manifold, there is a natural existence of lightlike subspaces, Duggal and Bejancu published their work [3] on the general theory of lightlike submanifolds to fill a gap in the study of submanifolds. Since then, there has been very active study on lightlike geometry of submanifolds (see up-to date results in two books [4, 5]). The class of lightlike submanifolds of codimension 2 is composed of two classes by virtue of the rank of its radical distribution, named by half lightlike and coisotropic submanifolds [6, 7]. Half lightlike submanifold is a special case of general *r*-lightlike submanifold such that r = 1, and its geometry is more general form than that of coisotropic submanifold or lightlike hypersurface. Much of the works on half lightlike submanifolds will be immediately generalized in a formal way to general r-lightlike submanifolds of arbitrary codimension *n* and arbitrary rank *r*. For this reason, we study half lightlike submanifold M of a semi-Riemannian manifold M.

Ageshe and Chafle [8] introduced the notion of a semi-symmetric non-metric connection on a Riemannian manifold. Although now, we have lightlike version of a large variety of Riemannian submanifolds, the theory of lightlike submanifolds of semi-Riemannian manifolds, equipped with semi-symmetric metric connections, has not been introduced



© 2013 Jin; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. until quite recently. Yasar *et al.* [9] studied lightlike hypersurfaces in a semi-Riemannian manifold admitting a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Recently, Jin and Lee [10] and Jin [11–13] studied half lightlike and *r*-lightlike submanifolds of a semi-Riemannian manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

In this paper, we study the geometry of screen conformal Einstein half lightlike submanifolds M of a Lorentzian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$ of constant curvature c admitting a semisymmetric non-metric connection subject to the conditions; (1) the structure vector field of \widetilde{M} is tangent to M, and (2) the canonical normal vector field of M is conformal Killing. The reason for this geometric restriction on M is due to the fact that such a class admits an integrable screen distribution and a symmetric Ricci tensor of M. We prove a characterization theorem for such a half lightlike submanifold.

2 Semi-symmetric non-metric connection

Let $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ be a semi-Riemannian manifold. A connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ on \widetilde{M} is called a *semi-symmetric non-metric connection* [8] if $\widetilde{\nabla}$ and its torsion tensor \widetilde{T} satisfy

$$(\widetilde{\nabla}_X \widetilde{g})(Y, Z) = -\pi(Y)\widetilde{g}(X, Z) - \pi(Z)\widetilde{g}(X, Y),$$
(2.1)

$$\widetilde{T}(X,Y) = \pi(Y)X - \pi(X)Y, \qquad (2.2)$$

for any vector fields *X*, *Y* and *Z* on \widetilde{M} , where π is a 1-form associated with a non-vanishing vector field ζ , which is called the *structure vector field* of \widetilde{M} , by

$$\pi(X) = \widetilde{g}(X, \zeta). \tag{2.3}$$

In the entire discussion of this article, we shall assume the structure vector field ζ to be unit spacelike, unless otherwise specified.

A submanifold (M,g) of codimension 2 is called *half lightlike submanifold* if the radical distribution $\operatorname{Rad}(TM) = TM \cap TM^{\perp}$ is a subbundle of the tangent bundle TM and the normal bundle TM^{\perp} of rank 1. Therefore, there exist complementary non-degenerate distributions S(TM) and $S(TM^{\perp})$ of $\operatorname{Rad}(TM)$ in TM and TM^{\perp} respectively, which are called the *screen* and *co-screen distributions* of M, respectively, such that

$$TM = \operatorname{Rad}(TM) \oplus_{\operatorname{orth}} S(TM), \qquad TM^{\perp} = \operatorname{Rad}(TM) \oplus_{\operatorname{orth}} S(TM^{\perp}),$$
(2.4)

where \bigoplus_{orth} denotes the orthogonal direct sum. We denote such a half lightlike submanifold by M = (M, g, S(TM)). Denote by F(M) the algebra of smooth functions on Mand by $\Gamma(E)$ the F(M) module of smooth sections of a vector bundle E over M. Choose $L \in \Gamma(S(TM^{\perp}))$ as a unit vector field with $\tilde{g}(L, L) = \pm 1$. We may assume that L to be unit spacelike vector field without loss of generality, *i.e.*, $\tilde{g}(L, L) = 1$. We call L the *canonical normal vector field* of M. Consider the orthogonal complementary distribution $S(TM)^{\perp}$ to S(TM) in $T\widetilde{M}$. Certainly, Rad(TM) and $S(TM^{\perp})$ are subbundles of $S(TM)^{\perp}$. As $S(TM^{\perp})$ is non-degenerate, we have

$$S(TM)^{\perp} = S(TM^{\perp}) \oplus_{\text{orth}} S(TM^{\perp})^{\perp}$$
,

where $S(TM^{\perp})^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal complementary to $S(TM^{\perp})$ in $S(TM)^{\perp}$. For any null section ξ of Rad(*TM*) on a coordinate neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset M$, there exists a uniquely defined

lightlike vector bundle ltr(TM) and a null vector field N of $ltr(TM)_{|_{\mathcal{U}}}$ satisfying

$$\widetilde{g}(\xi, N) = 1,$$
 $\widetilde{g}(N, N) = \widetilde{g}(N, X) = \widetilde{g}(N, L) = 0,$ $\forall X \in \Gamma(S(TM)).$

We call N, $\operatorname{tr}(TM)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(TM) = S(TM^{\perp}) \oplus_{\operatorname{orth}} \operatorname{tr}(TM)$ the *lightlike transversal vector field*, *lightlike transversal vector bundle* and *transversal vector bundle* of M with respect to the screen distribution, respectively [6]. Then $T\widetilde{M}$ is decomposed as follows:

$$T\widetilde{M} = TM \oplus \operatorname{tr}(TM) = \left\{ \operatorname{Rad}(TM) \oplus \operatorname{tr}(TM) \right\} \oplus_{\operatorname{orth}} S(TM)$$
$$= \left\{ \operatorname{Rad}(TM) \oplus \operatorname{ltr}(TM) \right\} \oplus_{\operatorname{orth}} S(TM) \oplus_{\operatorname{orth}} S(TM^{\perp}).$$
(2.5)

Given a screen distribution S(TM), there exists a unique complementary vector bundle tr(TM) to TM in $T\widetilde{M}_{|M}$. Using (2.4) and (2.5), there exists a local quasi-orthonormal frame field of \widetilde{M} along M given by

$$F = \{\xi, N, L, W_a\}, \quad a \in \{1, \dots, m\},$$
(2.6)

where $\{W_a\}$ is an orthonormal frame field of $S(TM)_{|_{\mathcal{U}}}$.

In the entire discussion of this article, we shall assume that ζ is tangent to M, and we take $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(TM)$, unless otherwise specified. Let P be the projection morphism of TM on S(TM) with respect to the first decomposition of (2.4). Then the local Gauss and Weingartan formulas of M and S(TM) are given respectively by

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + B(X, Y)N + D(X, Y)L, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \tau(X)N + \rho(X)L, \qquad (2.8)$$

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X L = -A_L X + \phi(X)N, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\nabla_X PY = \nabla_X^* PY + C(X, PY)\xi, \qquad (2.10)$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = -A_{\xi}^* X - \tau(X)\xi, \qquad (2.11)$$

where ∇ and ∇^* are induced linear connections on *TM* and *S*(*TM*), respectively, *B* and *D* are called the *local lightlike*, and *screen second fundamental forms* of *M*, respectively, *C* is called the *local second fundamental form* on *S*(*TM*), *A*_N, *A*^{*}_ξ and *A*_L are called the *shape operators*, and τ , ρ and ϕ are 1-forms on *TM*. We say that

$$h(X, Y) = B(X, Y)N + D(X, Y)L$$

is the second fundamental form tensor of M. Using (2.1), (2.2) and (2.7), we have

$$(\nabla_{\chi}g)(Y,Z) = B(X,Y)\eta(Z) + B(X,Z)\eta(Y) - \pi(Y)g(X,Z) - \pi(Z)g(X,Y),$$
(2.12)

$$T(X, Y) = \pi(Y)X - \pi(X)Y,$$
 (2.13)

and *B* and *D* are symmetric on *TM*, where *T* is the torsion tensor with respect to the induced connection ∇ , and η is a 1-form on *TM* such that

$$\eta(X) = \widetilde{g}(X, N).$$

From the facts $B(X, Y) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y, \xi)$ and $D(X, Y) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y, L)$, we know that *B* and *D* are independent of the choice of the screen distribution *S*(*TM*) and satisfy

$$B(X,\xi) = 0, \qquad D(X,\xi) = -\phi(X).$$
 (2.14)

In case ζ is tangent to *M*, the above three local second fundamental forms on *M* and *S*(*TM*) are related to their shape operators by

$$g\left(A_{\xi}^{*}X,Y\right) = B(X,Y), \qquad \widetilde{g}\left(A_{\xi}^{*}X,N\right) = 0, \tag{2.15}$$

$$g(A_L X, Y) = D(X, Y) + \phi(X)\eta(Y), \qquad \widetilde{g}(A_L X, N) = \rho(X), \tag{2.16}$$

$$g(A_N X, PY) = C(X, PY) - fg(X, PY) - \eta(X)\pi(PY), \qquad \widetilde{g}(A_N X, N) = -f\eta(X), \qquad (2.17)$$

where f is the smooth function given by $f = \pi(N)$. From (2.15) and (2.17), we show that A_{ξ}^* and A_N are S(TM)-valued, and A_{ξ} is self-adjoint operator and satisfies

$$A_{\xi}^{*}\xi = 0, \qquad (2.18)$$

that is, ξ is an eigenvector field of A_{ε}^* corresponding to the eigenvalue 0.

In general, the screen distribution S(TM) is not necessarily integrable. The following result gives equivalent conditions for the integrability of S(TM).

Theorem 2.1 [10] Let M be a half lightlike submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold \widetilde{M} admitting a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (1) The screen distribution S(TM) is an integrable distribution.
- (2) *C* is symmetric, i.e., C(X, Y) = C(Y, X) for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(S(TM))$.
- (3) The shape operator A_N is a self-adjoint with respect to g, i.e.,

$$g(A_N X, Y) = g(X, A_N Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(S(TM)).$$

Just as in the well-known case of locally product Riemannian or semi-Riemannian manifolds [2–4, 7], if S(TM) is an integrable distribution, then M is locally a product manifold $M = C_1 \times M^*$, where C_1 is a null curve tangent to Rad(TM), and M^* is a leaf of the integrable screen distribution S(TM).

3 Structure equations

Denote by \widetilde{R} , R and R^* the curvature tensors of the semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ on \widetilde{M} , the induced connection ∇ on M and the induced connection ∇^* on S(TM), respectively. Using the Gauss-Weingarten formulas for M and S(TM), we obtain the Gauss-Codazzi equations for M and S(TM):

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{R}(X,Y)Z &= R(X,Y)Z + B(X,Z)A_NY - B(Y,Z)A_NX \\ &+ D(X,Z)A_LY - D(Y,Z)A_LX \\ &+ \left\{ (\nabla_X B)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Y B)(X,Z) \right. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &+B(Y,Z)[\tau(X) - \pi(X)] - B(X,Z)[\tau(Y) - \pi(Y)] \\ &+D(Y,Z)\phi(X) - D(X,Z)\phi(Y)]N \\ &+\{(\nabla_X D)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Y D)(X,Z) + B(Y,Z)\rho(X) \\ &-B(X,Z)\rho(Y) - D(Y,Z)\pi(X) + D(X,Z)\pi(Y)]L, \end{split} (3.1) \\ &\widetilde{R}(X,Y)N = -\nabla_X(A_NY) + \nabla_Y(A_NX) + A_N[X,Y] \\ &+\tau(X)A_NY - \tau(Y)A_NX + \rho(X)A_LY - \rho(Y)A_LX \\ &+\{B(Y,A_NX) - B(X,A_NY) + 2d\tau(X,Y) \\ &+\phi(X)\rho(Y) - \phi(Y)\rho(X)]N \\ &+\{D(Y,A_NX) - D(X,A_NY) + 2d\rho(X,Y) \\ &+\rho(X)\tau(Y) - \rho(Y)\tau(X)]L, \end{cases} (3.2) \\ &\widetilde{R}(X,Y)L = -\nabla_X(A_LY) + \nabla_Y(A_LX) + A_L[X,Y] \\ &+\phi(X)A_NY - \phi(Y)A_NX \\ &+\{B(Y,A_LX) - B(X,A_LY) + 2d\phi(X,Y) \\ &+\tau(X)\phi(Y) - \tau(Y)\phi(X)]N \\ &+\{D(Y,A_LX) - D(X,A_LY) + \rho(X)\phi(Y) - \rho(Y)\phi(X)]L, \end{cases} (3.3) \\ &R(X,Y)PZ = R^*(X,Y)PZ + C(X,PZ)A_{\xi}^*Y - C(Y,PZ)A_{\xi}X \\ &+\{(\nabla_X C)(Y,PZ) - (\nabla_Y C)(X,PZ) \\ &+ C(X,PZ)[\tau(Y) + \pi(Y)] - C(Y,PZ)[\tau(X) + \pi(X)]\}\xi, \end{cases} (3.4) \\ &R(X,Y)\xi = -\nabla_X^*(A_{\xi}^*Y) + \nabla_Y^*(A_{\xi}^*X) + A_{\xi}^*[X,Y] + \tau(Y)A_{\xi}^*X \\ &-\tau(X)A_{\xi}^*Y + \{C(Y,A_{\xi}^*X) - C(X,A_{\xi}^*Y) - 2d\tau(X,Y)\}\xi. \end{cases}$$

A semi-Riemannian manifold \widetilde{M} of constant curvature *c* is called a *semi-Riemannian* space form and denote it by $\widetilde{M}(c)$. The curvature tensor \widetilde{R} of $\widetilde{M}(c)$ is given by

$$\widetilde{R}(X,Y)Z = c\{\widetilde{g}(Y,Z)X - \widetilde{g}(X,Z)Y\}, \quad \forall X,Y,Z \in \Gamma(T\widetilde{M}).$$
(3.6)

Taking the scalar product with ξ and L to (3.6), we obtain $\tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z, \xi) = 0$ and $\tilde{g}(\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z, L) = 0$ for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$. From these equations and (3.1), we get

$$\widetilde{R}(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z + B(X,Z)A_{N}Y - B(Y,Z)A_{N}X + D(X,Z)A_{L}Y - D(Y,Z)A_{L}X, \quad \forall X,Y,Z \in \Gamma(TM).$$

$$(3.7)$$

4 Screen conformal half lightlike submanifolds

Definition 1 A half lightlike submanifold M of a semi-Riemannian manifold \widetilde{M} is said to be *irrotational* [14] if $\widetilde{\nabla}_X \xi \in \Gamma(TM)$ for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$.

From (2.7) and (2.14), we show that the above definition is equivalent to

$$D(X,\xi) = 0 = \phi(X), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Theorem 4.1 Let M be an irrotational half lightlike submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold \widetilde{M} admitting a semi-symmetric non-metric connection such that ζ is tangent to M. Then ζ is conjugate to any vector field X on M, i.e., ζ satisfies $h(X, \zeta) = 0$.

Proof Taking the scalar product with ξ to (3.2) and *N* to (3.1) such that $Z = \xi$ by turns and using (2.14), (3.5) and the fact that $\phi = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{g}\big(\widetilde{R}(X,Y)\xi,N\big) &= B(X,A_NY) - B(Y,A_NX) - 2d\tau(X,Y) \\ &= C\big(Y,A_\xi^*X\big) - C\big(X,A_\xi^*Y\big) - 2d\tau(X,Y). \end{split}$$

From these two representations, we obtain

$$B(X,A_{\scriptscriptstyle N}Y)-B(Y,A_{\scriptscriptstyle N}X)=C\bigl(Y,A_\xi^*X\bigr)-C\bigl(X,A_\xi^*Y\bigr).$$

Using $(2.15)_1$, $(2.17)_2$ and the fact that A_{ε}^* is self-adjoint, we have

 $\pi(A_{\varepsilon}^*X)\eta(Y) = \pi(A_{\varepsilon}^*Y)\eta(X).$

Replacing *Y* by ξ to this equation and using (2.18), we have

$$B(X,\zeta) = \pi \left(A_{\xi}^*X\right) = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

As *D* is symmetric and $\phi = 0$, we show that A_L is self-adjoint. Taking the scalar product with *L* to (3.2) and *N* to (3.3) with $\phi = 0$ by turns, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{g}\big(\widetilde{R}(X,Y)N,L\big) &= \widetilde{g}\big(\nabla_X(A_LY) - \nabla_Y(A_LX) - A_L[X,Y],N\big) \\ &= D(Y,A_NX) - D(X,A_NY) + 2d\rho(X,Y) + \rho(X)\tau(Y) - \rho(Y)\tau(X). \end{split}$$

Using these two representations and $(2.16)_2$, we show that

$$D(Y,A_NX) - D(X,A_NY) + 2d\rho(X,Y) + \rho(X)\tau(Y) - \rho(Y)\tau(X)$$

= $\widetilde{g}(\nabla_X(A_LY),N) - \widetilde{g}(\nabla_Y(A_LX),N) - \rho([X,Y]).$

Applying $\widetilde{\nabla}_X$ to $\widetilde{g}(A_L Y, N) = \rho(Y)$ and using (2.1), (2.7) and (2.8), we have

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{g} \Big(\nabla_X (A_L Y), N \Big) &= X \Big(\rho(Y) \Big) + \pi (A_L Y) \eta(X) + fg(X, A_L Y) \\ &+ g(A_L Y, A_N X) - \tau(X) \rho(Y). \end{split}$$

Substituting this equation into the last equation and using $(2.16)_1$, we have

$$\pi(A_{_L}X)\eta(Y)=\pi(A_{_L}Y)\eta(X).$$

Replacing *Y* by ξ to this equation, we have

$$\pi(A_L X) = \pi(A_L \xi) \eta(X).$$

Taking *X* = ξ and *Y* = ζ to (2.16)₁, we get $\pi(A_{I}\xi) = 0$. Therefore, we have

$$D(X,\zeta) = \pi(A_L X) = 0.$$
(4.2)

From (4.1) and (4.2), we show that $h(X, \zeta) = 0$ for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Definition 2 A half lightlike submanifold M of a semi-Riemannian manifold \widetilde{M} is *screen conformal* [4, 5, 7] if the second fundamental forms B and C satisfy

$$C(X, PY) = \varphi B(X, Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM),$$
(4.3)

where φ is a non-vanishing function on a coordinate neighborhood \mathcal{U} in M.

Theorem 4.2 Let M be an irrotational half lightlike submanifold of a semi-Riemannian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$ admitting a semi-symmetric non-metric connection such that ζ is tangent to M. If M is screen conformal, then c = 0.

Proof Substituting (3.6) into (3.2) and using the fact that $\phi = 0$, we have

$$(\nabla_X B)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y B)(X, Z) = B(Y, Z) \{ \pi(X) - \tau(X) \} - B(X, Z) \{ \pi(Y) - \tau(Y) \}.$$
(4.4)

Taking the scalar product with *N* to (3.1) and (3.4) by turns and using (2.16)₂, (2.17)₂ and (3.6), we have the following two forms of $\tilde{g}(R(X, Y)PZ, N)$:

$$\{ cg(Y, PZ) - fB(Y, PZ) \} \eta(X) - \{ cg(X, PZ) - fB(X, PZ) \} \eta(Y)$$

+ $\rho(X)D(Y, PZ) - \rho(Y)D(X, PZ)$
= $(\nabla_X C)(Y, PZ) - (\nabla_Y C)(X, PZ) + C(X, PZ) \{ \pi(Y) + \tau(Y) \}$
- $C(Y, PZ) \{ \pi(X) + \tau(X) \}.$ (4.5)

Applying ∇_X to $C(Y, PZ) = \varphi B(Y, PZ)$, we have

$$(\nabla_X C)(Y, PZ) = X[\varphi]B(Y, PZ) + \varphi(\nabla_X B)(Y, PZ).$$

Substituting this into (4.5) and using (4.4), we obtain

$$c\left\{g(Y, PZ)\eta(X) - g(X, PZ)\eta(Y)\right\}$$

= $\left\{X[\varphi] - 2\varphi\tau(X) + f\eta(X)\right\}B(Y, PZ) - \rho(X)D(Y, PZ)$
- $\left\{Y[\varphi] - 2\varphi\tau(Y) + f\eta(Y)\right\}B(X, PZ) + \rho(Y)D(X, PZ).$ (4.6)

Replacing *Z* by ζ to (4.5) and using (4.1) and (4.2), we have c = 0.

Remark 4.3 If *M* is screen conformal, then, from (4.3), we show that *C* is symmetric on *S*(*TM*). By Theorem 2.1, *S*(*TM*) is integrable and *M* is locally a product manifold $C_1 \times M^*$, where C_1 is a null curve tangent to Rad(*TM*) and M^* is a leaf of *S*(*TM*).

5 Main theorem

Let \widetilde{Ric} be the Ricci curvature tensor of \widetilde{M} and $R^{(0,2)}$ the induced Ricci type tensor on M given respectively by

$$\widetilde{Ric}(X, Y) = \operatorname{trace} \left\{ Z \to \widetilde{R}(Z, X)Y \right\}, \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(T\widetilde{M}),$$
$$R^{(0,2)}(X, Y) = \operatorname{trace} \left\{ Z \to R(Z, X)Y \right\}, \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Using the quasi-orthonormal frame field (2.6) on \widetilde{M} , we show [10] that

$$\begin{split} R^{(0,2)}(X,Y) &= \widetilde{Ric}(X,Y) + B(X,Y) \operatorname{tr} A_N + D(X,Y) \operatorname{tr} A_L \\ &- g \big(A_N X, A_{\xi}^* Y \big) - g (A_L X, A_L Y) + \rho(X) \phi(Y) \\ &- \widetilde{g} \big(\widetilde{R}(\xi,Y) X, N \big) - \widetilde{g} \big(\widetilde{R}(L,X) Y, L \big), \end{split}$$

where tr A_N is the trace of A_N . From this, we show that $R^{(0,2)}$ is not symmetric. The tensor field $R^{(0,2)}$ is called the *induced Ricci curvature tensor* [4, 5] of M, denoted by *Ric*, if it is symmetric. M is called *Ricci flat* if its induced Ricci tensor vanishes on M. It is known [10] that $R^{(0,2)}$ is symmetric if and only if the 1-form τ is closed, *i.e.*, $d\tau = 0$.

Remark 5.1 If the induced Ricci type tensor $R^{(0,2)}$ is symmetric, then there exists a null pair $\{\xi, N\}$ such that the corresponding 1-form τ satisfies $\tau = 0$ [3, 4], which is called a *canonical null pair* of M. Although S(TM) is not unique, it is canonically isomorphic to the factor vector bundle $S(TM)^{\sharp} = TM/\text{Rad}(TM)$ [14]. This implies that all screen distribution are mutually isomorphic. For this reason, in case $d\tau = 0$, we consider only lightlike hypersurfaces M endow with the canonical null pair such that $\tau = 0$.

We say that *M* is an *Einstein manifold* if the Ricci tensor of *M* satisfies

 $Ric = \kappa g.$

It is well known that if dim M > 2, then κ is a constant.

Let dim $\widetilde{M} = m + 3$. In case \widetilde{M} is a semi-Riemannian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$, we have

$$R^{(0,2)}(X,Y) = mcg(X,Y) + B(X,Y) \operatorname{tr} A_{N} + D(X,Y) \operatorname{tr} A_{L} -g(A_{N}X,A_{\xi}^{*}Y) - g(A_{L}X,A_{L}Y) + \rho(X)\phi(Y).$$
(5.1)

Due to (2.15) and (2.17), we show that M is screen conformal if and only if the shape operators A_N and A_{ε}^* are related by

$$A_N X = \varphi A_{\xi}^* X - f X - \eta(X) \zeta.$$
(5.2)

Assume that $\phi = 0$. As *D* is symmetric, A_L is self-adjoint. Using this, (5.1) and (5.2), we show that $R^{(0,2)}$ is symmetric. Thus, we can take $\tau = 0$. As $\tau = 0$, (4.4) reduce to

$$(\nabla_X B)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y B)(X, Z) = \pi(X)B(Y, Z) - \pi(Y)B(X, Z).$$
(5.3)

Definition 3 A vector field X on \widetilde{M} is said to be *conformal Killing* [3, 5, 15] if $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_X \widetilde{g} = -2\delta \widetilde{g}$ for a scalar function δ , where $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ denotes the Lie derivative on \widetilde{M} , that is,

$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{X}\widetilde{g})(Y,Z) = X(\widetilde{g}(Y,Z)) - \widetilde{g}([X,Y],Z) - \widetilde{g}(Y,[X,Z]), \quad \forall Y,Z \in \Gamma(T\widetilde{M}).$$

In particular, if $\delta = 0$, then X is called a *Killing vector field* on \widetilde{M} .

Theorem 5.2 Let M be a half lightlike submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold \widetilde{M} admitting a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. If the canonical normal vector field L is a conformal Killing one, then L is a Killing vector field.

Proof Using (2.1) and (2.2), for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T\widetilde{M})$, we have

$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{X}\widetilde{g})(Y,Z) = \widetilde{g}(\widetilde{\nabla}_{Y}X,Z) + \widetilde{g}(Y,\widetilde{\nabla}_{Z}X) - 2\pi(X)\widetilde{g}(Y,Z).$$

As *L* is a conformal Killing vector field, we have $\tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_X L, Y) = -D(X, Y)$ by (2.9) and (2.16). This implies $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_I \tilde{g})(X, Y) = -2D(X, Y)$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. Thus, we have

$$D(X,Y) = \delta g(X,Y), \quad \forall X,Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$
(5.4)

Taking $X = Y = \zeta$ and using (4.2), we get $\delta = 0$. Thus, *L* is a Killing vector field.

Remark 5.3 Cǎlin [16] proved the following result. For any lightlike submanifolds M of indefinite almost contact metric manifolds \tilde{M} , *if* ζ *is tangent to* M, *then it belongs to* S(TM). Duggal and Sahin also proved this result (see pp.318-319 of [5]). After Cǎlin's work, many earlier works [17–19], which were written on lightlike submanifolds of indefinite almost contact metric manifolds or lightlike submanifolds of semi-Riemannian manifolds, admitting semi-symmetric non-metric connections, obtained their results by using the Cǎlin's result described in above. However, Jin [12, 13] proved that Cǎlin's result is not true for any lightlike submanifolds M of a semi-Riemannian space form $\tilde{M}(c)$, admitting a semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

For the rest of this section, we may assume that the structure vector field ζ of \tilde{M} belongs to the screen distribution S(TM). In this case, we show that f = 0.

Theorem 5.4 Let M be a screen conformal Einstein half lightlike submanifold of a Lorentzian space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$, admitting a semi-symmetric non-metric connection such that ζ belongs to S(TM). If the canonical normal vector field L is conformal Killing, then M is Ricci flat. Moreover, if the mean curvature of M is constant, then M is locally a product manifold $C_1 \times C_2 \times M^{m-1}$, where C_1 and C_2 are null and non-null curves, and M^{m-1} is an (m-1)-dimensional Euclidean space.

Proof As *L* is conformal Killing vector field, $D = A_L = 0$ by (5.4) and Theorem 5.2. Therefore, from (2.14), we show that $\phi = 0$, *i.e.*, *M* is irrotational. By Theorem 4.2, we also have c = 0. Using (2.15), (4.1) and (5.2) with f = 0, from (5.1), we have

$$g\left(A_{\xi}^{*}X, A_{\xi}^{*}Y\right) - \alpha g\left(A_{\xi}^{*}X, Y\right) + \varphi^{-1}\kappa g(X, Y) = 0$$
(5.5)

due to c = 0, where $\alpha = \operatorname{tr} A_{\xi}^*$. As $g(A_{\xi}^*\zeta, X) = B(\zeta, X) = 0$ for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and S(TM) is non-degenerate, we show that

$$A_{\xi}^* \zeta = 0. \tag{5.6}$$

Taking $X = Y = \zeta$ to (5.5) and using (5.6), we have $\varphi^{-1}\kappa = 0$. Thus, (5.5) reduce to

$$g\left(A_{\xi}^{*}X, A_{\xi}^{*}Y\right) - \alpha g\left(A_{\xi}^{*}X, Y\right) = 0, \qquad \kappa = 0.$$
(5.7)

From the second equation of (5.7), we show that M is Ricci flat.

As *M* is screen conformal and \widetilde{M} is Lorentzian, S(TM) is an integrable Riemannian vector bundle. Since ξ is an eigenvector field of A_{ξ}^* , corresponding to the eigenvalue 0 due to (2.15), and A_{ξ}^* is S(TM)-valued real self-adjoint operator, A_{ξ}^* has *m* real orthonormal eigenvector fields in S(TM) and is diagonalizable. Consider a frame field of eigenvectors $\{\xi, E_1, \ldots, E_m\}$ of A_{ξ}^* such that $\{E_1, \ldots, E_m\}$ is an orthonormal frame field of S(TM) and $A_{\xi}^*E_i = \lambda_i E_i$. Put $X = Y = E_i$ in (5.7), each eigenvalue λ_i is a solution of

 $x^2 - \alpha x = 0.$

As this equation has at most two distinct solutions 0 and α , there exists $p \in \{0, 1, ..., m\}$ such that $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_p = 0$ and $\lambda_{p+1} = \cdots = \lambda_m = \alpha$, by renumbering if necessary. As tr $A_{\xi}^* = 0p + (m - p)\alpha$, we have

$$\alpha = \operatorname{tr} A_{\varepsilon}^* = (m - p)\alpha.$$

So p = m - 1, *i.e.*,

$$A_{\xi}^{*} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider two distributions D_o and D_α on S(TM) given by

$$D_o = \{ X \in \Gamma(S(TM)) \mid A_{\xi}^* X = 0 \text{ and } X \neq 0 \},\$$
$$D_{\alpha} = \{ U \in \Gamma(S(TM)) \mid A_{\xi}^* U = \alpha U \text{ and } U \neq 0 \}.$$

Clearly we show that $D_o \cap D_\alpha = \{0\}$ as $\alpha \neq 0$. In the sequel, we take $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_o)$, $U, V \in \Gamma(D_\alpha)$ and $Z, W \in \Gamma(S(TM))$. Since X and U are eigenvector fields of the real self-adjoint operator A_{ξ}^* , corresponding to the different eigenvalues 0 and α respectively, we have g(X, U) = 0. From this and the fact that $B(X, U) = g(A_{\xi}^*X, U) = 0$, we show that $D_\alpha \perp_g D_o$ and $D_\alpha \perp_B D_o$, respectively. Since $\{E_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m-1}$ and $\{E_m\}$ are vector fields of D_o and D_α , respectively, and D_o and D_α are mutually orthogonal, we show that D_o and D_α are non-degenerate distributions of rank (m-1) and rank 1, respectively. Thus, the screen distribution S(TM) is decomposed as $S(TM) = D_\alpha \oplus_{orth} D_o$.

From (5.7), we get $A_{\xi}^*(A_{\alpha}^* - \alpha P) = 0$. Let $W \in \text{Im} A_{\xi}^*$. Then there exists $Z \in \Gamma(S(TM))$ such that $W = A_{\xi}^*Z$. Then $(A_{\xi}^* - \alpha P)W = 0$ and $W \in \Gamma(D_{\alpha})$. Thus, $\text{Im} A_{\xi}^* \subset \Gamma(D_{\alpha})$. By duality, we have $\text{Im}(A_{\xi}^* - \alpha P) \subset \Gamma(D_o)$.

Applying ∇_X to B(Y, U) = 0 and using (2.15) and $A_{\xi}^* Y = 0$, we obtain

$$(\nabla_X B)(Y,U)=-g\bigl(A_\xi^*\nabla_X Y,U\bigr).$$

Substituting this into (5.3) and using (2.12) and $A_{\varepsilon}^*X = A_{\varepsilon}^*Y = 0$, we get

 $g\bigl(A^*_{\varepsilon}[X,Y],U\bigr)=0.$

As $\operatorname{Im} A_{\xi}^* \subset \Gamma(D_{\alpha})$ and D_{α} is non-degenerate, we get $A_{\xi}^*[X, Y] = 0$. This implies that $[X, Y] \in \Gamma(D_{o})$. Thus, D_{o} is an integrable distribution.

Applying ∇_U to B(X, Y) = 0 and ∇_X to B(U, Y) = 0, we have

$$(\nabla_U B)(X, Y) = 0,$$
 $(\nabla_X B)(U, Y) = -\alpha g(\nabla_X Y, U).$

Substituting this two equations into (5.3), we have $\alpha g(\nabla_X Y, U) = 0$. As

$$g(A_{\xi}^* \nabla_X Y, U) = B(\nabla_X Y, U) = \alpha g(\nabla_X Y, U) = 0$$

and Im $A_{\xi}^* \subset \Gamma(D_{\alpha})$ and D_{α} is non-degenerate, we get $A_{\xi}^* \nabla_X Y = 0$. This implies that $\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(D_o)$. Thus, D_o is an auto-parallel distribution on S(TM).

As $A_{\xi}^*\zeta = 0$, ζ belongs to D_o . Thus, $\pi(U) = 0$ for any $U \in \Gamma(D_{\alpha})$. Applying ∇_X to g(U, Y) = 0 and using (2.12) and the fact that D_o is auto-parallel, we get $g(\nabla_X U, Y) = 0$. This implies that $\nabla_X U \in \Gamma(D_{\alpha})$.

Applying ∇_U to B(V, X) = 0 and using $A_{\varepsilon}^* X = 0$, we obtain

$$(\nabla_U B)(V, X) = -\alpha g(V, \nabla_U X).$$

Substituting this into (5.3) and using the fact that $D_o \perp_{_B} D_\alpha$, we get

$$g(V, \nabla_U X) = g(U, \nabla_V X).$$

Applying ∇_U to g(V, X) = 0 and using (2.12), we get

$$g(\nabla_U V, X) = \pi(X)g(U, V) - g(V, \nabla_V X).$$

Taking the skew-symmetric part of this and using (2.13), we obtain

$$g\bigl([U,V],X\bigr)=0.$$

This implies that $[U, V] \in \Gamma(D_{\alpha})$ and D_{α} is an integrable distribution.

Now we assume that the mean curvature $H = \frac{1}{m+2} \operatorname{tr} B = \frac{1}{m+2} \operatorname{tr} A_{\xi}^*$ of M is a constant. As $\operatorname{tr} A_{\xi}^* = \alpha$, we see that α is a constant. Applying ∇_X to $B(U, V) = \alpha g(U, V)$ and ∇_U to B(X, V) = 0 by turns and using the facts that $\nabla_X U \in \Gamma(TM)$, $D_o \perp_g D_\alpha$, $D_o \perp_B D_\alpha$ and $B(X, \nabla_U V) = g(A_{\varepsilon}^*X, \nabla_U V) = 0$, we have

$$(\nabla_X B)(U, V) = 0,$$
 $(\nabla_U B)(X, V) = -\alpha g(\nabla_U X, V).$

Substituting these two equations into (5.3) and using $D_o \perp_B D_\alpha$, we have

$$g(\nabla_U X, V) = \pi(X)g(U, V).$$

Applying ∇_U to g(X, V) = 0 and using (2.12), we obtain

$$g(X, \nabla_U V) = \pi(X)g(U, V) - g(\nabla_U X, V) = 0.$$

Thus, D_{α} is also an integrable and auto-parallel distribution.

Since the leaf M^* of S(TM) is a Riemannian manifold and $S(TM) = D_{\alpha} \bigoplus_{\text{orth}} D_o$, where D_{α} and D_o are auto-parallel distributions of M^* , by the decomposition of the theorem of de Rham [20], we have $M^* = C_2 \times M^{m-1}$, where C_2 is a leaf of D_{α} , and M^{m-1} is a totally geodesic leaf of D_o . Consider the frame field of eigenvectors $\{\xi, E_1, \ldots, E_m\}$ of A_{ξ}^* such that $\{E_i\}_i$ is an orthonormal frame field of S(TM), then $B(E_i, E_j) = C(E_i, E_j) = 0$ for $1 \le i < j \le m$ and $B(E_i, E_i) = C(E_i, E_i) = 0$ for $1 \le i \le m - 1$. From (3.1) and (3.4), we have $\widetilde{g}(\widetilde{R}(E_i, E_j)E_j, E_i) = g(R^*(E_i, E_j)E_j, E_i) = 0$. Thus, the sectional curvature K of the leaf M^{m-1} of D_o is given by

$$K(E_i, E_j) = \frac{g(R^*(E_i, E_j)E_j, E_i)}{g(E_i, E_i)g(E_j, E_j) - g^2(E_i, E_j)} = 0.$$

Thus, *M* is a local product $C_1 \times C_2 \times M^{m-1}$, where C_1 is a null curve, C_2 is a non-null curve, and M^{m-1} is an (m-1)-dimensional Euclidean space.

Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Received: 27 December 2012 Accepted: 1 August 2013 Published: 22 August 2013

References

- 1. Hawking, SW, Ellis, GFR: The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1973)
- 2. O'Neill, B: Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity. Academic Press, New York (1983)
- Duggal, KL, Bejancu, A: Lightlike Submanifolds of Semi-Riemannian Manifolds and Applications. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (1996)
- 4. Duggal, KL, Jin, DH: Null Curves and Hypersurfaces of Semi-Riemannian Manifolds. World Scientific, Hackensack (2007)
- 5. Duggal, KL, Sahin, B: Differential Geometry of Lightlike Submanifolds. Frontiers in Mathematics. Birkhäuser, Basel (2010)
- 6. Duggal, KL, Bejancu, A: Lightlike submanifolds of codimension 2. Math. J. Toyama Univ. 15, 59-82 (1992)
- 7. Duggal, KL, Jin, DH: Half-lightlike submanifolds of codimension 2. Math. J. Toyama Univ. 22, 121-161 (1999)
- Ageshe, NS, Chafle, MR: A semi-symmetric non-metric connection on a Riemannian manifold. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 23(6), 399-409 (1992)
- Yasar, E, Cöken, AC, Yücesan, A: Lightlike hypersurfaces in semi-Riemannian manifold with semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Math. Scand. 102, 253-264 (2008)
- 10. Jin, DH, Lee, JW: A classification of half lightlike submanifolds of a semi-Riemannian manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **50**(3), 705-714 (2013)
- 11. Jin, DH: Lightlike submanifolds of a semi-Riemannian manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. J. Korean Soc. Math. Edu., Ser. B. Pure Appl. Math. **19**(3), 211-228 (2012)
- 12. Jin, DH: Two characterization theorems for irrotational lightlike geometry. Commun. Korean Math. Soc. (2012, accepted)

- 13. Jin, DH: Singularities of lightlike submanifolds in a semi-Riemannian space form. J. Korean Math. Soc. J. Appl. Math. (2013, submitted)
- 14. Kupeli, DN: Singular Semi-Riemannian Geometry, vol. 366. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (1996)
- 15. Duggal, KL, Sharma, R: Symmetries of Spacetimes and Riemannian Manifolds, vol. 487. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (1999)
- 16. Călin, C: Contributions to geometry of CR-submanifold. Thesis, University of Iasi, Iasi, Romania (1998)
- Duggal, KL, Sahin, B: Lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Sasakian manifolds. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2007, Article ID 57585 (2007)
- Duggal, KL, Sahin, B: Generalized Cauchy-Riemann lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Sasakian manifolds. Acta Math. Hung. 122(1-2), 45-58 (2009)
- Kang, TH, Jung, SD, Kim, BH, Pak, HK, Pak, JS: Lightlike hypersurfaces of indefinite Sasakian manifolds. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 34, 1369-1380 (2003)
- 20. de Rham, G: Sur la réductibilité d'un espace de Riemannian. Comment. Math. Helv. 26, 328-344 (1952)

doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-403

Cite this article as: Jin: Einstein half lightlike submanifolds of a Lorentzian space form with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013 2013:403.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com