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#### Abstract

We show that given a convex subset $K$ of a topological vector space $X$ and a multivalued map $T: K \rightrightarrows X^{*}$, if there exists a nonempty subset $S$ of $X^{*}$ with the surjective property on $K$ and $T+w$ is quasimonotone for each $w \in S$, then $T$ is monotone. Our result is a new version of the result obtained by N. Hadjisavvas (Appl. Math. Lett. 19:913-915, 2006).
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## 1 Introduction and some definitions

Throughout the paper, $X$ and $X^{*}$ denote a real topological vector space and the dual space of $X$, respectively. Suppose $K \subseteq X$ is a nonempty subset of $X$ and $T: K \rightrightarrows X^{*}$ is a multivalued map from $K$ to $X^{*}$. Recall that $T$ is said to be monotone if for all $x^{*} \in T(x), y^{*} \in T(y)$ one has

$$
\left\langle x^{*}-y^{\prime \prime}, x-y\right| \geq 0 .
$$

$T$ is said to be pseudomonotone and quasimonotone, in the sense of Karamardian (see [1, 2]), respectively, if for any $x^{*} \in T(x), y^{*} \in T(y)$ the following implications hold:

$$
\left\langle y^{*}, x-y\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad\left|x^{*}, x-y\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

and

$$
\left\langle y^{*}, x-y\right\rangle>0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad\left|x^{*}, x-y\right\rangle \geq 0 .
$$

It is clear that a monotone map is pseudomonotone, while a pseudomonotone map is quasimonotone. The converse is not true. If $T$ is pseudomonotone (quasimonotone) and $w \in X^{*} \backslash\{0\}$, then $T+w$ is not pseudomonotone (quasimonotone) in general. In the case of a single-valued linear map $T$ defined on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, it is known that if $T+w$ is quasimonotone, then $T$ is monotone [2]. Many authors (see, e.g., [4, 5]) extended this result for a nonlinear Gateaux differentiable map defined on a convex subset $K$ (of a Hilbert space) with a nonempty interior.
Recently, Hadjisavvas [3] extended the above result to the multivalued maps defined on a convex subset of a real topological vector space with no assumption of differentiability
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or even continuity on the map $T$ whose domain need not have a nonempty interior. In this paper, we first introduce the surjective property of a subset of $X^{*}$ on a segment of $K$. By using this concept, we can extend the corresponding result obtained in [3]. Before stating the main result, we recall some definitions.

Definition 1 Let $x, y$ be two elements of $K$. We say that $S \subseteq X^{*}$ has the surjective property on $x$ and $y$ whenever the following equality holds:

$$
\langle S, x-y\rangle=\left\{\left\langle x^{*}, x-y\right\rangle: x^{*} \in S\right\}=\mathbb{R} .
$$

Remark that we can consider $x-y$ as a linear functional (denoted by $\widehat{x-y}$ ) on $X^{*}$ which is defined by

$$
\langle\widehat{x-y}, f\rangle=\langle f, x-y\rangle .
$$

Hence if $S$ has the surjective property on $x, y$, then the image of $S$ under the linear functional $\widehat{x-y}$ is all of the real numbers, and that is why we used the phrase surjective property.

Definition 2 Let $K \subseteq X$ be a nonempty set and $S \subseteq X^{*}$. We say that $S$ has the surjective property on $K$ if for every $x \in K$ there exists $y \in K$ such that $S$ has the surjective property on $x$ and $y$.

Definition 3 [3] Let $K$ be a convex subset of $X$. An element $v$ of $X^{*}$ is called perpendicular to $K$ if $v$ is constant on $K$, i.e.,

$$
\langle v, x\rangle=\langle v, y\rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in K .
$$

Also the straight line $S=\{u+t v: t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where $u, v \in X^{*}$ with $v \neq 0$, is said to be perpendicular to $K$ if $v$ is perpendicular to $K$.

Remark 1 If $K \subseteq X$ is a nonempty convex set and $u, v \in X^{*}$ with $v$ is not perpendicular to $K$, then the straight line $S=\{u+t v: t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ has the surjective property on $K$. Indeed, let $x \in K$ be an arbitrary member of $K$. Because $v$ is not perpendicular to $K$, there exists $y \in K$ such that $c=\langle v, x-y\rangle \neq 0$. For each $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we put $t=\frac{a-\langle u, x-y\rangle}{c}$ and so $a=\langle u+t v, x-y\rangle$. Hence $\langle S, x-y\rangle=\mathbb{R}$. This means that $S$ has the surjective property. Therefore, $v$ being not perpendicular to $K$ implies the surjective property while the simple example $X=\mathbb{R}^{2}$, $S=\{(t, t)=(0,0)+(1,1) t: t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $K=\{(x,-x): x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ shows that the converse does not hold in general. In this example, one can see that $S$ has the surjective property and $v=(1,1)$ is perpendicular to $K$ (note $\langle v=(1,1),(x,-x)\rangle=\langle v=(1,1),(y,-y)\rangle=0)$. The notion $v$ is not perpendicular to $K$, which plays a crucial rule in proving the main results in [3]; while in this note, the surjective property has an essential rule in the main result. Hence one can consider this paper as an improvement of [3] (slightly, of course).

We need the following lemma in the sequel.

Lemma 1 Let $X$ be a real topological vector space, $K$ a nonempty convex subset of $X$ and $T: K \rightrightarrows X^{*}$ a multivalued map. Suppose $x, y \in K, S \subseteq X^{*}$ has the surjective property on $x$, $y$ and $T+w$ is quasimonotone on the line segment $[x, y]=\{t x+(1-t) y: t \in[0,1]\}$ for all $w \in S$. Then $T$ is monotone on $[x, y]$.

Proof We can define an order on $[x, y]$ as follows:

$$
a \preceq b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad t_{1} \leq t_{2}, \quad \text { where } a=x+t_{1}(y-x), b=x+t_{2}(y-x) .
$$

On the contrary, assume $T$ is not monotone on $[x, y]$. So there exist $a, b \in[x, y]$ and $a^{*} \in$ $T(a), b^{*} \in T(b)$ with $a \prec b$ and $\left\langle a^{*}-b^{*}, a-b\right\rangle<0$. Hence we have

$$
\left\langle a^{*}, y-x\right\rangle>\left\langle b^{*}, y-x\right\rangle .
$$

Since $S$ is surjective on $x, y$, there exists $w \in S$ such that

$$
\left\langle a^{*}, y-x\right\rangle>\langle w, x-y\rangle>\left\langle b^{*}, y-x\right\rangle .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left\langle a^{*}+w, y-x\right\rangle>0, \quad\left\langle b^{*}+w, y-x\right\rangle<0
$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.

Now we are ready to present the main result.

Theorem 1 Let $X$ be a real topological vector space, $K$ a nonempty convex subset of $X$ and $T: X \rightrightarrows X^{*}$ a multivalued map. Assume $S \subseteq X^{*}$ is connected and has the surjective property on $K$. If $T+w$ is quasimonotone for all $w \in S$, then $T$ is monotone on $K$.

Proof Let $x, y \in K, x^{*} \in T(x)$ and $y^{*} \in T(y)$ be arbitrary elements. If $S$ is surjective on $x, y$ then, by Lemma $1, T$ is monotone on $[x, y]$ and the proof is complete. Assume $S$ does not have the surjective property on $x, y$. So $S(x-y) \neq \mathbb{R}$. Since $S$ has the surjective property on $K$, then there exists $z \in K$ such that $S$ is surjective on $x, z$; and since $S$ is connected, then $\langle S, y-z\rangle$ is a connected subset of the real numbers unbounded from above and below, and so it is equal to the real numbers. This means that $S$ has the surjective property on $y, z$ and also on $\frac{x+y}{2}, z$. Therefore, it follows from Lemma 1 that $T$ is monotone on $[y, z]$ and $\left[\frac{x+y}{2}, z\right]$. Similarly, $T$ is monotone on the segments $\left[x, z_{s}\right]$ and $\left[y, z_{s}\right]$, for all $\left.s \in\right] 0,1[$, where $z_{s}=s z+(1-s) \frac{x+y}{2}$. Therefore, for any $z_{s}^{*} \in T\left(z_{s}\right)$ and $z^{*} \in T(z)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|z_{s}^{*}, z_{s}-x\right\rangle \geq\left\langle x^{*}, z_{s}-x\right\rangle  \tag{1}\\
& \left\langle z_{s}^{*}, z_{s}-y\right\rangle \geq\left\langle y^{*}, z_{s}-y\right\rangle  \tag{2}\\
& \left\langle z^{*}, z-z_{s}\right\rangle \geq\left\langle z_{s}^{*}, z-z_{s}\right\rangle \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

From (1) and (2), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 s\left\langle z_{s}^{*}, z-\frac{x+y}{2}\right\rangle \geq\left\langle x^{*}, z_{s}-x\right\rangle+\left\langle y^{*}, z_{s}-y\right\rangle . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from $z-z_{s}=(1-s)\left(z-\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$ and (3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle z^{*}, z-\frac{x+y}{2}\right\rangle \geq\left\langle z_{s}^{*}, z-\frac{x+y}{2}\right\rangle \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4) and (5), we have

$$
2 s\left\langle z^{*}, z-\frac{x+y}{2}\right\rangle \geq\left\langle x^{*}, z_{s}-x\right\rangle+\left\langle y^{*}, z_{s}-y\right\rangle .
$$

So if in the previous inequality we tend $s \rightarrow 0$, then $z_{s} \rightarrow \frac{x+y}{2}$, and hence we deduce

$$
0 \geq\left\langle x^{*}, y-x\right\rangle+\left\langle y^{*}, y-x\right\rangle .
$$

This means $T$ is monotone and the proof is now complete.

Remark 1 shows that Theorem 1 is a new version of Theorem 1 in [3], although our proof is, in fact, completely similar to it.
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