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#### Abstract

This paper deals with the initial boundary value problem for a class of nonlinear Kirchhoff-type equation with dissipative term $$
u_{t t}-\varphi\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u+a\left|u_{t}\right|^{\alpha-2} u_{t}=b|u|^{\beta-2} u, \quad x \in \Omega, t>0
$$ in a bounded domain, where $a, b>0$ and $\alpha, \beta>2$ are constants. We obtain the global existence of solutions by constructing a stable set in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and show the energy decay estimate by applying a lemma of Komornik. MSC: 35B40; 35L70
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the existence and asymptotic stability of global solutions for the initial boundary value problem of the following Kirchhoff-type equation with nonlinear dissipative term in a bounded domain

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t t}-\varphi\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u+a\left|u_{t}\right|^{\alpha-2} u_{t}=b|u|^{\beta-2} u, \quad x \in \Omega, t>0,  \tag{1.1}\\
& u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{1.2}\\
& u(x, t)=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, t \geq 0 \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $R^{n}$ with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, a, b>0$ and $\alpha, \beta>2$ are constants, $\varphi(s)$ is a $C^{1}$-class function on $[0,+\infty)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(s) \geq m_{0}, \quad s \varphi(s) \geq \int_{0}^{s} \varphi(\theta) d \theta, \quad \forall s \in[0,+\infty) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $m_{0} \geq 1$ is a constant.
If $\Omega=[0, L]$ is an interval of the real line, equation (1.1) describes a small amplitude vibration of an elastic string with fixed endpoints. The original equation is

$$
\rho h u_{t t}+\delta u_{t}+f=\left(\gamma_{0}+\frac{E h}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|u_{x}\right|^{2} d s\right) u_{x x}
$$

where $L$ is the rest length, $E$ is the Young modulus, $\rho$ is the mass density, $h$ is the crosssection area, $\gamma_{0}$ is the initial axial tension, $\delta$ is the resistance modulus and $f$ is a nonlinear perturbation effect.
When $a=b=0, \varphi(s)=s^{r}, r \geq 1$ and $u_{0} \neq 0$ (the mildly degenerate case), the local existence of solutions in Sobolev space was investigated by many author [1-6]. Concerning a global existence of solutions for mildly degenerate Kirchhoff equations, it is natural to add a dissipative term $u_{t}$ or $\Delta u_{t}$.
For $a=1, b=0, \alpha=2, \varphi(s)=s^{r}, r \geq 1$, the problem (1.1)-(1.3) was treated by Nishihara and Yamada [7]. They proved the existence and uniqueness of a global solution $u(t)$ for small data $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in\left(H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ with $u_{0} \neq 0$ and the polynomial decay of the solution. Aassila and Benaissa [8] extended the global existence part of [7] to the case where $\varphi(s) \geq 0$ with $\varphi\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|^{2}\right) \neq 0$ and the case of nonlinear dissipative term case $(a \neq 0)$. In the case $a=0$, for large $\beta$ and $\varphi(s) \geq r>0$, D'Ancona and Spagnolo [9] proved that if $u_{0}, u_{1} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(R^{n}\right)$ are small, then problem (1.1)-(1.3) has a global solution. The nondegenerate case with $\alpha=2, a>0$ and $b=0$ was considered by De Brito, Yamada and Nishihara [10-13], they proved that for small initial data $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in\left(H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ there exists a unique global solution of (1.1)-(1.3) that decays exponentially as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.
When $\varphi(s) \geq 0$, Ghisi and Gobbino [14] proved the existence and uniqueness of a global solution $u(t)$ of the problem (1.1)-(1.3) for small initial data $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in\left(H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega)\right) \times$ $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ with $m\left(\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|^{2}\right) \neq 0$ and the asymptotic behavior $\left(u(t), u_{t}(t), u_{t t}(t)\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{\infty}, 0,0\right)$ in $\left(H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega)\right) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, where either $u_{\infty}=0$ or $\varphi\left(\left\|\nabla u_{\infty}\right\|^{2}\right)=0$.
The case $\varphi(s) \geq r>0$ has been considered by Hosoya and Yamada [15] under the following condition:

$$
0 \leq \beta<\frac{2}{n-4}, \quad n \geq 5 ; \quad 0 \leq \beta<+\infty, \quad n \leq 4
$$

They proved that, if the initial datas are small enough, the problem (1.1)-(1.3) has a global solution which decays exponentially as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.
In this paper, we prove the global existence for the problem (1.1)-(1.3) by applying the potential well theory introduced by Sattinger [16] and Payne and Sattinger [17]. Meanwhile, we obtain the asymptotic stability of global solutions by use of the lemma of Komornik [18].
We adopt the usual notation and convention. Let $H^{m}(\Omega)$ denote the Sobolev space with the norm $\|u\|_{H^{m}(\Omega)}=\left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$ denotes the closure in $H^{m}(\Omega)$ of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. For simplicity of notation, hereafter we denote by $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ the Lebesgue space $L^{p}(\Omega)$ norm, $\|\cdot\|$ denotes $L^{2}(\Omega)$ norm and we write equivalent norm $\|\nabla \cdot\|$ instead of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ norm $\|\cdot\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}$. Moreover, $M$ denotes various positive constants depending on the known constants and it may be difference in each appearance.
This paper is organized as follows: In the next section, we will give some preliminaries. Then in Section 3, we state the main results and give their proof.

## 2 Preliminaries

In order to state and prove our main results, we first define the following functionals:

$$
K(u)=m_{0}\|\nabla u\|^{2}-b\|u\|_{\beta}^{\beta}, \quad J(u)=\frac{m_{0}}{2}\|\nabla u\|^{2}-\frac{b}{\beta}\|u\|_{\beta}^{\beta},
$$

for $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Then we define the stable set $S$ by

$$
S=\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), K(u)>0\right\} \cup\{0\} .
$$

We denote the total energy functional associated with (1.1)-(1.3) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\|\nabla u\|^{2}} \varphi(s) d s-\frac{b}{\beta}\|u\|_{\beta}^{\beta} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), t \geq 0$, and $E(0)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|^{2}} \varphi(s) d s-\frac{b}{\beta}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\beta}^{\beta}$ is the total energy of the initial data.

Lemma 2.1 Let $q$ be a number with $2 \leq q<+\infty, n \leq 2$ and $2 \leq q \leq \frac{2 n}{n-2}, n>2$. Then there is a constant $C$ depending on $\Omega$ and $q$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{q} \leq C\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

Lemma 2.2 [18] Let $y(t): R^{+} \rightarrow R^{+}$be a nonincreasing function and assume that there are two constants $\mu \geq 1$ and $A>0$ such that

$$
\int_{s}^{+\infty} y(t)^{\frac{\mu+1}{2}} d t \leq A y(s), \quad 0 \leq s<+\infty,
$$

then $y(t) \leq C y(0)(1+t)^{-\frac{2}{\mu-1}}, \forall t \geq 0$, if $\mu>1$, where $C$ is positive constants independent of $y(0)$.

Lemma 2.3 Let $u(t, x)$ be a solutions to the problem (1.1)-(1.3). Then $E(t)$ is a nonincreasing function for $t>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} E(t)=-a\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof By multiplying equation (1.1) by $u_{t}$ and integrating over $\Omega$, we get

$$
\frac{d}{d t} E(u(t))=-a\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \leq 0
$$

Therefore, $E(t)$ is a nonincreasing function on $t$.

We state a local existence result, which is known as a standard one (see [6, 19]).

Theorem 2.1 Suppose that $\alpha, \beta>2$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2<\beta<+\infty, \quad n \leq 2 ; \quad 2<\beta \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}, \quad n>2,  \tag{2.3}\\
& 2<\alpha<+\infty, \quad n \leq 2 ; \quad 2<\alpha \leq \frac{2 n}{n-2}, \quad n>2, \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and let $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$. Then there exists $T>0$ such that the problem (1.1)-(1.3) has a unique local solution $u(t)$ in the class

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in C\left([0, T) ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right), \quad u_{t} \in C\left([0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\alpha}(\Omega \times[0, T)) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove the existence of global solutions of the problem (1.1)-(1.3), we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4 Supposed that (2.3) holds, If $u_{0} \in S, u_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=b C^{\beta}\left(\frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} E(0)\right)^{\frac{\beta-2}{2}}<1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $u \in S$, for each $t \in[0, T)$.

Proof Assume that there exists a number $t^{*} \in[0, T)$ such that $u(t) \in S$ on $\left[0, t^{*}\right)$ and $u\left(t^{*}\right) \notin S$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(u\left(t^{*}\right)\right)=0, \quad u\left(t^{*}\right) \neq 0 . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u(t) \in S$ on $\left[0, t^{*}\right)$, it holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u(t)) & =\frac{m_{0}}{2}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}-\frac{b}{\beta}\|u(t)\|_{\beta}^{\beta} \\
& \geq \frac{m_{0}}{2}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}-\frac{m_{0}}{\beta}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}=\frac{(\beta-2) m_{0}}{2 \beta}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}, \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

we have from $K\left(u\left(t^{*}\right)\right)=0$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
J\left(u\left(t^{*}\right)\right) & =\frac{m_{0}}{2}\left\|\nabla u\left(t^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}-\frac{b}{\beta}\left\|u\left(t^{*}\right)\right\|_{\beta}^{\beta} \\
& =\frac{m_{0}}{2}\left\|\nabla u\left(t^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}-\frac{m_{0}}{\beta}\left\|\nabla u\left(t^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}=\frac{(\beta-2) m_{0}}{2 \beta}\left\|\nabla u\left(t^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}, \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

we conclude from (1.4) and (2.1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
E(t) & \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{m_{0}}{2}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}-\frac{b}{\beta}\|u(t)\|_{\beta}^{\beta} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}+J(u(t)) . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we obtain from (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2} \leq \frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} J(u(t)) \leq \frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} E(t) \leq \frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} E(0), \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\forall t \in\left[0, t^{*}\right]$.

By exploiting Lemma 2.1, (2.6) and (2.11), we easily arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
b\|u(t)\|_{\beta}^{\beta} & \leq b C^{\beta}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{\beta}=b C^{\beta}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{\beta-2}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2} \\
& \leq b C^{\beta}\left(\frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} E(0)\right)^{\frac{\beta-2}{2}}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}<\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $t \in\left[0, t^{*}\right]$.
Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(u\left(t^{*}\right)\right)=m_{0}\left\|\nabla u\left(t^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}-b\left\|u\left(t^{*}\right)\right\|_{\beta}^{\beta}>0, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts (2.7). Thus, we conclude that $u(t) \in S$ on $[0, T)$.

## 3 The global existence and nonexistence

Theorem 3.1 Suppose that (2.3) and (2.4) hold, and $u(t)$ is a local solution of problem (1.1)-(1.3) on $[0, T)$. If $u_{0} \in S$ and $u_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ satisfy (2.6), then $u(x, t)$ is a global solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.3).

Proof It suffices to show that $\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}$ is bounded independently of $t$.
Under the hypotheses in Theorem 3.1, we get from Lemma 2.4 that $u(t) \in S$ on $[0, T)$. So the formula (2.8) holds on [0,T).

Therefore, we have from (2.8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{(\beta-2) m_{0}}{2 \beta}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}+J(u(t))=E(t) \leq E(0) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we get

$$
\left\|u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}+\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2} \leq \max \left(2, \frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-2) m_{0}}\right) E(0)<+\infty .
$$

The above inequality and the continuation principle lead to the global existence of the solution, that is, $T=+\infty$. Thus, the solution $u(t)$ is a global solution of the problem (1.1)(1.3).

Now we employ the analysis method to discuss the solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.3) occurs blow-up in finite time. Our result reads as follows.

Theorem 3.2 Assume that (i) $2<\beta<\frac{2 n}{n-2}$, if $n>2$; (ii) $0<\beta<+\infty$, if $n \leq 2$. If $u_{0} \in S$ and $u_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
E(0)<Q_{0}, \quad\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\beta}>S_{0}
$$

where

$$
Q_{0}=\frac{(\beta-2) b}{2 \beta}\left(\frac{m_{0}}{b C^{2}}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta-2}}, \quad S_{0}=\left(\frac{m_{0}}{b C^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta-2}}
$$

with $C>0$ is a positive Sobolev constant. Then the solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.3) does not exist globally in time.

Proof On the contrary, under the conditions in Theorem 3.2, suppose that $u(x, t)$ is a global solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.3); then by Lemma 2.1, it is well known that there exists a constant $C>0$ depending only $n, \beta$ such that $\|u\|_{\beta} \leq C\|\nabla u\|$ for all $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.

From the above inequality, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla u\|^{2} \geq C^{-2}\|u\|_{\beta}^{2} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (1.4), (2.1) and (3.2) that

$$
\begin{align*}
E(t) & =\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\|\nabla u\|^{2}} \varphi(s) d s-\frac{b}{\beta}\|u\|_{\beta}^{\beta} \\
& \geq \frac{m_{0}}{2}\|\nabla u\|^{2}-\frac{b}{\beta}\|u\|_{\beta}^{\beta} \geq \frac{m_{0}}{2 C^{2}}\|u\|_{\beta}^{2}-\frac{b}{\beta}\|u\|_{\beta}^{\beta} . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting

$$
s=s(t)=\|u(t)\|_{\beta}=\left\{\int_{\Omega}|u(x, t)|^{\beta} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta}} .
$$

We denote the right side of (3.3) by $Q(s)=Q\left(\|u(t)\|_{\beta}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(s)=\frac{m_{0}}{2 C^{2}} s^{2}-\frac{b}{\beta} s^{\beta}, \quad s \geq 0 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.4), we obtain

$$
Q^{\prime}(s)=\frac{m_{0}}{C^{2}} s-b s^{\beta-1}
$$

Let $Q^{\prime}(s)=0$, then we obtain $S_{0}=\left(\frac{m_{0}}{b C^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta-2}}$.
As $s=S_{0}$, we have

$$
\left.Q^{\prime \prime}(s)\right|_{s=S_{0}}=\left.\left(\frac{m_{0}}{C^{2}}-b(\beta-1) s^{\beta-2}\right)\right|_{s=S_{0}}=-\frac{m_{0}(\beta-2)}{C^{2}}<0 .
$$

Consequently, the function $Q(s)$ has a single maximum value $Q_{0}$ at $S_{0}$, where

$$
Q_{0}=Q\left(S_{0}\right)=\frac{(\beta-2) b}{2 \beta}\left(\frac{m_{0}}{b C^{2}}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\beta-2}} .
$$

Since the initial data is such that $E(0), s(0)$ satisfies $E(0)<Q_{0},\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\beta}>S_{0}$.
Therefore, we have from Lemma 2.3 that

$$
E(t) \leq E(0)<Q_{0}, \quad \forall t>0 .
$$

At the same time, by (3.3) and (3.4) it is evident that there can be no time $t>0$ for which

$$
E(t)<Q_{0}, \quad s(t)=S_{0} .
$$

Hence, we have also $s(t)>S_{0}$ for all $t>0$ from the continuity of $E(t)$ and $s(t)$.
According to the above contradiction we know that the global solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.3) does not exist, i.e., the solution blows up in some finite time.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

## 4 Energy decay estimate

The following theorem shows the asymptotic behavior of global solutions of the problem (1.1)-(1.3).

Theorem 4.1 If the hypotheses in Theorem 3.2 are valid, then the global solutions of the problem (1.1)-(1.3) has the following asymptotic property:

$$
E(t) \leq M(1+t)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha-2}},
$$

where $M>0$ is a constant depending on initial energy $E(0)$.
Proof Multiplying by $E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} u$ on both sides of the equation (1.1) and integrating over $\Omega \times[S, T]$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} u\left[u_{t t}-\varphi\left(\|\nabla u\|^{2}\right) \Delta u+a\left|u_{t}\right|^{\alpha-2} u_{t}-b u|u|^{\beta-2}\right] d x d t \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq S<T<+\infty$.
Since

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} u u_{t t} d x d t= & \left.\int_{\Omega} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} u u_{t} d x\right|_{S} ^{T}-\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x d t \\
& -\frac{\alpha-2}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-4}{2}} E^{\prime}(t) u u_{t} d x d t \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

So, substituting the formula (4.2) into the right-hand side of (4.1), we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \int_{S}^{T} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\varphi\left(\|\nabla u\|^{2}\right)\|\nabla u\|^{2}-\frac{2 b}{\beta}\|u\|_{\beta}^{\beta}\right) d t \\
& -\int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}}\left[2\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}-a\left|u_{t}\right|^{\alpha-2} u_{t} u\right] d x d t \\
& -\frac{\alpha-2}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-4}{2}} E^{\prime}(t) u u_{t} d x d t+\left.\int_{\Omega} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} u u_{t} d x\right|_{S} ^{T} \\
& +\left(\frac{2}{\beta}-1\right) b \int_{S}^{T} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}}\|u\|_{\beta}^{\beta} d t . \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain from (2.12) and (2.11) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b\left(1-\frac{2}{\beta}\right)\|u\|_{\beta}^{\beta} \leq \delta \frac{\beta-2}{\beta}\|\nabla u\|^{2} \leq \delta \frac{\beta-2}{\beta} \cdot \frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} E(t)=\frac{2 \delta}{m_{0}} E(t) . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We derive from (1.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\|\nabla u\|^{2}} \varphi(s) d s \leq \varphi\left(\|\nabla u\|^{2}\right)\|\nabla u\|^{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5) that

$$
\begin{align*}
2(1 & \left.-\frac{\delta}{m_{0}}\right) \int_{S}^{T} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} d t \\
\leq & \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}}\left[2\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}-a\left|u_{t}\right|^{\alpha-2} u_{t} u\right] d x d t \\
& +\frac{\alpha-2}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-4}{2}} E^{\prime}(t) u u_{t} d x d t-\left.\int_{\Omega} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} u u_{t} d x\right|_{S} ^{T} \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

We have from Lemma 2.1 and (3.1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{\alpha-2}{2} \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-4}{2}} E^{\prime}(t) u u_{t} d x d t\right| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\alpha-2}{2} \int_{S}^{T} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-4}{2}}\left(-E^{\prime}(t)\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}\right) d t \\
& \quad \leq-\frac{\alpha-2}{2} \int_{S}^{T} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-4}{2}} E^{\prime}(t)\left(\frac{\beta C^{2}}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} \cdot \frac{(\beta-2) m_{0}}{2 \beta}\|\nabla u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}\right) d t \\
& \quad \leq-\frac{\alpha-2}{2} \max \left(\frac{\beta C^{2}}{(\beta-2) m_{0}}, 1\right) \int_{S}^{T} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} E^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& \quad=-\left.\frac{\alpha-2}{\alpha} \max \left(\frac{\beta C^{2}}{(\beta-2) m_{0}}, 1\right) E(t)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right|_{S} ^{T} \leq M E(S)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

similarly, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\left|-\int_{\Omega} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} u u_{t} d x\right|_{S}^{T} \right\rvert\, & \leq\left.\max \left(\frac{\beta C^{2}}{(\beta-2) m_{0}}, 1\right) E(t)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right|_{S} ^{T} \\
& \leq M E(S)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the estimates (4.7) and (4.8) into (4.6), we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2\left(1-\frac{\delta}{m_{0}}\right) \int_{S}^{T} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} d t \\
& \quad \leq \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}}\left[2\left|u_{t}\right|^{2}-a\left|u_{t}\right|^{\alpha-2} u_{t} u\right] d x d t+M E(S)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

We get from Young inequality and Lemma 2.3 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}}\left|u_{t}\right|^{2} d x d t & \leq \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(\varepsilon_{1} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}+M\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)\left|u_{t}\right|^{\alpha}\right) d x d t \\
& \leq M \varepsilon_{1} \int_{S}^{T} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} d t+M\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right) \int_{S}^{T}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =M \varepsilon_{1} \int_{S}^{T} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} d t-\frac{M\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)}{a}(E(T)-E(S)) \\
& \leq M \varepsilon_{1} \int_{S}^{T} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} d t+M E(S) \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

From Young inequality, Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.3 and (2.11), We receive that

$$
\begin{align*}
- & a \int_{S}^{T} \int_{\Omega} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} u u_{t}\left|u_{t}\right|^{\alpha-2} d x d t \\
& \leq a \int_{S}^{T} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\|u\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha}+M\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\right) d t \\
& \leq a C^{\alpha} \varepsilon_{2} E(0)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} \int_{S}^{T}\|\nabla u\|^{\alpha} d t+a M\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right) E(S)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} \int_{S}^{T}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha} d t \\
& =a C^{\alpha} \varepsilon_{2} E(0)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} \int_{S}^{T}\left(\frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-2) m_{0}} E(t)\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} d t+M\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right) E(S)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}}(E(S)-E(T)) \\
& \leq C^{\alpha} \varepsilon_{2} E(0)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}}\left(\frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-2) m_{0}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \int_{S}^{T} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} d t+M E(S)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} . \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Choosing small enough $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$, such that

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left[M \varepsilon_{1}+E(0)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}}\left(\frac{2 \beta C^{2}}{(\beta-2) m_{0}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varepsilon_{2}\right]+\frac{\delta}{m_{0}}<1
$$

then, substituting (4.10) and (4.11) into (4.9), we get

$$
\int_{S}^{T} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} d t \leq M E(S)+M E(S)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq M(1+E(0))^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} E(S) .
$$

Therefore, we have from Lemma 2.2 that

$$
E(t) \leq M(1+t)^{-\frac{\alpha-2}{2}}, \quad t \in[0,+\infty)
$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is thus finished.
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