

RESEARCH

Open Access

# Reciprocal classes of $p$ -valently spirallike and $p$ -valently Robertson functions

Neslihan Uyanik<sup>1</sup>, Hitoshi Shiraishi<sup>2</sup>, Shigeyoshi Owa<sup>2\*</sup> and Yasar Polatoglu<sup>3</sup>

\* Correspondence: shige21@ican.zaq.ne.jp

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Kinki University, Higashi-Osaka, 577-8502 Osaka, Japan

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

## Abstract

For  $p$ -valently spirallike and  $p$ -valently Robertson functions in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$ , reciprocal classes  $\mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  are introduced. The object of the present paper is to discuss some interesting properties for functions  $f(z)$  belonging to the classes  $\mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ .

## 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification

Primary 30C45

**Keywords:** Reciprocal class, Subordination, Schwarz function, Robertson function, Miller and Mocanu lemma

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}_p$  be the class of functions  $f(z)$  of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ .

For  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ , we say that  $f(z)$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( e^{i\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < \beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (1.2)$$

for some real  $\alpha$  ( $|\alpha| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ) and  $\beta$  ( $\beta > p \cos \alpha$ ).

When  $\alpha = 0$ , the class  $\mathcal{S}_p(0, \beta)$  was studied by Polatoglu et al. [1], and the classes  $\mathcal{S}_1(0, \beta)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_1(0, \beta)$  were introduced by Owa and Nishiwaki [2].

Further, let  $\mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  denote the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}_p$  consisting of functions  $f(z)$ , which satisfy

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{i\alpha} \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right\} < \beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (1.3)$$

for some real  $\alpha$  ( $|\alpha| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ) and  $\beta$  ( $\beta > p \cos \alpha$ ).

We note that  $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  if and only if  $\frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in \mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ , and that,  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$

if and only if  $p \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt \in \mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ .

**Remark 1** If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( e^{i\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then we say that  $f(z)$  is  $p$ -valently spirallike in  $\mathbb{U}$  (cf. [1]). Also, if  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{i\alpha} \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then  $f(z)$  is said to be  $p$ -valently Robertson function in  $\mathbb{U}$  (cf. [3,4]). Therefore,  $\mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  defined by (1.2) is the reciprocal class of  $p$ -valently spirallike functions in  $\mathbb{U}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  defined by (1.3) is the reciprocal class of  $p$ -valently Robertson functions in  $\mathbb{U}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the class of functions  $p(z)$  of the form

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.4}$$

that are analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  and satisfy  $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$  ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ). A function  $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}$  is called the Carathéodory function and satisfies

$$|c_n| \leq 2 \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots) \tag{1.5}$$

with the equality for  $p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$  (cf. [5]).

For analytic functions  $g(z)$  and  $h(z)$  in  $\mathbb{U}$ , we say that  $g(z)$  is subordinate to  $h(z)$  if there exists an analytic function  $w(z)$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ), and such that  $g(z) = h(w(z))$ . We denote this subordination by

$$g(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{1.6}$$

If  $h(z)$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then this subordination (1.6) is equivalent to  $g(0) = h(0)$  and  $g(\mathbb{U}) \subset h(\mathbb{U})$  (cf. [5]).

## 2 Subordinations for classes

We consider subordination properties of function  $f(z)$  in the classes  $\mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ .

**Theorem 1** A function  $f(z)$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  if and only if

$$e^{i\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec 2\beta - pe^{-i\alpha} + \frac{2(p \cos \alpha - \beta)}{1-z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{2.1}$$

for some real  $\alpha$  ( $|\alpha| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ) and  $\beta$  ( $\beta > p \cos \alpha$ ).

The result is sharp for  $f(z)$  given by

$$f(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{2e^{-i\alpha}(p \cos \alpha - \beta)}}. \tag{2.2}$$

*Proof.* Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ . If we define the function  $w(z)$  by

$$\frac{\beta - e^{i\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + ip \sin \alpha}{\beta - p \cos \alpha} = \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} \quad (w(z) \neq 1), \tag{2.3}$$

then we know that  $w(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ ,  $w(0) = 0$ , and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{2.4}$$

Therefore, we have that  $|w(z)| < 1 (z \in \mathbb{U})$ . It follows from (2.3) that

$$e^{i\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 2\beta - pe^{-i\alpha} + \frac{2(p \cos \alpha - \beta)}{1 - w(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{2.5}$$

which is equivalent to the subordination (2.1).

Conversely, we suppose that the subordination (2.1) holds true. Then, we have that

$$e^{i\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 2\beta - pe^{-i\alpha} + \frac{2(p \cos \alpha - \beta)}{1 - w(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{2.6}$$

for some Schwarz function  $w(z)$ , which is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ ,  $w(0) = 0$ , and  $|w(z)| < 1 (z \in \mathbb{U})$ . It is easy to see that the equality (2.6) is equivalent to the equality (2.3). Since

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)} \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\beta - e^{i\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + ip \sin \alpha}{\beta - p \cos \alpha} \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{2.7}$$

we conclude that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \beta - e^{i\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{2.8}$$

which shows that  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ .

Finally, we consider the function  $f(z)$  given by (2.2). Then,  $f(z)$  satisfies

$$e^{i\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 2\beta - pe^{-i\alpha} + \frac{2(p \cos \alpha - \beta)}{1 - z}. \tag{2.9}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

Noting that  $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  if and only if  $\frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in \mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ , we also have

**Corollary 1** *A function  $f(z)$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  if and only if*

$$e^{i\alpha} \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) < 2\beta - pe^{-i\alpha} + \frac{2(p \cos \alpha - \beta)}{1 - z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{2.10}$$

for some real  $\alpha$  ( $|\alpha| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ) and  $\beta$  ( $\beta > p \cos \alpha$ ).

The result is sharp for  $f(z)$  given by

$$f'(z) = \frac{pz^{p-1}}{(1 - z)^{2e^{-i\alpha}(p \cos \alpha - \beta)}}. \tag{2.11}$$

### 3 Coefficient inequalities

Applying the properties for Carathéodory functions, we discuss the coefficient inequalities for  $f(z)$  in the classes  $\mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ .

**Theorem 2** If  $f(z)$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ , then

$$|a_{p+k}| \leq \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (2(\beta - p \cos \alpha) + j) \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots). \quad (3.1)$$

The result is sharp for

$$f(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{2(p-\beta)}} \quad (3.2)$$

for  $\alpha = 0$ .

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 1, we can consider the function  $w(z)$  given by (2.3) for  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ . Since  $w(z)$  is the Schwarz function, the function  $q(z)$  defined by

$$q(z) = \frac{\beta - e^{i\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + ip \sin \alpha}{\beta - p \cos \alpha} \quad (3.3)$$

is the Carathéodory function. If we write that

$$q(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n, \quad (3.4)$$

then we see that

$$|c_n| \leq 2 \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

and the equality holds true for  $q(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$  and its rotation. It is to be noted that the equation (3.3) is equivalent to

$$e^{i\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \beta + ip \sin \alpha - (\beta - p \cos \alpha)q(z). \quad (3.5)$$

This gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{i\alpha} \left( pz^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} na_n z^n \right) \\ &= \left\{ p e^{i\alpha} - (\beta - p \cos \alpha) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \right) \right\} \left( z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

which implies that

$$e^{i\alpha} (n-p)a_n = -(\beta - p \cos \alpha)(c_{n-1} + a_2 c_{n-2} + \dots + a_{n-1} c_1). \quad (3.7)$$

It follows from (3.7) that

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2(\beta - p \cos \alpha)}{n-p} (1 + |a_2| + |a_3| + \dots + |a_{n-1}|). \quad (3.8)$$

If  $n = p + 1$ , then we have that

$$|a_{p+1}| \leq 2(\beta - p \cos \alpha). \quad (3.9)$$

If  $n = p + 2$ , then we also have that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{p+2}| &\leq \frac{2(\beta - p \cos \alpha)}{2}(1 + |a_2|) \\ &\leq (\beta - p \cos \alpha)(1 + 2(\beta - p \cos \alpha)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Thus, the coefficient inequality (3.1) is true for  $n = p + 1$  and  $n = p + 2$ . Next, we suppose that (3.1) holds true for  $n = p + 1, p + 2, p + 3, \dots, p + k - 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{p+k}| &\leq \frac{2(\beta - p \cos \alpha)}{k}(1 + |a_2| + |a_3| + \dots + |a_{p+k-1}|) \\ &\leq \frac{2(\beta - p \cos \alpha)}{k} \left\{ 1 + 2(\beta - p \cos \alpha) + \frac{2(\beta - p \cos \alpha)}{2}(1 + 2(\beta - p \cos \alpha)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2(\beta - p \cos \alpha)}{3}(1 + 2(\beta - p \cos \alpha)) \left( 1 + \frac{2(\beta - p \cos \alpha)}{2} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dots + \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} (2(\beta - p \cos \alpha) + j) \right\} \\ &= \frac{2(\beta - p \cos \alpha)}{k} (1 + 2(\beta - p \cos \alpha)) \left\{ 1 + \frac{2(\beta - p \cos \alpha)}{2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( 1 + \frac{2(\beta - p \cos \alpha)}{2} \right) \frac{2(\beta - p \cos \alpha)}{3} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dots + \frac{2(\beta - p \cos \alpha)}{(k-1)!} \prod_{j=1}^{k-2} (2(\beta - p \cos \alpha) + j) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (2(\beta - p \cos \alpha) + j). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

This means that the inequality (3.1) holds true for  $n = p + k$ . Therefore, by the mathematical induction, we prove the coefficient inequality (3.1).

Finally, let us consider the function  $f(z)$  given by (3.2). Then,  $f(z)$  can be written by

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= z^p \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{2(\beta - p)}{j} (-z)^j \right) \\ &= z^p + 2(\beta - p)z^{p+1} + \dots + \left( \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (2(\beta - p) + j) z^{p+k} \right) + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Thus, this function  $f(z)$  satisfies the equality in (3.1).  $\square$

**Corollary 2** *If  $f(z)$  belongs to the class  $C_p(\alpha, \beta)$ , then*

$$|a_{p+k}| \leq \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (2(\beta - p \cos \alpha) + j) \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots). \tag{3.13}$$

*The result is sharp for  $f(z)$  defined by*

$$f'(z) = \frac{pz^{p-1}}{(1-z)^{2(p-\beta)}} \tag{3.14}$$

for  $\alpha = 0$ .

**Remark 2** We know that the extremal functions for  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  is  $f(z)$  given by (2.2) and for  $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  is  $f(z)$  given by (2.11). But, we see that

$$|a_{p+k}| \leq \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} |2e^{-i\alpha}(\beta - p \cos \alpha) + j| \tag{3.15}$$

and

$$|a_{p+k}| \leq \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} |2e^{-i\alpha}(\beta - p \cos \alpha) + j| \tag{3.16}$$

for such functions.

Therefore, the extremal functions for  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  do not satisfy the equalities in (3.1) and (3.13), respectively.

Furthermore, if we consider  $\alpha = 0$  in Theorem 2, then we obtain the corresponding result due to Polatoglu et al. [1].

#### 4 Inequalities for the real parts

We discuss some problems of inequalities for the real parts of  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$ .

**Theorem 3** If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ , then we have

$$\frac{p \cos \alpha - (2\beta - p \cos \alpha)r}{1 - r} \leq \operatorname{Re} \left( e^{i\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \leq \frac{p \cos \alpha + (2\beta - p \cos \alpha)r}{1 + r} \tag{4.1}$$

for  $|z| = r < 1$ . The equalities hold true for  $f(z)$  given by (2.2).

*Proof.* By virtue of Theorem 1, we consider the function  $g(z)$  defined by

$$g(z) = 2\beta - pe^{-i\alpha} + \frac{2(p \cos \alpha - \beta)}{1 - z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{4.2}$$

Letting  $z = re^{i\theta}$  ( $0 \leq r < 1$ ), we see that

$$\operatorname{Reg}(z) = 2\beta - p \cos \alpha + \frac{2(p \cos \alpha - \beta)(1 - r \cos \theta)}{1 + r^2 - 2r \cos \theta}. \tag{4.3}$$

Let us define

$$h(t) = \frac{1 - rt}{1 + r^2 - 2rt} \quad (t = \cos \theta). \tag{4.4}$$

Then, we know that  $h'(t) \geq 0$ . This implies that

$$2\beta - p \cos \alpha + \frac{2(p \cos \alpha - \beta)}{1 - r} \leq \operatorname{Reg}(z) \leq 2\beta - p \cos \alpha + \frac{2(p \cos \alpha - \beta)}{1 + r}, \tag{4.5}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{p \cos \alpha - (2\beta - p \cos \alpha)r}{1 - r} \leq \operatorname{Reg}(z) \leq \frac{p \cos \alpha + (2\beta - p \cos \alpha)r}{1 + r}. \tag{4.6}$$

Noting that  $e^{i\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec g(z)$  ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ) by Theorem 1 and  $g(z)$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , we prove the inequality (4.1). Since the subordination (2.1) is sharp for  $f(z)$  given by (2.2), we say that the equalities in (4.1) are attained by the function  $f(z)$  given by (2.2).  $\square$

Taking  $\alpha = 0$  in Theorem 3, we have

**Corollary 3** *If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p(0, \beta)$ , then*

$$\frac{p - (2\beta - p)r}{1 - r} \leq \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \leq \frac{p + (2\beta - p)r}{1 + r} \quad (4.7)$$

for  $|z| = r < 1$ . The equalities hold true for

$$f(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1 - z)^{2(p-\beta)}}. \quad (4.8)$$

**Corollary 4** *If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ , then we have*

$$\frac{p \cos \alpha - (2\beta - p \cos \alpha)r}{1 - r} \leq \operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{i\alpha} \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right\} \leq \frac{p \cos \alpha + (2\beta - p \cos \alpha)r}{1 + r} \quad (4.9)$$

for  $|z| = r < 1$ . The equalities hold true for  $f(z)$  defined by (2.11).

**Corollary 5** *If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_p(0, \beta)$ , then*

$$\frac{p - (2\beta - p)r}{1 - r} \leq \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \leq \frac{p + (2\beta - p)r}{1 + r} \quad (4.10)$$

for  $|z| = r < 1$ . The equalities hold true for  $f(z)$  defined by

$$f'(z) = \frac{pz^{p-1}}{(1 - z)^{2(p-\beta)}}. \quad (4.11)$$

## 5 Sufficient conditions

We consider some sufficient conditions for  $f(z)$  to be in the classes  $\mathcal{S}_p(0, \beta)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_p(0, \beta)$ .

To discuss our sufficient conditions, we have to recall here the following lemma by Miller and Mocanu [6] (also due to Jack [7]).

**Lemma 1** *Let  $w(z)$  be analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $w(0) = 0$ . If there exists a point  $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$  such that*

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)|, \quad (5.1)$$

then we can write

$$z_0 w'(z_0) = k w(z_0), \quad (5.2)$$

where  $k$  is real and  $k \geq 1$ .

Applying Lemma 1, we derive

**Theorem 4** *If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \frac{p + \beta}{2\beta} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (5.3)$$

for some real  $\beta > p$ , then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p(0, \beta)$ .

*Proof.* Let us define the function  $w(z)$  by

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{p + (p - 2\beta)w(z)}{1 - w(z)} \quad (w(z) \neq 1). \quad (5.4)$$

Then we see that  $w(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $w(0) = 0$ .

It follows from (5.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) &= \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 - \frac{(p-2\beta)zw'(z)}{p+(p-2\beta)w(z)} - \frac{zw'(z)}{1-w(z)} \right) \\ &> \frac{p+\beta}{2\beta} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

We suppose that there exists a point  $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$  such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1.$$

Then, Lemma 1 gives us that  $w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}$  and  $z_0w'(z_0) = ke^{i\theta}$ . For such a point  $z_0$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z_0f'(z_0)}{f(z_0)} - \frac{z_0f''(z_0)}{f'(z_0)} \right) &= \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 - \frac{(p-2\beta)ke^{i\theta}}{p+(p-2\beta)e^{i\theta}} - \frac{ke^{i\theta}}{1-e^{i\theta}} \right) \\ &= 1 + \frac{(2\beta-p)k(p \cos \theta + p - 2\beta)}{p^2 + (p-2\beta)^2 + 2p(p-2\beta)\cos \theta} + \frac{k}{2} \\ &\leq 1 - \frac{(2\beta-p)k}{2\beta} + \frac{k}{2} \\ &= 1 - \frac{(\beta-p)k}{2\beta} \leq \frac{p+\beta}{2\beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

This contradicts our condition (5.3). Therefore, there is no  $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$  such that  $|w(z_0)| = 1$ . This implies that  $|w(z)| < 1 (z \in \mathbb{U})$ , that is, that

$$\left| \frac{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p}{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + (p-2\beta)} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{5.7}$$

Thus, we observe that  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p(0, \beta)$ .  $\square$

Further, we derive

**Theorem 5** *If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p(0, \beta)$  for some real  $\beta \geq p + \frac{1}{2}$ , then*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z^p}{f(z)} \right) > \frac{1}{2\beta - 2p + 1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{5.8}$$

*Proof.* We consider the function  $w(z)$  such that

$$\frac{z^p}{f(z)} = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)w(z)}{1 - w(z)} \quad (w(z) \neq 1) \tag{5.9}$$

for  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2\beta - 2p + 1}$  and for  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p(0, \beta)$ .

Then, we know that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left( p - \frac{(1-2\gamma)zw'(z)}{1+(1-2\gamma)w(z)} - \frac{zw'(z)}{1-w(z)} \right) < \beta \tag{5.10}$$

for  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ .



Since  $w(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $w(0) = 0$ , we suppose that there exists a point  $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$  such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1.$$

Then, applying Lemma 1, we can write that  $w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}$  and  $z_0 w'(z_0) = k e^{i\theta}$  ( $k \geq 1$ ). This gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z_0 f'(z_0)}{f(z_0)} \right) &= \operatorname{Re} \left( p - \frac{(1 - 2\gamma) k e^{i\theta}}{1 + (1 - 2\gamma) e^{i\theta}} - \frac{k e^{i\theta}}{1 - e^{i\theta}} \right) \\ &= p + \frac{(1 - 2\gamma) k}{2\gamma} + \frac{k}{2} \\ &\geq p + \frac{1 - \gamma}{2\gamma} = \beta, \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

which contradicts the inequality (5.10). Thus, there is no point  $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$  such that  $|w(z_0)| = 1$ . This means that  $|w(z)| < 1$  ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ), and that,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z^p}{f(z)} \right) > \frac{1}{2\beta - 2p + 1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

Letting  $\frac{z f'(z)}{p}$  instead of  $f(z)$  in Theorem 5, we have

**Corollary 6** *If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  for some  $\beta \geq p + \frac{1}{2}$ . Then*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{p z^{p-1}}{f'(z)} \right) > \frac{1}{2\beta - 2p + 1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{5.12}$$

Finally, we consider the coefficient estimates for functions  $f(z)$  to be in the classes  $\mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ .

**Theorem 6** *If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies*

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (|n e^{i\alpha} - k| + |n e^{i\alpha} - (2\beta - k)|) |a_n| \leq |p e^{i\alpha} - (2\beta - k)| - |p e^{i\alpha} - k| \tag{5.13}$$

for some real  $\alpha$  ( $|\alpha| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ),  $\beta$  ( $\beta > p \cos \alpha$ ), and  $k$  ( $0 \leq k \leq p \cos \alpha$ ), then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$

*Proof.* It is to be noted that if  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies

$$\left| \frac{e^{i\alpha} \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - k}{e^{i\alpha} \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - (2\beta - k)} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{5.14}$$

Then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{e^{i\alpha} \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - k}{e^{i\alpha} \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - (2\beta - k)} \right| = \left| \frac{e^{i\alpha} z f'(z) - k f(z)}{e^{i\alpha} - (2\beta - k) f(z)} \right| \\ &< \frac{|p e^{i\alpha} - k| + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |n e^{i\alpha} - k| |a_n|}{|p e^{i\alpha} - (2\beta - k)| - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |n e^{i\alpha} - (2\beta - k)| |a_n|}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if  $f(z)$  satisfies the coefficient estimate (5.13), then we know that  $f(z)$  satisfies the inequality (5.14). This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

Letting  $\alpha = 0$  and  $k = p$  in Theorem 6, we have

**Corollary 7** *If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies*

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (n - \beta) |a_n| \leq (\beta - p)$$

*for some real  $\beta$   $(p < \beta < p + \frac{1}{2})$ , then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p(0, \beta)$ .*

Further, we have

**Theorem 7** *If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies*

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} n (|ne^{i\alpha} - k| + |ne^{i\alpha} - (2\beta - k)|) |a_n| \leq p (|pe^{i\alpha} - (2\beta - k)| - |pe^{i\alpha} - k|)$$

*for some real  $\alpha$   $(|\alpha| < \frac{\pi}{2})$ ,  $\beta$   $(\beta > p \cos \alpha)$  and  $k$   $(0 \leq k \leq p \cos \alpha)$ , then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta)$*

**Corollary 8** *If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies*

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} n(n - \beta) |a_n| \leq p(\beta - p)$$

*for some real  $\beta$   $(p < \beta < p + \frac{1}{2})$ , then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ .*

#### Acknowledgements

This paper was completed when the first author was visiting Department of Mathematics, Kinki University, Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502, Japan, between February 17 and February 26, 2011.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Kazim Karabekir Faculty of Education, Ataturk University, Erzurum 25240, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Kinki University, Higashi-Osaka, 577-8502 Osaka, Japan <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Faculty of Science and Letters, Istanbul Kultur University, Istanbul, Turkey

Received: 10 April 2011 Accepted: 18 September 2011 Published: 18 September 2011

#### References

- Polatoglu, Y, Blocal, M, Sen, A, Yavuz, E: An investigation on a subclass of  $p$ -valently starlike functions in the unit disc. *Turk J Math.* **31**, 221–228 (2007)
- Owa, S, Nishiwaki, J: Coefficient estimates for certain classes of analytic functions. *J Inequal Pure Appl Math.* **3**, 1–5 (2002)
- Aouf, MK, Al-Oboudi, FM, Haidan, MM: On some results for  $\lambda$ -spirallike and  $\lambda$ -Robertson functions of complex order. *Publ Inst Math.* **75**, 93–98 (2005)
- Robertson, MS: Univalent functions  $f(z)$  for which  $zf'(z)$  is spirallike. *Michigan Math J.* **16**, 315–324 (1969)
- Duren, PL: *Univalent Functions*. Springer, New York (1983)
- Miller, SS, Mocanu, PT: Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane. *J Math Anal Appl.* **65**, 289–305 (1978). doi:10.1016/0022-247X(78)90181-6
- Jack, IS: Functions starlike and convex of order  $\alpha$ . *J Lond Math Soc.* **3**, 469–474 (1971). doi:10.1112/jlms/s2-3.3.469

doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2011-61

**Cite this article as:** Uyanik et al.: Reciprocal classes of  $p$ -valently spirallike and  $p$ -valently Robertson functions. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2011 **2011**:61.