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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce and investigate two new subclasses $H_{\sigma}^{\mu}(\lambda, \varphi)$ and $M_{\sigma}^{\gamma}(\lambda, \mu, \varphi)$ of Ma-Minda bi-univalent functions defined by using subordination in the open unit disk $D=\{z \in C:|z|<1\}$. For functions belonging to these new subclasses, we obtain estimates for the initial coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$. The results presented in this paper would generalize those in related works of several earlier authors. MSC: 30C45; 30C80 Keywords: analytic and univalent functions; bi-univalent functions; coefficient estimates; subordination


## 1 Introduction

Let $C$ be a set of complex numbers and let $N=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}=N_{0} \backslash\{0\}$ be a set of positive integers. Let $A$ be a class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $D=\{z \in C:|z|<1\}$. Also, let $S$ denote a subclass of all functions in $A$ which are univalent in $D$ (for details, see [1, 2]).

Since univalent functions are one-to-one, they are invertible and the inverse functions need not be defined on the entire unit disk $D$. However, the famous Koebe one-quarter theorem [1] ensures that the image of the unit disk $D$ under every function $f \in S$ contains a disk of radius $1 / 4$. Thus, every univalent function $f \in S$ has an inverse $f^{-1}$ satisfying

$$
f^{-1}(f(z))=z \quad(z \in D)
$$

and

$$
f\left(f^{-1}(w)\right)=w \quad\left(|w|<r_{0}(f) ; r_{0}(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\cdots . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f \in A$ is said to be bi-univalent in $D$ if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are univalent in $D$. Let $\sigma$ denote the class of bi-univalent functions defined in the unit disk $D$. In 1967, Lewin [3] first introduced the class $\sigma$ of bi-univalent functions and showed that $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq 1.51$ for every $f \in \sigma$. Subsequently, Branan and Clunie [4] conjectured that $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{2}$ for $f \in \sigma$. Later, Netanyahu [5] proved that $\max _{f \in \sigma}\left|a_{2}\right|=3 / 4$. The coefficient estimate problem for each of $\left|a_{n}\right|(n \in N \backslash\{1,2\})$ is still an open problem.
Brannan and Taha [6] (see also [7]) introduced certain subclasses of a bi-univalent function class $\sigma$ similar to the familiar subclasses $S^{*}(\alpha)$ and $K(\alpha)$ of starlike and convex functions of order $\alpha(0<\alpha \leq 1)$, respectively (see [8]). Thus, following Brannan and Taha [6] (see also [7]), a function $f \in A$ is in the class $S_{\sigma}^{*}[\alpha]$ of strongly bi-starlike functions of order $\alpha(0<\alpha \leq 1)$ if both functions $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are strongly starlike functions of order $\alpha$. The classes $S_{\sigma}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $K_{\sigma}(\alpha)$ of bi-starlike functions of order $\alpha$ and bi-convex functions of order $\alpha$, corresponding (respectively) to the function classes $S^{*}(\alpha)$ and $K(\alpha)$, were also introduced analogously. For each of the function classes $S_{\sigma}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $K_{\sigma}(\alpha)$, they found nonsharp estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ (for details, see $[6,7]$ ).
An analytic function $f$ is subordinate to an analytic function $g$, written $f \prec g$, if there is an analytic function $w$ with $|w(z)| \leq|z|$ such that $f=(g(w))$. If $g$ is univalent, then $f \prec g$ if and only if $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(D) \subseteq g(D)$. Ma and Minda [9] unified various subclasses of starlike and convex functions for which either of the quantities $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ or $1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)$ is subordinate to a more general superordinate function. For this purpose, they considered an analytic function $\varphi$ with positive real part in the unit disk $D, \varphi(0)=1, \varphi^{\prime}(0)>0$, and $\varphi$ maps $D$ onto a region starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis. The classes $S^{*}(\varphi)$ and $K(\varphi)$ of Ma-Minda starlike and Ma-Minda convex functions are respectively characterized by $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z) \prec \varphi(z)$ or $1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z) \prec \varphi(z)$. A function $f$ is bi-starlike of Ma-Minda type or bi-convex of Ma-Minda type if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are respectively Ma-Minda starlike or convex. These classes are denoted respectively by $S_{\sigma}^{*}(\varphi)$ and $K_{\sigma}(\varphi)$. Recently, Srivastava et al. [10], Frasin and Aouf [11] and Caglar et al. [12] introduced and investigated various subclasses of bi-univalent functions and found estimates on the coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ for functions in these classes. Very recently, Ali et al. [13], Kumar et al. [14], Srivastava et al. [15] and Xu et al.[16] unified and extended some related results in $[7,10-12,17]$ by generalizing their classes using subordination.
Motivated by Ali et al. [13] and Kumar et al. [14], we investigate the estimates for the initial coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ of bi-univalent functions of Ma-Minda type belonging to the classes $H_{\sigma}^{\mu}(\lambda, \varphi)$ and $M_{\sigma}^{\gamma}(\lambda, \mu, \varphi)$ defined in Section 2 . Our results generalize several well-known results in $[10-14]$ and these are also pointed out.

## 2 Coefficient estimates

Throughout this paper, we assume that $\varphi$ is an analytic univalent function with positive real part in $D, \varphi(D)$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis and starlike with respect to $\varphi(0)=1$, and $\varphi^{\prime}(0)>0$. Such a function has series expansion of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=1+B_{1} z+B_{2} z_{2}+B_{3} z_{3}+\cdots \quad\left(B_{1}>0\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this assumption on $\varphi$, we now introduce the following subclasses of Ma-Minda biunivalent functions.

Definition 2.1 A function $f \in \sigma$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $H_{\sigma}^{\mu}(\lambda, \varphi)$ if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1} \prec \varphi(z) \quad(\lambda \geq 1, \mu \geq 1, z \in D) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{g(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda g^{\prime}(w)\left(\frac{g(w)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1} \prec \varphi(w) \quad(\lambda \geq 1, \mu \geq 1, w \in D) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $g$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(w)=f^{-1}(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\cdots . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that, for suitable choices $\lambda, \mu$ and $\varphi$, the class $H_{\sigma}^{\mu}(\lambda, \varphi)$ reduces to the following known classes.
(1) $H_{\sigma}^{\mu}\left(\lambda,\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}\right)=H_{\sigma}^{\mu}(\lambda, \alpha)(\lambda \geq 1,0<\alpha \leq 1, \mu \geq 0)$ (see Caglar et al. [12,

Definition 2.1]);
(2) $H_{\sigma}^{\mu}\left(\lambda, \frac{1+(1-2 \beta) z}{1-z}\right)=H_{\sigma}^{\mu}(\lambda, \beta)(\lambda \geq 1,0 \leq \beta<1, \mu \geq 0)$ (see Caglar et al. [12,

Definition 3.1]);
(3) $H_{\sigma}^{1}(\lambda, \varphi)=H_{\sigma}(\lambda, \varphi)(\lambda \geq 1)$ (see Kumar et al. [14, Definition 1.1]);
(4) $H_{\sigma}^{\mu}(1, \varphi)=H_{\sigma}^{\mu}(\varphi)(\mu \geq 0)$ (see Kumar et al. [14, Definition 2.1]);
(5) $H_{\sigma}^{1}(1, \varphi)=H_{\sigma}(\varphi)$ (see Ali et al. [13, p.345]);
(6) $H_{\sigma}^{1}\left(\lambda,\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}\right)=H_{\sigma}(\lambda, \alpha)(\lambda \geq 1,0<\alpha \leq 1)$ (see Frasin and Aouf [11, Definition 2.1]);
(7) $H_{\sigma}^{1}\left(\lambda, \frac{1+(1-2 \beta) z}{1-z}\right)=H_{\sigma}(\lambda, \beta)(\lambda \geq 1,0 \leq \beta<1)$ (see Frasin and Aouf [11, Definition 3.1]);
(8) $H_{\sigma}^{1}\left(1,\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}\right)=H_{\sigma}(\alpha)(0<\alpha \leq 1)$ (see Srivastava et al. [10, Definition 1]);
(9) $H_{\sigma}^{1}\left(1, \frac{1+(1-2 \beta) z}{1-z}\right)=H_{\sigma}(\beta)(0 \leq \beta<1)$ (see Srivastava et al. [10, Definition 2]).

For functions in the class $H_{\sigma}^{\mu}(\lambda, \varphi)$, the following estimates are obtained.

Theorem 2.1 Let the function $f$ given by (1.1) be in the class $H_{\sigma}^{\mu}(\lambda, \varphi), \lambda \geq 1$ and $\mu \geq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{B_{1}}{\lambda+\mu}, \sqrt{\frac{2\left(B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|\right)}{(1+\mu)(2 \lambda+\mu)}}\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\min \left\{\frac{B_{1}}{2 \lambda+\mu}+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{(\lambda+\mu)^{2}}, \frac{2\left(B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|\right)}{(1+\mu)(2 \lambda+\mu)}\right\}, & 0 \leq \mu<1  \tag{2.6}\\ \frac{B_{1}}{2 \lambda+\mu}+\frac{2\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|}{(1+\mu)(2 \lambda+\mu)}, & \mu \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

Proof Since $f \in H_{\sigma}^{\mu}(\lambda, \varphi)$, there exist two analytic functions $u, v: D \rightarrow D$, with $u(0)=v(0)=$ 0 , such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1}=\varphi(u(z)) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{g(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda g^{\prime}(w)\left(\frac{g(w)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1}=\varphi(\nu(w)) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the functions $p$ and $q$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& p(z)=\frac{1+u(z)}{1-u(z)}=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \quad \text { and } \\
& q(z)=\frac{1+v(z)}{1-v(z)}=1+q_{1} z+q_{2} z^{2}+\cdots, \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z)=\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1} z+\left(p_{2}-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}+\cdots\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(z)=\frac{q(z)-1}{q(z)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(q_{1} z+\left(q_{2}-\frac{q_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}+\cdots\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $p$ and $q$ are analytic in $D$ and $p(0)=q(0)=1$. Since $u, v: D \rightarrow D$, the functions $p$ and $q$ have positive real part in $D$, and hence $\left|p_{i}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|q_{i}\right| \leq 2(i=1,2, \ldots)$. By virtue of (2.7), (2.8), (2.10) and (2.11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1}=\varphi\left(\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{g(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu}+\lambda g^{\prime}(w)\left(\frac{g(w)}{z}\right)^{\mu-1}=\varphi\left(\frac{q(w)-1}{q(w)+1}\right) . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.10), (2.11), together with (2.1), we easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}\right)=1+\frac{1}{2} B_{1} p_{1} z+\left(\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(p_{2}-\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} p_{1}^{2}\right) z^{2}+\cdots \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\frac{q(w)-1}{q(w)+1}\right)=1+\frac{1}{2} B_{1} q_{1} w+\left(\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(q_{2}-\frac{1}{2} q_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} q_{1}^{2}\right) w^{2}+\cdots . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f \in \sigma$ has the Maclaurin series given by (1.1), a computation shows that its inverse $g=f^{-1}$ has the expansion given by (1.2). Also, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime}(z)=1+2 a_{2} z+3 a_{3} z^{2}+\cdots \quad \text { and } \\
& g^{\prime}(w)=1-2 a_{2} w+3\left(2 a_{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{2}-\cdots,
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows from (2.12)-(2.15) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\lambda+\mu) a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} p_{1},  \tag{2.16}\\
& (2 \lambda+\mu) a_{3}+\frac{(\mu-1)(2 \lambda+\mu)}{2} a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(p_{2}-\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} p_{1}^{2},  \tag{2.17}\\
& -(\lambda+\mu) a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} q_{1} \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(2 \lambda+\mu) a_{3}+\frac{(3+\mu)(2 \lambda+\mu)}{2} a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(q_{2}-\frac{1}{2} q_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} q_{1}^{2} . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.16) and (2.18), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}=-q_{1} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
8(\lambda+\mu)^{2} a_{2}^{2}=B_{1}^{2}\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right) . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, from (2.17) and (2.19), we obtain

$$
(1+\mu)(2 \lambda+\mu) a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(p_{2}+q_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right),
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{2 B_{1}\left(p_{2}+q_{2}\right)+\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right)}{4(1+\mu)(2 \lambda+\mu)} . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|p_{i}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|q_{i}\right| \leq 2(i=1,2)$, it follows from (2.21) and (2.22) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{\lambda+\mu} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\left(B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|\right)}{(1+\mu)(2 \lambda+\mu)}} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields the desired estimate on $\left|a_{2}\right|$ as asserted in (2.5).
Next, in order to find the bound on $\left|a_{3}\right|$, by subtracting (2.19) from (2.17), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(2 \lambda+\mu)\left(a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(p_{2}-q_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)\left(p_{1}^{2}-q_{1}^{2}\right) . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.20) and (2.21) in (2.25), we have

$$
a_{3}=\frac{1}{4(2 \lambda+\mu)} B_{1}\left(p_{2}-q_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4(\lambda+\mu)^{2}} B_{1}^{2} p_{1}^{2},
$$

which evidently yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{2 \lambda+\mu}+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{(\lambda+\mu)^{2}} . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by using (2.20) and (2.22) in (2.25), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=\frac{B_{1}\left[(\mu+3) p_{2}+(1-\mu) q_{2}\right]+\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right)}{4(1+\mu)(2 \lambda+\mu)}, \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and applying $\left|p_{i}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|q_{i}\right| \leq 2(i=1,2)$ for (2.27), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{2(2 \lambda+\mu)}\left[\frac{\mu+3}{1+\mu}+\frac{|1-\mu|}{1+\mu}\right]+\frac{2\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|}{(1+\mu)(2 \lambda+\mu)} . \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we consider the bounds on $\left|a_{3}\right|$ according to $\mu$.
Case 1. If $0 \leq \mu<1$, then from (2.28)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{2\left(B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|\right)}{(1+\mu)(2 \lambda+\mu)} . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2. If $\mu \geq 1$, then from (2.28)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{2 \lambda+\mu}+\frac{2\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|}{(1+\mu)(2 \lambda+\mu)} . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (2.26), (2.29) and (2.30), we obtain the desired estimate on $\left|a_{3}\right|$ given in (2.6). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Putting $\mu=1$ and $\lambda=\mu=1$ in Theorem 2.1, we respectively get the following Corollaries 2.1 and 2.2.

Corollary 2.1 Iff $\in H_{\sigma}(\lambda, \varphi)(\lambda \geq 1)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{B_{1}}{\lambda+1}, \sqrt{\frac{B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|}{2 \lambda+1}}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\min \left\{\frac{B_{1}}{2 \lambda+1}+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{(\lambda+1)^{2}}, \frac{B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|}{2 \lambda+1}\right\}, & 0 \leq \mu<1 \\ \frac{B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|}{2 \lambda+1}, & \mu \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

Corollary 2.2 Iff $\in H_{\sigma}(\varphi)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{B_{1}}{2}, \sqrt{\frac{B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|}{3}}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\min \left\{\frac{B_{1}}{3}+\frac{B_{1}^{2}}{4}, \frac{B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|}{3}\right\}, & 0 \leq \mu<1 \\ \frac{B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|}{3}, & \mu \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

Remark 2.1 The estimates of the coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ of Corollaries 2.1 and 2.2 are the improvement of the estimates obtained in [14, Theorem 2.1] and [13, Theorem 2.1], respectively.

Remark 2.2 If we set

$$
\varphi(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \beta) z}{1-z}=1+2(1-\beta) z+2(1-\beta) z^{2}+\cdots \quad(0 \leq \beta<1)
$$

in Corollaries 2.1 and 2.2, the results obtained improve the results in [11, Theorem 3.2, inequalities (3.3) and (3.4)] and [10, Theorem 2, inequality (3.3)], respectively.

Definition 2.2 Let $\gamma \in C^{*}=C \backslash\{0\}, \lambda \geq 0$ and $\mu \geq 0$. A function $f \in \sigma$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $M_{\sigma}^{\gamma}(\lambda, \mu, \varphi)$, if the following subordinations hold:

$$
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+(2 \lambda \mu+\lambda-\mu) z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\lambda \mu z^{3} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda+\mu) f(z)+(\lambda-\mu) z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda \mu z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}-1\right) \prec \varphi(z)
$$

and

$$
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)+(2 \lambda \mu+\lambda-\mu) w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)+\lambda \mu w^{3} g^{\prime \prime \prime}(w)}{(1-\lambda+\mu) g(w)+(\lambda-\mu) w g^{\prime}(w)+\lambda \mu w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)}-1\right) \prec \varphi(w),
$$

where the function $g$ is defined by (2.4).

We note that, by choosing appropriate values for $\lambda, \mu, \gamma$ and $\varphi$, the class $M_{\sigma}^{\gamma}(\lambda, \mu, \varphi)$ reduces to several earlier known classes.
(1) $M_{\sigma}^{\gamma}(\lambda, 0, \varphi)=N_{\sigma, \gamma}^{\lambda}(\varphi)\left(\lambda \geq 0, \gamma \in C^{*}\right)$ (see Kumar et al. [14, Definition 2.2]);
(2) $M_{\sigma}^{1}\left(0,0, \frac{1+(1-2 \beta) z}{1-z}\right)=S_{\sigma}^{*}(\beta)(0 \leq \beta<1)$ (see Brannan and Taha [6, Definition 3.1]);
(3) $M_{\sigma}^{1}\left(1,0, \frac{1+(1-2 \beta) z}{1-z}\right)=K_{\sigma}(\beta)(0 \leq \beta<1)$ (see Brannan and Taha [6, Definition 4.1]);
(4) $M_{\sigma}^{1}\left(0,0,\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}\right)=S_{\sigma}^{*}(\alpha)(0<\alpha \leq 1)$ (see Taha [7]).

For functions in the class $M_{\sigma}^{\gamma}(\lambda, \mu, \varphi)$, the following estimates are derived.

Theorem 2.2 Let $\gamma \in C^{*}, \lambda \geq 0$ and $\mu \geq 0$. Iff $\in M_{\sigma}^{\gamma}(\lambda, \mu, \varphi)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{|\gamma| B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|\left[2(6 \lambda \mu+2 \lambda-2 \mu+1)-(2 \lambda \mu+\lambda-\mu+1)^{2}\right] B_{1}^{2} \gamma+2(2 \lambda \mu+\lambda-\mu+1)^{2}\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right)\right|}} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{|\gamma|\left(B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|\right)}{\left|2(6 \lambda \mu+2 \lambda-2 \mu+1)-(2 \lambda \mu+\lambda-\mu+1)^{2}\right|} . \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof If $f \in M_{\sigma}^{\gamma}(\lambda, \mu, \varphi)$, then there are analytic functions $u, v: D \rightarrow D$, with $u(0)=v(0)=$ 0 , satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+(2 \lambda \mu+\lambda-\mu) z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\lambda \mu z^{3} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda+\mu) f(z)+(\lambda-\mu) z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda \mu z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}-1\right)=\varphi(u(z)) \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)+(2 \lambda \mu+\lambda-\mu) w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)+\lambda \mu w^{3} g^{\prime \prime \prime}(w)}{(1-\lambda+\mu) g(w)+(\lambda-\mu) w g^{\prime}(w)+\lambda \mu w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)}-1\right)=\varphi(v(w)) . \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p$ and $q$ be defined as in (2.8), then it is clear from (2.33), (2.34), (2.9) and (2.10) that

$$
\begin{align*}
1+ & \frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+(2 \lambda \mu+\lambda-\mu) z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\lambda \mu z^{3} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda+\mu) f(z)+(\lambda-\mu) z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda \mu z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}-1\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}\right) \tag{2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
1+ & \frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)+(2 \lambda \mu+\lambda-\mu) w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)+\lambda \mu w^{3} g^{\prime \prime \prime}(w)}{(1-\lambda+\mu) g(w)+(\lambda-\mu) w g^{\prime}(w)+\lambda \mu w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)}-1\right) \\
& =\varphi\left(\frac{q(w)-1}{q(w)+1}\right) . \tag{2.36}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.35), (2.36), (2.14) and (2.15) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& (2 \lambda \mu+\lambda-\mu+1) a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} p_{1} \gamma,  \tag{2.37}\\
& -(2 \lambda \mu+\lambda-\mu+1)^{2} a_{2}^{2}+2(6 \lambda \mu+2 \lambda-2 \mu+1) a_{3} \\
& \quad=\gamma\left[\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(p_{2}-\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} p_{1}^{2}\right],  \tag{2.38}\\
& -(2 \lambda \mu+\lambda-\mu+1) a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} q_{1} \gamma \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[4(6 \lambda \mu+2 \lambda-2 \mu+1)-(2 \lambda \mu+\lambda-\mu+1)^{2}\right] a_{2}^{2}-2(6 \lambda \mu+2 \lambda-2 \mu+1) a_{3}} \\
& \quad=\gamma\left[\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(q_{2}-\frac{1}{2} q_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} q_{1}^{2}\right] . \tag{2.40}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (2.37) and (2.39) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}=-q_{1} \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
8(2 \lambda \mu+\lambda-\mu+1)^{2} a_{2}^{2}=B_{1}^{2} \gamma^{2}\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right) . \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.38), (2.40), (2.41) and (2.42), it follows that

$$
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{\gamma^{2} B_{1}^{3}\left(p_{2}+q_{2}\right)}{4\left[\left(2(6 \lambda \mu+2 \lambda-2 \mu+1)-(2 \lambda \mu+\lambda-\mu+1)^{2}\right) B_{1}^{2} \gamma+(2 \lambda \mu+\lambda-\mu+1)^{2}\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right)\right]}
$$

which yields the desired estimate on $\left|a_{2}\right|$ as described in (2.31).

Similarly, it can be obtained from (2.38), (2.40) and (2.41) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{3}= & \frac{\gamma B_{1}\left[p_{2}\left(4(6 \lambda \mu+2 \lambda-2 \mu+1)-(2 \lambda \mu+\lambda-\mu+1)^{2}\right)+q_{2}(2 \lambda \mu+\lambda-\mu+1)^{2}\right]}{8\left[2(6 \lambda \mu+2 \lambda-2 \mu+1)-(2 \lambda \mu+\lambda-\mu+1)^{2}\right](6 \lambda \mu+2 \lambda-2 \mu+1)} \\
& +\frac{2 \gamma\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)(6 \lambda \mu+2 \lambda-2 \mu+1) p_{1}^{2}}{8\left[2(6 \lambda \mu+2 \lambda-2 \mu+1)-(2 \lambda \mu+\lambda-\mu+1)^{2}\right](6 \lambda \mu+2 \lambda-2 \mu+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which easily leads to the desired estimate (2.32) on $\left|a_{3}\right|$.

Taking $\mu=0$ in Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3 [14, Theorem 2.3] If $f \in N_{\sigma, \gamma}^{\lambda}(\varphi)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{|\gamma| B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|\left(1+2 \lambda-\lambda^{2}\right) B_{1}^{2} \gamma+(1+\lambda)^{2}\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right)\right|}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{|\gamma|\left(B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|\right)}{\left|1+2 \lambda-\lambda^{2}\right|} .
$$

Further, for $\gamma=1$, putting $\lambda=0$ and $\lambda=1$ in Corollary 2.3, respectively, we have the following Corollaries 2.4 and 2.5.

Corollary 2.4 [13, Corollary 2.1] Iff $\in M_{\sigma}^{1}(0,0, \varphi)=S T_{\sigma}(\varphi)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|B_{1}^{2}+B_{1}-B_{2}\right|}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|a_{3}\right| \leq B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|
$$

Corollary 2.5 [13, Corollary 2.2] Iff $\in M_{\sigma}^{1}(1,0, \varphi)=C V_{\sigma}(\varphi)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}}{\sqrt{2\left|B_{1}^{2}+2 B_{1}-2 B_{2}\right|}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|\right)
$$

Remark 2.3 If we set

$$
\varphi(z)=\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}=1+2 \alpha z+2 \alpha^{2} z^{2}+\cdots \quad(0<\alpha \leq 1)
$$

and

$$
\varphi(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \beta) z}{1-z}=1+2(1-\beta) z+2(1-\beta) z^{2}+\cdots \quad(0 \leq \beta<1)
$$

in Corollaries 2.4 and 2.5, we obtain the results of Brannan and Taha [6, Theorems 2.1, 3.1 and 4.1, respectively].
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