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#### Abstract

The Blasius problem has been used to describe the steady two-dimensional flow of a slightly viscous incompressible fluid past a flat plate moving at a constant speed $\beta$; and it is well known that there exists the critical value $\beta^{*}<0$ such that it has at least one solution for each $\beta \geq \beta^{*}$ and has no positive solution for $\beta<\beta^{*}$. The known numerical result shows $\beta^{*} \doteq-0.3541$. In this paper, by the study of the integral equation equivalent to the Blasius problem, we obtain the relation between the velocity function $f^{\prime}$ and the shear stress functions $f^{\prime \prime}$, upper and lower bounds of $\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|$ and a new lower bound of $\beta^{*}$. In particular, $\sqrt[4]{27} / 9 \leq\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\| \leq \sqrt{3} / 3, \beta^{*}>-0.45$. Regarding $\beta^{*}$, previous results presented a lower bound -0.5 and an upper bound -0.18733.
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## 1 Introduction

The Blasius problem [1] arising in the boundary layer problems in fluid mechanics

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}(\eta)+f(\eta) f^{\prime \prime}(\eta)=0 \quad \text { on }[0, \infty) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(0)=0, \quad f^{\prime}(0)=\beta \quad \text { and } \quad f^{\prime}(\infty)=1, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been used to describe the steady two-dimensional flow of a slightly viscous incompressible fluid past a flat plate. It also arises in the study of the mixed convection in porous media [2], where $\eta$ is the similarity boundary layer ordinate, $f(\eta)$ is the similarity stream function, $f^{\prime}(\eta)$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(\eta)$ are the velocity and the shear stress functions, respectively. The case of $\beta<0$ corresponds to a flat plate moving at a steady speed opposite to that of a uniform mainstream [3].

Regarding the analytic study of the Blasius problem (1.1)-(1.2), Weyl [4] proved that (1.1)(1.2) has one and only one solution for $\beta=0$; Coppel [5] studied the case of $\beta>0$; the cases of $0<\beta<1$ [6] and $\beta>1$ [7] were also investigated, respectively. Also, see [8]. In 1986, Hussaini and Lakin [9] indicated that there exists a critical value $\beta^{*}<0$ such that (1.1)-(1.2) has at least a solution for $\beta \geq \beta^{*}$ and no solution for $\beta<\beta^{*}$. A lower bound was presented with $\beta^{*} \geq-1 / 2=-0.5$ and numerical results showed $\beta^{*} \doteq-0.3541$ [9]. In

[^0]2008, Brighi, Fruchard and Sari [10] summarized historical study on the Blasius problem and analyzed the case $\beta<0$ in detail, in which the shape and the number of solutions were determined. In 2010, Yang [11] obtained an upper bound $\beta^{*}<-18733 / 10^{6}=-0.18733$. The Blasius problem is a special case of the Falkner-Skan equation, for $\beta=0$, we may refer to [12-15] for some recent results on the Falkner-Skan equation.
An open question is: What exactly is $\beta^{* *}$ ? And what properties does the shear stress function $f^{\prime \prime}(\eta)$ have? To our knowledge, there is little study on it. By the study of the integral equation that is equivalent to the Blasius problem, in this paper, we present the relation between $f^{\prime}$ and $f^{\prime \prime}$, upper and lower bounds of $\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|$ and new lower bounds of $\beta^{* \prime}$. In particular, $\sqrt[4]{27} / 9 \leq\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\| \leq \sqrt{3} / 3, \beta^{*}>-0.45$.

## 2 Upper and lower bounds of $f^{\prime \prime}$

Noticing the basic fact in [10] that if $f$ is a solution of (1.1)-(1.2), then $f^{\prime \prime}>0$ for $\eta \in[0, \infty)$, we use the so-called Crocco transformation [9,10], which consists of choosing $t=f^{\prime}$ as an independent variable and expressing $z=f^{\prime \prime}$ as a function of $t$, to change (1.1)-(1.2) to the Crocco equation [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} z}{d t^{2}}=-\frac{t}{z}, \quad \beta \leq t<1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}(\beta)=0, \quad z(1)=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (2.1) from $\beta$ to $t$, we have by (2.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}(t)=-\int_{\beta}^{t} \frac{s}{z(s)} d s \quad \text { on }[\beta, 1) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (2.3) from $t$ to 1 , we obtain the following integral equation that is equivalent to (1.1)-(1.2) [10, 11]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)=\int_{t}^{1} \frac{s(1-s)}{z(s)} d s+(1-t) \int_{\beta}^{t} \frac{s}{z(s)} d s:=A z(t)+(1-t) B z(t) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z(t) \in C[\beta, 1]$ and $z(t)>0$ for $t \in[\beta, 1)$.
Since $\beta^{*} \geq-\frac{1}{2}$, our work is restricted to the case of $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \beta<0$ and begins with the following lemma.

Theorem 2.1 Let $z$ be a solution of (2.4), then
(i) $\|z\| \leq \sqrt{h(\beta)}$;
(ii) $-\frac{\beta^{3}}{3\|z\|} \leq z(0) \leq \frac{3 h(\beta)+\beta^{3}}{3 \sqrt{h(\beta)}}$, where $\|z\|=\max \{z(t): t \in[\beta, 1]\}, h(\beta)=\frac{1}{3}\left(1-3 \beta^{2}-2 \beta^{3}\right)$.

Proof Let $\tilde{t} \in[\beta, 1]$ such that $\|z\|=z(\tilde{t})=\max \{z(t): t \in[\beta, 1]\}$. By (2.3), we know $z^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in(\beta, 0]$, and then $z(t)$ is strictly increasing $(\beta, 0]$. This, together with $z(\tilde{t})>0$, implies $0<\tilde{t}<1$. From (2.1), we know $z^{\prime \prime}(t) z(t)=-t$. Integrating this equality from $\beta$ to $\tilde{t}$, we have

$$
\int_{\beta}^{\tilde{t}} z^{\prime \prime}(s) z(s) d s=-\int_{\beta}^{\tilde{t}} s d s=-\frac{\tilde{t}^{2}-\beta^{2}}{2} .
$$

Noticing that $z^{\prime}(\tilde{t})=z^{\prime}(\beta)=0$, we obtain

$$
\int_{\beta}^{\tilde{t}} z^{\prime \prime}(s) z(s) d s=\left.z^{\prime}(s) z(s)\right|_{\beta} ^{\tilde{t}}-\int_{\beta}^{\tilde{t}} z^{\prime 2}(s) d s=-\int_{\beta}^{\tilde{t}} z^{\prime 2}(s) d s \leq 0 .
$$

Consequently, $|\beta| \leq \tilde{t}$.
(i) From $z^{\prime}(\tilde{t})=-\int_{\beta}^{\tilde{t}} \frac{s}{z(s)} d s=-B z(\tilde{t})$, we know $B z(t) \geq B z(\tilde{t})=0$ for $t \in[\tilde{t}, 1)$. This implies $A z(t) \leq z(t), t \in[\tilde{t}, 1)$.

By $A^{\prime} z(t)=-\frac{t(1-t)}{z(t)}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A z(t)(-A z(t))^{\prime} \leq z(t)(-A z(t))^{\prime} \leq t(1-t) \quad \text { for } t \in[\tilde{t}, 1) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noticing that $z(\tilde{t})=A z(\tilde{t})$ and $z(1)=0$, integrating (2.5) from $\tilde{t}$ to 1 , we have by $|\beta| \leq \tilde{t}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|z\|^{2} & =[A z(\tilde{t})]^{2} \leq 2 \int_{\tilde{t}}^{1} s(1-s) d s \leq 2 \int_{|\beta|}^{1} s(1-s) d s \\
& =\frac{1}{3}\left(1-3 \beta^{2}-2 \beta^{3}\right)=h(\beta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Integrating (2.3) from $\beta$ to 0 , we have

$$
z(0)=-\int_{\beta}^{0} B z(t) d t+z(\beta) \geq \int_{\beta}^{0} \int_{\beta}^{t} \frac{-s}{\|z\|} d s d t+z(\beta) \geq-\frac{\beta^{3}}{3\|z\|},
$$

which implies that the left inequality of (ii) holds.
Noticing that $|\beta| \leq \tilde{t}$ and utilizing (2.1) and (2.2), we know

$$
z(\tilde{t})-z(0)=\int_{0}^{\tilde{t}} \int_{t}^{\tilde{t}} \frac{s}{z(s)} d s d t \geq \int_{0}^{|\beta|} \int_{t}^{|\beta|} \frac{s}{\|z\|} d s d t=-\frac{\beta^{3}}{3\|z\|} .
$$

And then $z(0) \leq\|z\|+\frac{\beta^{3}}{3\|z\|} \leq \sqrt{h(\beta)}+\frac{\beta^{3}}{3 \sqrt{h(\beta)}}=\frac{3 h(\beta)+\beta^{3}}{3 \sqrt{h(\beta)}}$. Hence, (ii) holds.
Let

$$
l(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{6 \sqrt{h(\beta)}}\left(t+t^{2}-2 \beta^{3}\right)(1-t), & t \in[0,1], \\ \frac{1}{6 \sqrt{h(\beta)}}\left(3 \beta^{2} t-t^{3}-2 \beta^{3}\right), & t \in[\beta, 0)\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
u(t)= \begin{cases}3 \sqrt{h(\beta)}\left(2 \ln \frac{2}{1+t}+(1-t) \ln \frac{1+t}{1-t}\right), & t \in[0,1], \\ \frac{6 h(\beta)+2 \beta^{3}+3 \beta^{2} t-t^{3}}{3 \sqrt{h(\beta)}}, & t \in[\beta, 0) .\end{cases}
$$

Utilizing Theorem 2.1, we can obtain upper and lower bounds of $z$ as follows.

Theorem 2.2 Let $z$ be a solution of (2.4), then $l(t) \leq z(t) \leq u(t)$ for $t \in[\beta, 1]$.

Proof For $t \in[\beta, 0)$, we have by (2.3) and Theorem 2.1(i)

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}(t)=-B z(t) \geq \int_{\beta}^{t} \frac{-s}{\sqrt{h(\beta)}} d s=\frac{\beta^{2}-t^{2}}{2 \sqrt{h(\beta)}} \quad \text { on }[\beta, 0) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this, we have

$$
z(t) \geq \int_{\beta}^{t} \frac{\beta^{2}-s^{2}}{2 \sqrt{h(\beta)}} d s+z(\beta) \geq \frac{1}{6 \sqrt{h(\beta)}}\left(3 \beta^{2} t-t^{3}-2 \beta^{3}\right)
$$

Hence, $z(t) \geq l(t)$ for $t \in[\beta, 0)$.
For $t \in[0,1]$, let $\varepsilon>0$, we define a function $h_{\varepsilon}(t)$ as follows:

$$
h_{\varepsilon}(t)=\frac{1}{\|z\|+\varepsilon}\left(-\frac{\beta^{3}}{3}(1-t)+\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) s d s\right) \quad \text { for } t \in[0,1]
$$

where $G(t, s)$ is the Green function for $w^{\prime \prime}(t)=0$ with boundary conditions $w(0)=0=w(1)$ defined by

$$
G(t, s)= \begin{cases}t(1-s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1  \tag{2.7}\\ s(1-t), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Next, we prove $z(t) \geq h_{\varepsilon}(t)$ for $t \in[0,1]$.
In fact, if there exists $t_{0} \in[0,1]$ such that $z\left(t_{0}\right)<h_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{0}\right)$, since $h_{\varepsilon}(1)=0=z(1), h_{\varepsilon}(0)=$ $-\frac{\beta^{3}}{3(\|z\|+\varepsilon)}<-\frac{\beta^{3}}{3\|z\|} \leq z(0)$ by Theorem 2.1(ii), then $t_{0} \in(0,1)$ and there exists an interval $(a, b) \subseteq(0,1)$ such that

$$
t_{0} \in(a, b), z(t)<h_{\varepsilon}(t) \quad \text { for } t \in(a, b), z(a)=h_{\varepsilon}(a), z(b)=h_{\varepsilon}(b) .
$$

Let $\varphi(t)=h_{\varepsilon}(t)-z(t)$, then $\varphi(a)=0=\varphi(b)$ and $\varphi\left(t_{0}\right)>0$. Let $\xi \in(a, b)$ such that $\varphi(\xi)=$ $\max \{\varphi(t): t \in[a, b]\}$, then $\varphi^{\prime \prime}(\xi) \leq 0$. On the other hand, we know easily that

$$
\varphi^{\prime \prime}(t)=h_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}(t)-z^{\prime \prime}(t)=-\frac{t}{\|z\|+\varepsilon}+\frac{t}{z(t)}>0 \quad \text { for } t \in(a, b)
$$

Then $\varphi^{\prime \prime}(\xi)>0$, a contradiction.
Taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$
z(t) \geq \frac{1}{\|z\|}\left(-\frac{\beta^{3}}{3}(1-t)+\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) s d s\right) \quad \text { for } t \in[0,1]
$$

Since $\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) s d s=\frac{\left(t+t^{2}\right)(1-t)}{6}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t) \geq \frac{1}{6\|z\|}\left(t+t^{2}-2 \beta^{3}\right)(1-t) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.1(i) leads to

$$
z(t) \geq \frac{1}{6 \sqrt{h(\beta)}}\left(t+t^{2}-2 \beta^{3}\right)(1-t)=l(t) \quad \text { for } t \in[0,1]
$$

Finally, we prove $z(t) \leq u(t)$. For $t \in[\beta, 0)$, integrating (2.6) from $t$ to 0 , we obtain $z(0)-$ $z(t) \geq \frac{t^{3}-3 \beta^{2} t}{6 \sqrt{h(\beta)}}$. Then by Theorem 2.1(ii),

$$
z(t) \leq z(0)-\frac{t^{3}-3 \beta^{2} t}{6 \sqrt{h(\beta)}} \leq \frac{3 h(\beta)+\beta^{3}}{3 \sqrt{h(\beta)}}-\frac{t^{3}-3 \beta^{2} t}{6 \sqrt{h(\beta)}}=u(t) .
$$

For $t \in[0,1)$, since $z(t) \geq l(t) \geq \frac{1}{6 \sqrt{h(\beta)}}\left(t+t^{2}\right)(1-t):=l_{0}(t)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
z(t) & \leq \int_{t}^{1} \frac{s(1-s)}{z(s)} d s+(1-t) \int_{0}^{t} \frac{s}{z(s)} d s \\
& \leq \int_{t}^{1} \frac{s(1-s)}{l_{0}(s)} d s+(1-t) \int_{0}^{t} \frac{s}{l_{0}(s)} d s \\
& =6 \sqrt{h(\beta)}\left(\int_{t}^{1} \frac{1}{1+s} d s+(1-t) \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{1-s^{2}} d s\right) \\
& =3 \sqrt{h(\beta)}\left(2 \ln \frac{2}{1+t}+(1-t) \ln \frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)=u(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we obtain

Corollary 2.1 Let $z$ be a solution of $(2.4)$, then $\sqrt[4]{h(\beta)} \sqrt{l\left(\frac{\sqrt{3+6 \beta^{3}}}{3}\right)} \leq\|z\| \leq \sqrt{h(\beta)}$. In particular, $\frac{\sqrt[4]{27}}{9} \leq\|z\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$.

Proof Let $g_{\beta}(t)=\left(t+t^{2}-2 \beta^{3}\right)(1-t)$, by (2.8), we have $z(t) \geq \frac{1}{6\|z\|} g_{\beta}(t)$ on [0,1]. From $g_{\beta}^{\prime}(\hat{t})=0$, we obtain $\hat{t}=\frac{\sqrt{3+6 \beta^{3}}}{3}$, and then $\max \left\{g_{\beta}(t): t \in[0,1]\right\}=g_{\beta}\left(\frac{\sqrt{3+6 \beta^{3}}}{3}\right)$. Hence, $\|z\| \geq \frac{1}{6\|z\|} g_{\beta}\left(\frac{\sqrt{3+6 \beta^{3}}}{3}\right)$. This, together with $g_{\beta}\left(\frac{\sqrt{3+6 \beta^{3}}}{3}\right)=6 \sqrt{h(\beta)} l\left(\frac{\sqrt{3+6 \beta^{3}}}{3}\right)$, implies $\|z\| \geq$ $\sqrt[4]{h(\beta)} \sqrt{l\left(\frac{\sqrt{3+6 \beta^{3}}}{3}\right)}$. The right hand is from Theorem 2.1(i).

Since $h(\sigma) \leq h(0)=\frac{1}{3}$ for $\sigma \geq 0$, hence $\|z\| \leq \sqrt{h(0)}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$.
Since $g_{\beta}(t) \geq t(1+t)(1-t):=g_{0}(t)$ for $t \in[0,1]$, by $g_{0}^{\prime}(\hat{t})=0$, we have $\hat{t}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$. Hence, $\max \left\{g_{0}(t): t \in[0,1]\right\}=g_{0}(\hat{t})=\frac{2 \sqrt{3}}{9}$. By (2.8), we obtain

$$
\|z\| \geq z(t) \geq \frac{g_{0}(t)}{6\|z\|} \quad \text { on }[0,1]
$$

From this, we have $\|z\| \geq \frac{g_{0}(\hat{t})}{6\|z\|}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{27\|z\|}$, i.e., $\|z\| \geq \frac{\sqrt[4]{27}}{9}$.

Based on Theorem 2.2, Corollary 2.1, $f^{\prime}(\eta)=t$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(\eta)=z(t)$, we obtain the relation between the velocity function $f^{\prime}$ and the shear stress functions $f^{\prime \prime}$, upper and lower bounds of $\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|=\sup \left\{f^{\prime \prime}(\eta): \eta \in[0, \infty\}\right.$.

Theorem 2.3 Let $f$ be a solution of (1.1)-(1.2), then
(i) $l\left(f^{\prime}\right) \leq f^{\prime \prime} \leq u\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ for $\eta \in[0, \infty)$;
(ii) $\left.\sqrt[4]{h(\beta)} \sqrt{l\left(\frac{\sqrt{3+6 \beta^{3}}}{3}\right.}\right) \leq\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\| \leq \sqrt{h(\beta)}$. Specially, $\frac{\sqrt[4]{27}}{9} \leq\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$.

Remark 2.1 There exists very little study on the upper and lower bounds of $f^{\prime \prime}(\eta)$; Theorem 2.3 fills this gap. Other studies can be found in $[9,10]$.

## 3 New lower bound of $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{*}$

To obtain a better lower bound of $\beta^{*}$, we first prove

Theorem 3.1 Let $z$ be a solution of (2.4), then

$$
\int_{\beta}^{1}(1-s) z^{\prime 2}(s) d s \geq \frac{1}{1440 h(\beta)}\left(60 \beta^{6}-192 \beta^{5}+7\right)
$$

Proof Firstly, we prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right| \geq \frac{\left|t^{2}-\tilde{t}^{2}\right|}{2 \sqrt{h(\beta)}} \quad \text { on }[0,1] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (2.1) from $t$ to $\tilde{t}$, we obtain by $z^{\prime}(\tilde{t})=0$

$$
z^{\prime}(t)=\int_{t}^{\tilde{t}} \frac{s}{z(s)} d s \geq \int_{t}^{\tilde{t}} \frac{s}{\sqrt{h(\beta)}} d s=\frac{\tilde{t}^{2}-t^{2}}{2 \sqrt{h(\beta)}} \quad \text { on }[0, \tilde{t})
$$

Integrating (2.1) from $\tilde{t}$ to $t$, we have by $z^{\prime}(\tilde{t})=0$

$$
z^{\prime}(t)=\int_{\tilde{t}}^{t} \frac{-s}{z(s)} d s \leq \int_{\tilde{t}}^{t} \frac{-s}{\sqrt{h(\beta)}} d s=\frac{\tilde{t}^{2}-t^{2}}{2 \sqrt{h(\beta)}} \leq 0 \quad \text { on }[\tilde{t}, 1] .
$$

Hence, (3.1) holds.
From (2.6) and (3.1), we know

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\beta}^{1}(1-s) z^{\prime 2}(s) d s & =\int_{\beta}^{0}(1-s) z^{\prime 2}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{1}(1-s) z^{\prime 2}(s) d s \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4 h(\beta)}\left[\int_{\beta}^{0}(1-s)\left(\beta^{2}-s^{2}\right)^{2} d s+\int_{0}^{1}(1-s)\left(s^{2}-\tilde{t}^{2}\right)^{2} d s\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

By

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\beta}^{0}(1-s)\left(\beta^{2}-s^{2}\right)^{2} d s=\frac{1}{30}\left(5 \beta^{6}-16 \beta^{5}\right) \\
& \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)\left(s^{2}-\tilde{t}^{2}\right)^{2} d s=\frac{1}{30}\left(1-5 \tilde{t}^{2}+15 \tilde{t}^{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
1-5 \tilde{t}^{2}+15 \tilde{t}^{4}=15\left(\tilde{t}^{2}-\frac{1}{6}\right)^{2}+\frac{7}{12} \geq \frac{7}{12}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\beta}^{1}(1-s) z^{\prime 2}(s) d s & \geq \frac{1}{120 h(\beta)}\left[\left(5 \beta^{6}-16 \beta^{5}\right)+\frac{7}{12}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{1440 h(\beta)}\left(60 \beta^{6}-192 \beta^{5}+7\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
H(\beta)=73-480 \beta^{2}+720 \beta^{4}+192 \beta^{5}-380 \beta^{6}, \quad \beta \in\left[-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right] .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{\prime}(\beta)=-960 \beta+2880 \beta^{3}+960 \beta^{4}-2280 \beta^{5}>-960 \beta\left(1-3 \beta^{2}\right)>0 \quad \text { on }\left[-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right), \\
& H\left(-\frac{9}{20}\right)=-\frac{4396387}{3200000}<0, \quad H\left(-\frac{11}{25}\right)=\frac{55395529}{48828125}>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

then there exists a unique $\tilde{\beta} \in\left(-\frac{9}{20},-\frac{11}{25}\right)=(-0.45,-0.44)$ such that $H(\tilde{\beta})=0, H(\beta)>0$ for $\beta \in(\tilde{\beta}, 0)$ and $H(\beta)<0$ for $\beta \in\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \tilde{\beta}\right)$.

Theorem 3.2 If $\beta \leq \tilde{\beta}$, the Blasius problem (1.1)-(1.2) has no solution and then $\beta^{*}>-0.45$.
Proof The proof is by contradiction. If for some $\beta \leq \tilde{\beta}$, (1.1)-(1.2) has a solution $f$ and then (2.1) has a solution $z$. Rewrite (2.1) as follows:

$$
\left(z(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+t=z^{\prime 2}(t) .
$$

Integrating this equality from $\beta$ to $t$ and noticing that $z^{\prime}(\beta)=0$, we obtain

$$
z(t) z^{\prime}(t)+\int_{\beta}^{t} s d s=\int_{\beta}^{t} z^{\prime 2}(s) d s
$$

Integrating the last equality from $\beta$ to 1 and using $z(1)=0$, we have

$$
-\frac{1}{2} z^{2}(\beta)+\int_{\beta}^{1} \int_{\beta}^{t} s d s d t=\int_{\beta}^{1} \int_{\beta}^{t} z^{\prime 2}(s) d s d t=\int_{\beta}^{1}(1-s) z^{\prime 2}(s) d s .
$$

This, together with $z(\beta)>0$ and Theorem 3.1, implies

$$
\frac{1-3 \beta^{2}+2 \beta^{3}}{6}=\int_{\beta}^{1} \int_{\beta}^{t} s d s d t>\frac{1}{1440 h(\beta)}\left(60 \beta^{6}-192 \beta^{5}+7\right)
$$

Since

$$
\frac{1-3 \beta^{2}+2 \beta^{3}}{6}-\frac{1}{1440 h(\beta)}\left(60 \beta^{6}-192 \beta^{5}+7\right)=\frac{H(\beta)}{1440 h(\beta)}
$$

then $H(\beta)>0$, a contradiction. Hence, $\beta^{*}>\tilde{\beta}>-0.45$.

Remark 3.1 Theorem 3.2 improves the lower bound of $\beta^{* *}$ from -0.5 in [9] to -0.45 .
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