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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove three sharp inequalities as follows: $P(a, b)>L_{2}(a, b)$, $T(a, b)>L_{5}(a, b)$ and $M(a, b)>L_{4}(a, b)$ for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. Here, $L_{r}(a, b), M(a, b)$, $P(a, b)$ and $T(a, b)$ are the $r$ th generalized logarithmic, Neuman-Sándor, first and second Seiffert means of $a$ and $b$, respectively. MSC: 26E60 Keywords: generalized logarithmic mean; Neuman-Sándor mean; first Seiffert mean; second Seiffert mean


## 1 Introduction

The Neuman-Sándor mean $M(a, b)$ [1] and the first and second Seiffert means $P(a, b)$ [2] and $T(a, b)$ [3] of two positive numbers $a$ and $b$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& M(a, b)= \begin{cases}\frac{a-b}{2 \operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\frac{a-b}{a+b}\right)}, & a \neq b, \\
a, & a=b,\end{cases}  \tag{1.1}\\
& P(a, b)= \begin{cases}\frac{a-b}{4 \arctan (\sqrt{a / b})-\pi}, & a \neq b, \\
a, & a=b\end{cases} \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
T(a, b)= \begin{cases}\frac{a-b}{2 \arctan \left(\frac{a-b}{a+b}\right)}, & a \neq b,  \tag{1.3}\\ a, & a=b,\end{cases}
$$

respectively.
Recently, these means, $M, P$ and $T$, have been the subject of intensive research. In particular, many remarkable inequalities for $M, P$ and $T$ can be found in the literature [1, 4-8].

The power mean $M_{p}(a, b)$ of order $r$ of two positive numbers $a$ and $b$ is defined by

$$
M_{p}(a, b)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p}, & p \neq 0 \\ \sqrt{a b}, & p=0\end{cases}
$$

The main properties for $M_{p}(a, b)$ are given in [9]. In particular, the function $p \mapsto M_{p}(a, b)$ $(a \neq b)$ is continuous and strictly increasing on $\mathbb{R}$.

The arithmetic-geometric mean $A G(a, b)$ of two positive numbers $a$ and $b$ is defined as the common limit of sequences $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$, which are given by

$$
a_{0}=a, \quad b_{0}=b, \quad a_{n+1}=\frac{a_{n}+b_{n}}{2}, \quad b_{n+1}=\sqrt{a_{n} b_{n}} .
$$

Let $H(a, b)=2 a b /(a+b), G(a, b)=\sqrt{a b}, L(a, b)=(b-a) /(\log b-\log a), I(a, b)=$ $1 / e\left(b^{b} / a^{a}\right)^{1 /(b-a)}, A(a, b)=(a+b) / 2$, and $S(a, b)=\sqrt{\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) / 2}$ be the harmonic, geometric, logarithmic, identric, arithmetic and root-square means of two positive numbers $a$ and $b$ with $a \neq b$, respectively. Then it is well known that the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(a, b) & =M_{-1}(a, b)<G(a, b)=M_{0}(a, b)<L(a, b)<A G(a, b)<I(a, b) \\
& <A(a, b)=M_{1}(a, b)<M(a, b)<T(a, b)<S(a, b)=M_{2}(a, b)
\end{aligned}
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
For $r>0$ the $r$ th generalized logarithmic mean $L_{r}(a, b)$ of two positive numbers $a$ and $b$ is defined by

$$
L_{r}(a, b)=L^{1 / r}\left(a^{r}, b^{r}\right)= \begin{cases}{\left[\frac{b^{r}-a^{r}}{r(\log b-\log a)}\right]^{1 / r},} & a \neq b  \tag{1.4}\\ a, & a=b\end{cases}
$$

It is not difficult to verify that $L_{r}(a, b)$ is continuous and strictly increasing with respect to $r \in(0,+\infty)$ for fixed $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
In $[2,3]$ Seiffert proved that the double inequalities

$$
L(a, b)<P(a, b)<I(a, b)
$$

and

$$
A(a, b)<T(a, b)<S(a, b)
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
The following bounds for the first Seiffert mean $P(a, b)$ in terms of power mean were presented by Jagers in [10]:

$$
M_{1 / 2}<P(a, b)<M_{2 / 3}(a, b)
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
Hästö [11, 12] proved that the function $x \rightarrow T(1, x) / M_{p}(1, x)$ is increasing on $(0,+\infty)$ if $p \leq 1$ and found the sharp lower power mean bound for the Seiffert mean $P(a, b)$ as follows:

$$
P(a, b)>M_{\log 2 / \log \pi}(a, b)
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.

In [13] the authors presented the following best possible Lehmer mean bounds for the Seiffert means $P(a, b)$ and $T(a, b)$ :

$$
\bar{L}_{-1 / 6}(a, b)<P(a, b)<\bar{L}_{0}(a, b) \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{L}_{0}(a, b)<T(a, b)<\bar{L}_{1 / 3}(a, b)
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. Here, $\bar{L}_{p}(a, b)=\left(a^{p+1}+b^{p+1}\right) /\left(a^{p}+b^{p}\right)$ is the Lehmer mean of $a$ and $b$.
In $[14,15]$ the authors proved that the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1} S(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) A(a, b)<T(a, b)<\beta_{1} S(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{1}\right) A(a, b), \\
& S^{\alpha_{2}}(a, b) A^{1-\alpha_{2}}(a, b)<T(a, b)<S^{\beta_{2}}(a, b) A^{1-\beta_{2}}(a, b)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{3} T(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{3}\right) G(a, b)<A(a, b)<\beta_{3} T(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{3}\right) G(a, b)
$$

hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_{1} \leq(4-\pi) /[(\sqrt{2}-1) \pi], \beta_{1} \geq 2 / 3, \alpha_{2} \leq 2 / 3$, $\beta_{2} \geq 4-2 \log \pi / \log 2, \alpha_{3} \leq 3 / 5$ and $\beta_{3} \geq \pi / 4$.

For all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, the following inequalities can be found in [16, 17]:

$$
L(a, b)=L_{1}(a, b)<A G(a, b)<L_{3 / 2}(a, b)<M_{1 / 2}(a, b) .
$$

Neuman and Sándor [1] established that

$$
P(a, b)<A(a, b)<M(a, b)<T(a, b)
$$

and

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} P(a, b)>A(a, b)>\operatorname{arcsinh}(1) M(a, b)>\frac{\pi}{4} T(a, b)
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. In particular, the Ky Fan inequalities

$$
\frac{G(a, b)}{G\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)}<\frac{L(a, b)}{L\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)}<\frac{P(a, b)}{P\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)}<\frac{A(a, b)}{A\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)}<\frac{M(a, b)}{M\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)}<\frac{T(a, b)}{T\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)}
$$

hold for all $0<a, b \leq 1 / 2$ with $a \neq b, a^{\prime}=1-a$ and $b^{\prime}=1-b$.
It is the aim of this paper to find the best possible generalized logarithmic mean bounds for the Neuman-Sándor and Seiffert means.

## 2 Lemmas

In order to establish our main results, we need three lemmas, which we present in this section.

Lemma 2.1 The inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{x^{4}-1}{4 \log x}\right)^{1 / 2}<\frac{x^{2}-1}{4 \arctan x-\pi} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $x>1$.

Proof Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{x^{4}-1}{4 \log x}-\left(\frac{x^{2}-1}{4 \arctan x-\pi}\right)^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f(x)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{\left(x^{4}-1\right) f_{1}(x)}{4(4 \arctan x-\pi)^{2} \log x} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
f_{1}(x)=(4 \arctan x-\pi)^{2}-\frac{4\left(x^{2}-1\right) \log x}{x^{2}+1} .
$$

Simple computations lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}(1)=0,  \tag{2.4}\\
& f_{1}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{4}{1+x^{2}} f_{2}(x), \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{2}(x)=8 \arctan x-\frac{4 x \log x}{x^{2}+1}-x+\frac{1}{x}-2 \pi, \\
& f_{2}(1)=0,  \tag{2.6}\\
& f_{2}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{f_{3}(x)}{\left(x^{2}+1\right)^{2}}, \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{3}(x)=4\left(x^{2}-1\right) \log x-x^{4}+x^{2}+1-x^{-2}, \\
& f_{3}(1)=0,  \tag{2.8}\\
& f_{3}^{\prime}(x)=8 x \log x-4 x^{3}+6 x-4 x^{-1}+2 x^{-3}, \\
& f_{3}^{\prime}(1)=0,  \tag{2.9}\\
& f_{3}^{\prime \prime}(x)=8 \log x-12 x^{2}+14+4 x^{-2}-6 x^{-4}, \\
& f_{3}^{\prime \prime}(1)=0 \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)=-\frac{8}{x^{3}}(x+1)(x-1)\left(3 x^{4}+2 x^{2}+3\right)<0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x>1$.
Inequality (2.11) implies that $f_{3}^{\prime \prime}(x)$ is strictly decreasing in $[1,+\infty)$, then equation (2.10) leads to the conclusion that $f_{3}^{\prime}(x)$ is strictly decreasing in $[1,+\infty)$.
From equations (2.4)-(2.9) and the monotonicity of $f_{3}^{\prime}(x)$, we clearly see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(x)<0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x>1$.

Therefore, inequality (2.1) follows from equations (2.2) and (2.3) together with inequality (2.12).

Lemma 2.2 The inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{x^{5}-1}{5 \log x}\right)^{1 / 5}<\frac{x-1}{2 \arctan \frac{x-1}{x+1}} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $x>1$.
Proof Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\frac{1}{5} \log \left(\frac{x^{5}-1}{5 \log x}\right)-\log \left(\frac{x-1}{2 \arctan \frac{x-1}{x+1}}\right) . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then simple computations lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& g(1)=0  \tag{2.15}\\
& g^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\left[5 x\left(x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1\right) \log x+x^{5}-1\right] g_{1}(x)}{5 x\left(x^{5}-1\right) \arctan \left(\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right) \log x} \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{1}(x)=\frac{5 x\left(x^{5}-1\right) \log x}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left[5 x\left(x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1\right) \log x+x^{5}-1\right]}-\arctan \frac{x-1}{x+1}, \\
& g_{1}(1)=0,  \tag{2.17}\\
& g_{1}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{x^{3} g_{2}(x)}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{2}\left[5 x\left(x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1\right) \log x+x^{5}-1\right]^{2}}, \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{2}(x)= & 50\left(x^{6}+x^{5}-x^{4}+2 x^{3}-x^{2}+x+1\right) \log ^{2} x \\
& -5\left(x^{9}+2 x^{8}+x^{7}+4 x^{6}+4 x^{5}-4 x-4-x^{-1}-2 x^{-2}-x^{-3}\right) \log x \\
& +4\left(x^{9}+x^{7}-2 x^{4}-2 x^{2}+x^{-1}+x^{-3}\right), \\
g_{2}(1)= & 0,  \tag{2.19}\\
g_{2}^{\prime}(x)= & 50\left(6 x^{5}+5 x^{4}-4 x^{3}+6 x^{2}-2 x+1\right) \log ^{2} x \\
& +5\left(-9 x^{8}-16 x^{7}-7 x^{6}-4 x^{5}-20 x^{3}+40 x^{2}-20 x+24+20 x^{-1}\right. \\
& \left.-x^{-2}-4 x^{-3}-3 x^{-4}\right) \log x+31 x^{8}-10 x^{7}+23 x^{6}-20 x^{5} \\
& -20 x^{4}-32 x^{3}-16 x+20+20 x^{-1}+x^{-2}+10 x^{-3}-7 x^{-4}, \\
g_{2}^{\prime}(1)= & 0,  \tag{2.20}\\
g_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)= & 100\left(15 x^{4}+10 x^{3}-6 x^{2}+6 x-1\right) \log ^{2} x+10\left(-36 x^{7}-56 x^{6}\right. \\
& -21 x^{5}+50 x^{4}+50 x^{3}-70 x^{2}+100 x-30+10 x^{-1}-10 x^{-2}+x^{-3} \\
& \left.+6 x^{-4}+6 x^{-5}\right) \log x+203 x^{7}-150 x^{6}+103 x^{5}-120 x^{4}-80 x^{3} \\
& -196 x^{2}+200 x-116+120 x^{-1}+80 x^{-2}-7 x^{-3}-50 x^{-4}+13 x^{-5},
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{2}^{\prime \prime}(1)= & 0  \tag{2.21}\\
g_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)= & 600\left(10 x^{3}+5 x^{2}-2 x+1\right) \log ^{2} x+10\left(-252 x^{6}-336 x^{5}-105 x^{4}\right. \\
& +500 x^{3}+350 x^{2}-260 x+220-20 x^{-1}-10 x^{-2}+20 x^{-3} \\
& \left.-3 x^{-4}-24 x^{-5}-30 x^{-6}\right) \log x+1,061 x^{6}-1,460 x^{5}+305 x^{4} \\
& +20 x^{3}+260 x^{2}-1,092 x+1,200-300 x^{-1}-20 x^{-2}-260 x^{-3} \\
& +31 x^{-4}+260 x^{-5}-5 x^{-6}, \\
g_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)= & 0  \tag{2.22}\\
g_{2}^{(4)}(x)= & 2 g_{3}(x) \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{3}(x)= & 600\left(15 x^{2}+5 x-1\right) \log ^{2} x+10\left(-756 x^{5}-840 x^{4}\right. \\
& -210 x^{3}+1,300 x^{2}+650 x-250+6 x^{-1}+10 x^{-2}+10 x^{-3} \\
& \left.-30 x^{-4}+6 x^{-5}+60 x^{-6}+90 x^{-7}\right) \log x+1,923 x^{5}-5,330 x^{4} \\
& +85 x^{3}+2,530 x^{2}+2,010 x-1,846+1,100 x^{-1}+50 x^{-2}-30 x^{-3} \\
& +490 x^{-4}-77 x^{-5}-770 x^{-6}-135 x^{-7}, \\
g_{3}(1)= & 0,  \tag{2.24}\\
g_{3}^{\prime}(x)= & 3,000(6 x+1) \log ^{2} x+10\left(-3,780 x^{4}-3,360 x^{3}-630 x^{2}+4,400 x\right. \\
& +1,250-120 x^{-1}-6 x^{-2}-20 x^{-3}-30 x^{-4}+120 x^{-5}-30 x^{-6} \\
& \left.-360 x^{-7}-630 x^{-8}\right) \log x+2,055 x^{4}-29,720 x^{3}-1,845 x^{2}+18,060 x+8,510 \\
& -2,500 x^{-1}-1,040 x^{-2}+190 x^{-4}-2,260 x^{-5}+445 x^{-6}+5,220 x^{-7}+1,845 x^{-8} \\
< & 3,000(6 x+1)(x-1) \log x+10\left(-3,780 x^{4}-3,360 x^{3}-630 x^{2}\right. \\
& +4,400 x+1,250-120 x^{-1}-6 x^{-2}-20 x^{-3}-30 x^{-4}+120 x^{-5}-30 x^{-6} \\
& \left.-360 x^{-7}-630 x^{-8}\right) \log x+2,055 x^{4}-29,720 x^{3}-1,845 x^{2}+18,060 x+8,510 \\
& -2,500 x^{-1}-1,040 x^{-2}+190 x^{-4}-2,260 x^{-5}+445 x^{-6}+5,220 x^{-7}+1,845 x^{-8} \\
= & 10\left(-3,780 x^{4}-3,360 x^{3}+1,170 x^{2}+2,900 x+950-120 x^{-1}-6 x^{-2}\right. \\
& \left.-20 x^{-3}-30 x^{-4}+120 x^{-5}-30 x^{-6}-360 x^{-7}-630 x^{-8}\right) \log x \\
& +2,055 x^{4}-29,720 x^{3}-1,845 x^{2}+18,060 x+8,510-2,500 x^{-1}-1,040 x^{-2} \\
& +190 x^{-4}-2,260 x^{-5}+445 x^{-6}+5,220 x^{-7}+1,845 x^{-8} . \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{4}(x)= & 10\left(-3,780 x^{4}-3,360 x^{3}+1,170 x^{2}+2,900 x+950-120 x^{-1}-6 x^{-2}\right. \\
& \left.-20 x^{-3}-30 x^{-4}+120 x^{-5}-30 x^{-6}-360 x^{-7}-630 x^{-8}\right) \log x \\
& +2,055 x^{4}-29,720 x^{3}-1,845 x^{2}+18,060 x+8,510-2,500 x^{-1} \\
& -1,040 x^{-2}+190 x^{-4}-2,260 x^{-5}+445 x^{-6}+5,220 x^{-7}+1,845 x^{-8} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{4}(1)=-1,040<0,  \tag{2.26}\\
& g_{4}^{\prime}(x)=10 x^{-9} g_{5}(x), \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{5}(x)= & \left(-15,120 x^{12}-10,080 x^{11}+2,340 x^{10}+2,900 x^{9}+120 x^{7}+12 x^{6}\right. \\
& \left.+60 x^{5}+120 x^{4}-600 x^{3}+180 x^{2}+2,520 x+5,040\right) \log x-2,958 x^{12} \\
& -12,276 x^{11}+801 x^{10}+4,706 x^{9}+950 x^{8}+130 x^{7}+202 x^{6}-20 x^{5} \\
& -106 x^{4}+1,250 x^{3}-297 x^{2}-4,014 x-2,106 \\
< & \left(-15,120 x^{11}-10,080 x^{11}+2,340 x^{11}+2,900 x^{11}+120 x^{11}+12 x^{11}\right. \\
& \left.+60 x^{11}+120 x^{11}-600 x^{3}+180 x^{11}+2,520 x^{11}+5,040 x^{11}\right) \log x \\
& -2,958 x^{11}-12,276 x^{11}+801 x^{11}+4,706 x^{11}+950 x^{11}+130 x^{11} \\
& +202 x^{11}-20 x^{5}-106 x^{4}+1,250 x^{11}-297 x^{2}-4,014 x-2,106 \\
= & -\left(11,908 x^{11}+600 x^{3}\right) \log x-7,195 x^{11}-20 x^{5}-106 x^{4}-297 x^{2}-4,014 x-2,106 \\
< & 0 \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

for $x>1$.
Equation (2.27) and inequality (2.28) lead to the conclusion that $g_{4}(x)$ is strictly decreasing in $[1,+\infty)$. Then equation (2.26) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{4}(x)<0 \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x>1$.
Inequalities (2.25) and (2.29) imply that $g_{3}^{\prime}(x)<0$. Then equation (2.24) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{3}(x)<0 \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x>1$.
From equations (2.17)-(2.23) and inequality (2.30), we clearly see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(x)<0 \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x>1$.
Therefore, inequality (2.13) follows easily from equations (2.14)-(2.16) and inequality (2.31).

Lemma 2.3 The inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{arcsinh}^{4}\left(\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right)-\frac{(x-1)^{4} \log x}{4\left(x^{4}-1\right)}<0 \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $x>1$.

Proof Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x)=\log \left[\operatorname{arcsinh}^{4}\left(\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right)\right]-\log \left[\frac{(x-1)^{4} \log x}{4\left(x^{4}-1\right)}\right] . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then simple computations lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& h(1)=0,  \tag{2.34}\\
& h^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\left[4 x\left(x^{2}+x+1\right) \log x+x^{4}-1\right] h_{1}(x)}{x\left(x^{4}-1\right) \operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right) \log x}, \tag{2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{1}(x)= & \frac{4 \sqrt{2} x\left(x^{4}-1\right) \log x}{(x+1)\left[4 x\left(x^{2}+x+1\right) \log x+x^{4}-1\right] \sqrt{1+x^{2}}} \\
& -\operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right) \\
h_{1}(1)= & 0  \tag{2.36}\\
h_{1}^{\prime}(x)= & \frac{\sqrt{2} x^{2} h_{2}(x)}{(x+1)\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}\left[4 x\left(x^{2}+x+1\right) \log x+x^{4}-1\right]^{2}}, \tag{2.37}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{2}(x)= & 16\left(x^{6}+x^{5}+2 x^{3}+x+1\right) \log ^{2} x-4\left(x^{4}-1\right)\left(x^{4}+x^{3}+3 x^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+2 x+3+x^{-1}+x^{-2}\right) \log x+3\left(x^{4}-1\right)^{2}\left(1+x^{-2}\right), \\
h_{2}(1)= & 0,  \tag{2.38}\\
h_{2}^{\prime}(x)= & 2 h_{3}(x), \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{3}(x)= 8\left(6 x^{5}+5 x^{4}+6 x^{2}+1\right) \log ^{2} x-2\left(8 x^{7}+7 x^{6}+10 x^{5}+2 x^{4}+8 x^{3}\right. \\
&\left.-16 x^{2}-4 x-10-8 x^{-1}+x^{-2}+2 x^{-3}\right) \log x+10 x^{7}-2 x^{6} \\
&+3 x^{5}-4 x^{4}-16 x^{3}-2 x+4+6 x^{-1}+2 x^{-2}-x^{-3}, \\
& h_{3}(1)= 0,  \tag{2.40}\\
& h_{3}^{\prime}(x)= x h_{4}(x),  \tag{2.41}\\
& h_{4}(x)= 16\left(15 x^{3}+10 x^{2}+6\right) \log ^{2} x-4\left(28 x^{5}+21 x^{4}+x^{3}-16 x^{2}+12 x\right. \\
&\left.-40-2 x^{-1}-4 x^{-2}+4 x^{-3}-x^{-4}-3 x^{-5}\right) \log x+54 x^{5}-26 x^{4} \\
&-5 x^{3}-20 x^{2}-64 x+32+6 x^{-1}+20 x^{-2}+10 x^{-3}-6 x^{-4}-x^{-5}, \\
& h_{4}(1)= 0,  \tag{2.42}\\
& h_{4}^{\prime}(x)=x h_{5}(x), \tag{2.43}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{5}(x)= & 80(9 x+4) \log ^{2} x-4\left(140 x^{3}+84 x^{2}-117 x-112+12 x^{-1}-48 x^{-2}\right. \\
& \left.+2 x^{-3}+8 x^{-4}-12 x^{-5}+4 x^{-6}+15 x^{-7}\right) \log x+158 x^{3}-188 x^{2}-19 x \\
& +24-112 x^{-1}+160 x^{-2}+2 x^{-3}-24 x^{-4}-46 x^{-5}+28 x^{-6}+17 x^{-7}, \\
h_{5}(1)= & 0,  \tag{2.44}\\
h_{5}^{\prime}(x)= & 720 \log ^{2} x+4\left(-420 x^{2}-168 x+477+160 x^{-1}+12 x^{-2}-96 x^{-3}\right. \\
& \left.+6 x^{-4}+32 x^{-5}-60 x^{-6}+24 x^{-7}+105 x^{-8}\right) \log x-86 x^{2}-712 x \\
& +449+448 x^{-1}+64 x^{-2}-128 x^{-3}-14 x^{-4}+64 x^{-5}+278 x^{-6} \\
& -184 x^{-7}-179 x^{-8}, \\
h_{5}^{\prime}(1)= & 0,  \tag{2.45}\\
h_{5}^{\prime \prime}(x)= & 4 x^{-9} h_{6}(x), \tag{2.46}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{6}(x)= & -8\left(105 x^{10}+21 x^{9}-45 x^{8}+20 x^{7}+3 x^{6}-36 x^{5}+3 x^{4}+20 x^{3}\right. \\
& \left.-45 x^{2}+21 x+105\right) \log x-\left(x^{2}-1\right)\left(463 x^{8}+346 x^{7}-14 x^{6}\right. \\
& \left.+298 x^{5}+6 x^{4}+298 x^{3}-14 x^{2}+346 x+463\right) \\
< & 0 \tag{2.47}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x>1$.
Equations (2.45) and (2.46) together with inequality (2.47) imply that $h_{5}(x)$ is strictly decreasing in $[1,+\infty)$. Then equation (2.44) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{5}(x)<0 \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x>1$.
From equations (2.36)-(2.43) and inequality (2.48), we clearly see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1}(x)<0 \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x>1$.
Therefore, inequality (2.32) follows from equations (2.33)-(2.35) and inequality (2.49).

## 3 Main results

Theorem 3.1 The inequality

$$
P(a, b)>L_{2}(a, b)
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, and $L_{2}(a, b)$ is the best possible lower generalized logarithmic mean bound for the first Seiffert mean $P(a, b)$.

Proof From (1.2) and (1.4), we clearly see that both $P(a, b)$ and $L_{r}(a, b)$ are symmetric and homogenous of degree one. Without loss of generality, we assume that $b=1$ and $a=x^{2}>1$. Then (1.2) and (1.4) lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2}\left(x^{2}, 1\right)-P\left(x^{2}, 1\right)=\left(\frac{x^{4}-1}{4 \log x}\right)^{1 / 2}-\frac{x^{2}-1}{4 \arctan x-\pi} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $P\left(x^{2}, 1\right)>L_{2}\left(x^{2}, 1\right)$ follows from Lemma 2.1 and equation (3.1).
Next, we prove that $L_{2}(a, b)$ is the best possible lower generalized logarithmic mean bound for the first Seiffert mean $P(a, b)$.

For any $\epsilon>0$ and $x>0$, from (1.2) and (1.4), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2+\epsilon}(1+x, 1)-P(1+x, 1)=\left[\frac{(1+x)^{2+\epsilon}-1}{(2+\epsilon) \log (1+x)}\right]^{1 /(2+\epsilon)}-\frac{x}{4 \arctan \sqrt{1+x}-\pi} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $x \rightarrow 0$ and making use of Taylor expansion, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{(1+x)^{2+\epsilon}-1}{(2+\epsilon) \log (1+x)}\right]^{1 /(2+\epsilon)}-\frac{x}{4 \arctan \sqrt{1+x}-\pi}} \\
& \quad=\left[1+\frac{2+\epsilon}{2} x+\frac{(2+\epsilon)(1+2 \epsilon)}{12} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right)\right]^{1 /(2+\epsilon)}-\frac{x}{x-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+\frac{7}{24} x^{3}+o\left(x^{3}\right)} \\
& \quad=\left[1+\frac{1}{2} x-\frac{1-\epsilon}{24} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right)\right]-\left[1+\frac{1}{2} x-\frac{1}{24} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right)\right] \\
& \quad=\frac{\epsilon}{24} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (3.2) and (3.3) imply that for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists $\delta_{1}=\delta_{1}(\epsilon)>0$ such that $L_{2+\epsilon}(1+x, 1)>P(1+x, 1)$ for $x \in\left(0, \delta_{1}\right)$.

Remark 3.1 It follows from (1.2) and (1.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{P(x, 1)}{L_{\lambda}(x, 1)}=\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\lambda^{1 / \lambda}(1-1 / x) \log ^{1 / \lambda} x}{\pi\left(1-x^{-\lambda}\right)^{1 / \lambda}}=+\infty \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\lambda>0$.
Equation (3.4) implies that $\lambda>0$ such that $L_{\lambda}(a, b)>P(a, b)$ for all $a, b>0$ does not exist.

Theorem 3.2 The inequality

$$
T(a, b)>L_{5}(a, b)
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, and $L_{5}(a, b)$ is the best possible lower generalized logarithmic mean bound for the second Seiffert mean $T(a, b)$.

Proof Without loss of generality, we assume that $b=1$ and $a=x>1$. Then (1.3) and (1.4) lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{5}(x, 1)-T(x, 1)=\left(\frac{x^{5}-1}{5 \log x}\right)^{1 / 5}-\frac{x-1}{2 \arctan \frac{x-1}{x+1}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $T(x, 1)>L_{5}(x, 1)$ follows from Lemma 2.2 and equation (3.5).
Next, we prove that $L_{5}(a, b)$ is the best possible lower generalized logarithmic mean bound for the second Seiffert mean $T(a, b)$.

For any $\epsilon>0$ and $x>0$, from (1.3) and (1.4), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{5+\epsilon}(1+x, 1)-T(1+x, 1)=\left[\frac{(1+x)^{5+\epsilon}-1}{(5+\epsilon) \log (1+x)}\right]^{1 /(5+\epsilon)}-\frac{x}{2 \arctan \frac{x}{2+x}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $x \rightarrow 0$ and making use of Taylor expansion, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{(1+x)^{5+\epsilon}-1}{(5+\epsilon) \log (1+x)}\right]^{1 /(5+\epsilon)}-\frac{x}{2 \arctan \frac{x}{2+x}}} \\
& \quad=\left[1+\frac{5+\epsilon}{2} x+\frac{(5+\epsilon)(7+2 \epsilon)}{12} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right)\right]^{1 /(5+\epsilon)}-\frac{x}{x-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+\frac{1}{6} x^{3}+o\left(x^{3}\right)} \\
& \quad=\left[1+\frac{1}{2} x+\frac{2+\epsilon}{24} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right)\right]-\left[1+\frac{1}{2} x+\frac{1}{12} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right)\right] \\
& \quad=\frac{\epsilon}{24} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right) . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (3.6) and (3.7) imply that for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists $\delta_{2}=\delta_{2}(\epsilon)>0$ such that $L_{5+\epsilon}(1+x, 1)>T(1+x, 1)$ for $x \in\left(0, \delta_{2}\right)$.

Remark 3.2 It follows from (1.3) and (1.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{T(x, 1)}{L_{\mu}(x, 1)}=\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{2 \mu^{1 / \mu}(1-1 / x) \log ^{1 / \mu} x}{\pi\left(1-x^{-\mu}\right)^{1 / \mu}}=+\infty \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\mu>0$.
Equation (3.8) implies that $\mu>0$ such that $L_{\mu}(a, b)>T(a, b)$ for all $a, b>0$ does not exist.

## Theorem 3.3 The inequality

$$
M(a, b)>L_{4}(a, b)
$$

holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, and $L_{4}(a, b)$ is the best possible lower generalized logarithmic mean bound for the Neuman-Sándor mean $M(a, b)$.

Proof Without loss of generality, we assume that $b=1$ and $a=x>1$. Then (1.1) and (1.4) lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{4}^{4}(x, 1)-M^{4}(x, 1) \\
& \quad=\frac{x^{4}-1}{4 \log x}-\frac{(x-1)^{4}}{16 \operatorname{arcsinh}^{4}\left(\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right)} \\
& \quad=\frac{x^{4}-1}{4 \operatorname{arcsinh}^{4}\left(\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right) \log x}\left[\operatorname{arcsinh}^{4}\left(\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right)-\frac{(x-1)^{4} \log x}{4\left(x^{4}-1\right)}\right] . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $M(x, 1)>L_{4}(x, 1)$ follows from Lemma 2.3 and equation (3.9).

Next, we prove that $L_{4}(a, b)$ is the best possible lower generalized logarithmic mean bound for the Neuman-Sándor mean $M(a, b)$.

For any $\epsilon>0$ and $x>0$, from (1.1) and (1.4), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{4+\epsilon}(1+x, 1)-T(1+x, 1)=\left[\frac{(1+x)^{4+\epsilon}-1}{(4+\epsilon) \log (1+x)}\right]^{1 /(4+\epsilon)}-\frac{x}{2 \operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\frac{x}{2+x}\right)} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $x \rightarrow 0$ and making use of Taylor expansion, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{(1+x)^{4+\epsilon}-1}{(4+\epsilon) \log (1+x)}\right]^{1 /(4+\epsilon)}-\frac{x}{2 \operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\frac{x}{2+x}\right)}} \\
& \quad=\left[1+\frac{4+\epsilon}{2} x+\frac{(4+\epsilon)(5+2 \epsilon)}{12} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right)\right]^{1 /(4+\epsilon)}-\frac{x}{x-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+\frac{5}{24} x^{3}+o\left(x^{3}\right)} \\
& \quad=\left[1+\frac{1}{2} x+\frac{1+\epsilon}{24} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right)\right]-\left[1+\frac{1}{2} x+\frac{1}{24} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right)\right] \\
& \quad=\frac{\epsilon}{24} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right) . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (3.10) and (3.11) imply that for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists $\delta_{3}=\delta_{3}(\epsilon)>0$ such that $L_{4+\epsilon}(1+x, 1)>M(1+x, 1)$ for $x \in\left(0, \delta_{3}\right)$.

Remark 3.3 It follows from (1.1) and (1.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{M(x, 1)}{L_{v}(x, 1)}=\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{v^{1 / v}(1-1 / x) \log ^{1 / v} x}{2 \operatorname{arcsinh}(1)\left(1-x^{-v}\right)^{1 / v}}=+\infty \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v>0$.
Equation (3.12) implies that $v>0$ such that $L_{v}(a, b)>M(a, b)$ for all $a, b>0$ does not exist.
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