RESEARCH

Open Access

Lyapunov-type inequalities for 2*M*th order equations under clamped-free boundary conditions

Kohtaro Watanabe^{1*}, Kazuo Takemura², Yoshinori Kametaka³, Atsushi Nagai² and Hiroyuki Yamagishi⁴

*Correspondence: wata@nda.ac.jp ¹Department of Computer Science, National Defense Academy, 1-10-20 Hashirimizu, Yokosuka, 239-8686, Japan Full list of author information is

available at the end of the article

Abstract

This paper generalizes the well-known Lyapunov-type inequalities for second-order linear differential equations to certain 2*M*th order linear differential equations

 $(-1)^{M} u^{(2M)}(x) - r(x)u(x) = 0 \quad (-s \le x \le s)$

under clamped-free boundary conditions. The usage of the best constant of some kind of a Sobolev inequality helps clarify the process for obtaining the result.

1 Introduction

Let us consider the second-order linear differential equation

$$\begin{cases} u''(x) + r(x)u(x) = 0 & (-s \le x \le s), \\ u(\pm s) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where $r \in C((-s, s), \mathbb{R})$. It is well known that the Lyapunov inequality

$$\int_{-s}^{s} r^{+}(x) \, dx > \frac{2}{s} \tag{2}$$

gives a necessary condition for the existence of non-trivial classical solutions of (1), where $r^+(x) = (r(x) + |r(x)|)/2$. There are various extensions and applications for the above result; see, for example, surveys of Brown and Hinton [1] for relations to other fields and Tiryaki [2] for recent developments. Extensions to higher-order equations

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(n)}(x) + r(x)u(x) &= 0 \quad (-s \le x \le s), \\ \text{Boundary Conditions,} \end{aligned}$$
 (3)

will be one important aspect. The first result for the high-order equation (3) is due to Levin [3], which states without proof:

© 2012 Watanabe et al.; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Theorem A Let n = 2M, and a non-trivial solution of (3) satisfies the clamped boundary condition, $u^{(i)}(\pm s) = 0$ (i = 0, 1, ..., M - 1). Then it holds that

$$\int_{-s}^{s} r^{+}(x) \, dx > \frac{2^{2M-1}(2M-1)\{(M-1)!\}^2}{s^{2M-1}}.$$

Later, Das and Vatsala [4] gave the proof and extended the result by constructing the Green function. Other interesting developments for higher-order equations are seen in [5–9]. For example, as shown in Yang [8], Lyapunov-type inequalities can be obtained under the following conditions:

(a)
$$n = 2M + 1, u^{(i)}(\pm s) = 0$$
 $(i = 0, ..., M - 1),$
 $u^{(2M)}(d) = 0$ $(-s < d < s)$ (see also [10])
(b) $n \ge 2, u(-s) = u(t_2) = \cdots = u(t_{n-1}) = u(s) = 0,$
where, $-s = t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{n-1} < t_n = s$ (see also [10])
(c) $n = 2M, u^{(2i)}(\pm s) = 0$ $(i = 0, ..., M - 1)$ (see also [5])
(d) $n \ge 2, u^{(i)}(-s) = 0$ $(i = 0, ..., k - 1), u^{(j)}(s) = 0$ $(j = 0, ..., n - k - 1)$
where k runs over the range $(1 \le k \le n).$

Here we note for the condition (c), very recently Çakmak [11], He and Tang [12], He and Zang [13] and [14] improved and extended the results of [5] and [8]. This paper considers the necessary condition for the existence of a non-trivial solution of the 2*M*th order linear differential equation

$$(-1)^{M} u^{(2M)}(x) - r(x)u(x) = 0 \quad (-s \le x \le s)$$
(4)

under yet another boundary condition:

Clamped-free boundary condition

$$u^{(i)}(-s) = 0,$$
 $u^{(M+i)}(s) = 0$ $(i = 0, ..., M-1).$

The main result is as follows.

Theorem 1 Suppose a non-trivial solution u of (4) exists under the clamped-free boundary condition, then it holds

$$\int_{-s}^{s} r^{+}(x) \, dx > \frac{\{(M-1)!\}^{2}(2M-1)}{(2s)^{2M-1}}.$$
(5)

Moreover, the estimate is sharp in the sense that there exists a function r(x), and for this r(x), the solution u of (4) exits such that the right-hand side is arbitrarily close to the left-hand side.

The result is obtained using Takemura [15, Theorem 1], which computes the best constant of some kind of a Sobolev inequality. In Section 4, we give a concise proof for an L^p extension of Theorem 1 of [15].

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Now, let us introduce the following L^p -type Sobolev inequality:

$$\left(\sup_{-s \le x \le s} \left| u^{(m)}(x) \right| \right)^p \le C \int_{-s}^{s} \left| u^{(M)}(x) \right|^p dx,\tag{6}$$

where *u* belongs to

$$W(M,p) := \left\{ u | u^{(M)} \in L^p(-s,s), u^{(i)}(-s) = 0 \ (i = 0, \dots, M-1) \right\},\$$

1 < p, m runs over the range $0 \le m \le M - 1$, and $u^{(i)}$ is the *i*th derivative of u in a distributional sense. We denote by $C_{CF}(M, m, p)$ the best constant of the above Sobolev inequality (6). Here, we note that in [15], Takemura obtained the best constant for p = 2, m = 0 by constructing the Green function of the clamped-free boundary value problem. Although, for the proof of Theorem 1, we simply need the value $C_{CF}(M, 0, 2)$, we would like to compute $C_{CF}(M, m, p)$ for general p and m since the proof presented in Section 4 does not depend on special values of p and m and quite simplifies the proof of Theorem 1 of [15]. Now, we have the following propositions.

Proposition 1 The best constant of (6) is

$$C_{CF}(M,m,p) = \frac{1}{\{(M-m-1)!\}^p} \left(\frac{(p-1)(2s)^{\frac{p(M-m)-1}{p-1}}}{p(M-m)-1}\right)^{p-1},\tag{7}$$

and it is attained by

$$u_*(x) = \int_{-s}^{x} \frac{(x-t)^{M-1}}{(M-1)!} \cdot \left\{ \frac{(s-t)^{M-1-m}}{(M-1-m)!} \right\}^{p-1} dt.$$
(8)

Proposition 2 Suppose a $C^{2M}[-s,s]$ solution of (4) with the clamped-free boundary condition exists, then it holds that

$$\int_{-s}^{s} r^{+}(x) \, dx > \frac{1}{C_{CF}(M, 0, 2)}.$$
(9)

Moreover, the estimate is sharp.

Proof of Theorem 1 Clearly, Theorem 1 is obtained from Propositions 1 and 2. \Box

Thus, all we have to do is to show Propositions 1 and 2. Before proceeding with the proof of these propositions, we would like to show a corollary obtained from Proposition 1.

Corollary 1 Suppose a non-trivial solution u of the non-linear equation

$$(-1)^{M}u(x)u^{(2M)}(x) - r(x)(u^{(m)}(x))^{2} = 0$$
⁽¹⁰⁾

exists under the clamped-free boundary condition, where *m* satisfies $(1 \le m \le M - 1)$, then *it holds*

$$\int_{-s}^{s} r^{+}(x) \, dx > \frac{\{(M-1-m)!\}^{2}(2(M-m)-1)}{(2s)^{2(M-m)-1}}.$$
(11)

The following are the examples of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1.

Example 1 The following example corresponds to the case M = 1 and $r(x) = -6/(-11s^2 + 2sx + x^2)$ of (4) with the clamped-free boundary condition

$$\begin{cases} -u''(x) + \frac{6}{-11s^2 + 2sx + x^2}u(x) = 0, \\ u(-s) = u'(s) = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $u(x) = -(s + x)(11s^2 - 2sx - x^2)$ is the solution of the above equation. Moreover, it holds that

$$\int_{-s}^{s} r^{+}(x) \, dx = \int_{-s}^{s} -\frac{6}{-11s^{2} + 2sx + x^{2}} \, dx = \frac{\sqrt{3}\log(2 + \sqrt{3})}{2s} > \frac{1}{C_{CF}(1, 0, 2)} = \frac{1}{2s}.$$

Example 2 The following example corresponds to the case M = 2, m = 1 and $r(x) = (3(17s^2 - 6sx + x^2))/(2(7s^2 - 4sx + x^2)^2)$ of (10) with the clamped-free boundary condition

$$\begin{cases} u(x)u^{(4)}(x) - \frac{3(17s^2 - 6sx + x^2)}{2(7s^2 - 4sx + x^2)^2}(u'(x))^2 = 0, \\ u(-s) = u'(-s) = u''(s) = u'''(s) = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $u(x) = (s + x)^2(17s^2 - 6sx + x^2)$ is the solution of the above equation. Moreover, it holds that

$$\int_{-s}^{s} r^{+}(x) \, dx = \int_{-s}^{s} \frac{3(17s^{2} - 6sx + x^{2})}{2(7s^{2} - 4sx + x^{2})^{2}} \, dx = \frac{3 + 2\sqrt{3}\pi}{12s} > \frac{1}{C_{CF}(2, 1, 2)} = \frac{1}{2s}$$

3 Proof of Proposition 2

Assuming Proposition 1, we first prove Proposition 2.

Proof of Proposition 2 Let u be a solution of equation (4). Since u satisfies the clamped-free boundary condition, multiplying (4) by u and integrating it over [-s, s], we have

$$\int_{-s}^{s} (u^{(M)}(x))^{2} dx = \int_{-s}^{s} (-1)^{M} u(x) u^{(2M)}(x) dx = \int_{-s}^{s} r(x) (u(x))^{2} dx$$

$$\leq \left(\sup_{-s \leq x \leq s} |u(x)| \right)^{2} \int_{-s}^{s} r^{+}(x) dx$$

$$\leq C(M, 0, 2) \int_{-s}^{s} (u^{(M)}(x))^{2} dx \int_{-s}^{s} r^{+}(x) dx.$$
(12)

Here, if $u^{(M)} \equiv 0$, then there exists $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ (i = 0, ..., M-1) such that $u(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} a_i x^i$. Since u satisfies the clamped boundary condition at x = -s, we have $u \equiv 0$. This contradicts the assumption that u is a non-trivial solution of (4). So, canceling $||u^{(M)}||_2^2$, we obtain

$$\int_{-s}^{s} r^{+}(x) \, dx \ge \frac{1}{C_{CF}(M, 0, 2)}.$$
(13)

Next, we show that the inequality (13) is strict. To see this, we note that in (12), if the equality holds for the first inequality, then u is a constant. But, again from the clamped

boundary condition at x = -s, we have $u \equiv 0$. Thus, the inequality is strict. Finally, we see (5) is sharp. For this purpose, let us define the functional

$$J(\phi) := \frac{\int_{-s}^{s} |\phi^{(M)}|^2 dx}{\int_{-s}^{s} \tilde{r} |\phi|^2 dx} \quad \big(\phi \in W(M, 2), \phi \neq 0\big),$$

where $\tilde{r} \in C([-s,s], \mathbb{R}_+)$ is defined later. By the standard argument of the variational method, *J* has the minimizer $u \in W(M, 2)$ (see, for example, [16, Lemma 3]), *i.e.*,

$$\lambda_1 := \min_{\phi \in W(M,2), \phi \neq 0} J(\phi) = J(u).$$

Hence, it satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation (as a classical solution by the regularity argument)

$$(-1)^{M} u^{(2M)}(x) = \lambda_1 \tilde{r}(x) u(x) \quad (-s \le x \le s).$$
(14)

Further, it holds that

$$\lambda_{1} = \min_{\phi \in W(M,2), \phi \neq 0} \frac{\int_{-s}^{s} |\phi^{(M)}|^{2} dx}{\int_{-s}^{s} \tilde{r} |\phi|^{2} dx} > \frac{\int_{-s}^{s} |\phi^{(M)}|^{2} dx}{(\sup_{-s \leq x \leq s} |\phi|)^{2} \int_{-s}^{s} \tilde{r} dx}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{C_{CF}(M,0,2) \int_{-s}^{s} \tilde{r} dx}.$$
(15)

Here, let us fix \tilde{r} as

$$\tilde{r}(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{x-s}{\delta} + 1 & (s-\delta < x \le s), \\ 0 & (-s \le x \le s - \delta). \end{cases}$$

For such \tilde{r} , let us substitute $\phi = u_*$ (of Proposition 1) into (15). It is easy to see that u_* takes its maximum at x = s, hence by taking δ sufficiently small, we see that the right-hand side of (15) can be arbitrarily close to the left-hand side, *i.e.*, for a small positive ϵ_1 , λ_1 can be written as

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{C_{CF}(M,0,2)\int_{-s}^s \tilde{r} \, dx} + \epsilon_1. \tag{16}$$

Putting $r = \lambda_1 \tilde{r}$, we see from (14) a solution *u* of

$$(-1)^{M} u^{(2M)}(x) = r(x)u(x) \quad (-s \le x \le s)$$
(17)

exists, and from (16) r satisfies

$$\int_{-s}^{s} r(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{C(M,0,2)} + \epsilon_1 \int_{-s}^{s} \tilde{r} \, dx = \frac{1}{C(M,0,2)} + \epsilon_2. \tag{18}$$

Hence, (5) is sharp.

Proof of Corollary 1 Integrating equation (10), we have

$$\int_{-s}^{s} (u^{(M)}(x))^{2} dx = \int_{-s}^{s} r(x) (u^{(m)}(x))^{2} dx \leq \left(\sup_{-s \leq x \leq s} \left| u^{(m)}(x) \right| \right)^{2} \int_{-s}^{s} r^{+}(x) dx$$
$$\leq C(M, m, 2) \int_{-s}^{s} (u^{(M)}(x))^{2} dx \int_{-s}^{s} r^{+}(x) dx.$$
(19)

As in the proof of Proposition 2, by canceling $||u^{(M)}||_2^2$, we have

$$\int_{-s}^{s} r^+(x) \, dx \leq \frac{1}{C_{CF}(M,m,2)}.$$

Next, we show that the inequality (11) is strict. To see this, we note that in (19), the equality holds for the first inequality if and only if $u^{(m)}$ is a constant. Hence, from the clamped boundary condition at x = -s, we have $u^{(m)} \equiv 0$. So, there exists $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ (i = 0, ..., m - 1) such that $u(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i x^i$. But, again from the clamped boundary condition at x = -s, we have $u \equiv 0$. Thus, inequality (11) is strict.

4 Proof of Proposition 1

We prepare the following lemmas for the proof of Proposition 1.

Lemma 1 Suppose there exists a function $u_* \in W(M,p)$ which attains the best constant C(M,n,p) of (6), then it holds that

$$\max_{-s \le x \le s} |u_*^{(m)}(x)| = |u_*^{(m)}(s)|.$$

Proof Suppose it holds that

$$\max_{-s \le x \le s} |u_*^{(m)}(x)| = |u_*^{(m)}(a)|,$$

where $a \neq s$. Further, let us define

$$ilde{u}(x) \coloneqq egin{cases} 0 & (-s \leq x \leq -a), \ u_*(x+a-s) & (-a \leq x \leq s). \end{cases}$$

Then it holds $\tilde{u} \in W(M, p)$ and

$$\max_{-s \le x \le s} \left| \tilde{u}^{(m)}(x) \right| = \max_{-s \le x \le s} \left| u^{(m)}_*(x) \right| = \left| u^{(m)}_*(a) \right|$$

and $\|\tilde{u}^{(M)}\|_{L^p(-s,s)} < \|u_*^{(M)}\|_{L^p(-s,s)}$. Hence,

$$C(M,m,p) = \frac{(\max_{-s \le x \le s} |u_*^{(m)}(x)|)^p}{\|u_*^{(M)}\|_{L^p(-s,s)}} < \frac{(\max_{-s \le x \le s} |\tilde{u}^{(m)}(x)|)^p}{\|\tilde{u}^{(M)}\|_{L^p(-s,s)}}$$

This contradicts the assumption that C(M, m, p) is the best constant of (6).

Lemma 2 Let

$$H_m(x) := \frac{(-1)^{M-1-m}(x-s)^{M-1-m}}{(M-1-m)!},$$

then for $u \in W(M, p)$ it holds that

$$u^{(m)}(s) = \int_{-s}^{s} u^{(M)}(x) H_m(x) \, dx.$$
⁽²⁰⁾

Proof Integrating by parts, we obtain the result.

Proof of Proposition 1 From Lemma 2, we see that if the function attains the best constant C(M, m, p), it belongs to $W_*(M, m, p) \subset W(M, p)$:

$$W_*(M, m, p) = \left\{ u \in W(M, p) | \max_{-s \le x \le s} | u^{(m)}(x) | = | u^{(m)}(s) | \right\}.$$

Let $u \in W_*(M, m, p)$. Then applying Hölder's inequality to (20), we have

$$\max_{-s \le x \le s} |u^{(m)}(x)| = |u^{(m)}(s)| \le ||H_m||_{L^q(-s,s)} ||u^{(M)}||_{L^p(-s,s)},$$
(21)

where *q* satisfies 1/p + 1/q = 1. Hence, if there exists the function $u_* \in W_*(M, m, p)$ which attains the equality of (21), it holds that $C(M, m, p) = ||H_m||_{L^q(-s,s)}^p$. On the contrary, we see that the equality holds for (21) if and only if *u* satisfies

$$u^{(M)}(x) = \left(\text{sgn} H_m(x) \right) \left| H_m(x) \right|^{q-1}.$$
(22)

It is easy to see that

$$u_*(x) = \int_{-s}^x \frac{(x-t)^{m-1}}{(M-1)!} \left(\operatorname{sgn} H_m(t) \right) \left| H_m(t) \right|^{q-1} dt = \int_{-s}^x \frac{(x-t)^{m-1}}{(M-1)!} \left\{ \frac{(s-t)^{M-1-m}}{(M-1-m)!} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} dt$$

satisfies (22) and belongs to $W_*(M, m, p)$. Thus, we have shown $C(M, m, p) = ||H_m||_{L^q(-s,s)}^p$. Now, we compute $||H_m||_{L^q(-s,s)}^p$. It is

$$\begin{split} \|H_m\|_{L^q(-s,s)}^p &= \frac{1}{\{(M-1-m)!\}^p} \left\{ \int_{-s}^{s} (s-x)^{q(M-1-m)} \, dx \right\}^{\frac{p}{q}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\{(M-1-m)!\}^p} \left\{ \frac{(p-1)(2s)^{\frac{p(M-m)-1}{p-1}}}{p(M-m)-1} \right\}^{p-1}. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Computer Science, National Defense Academy, 1-10-20 Hashirimizu, Yokosuka, 239-8686, Japan. ²Liberal Arts and Basic Sciences, College of Industrial Technology, Nihon University, 2-11-1 Shinei, Narashino, 275-8576, Japan. ³Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Engineering Science, Osaka University, 1-3 Matikaneyamacho, Toyonaka, 560-8531, Japan. ⁴Tokyo Metropolitan College of Industrial Technology, 1-10-40 Higashi-ooi, Shinagawa, Tokyo, 140-0011, Japan.

Received: 29 May 2012 Accepted: 9 October 2012 Published: 23 October 2012

References

- 1. Brown, R, Hinton, D: Lyapunov inequalities and their applications. In: Rassias, TM (ed.) Surveys in Classical Inequalities. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (2000)
- 2. Tiryaki, A: Recent developments of Lyapunov-type inequalities. Adv. Dyn. Syst. Appl. 5, 231-248 (2010)
- 3. Levin, A: Distribution of the zeros of solutions of a linear differential equation. Sov. Math. Dokl. 5, 818-821 (1964)
- 4. Das, KM, Vatsala, AS: Green's function for *n*-*n* boundary problem and an analogue of Hartman's result. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **51**, 670-677 (1975)
- 5. Cheng, SS: Lyapunov inequality for system disconjugacy of even order differential equations. Tamkang J. Math. 22, 193-197 (1991)
- Pachpatte, BG: On Lyapunov type inequalities for certain higher order differential equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 195, 527-536 (1995)
- Parhi, N, Panigrash, S: On Lyapunov type inequality for third-order differential equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 233, 445-460 (1999)
- 8. Yang, X: On inequalities of Lyapunov type. Appl. Math. Comput. 134, 293-300 (2003)
- 9. Yang, X, Lo, K: Lyapunov-type inequality for a class of even-order differential equations. Appl. Math. Comput. 215, 3884-3890 (2010)
- 10. Parhi, N, Panigrash, S: Lyapunov-type inequality for higher order differential equations. Math. Slovaca 52, 31-46 (2002)
- Çakmak, D: Lyapunov type integral inequalities for certain higher order differential equations. Appl. Math. Comput. 216. 368-373 (2010)
- 12. He, X, Tang, X: Lyapunov-type inequalities for even order differential equations. Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 11, 465-473 (2012)
- He, X, Zhang, Q: Lyapunov-type inequalities for a class of even-order differential equations. J. Inequal. Appl. 2012, 5 (2012)
- 14. Watanabe, K, Yamagishi, H, Kametaka, Y: Riemann zeta function and Lyapunov-type inequalities for certain higher order differential equations. Appl. Math. Comput. **218**, 3950-3953 (2011)
- Takemura, K: The beat constant of Sobolev inequality corresponding to clamped-free boundary value problem for (-1)^M(d/dx)^{2M}. Proc. Jpn. Acad. 85, 112-117 (2009)
- Watanabe, K: Lyapunov type inequality for the equation including 1-dim p-Laplacian. Math. Inequal. Appl. 15, 657-662 (2012)

doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2012-242

Cite this article as: Watanabe et al.: Lyapunov-type inequalities for 2*M*th order equations under clamped-free boundary conditions. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2012 2012:242.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com