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#### Abstract

Lutwak proposed the notion of $L_{p}$-geominimal surface area according to the $L_{p^{-}}$ mixed volume. In this article, associated with the $L_{p}$-dual mixed volume, we introduce the $L_{p}$-dual geominimal surface area and prove some inequalities for this notion. 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 52A20 52A40.
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## 1 Introduction and main results

Let $\mathcal{K}^{n}$ denote the set of convex bodies (compact, convex subsets with nonempty interiors) in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For the set of convex bodies containing the origin in their interiors and the set of origin-symmetric convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we write $\mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$ denote the set of star bodies (about the origin) in $R^{n}$. Let $S^{n-1}$ denote the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$; denote by $V(K)$ the $n$-dimensional volume of body $K$; for the standard unit ball $B$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, denote $\omega_{n}=V(B)$.

The notion of geominimal surface area was given by Petty [1]. For $K \in \mathcal{K}^{n}$, the geominimal surface area, $G(K)$, of $K$ is defined by

$$
\omega_{n}^{\frac{1}{n}} G(K)=\inf \left\{n V_{1}(K, Q) V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}: Q \in K^{n}\right\}
$$

Here $Q^{*}$ denotes the polar of body $Q$ and $V_{1}(M, N)$ denotes the mixed volume of $M, N \in \mathcal{K}^{n}[2]$.

According to the $L_{p}$-mixed volume, Lutwak [3] introduced the notion of $L_{p}$-geominimal surface area. For $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}, p \geq 1$, the $L_{p}$-geominimal surface area, $G_{p}(K)$, of $K$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n}^{\frac{p}{n}} G_{p}(K)=\inf \left\{n V_{p}(K, Q) V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $V_{p}(M, N)$ denotes the $L_{p}$-mixed volume of $M, N \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}[3,4]$. Obviously, if $p=1$, $G_{p}(K)$ is just the geominimal surface area $G(K)$. Further, Lutwak [3] proved the following result for the $L_{p}$-geominimal surface area.

Theorem 1.A. If $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}, p \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{p}(K) \leq n \omega_{n}^{\frac{p}{n}} V(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $K$ is an ellipsoid.

Lutwak [3] also defined the $L_{p^{-}}$-geominimal area ratio as follows: For $K \in K_{o}^{n}$, the $L_{p^{-}}$ geominimal area ratio of $K$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{G_{p}(K)^{n}}{n^{n} V(K)^{n-p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lutwak [3] proved (1.3) is monotone nondecreasing in $p$, namely
Theorem 1.B. If $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}, 1 \leq p<q$, then

$$
\left(\frac{G_{p}(K)^{n}}{n^{n} V(K)^{n-p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq\left(\frac{G_{q}(K)^{n}}{n^{n} V(K)^{n-q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$

with equality if and only if $K$ and $T_{p} K$ are dilates.
Here $T_{p} K$ denotes the $L_{p}$-Petty body of $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}[3]$.
Above, the definition of $L_{p}$-geominimal surface area is based on the $L_{p}$-mixed volume. In this paper, associated with the $L_{p}$-dual mixed volume, we give the notion of $L_{p}$-dual geominimal surface area as follows: For $K \in \mathcal{S}_{c}^{n}$, and $p \geq 1$, the $L_{p}$-dual geominimal surface area, $\tilde{G}_{-p}(K)$, of $K$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n}^{-\frac{p}{n}} \tilde{G}_{-p}(K)=\inf \left\{n \tilde{V}_{-p}(K, Q) V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}\right\} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\tilde{V}_{-p}(M, N)$ denotes the $L_{p}$-dual mixed volume of $M, N \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}[3]$.
For the $L_{p}$-dual geominimal surface area, we proved the following dual forms of Theorems 1.A and 1.B, respectively.
Theorem 1.1. If $K \in \mathcal{S}_{c}^{n}, p \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G}_{-p}(K) \geq n \omega_{n}^{-\frac{p}{n}} V(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $K$ is an ellipsoid centered at the origin.
Theorem 1.2. If $K \in \mathcal{S}_{c}^{n}, 1 \leq p<q$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\tilde{G}_{-p}(K)^{n}}{n^{n} V(K)^{n+p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq\left(\frac{\tilde{G}_{-q}(K)^{n}}{n^{n} V(K)^{n+q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$.
Here

$$
\left(\frac{\tilde{G}_{-p}(K)^{n}}{n^{n} V(K)^{n+p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

may be called the $L_{p}$-dual geominimal surface area ratio of $K \in \mathcal{S}_{c}^{n}$.
Further, we establish Blaschke-Santaló type inequality for the $L_{p}$-dual geominimal surface area as follows:

Theorem 1.3. If $K \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, n \geq p \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G}_{-p}(K) \tilde{G}_{-p}\left(K^{*}\right) \leq n^{2} \omega_{n}^{2} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $K$ is an ellipsoid.

Finally, we give the following Brunn-Minkowski type inequality for the $L_{p}$-dual geominimal surface area.

Theorem 1.4. If $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}, p \geq 1$ and $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ (not both zero), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G}_{-p}\left(\lambda \star K+_{-p} \mu \star L\right)^{-\frac{p}{n+p}} \geq \lambda \tilde{G}_{-p}(K)^{-\frac{p}{n+p}}+\mu \tilde{G}_{-p}(L)^{-\frac{p}{n+p}} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $K$ and $L$ are dilates.
Here $\lambda \star K+{ }_{-p} \mu \star L$ denotes the $L_{p}$-harmonic radial combination of $K$ and $L$.
The proofs of Theorems 1.1-1.3 are completed in Section 3 of this paper. In Section 4, we will give proof of Theorem 1.4.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Support function, radial function and polar of convex bodies

If $K \in \mathcal{K}^{n}$, then its support function, $h_{K}=h(K, \cdot): \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow(-\infty, \infty)$, is defined by [5,6]

$$
h(K, x)=\max \{x \cdot y: y \in K\}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n},
$$

where $x \cdot y$ denotes the standard inner product of $x$ and $y$.
If $K$ is a compact star-shaped (about the origin) in $R^{n}$, then its radial function, $\rho_{K}=\rho$ $(K, \cdot): R^{n} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, is defined by $[5,6]$

$$
\rho(K, u)=\max \{\lambda \geq 0: \lambda \cdot u \in K\}, u \in S^{n-1}
$$

If $\rho_{K}$ is continuous and positive, then $K$ will be called a star body. Two star bodies $K$, $L$ are said to be dilates (of one another) if $\rho_{K}(u) / \rho_{L}(u)$ is independent of $u \in S^{n-1}$. If $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$, the polar body, $K^{*}$, of $K$ is defined by [5,6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{*}=\left\{x \in R^{n}: x \cdot y \leq 1, y \in K\right\} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$, if $\varphi \in G L(n)$, then by (2.1) we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\phi K)^{*}=\phi^{-\tau} K^{*} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $G L(n)$ denotes the group of general (nonsingular) linear transformations and $\varphi^{-\tau}$ denotes the reverse of transpose (transpose of reverse) of $\varphi$.

For $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$ and its polar body, the well-known Blaschke-Santaló inequality can be stated that [5]:

Theorem 2.A. If $K \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(K) V\left(K^{*}\right) \leq \omega_{n}^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $K$ is an ellipsoid.

## 2.2 $L_{p}$-Mixed volume

For $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$ and $\varepsilon>0$, the Firey $L_{p}$-combination $K_{{ }_{p}} \varepsilon \cdot L \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$ is defined by [7]

$$
h\left(K+{ }_{p} \in \cdot L, \cdot\right)^{p}=h(K, \cdot)^{p}+\varepsilon h(L, \cdot)^{p},
$$

where "." in $\varepsilon \cdot L$ denotes the Firey scalar multiplication.
If $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$, then for $p \geq 1$, the $L_{p}$-mixed volume, $V_{p}(K, L)$, of $K$ and $L$ is defined by [4]

$$
\frac{n}{p} V_{p}(K, L)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{V\left(K+_{p} \varepsilon \cdot L\right)-V(K)}{\varepsilon} .
$$

The $L_{p}$-Minkowski inequality can be stated that [4]:
Theorem 2.B. If $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$ and $p \geq 1$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{p}(K, L) \geq V(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} V(L)^{\frac{p}{n}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality for $p>1$ if and only if $K$ and $L$ are dilates, for $p=1$ if and only if $K$ and $L$ are homothetic.

## $2.3 L_{p}$-Dual mixed volume

For $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}, p \geq 1$ and $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ (not both zero), the $L_{p}$ harmonic-radial combination, $\lambda \star K \tilde{+}_{-p} \mu \star L \in \mathcal{S}_{o}$ of $K$ and $L$ is defined by [3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(\lambda \star K+_{-p} \mu \star L, \cdot\right)^{-p}=\lambda \rho(K, \cdot)^{-p}+\mu \rho(L, \cdot)^{-p} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.5), for $\varphi \in G L(n)$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(\lambda \star K+_{-p} \mu \star L\right)=\lambda \star \phi K+_{-p} \mu \star \phi L . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Associated with the $L_{p}$-harmonic radial combination of star bodies, Lutwak [3] introduced the notion of $L_{p}$-dual mixed volume as follows: For $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}, p \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon>0$, the $L_{p}$-dual mixed volume, $\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, L)$ of the $K$ and $L$ is defined by [3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n}{-p} \tilde{V}_{-p}(K, L)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{V\left(K+_{-p} \varepsilon \star L\right)-V(K)}{\varepsilon} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The definition above and Hospital's role give the following integral representation of the $L_{p}$-dual mixed volume [3]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, L)=\frac{1}{n} \int S^{n-1} \rho_{K}^{n+p}(u) \rho_{L}^{-p}(u) d S(u) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integration is with respect to spherical Lebesgue measure $S$ on $S^{n-1}$.
From the formula (2.8), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, K)=V(K)=\frac{1}{n} \int S^{n-1} \rho_{K}^{n}(u) d S(u) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Minkowski's inequality for the $L_{p}$-dual mixed volume is that [3]
Theorem 2.C. Let $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}, p \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, L) \geq V(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} V(L)^{-\frac{p}{n}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $K$ and $L$ are dilates.

## 2.4 $L_{p}$-Curvature image

For $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$, and real $p \geq 1$, the $L_{p}$-surface area measure, $S_{p}(K, \cdot)$, of $K$ is defined by [4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d S_{p}(K, \cdot)}{d S(K, \cdot)}=h(K, \cdot)^{1-p} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.11) is also called Radon-Nikodym derivative, it turns out that the measure $S_{p}(K, \cdot)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to surface area measure $S(K, \cdot)$.
A convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$ is said to have an $L_{p}$-curvature function [3] $f_{p}(K,):. S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, if its $L_{p}$-surface area measure $S_{p}(K, \cdot)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to spherical

Lebesgue measure $S$, and

$$
f_{p}(K, \cdot)=\frac{d S_{p}(K, \cdot)}{d S} .
$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_{o}^{n}, \mathcal{F}_{c}^{n}$, denote set of all bodies in $\mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}, \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$, respectively, that have a positive continuous curvature function.
Lutwak [3] showed the notion of $L_{p}$-curvature image as follows: For each $K \in \mathcal{F}_{o}^{n}$ and real $p \geq 1$, define $\Lambda_{p} K \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$, the $L_{p}$-curvature image of $K$, by

$$
\rho\left(\Lambda_{p} K, \cdot\right)^{n+p}=\frac{V\left(\Lambda_{p} K\right)}{\omega_{n}} f_{p}(K, \cdot)
$$

Note that for $p=1$, this definition differs from the definition of classical curvature image [3]. For the studies of classical curvature image and $L_{p}$-curvature image, one may see [6,8-12].

## $3 L_{p}$-Dual geominimal surface area

In this section, we research the $L_{p}$-dual geominimal surface area. First, we give a property of the $L_{p}$-dual geominimal surface area under the general linear transformation. Next, we will complete proofs of Theorems 1.1-1.3.

For the $L_{p}$-geominimal surface area, Lutwak [3] proved the following a property under the special linear transformation.
Theorem 3.A. For $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}, p \geq 1$, if $\varphi \in S L(n)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{p}(\phi K)=G_{p}(K) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $S L(n)$ denotes the group of special linear transformations.
Similar to Theorem 3.A, we get the following result of general linear transformation for the $L_{p}$-dual geominimal surface area:

Theorem 3.1. For $K \in \mathcal{S}_{c}^{n}, p \geq 1$, if $\varphi \in G L(n)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G}_{-p}(\phi K)=|\operatorname{det} \phi|^{\frac{n+p}{n}} \tilde{G}_{-p}(K) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.1. If $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$ and $p \geq 1$, then for $\varphi \in G L(n)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{V}_{-p}(\phi K, \phi L)=|\operatorname{det} \phi| \tilde{V}_{-p}(K, L) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for $\varphi \in S L(n)$, proof of (3.3) may be fund in [3].
Proof. From (2.6), (2.7) and notice the fact $V(\varphi K)=|\operatorname{det} \varphi| V(K)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{n}{-p} \tilde{V}_{-p}(\phi K, \phi L) & =\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{V\left(\phi K+_{-p} \varepsilon \star \phi L\right)-V(\phi K)}{\varepsilon} \\
& =\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{V\left[\phi\left(K+_{-p} \varepsilon \star L\right)\right]-V(\phi K)}{\varepsilon} \\
& =|\operatorname{det} \phi| \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{V\left(K+_{-p} \varepsilon \star L\right)-V(K)}{\varepsilon} \\
& =|\operatorname{det} \phi| \tilde{V}_{-p}(K, L) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. From (1.4), (3.3) and (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{n}^{-\frac{p}{n}} \tilde{G}_{-p}(\phi K) & =\inf \left\{n \tilde{V}_{-p}(\phi K, Q) V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{n|\operatorname{det} \phi| \tilde{V}_{-p}\left(K, \phi^{-1} Q\right) V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{n|\operatorname{det} \phi| \tilde{V}_{-p}\left(K, \phi^{-1} Q\right) V\left(\phi^{-\tau} \phi^{\tau} Q^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{n|\operatorname{det\phi }| \left\lvert\, \operatorname{det}\left(\phi^{-\tau}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}} \tilde{V}_{-p}\left(K, \phi^{-1} Q\right) V\left(\left(\phi^{-1} Q\right)^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}}\right.: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}\right\} \\
& =|\operatorname{det} \phi|^{\frac{n+p}{n}} \omega_{n}^{-\frac{p}{n}} \tilde{G}_{-p}(K) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This immediately yields (3.2).
Actually, using definition (1.1) and fact [13]: If $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}$ and $p \geq 1$, then for $\varphi \in G L$ (n),

$$
V_{p}(\phi K, \phi L)=|\operatorname{det} \phi| V_{p}(K, L),
$$

we may extend Theorem 3.A as follows:
Theorem 3.2. For $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}, p \geq 1$, if $\varphi \in G L(n)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{p}(\phi K)=|\operatorname{det} \phi|^{\frac{n-p}{n}} G_{p}(K) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, (3.2) is dual form of (3.4). In particular, if $\varphi \in S L(n)$, then (3.4) is just (3.1).

Now we prove Theorems 1.1-1.3.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. From (2.10) and Blaschke-Santaló inequality (2.3), we have that

$$
\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, Q) V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}} \geq V(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n}}\left[V(Q) V\left(Q^{*}\right)\right]^{-\frac{p}{n}} \geq \omega_{n}^{-\frac{2 p}{n}} V(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n}}
$$

Hence, using definition (1.4), we know

$$
\omega_{n}^{-\frac{p}{n}} \tilde{G}_{-p}(K) \geq n \omega_{n}^{-\frac{2 p}{n}} V(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n}},
$$

this yield inequality (1.5). According to the equality conditions of (2.3) and (2.10), we see that equality holds in (1.5) if and only if $K$ and $Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$ are dilates and $Q$ is an ellipsoid, i.e. $K$ is an ellipsoid centered at the origin.

Compare to inequalities (1.2) and (1.5), we easily get that
Corollary 3.1. For $K \in \mathcal{K}_{o}^{n}, p \geq 1$, then for $n>p$,

$$
\tilde{G}_{-p}(K) \geq\left(n \omega_{n}\right)^{-\frac{2 p}{n-p}} G_{p}(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n-p}}
$$

with equality if and only if $K$ is an ellipsoid centered at the origin.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. Using the Hölder inequality, (2.8) and (2.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, Q) & =\frac{1}{n} \int S^{n-1} \rho_{K}^{n+p}(u) \rho_{Q}^{-p}(u) d S(u) \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \int S^{n-1}\left[\rho_{K}^{n+q}(u) \rho_{Q}^{-q}(u)\right]^{\frac{p}{q}}\left[\rho_{K}^{n}(u)\right]^{\frac{q-p}{q}} d S(u) \\
& \leq \tilde{V}_{-q}(K, Q)^{\frac{p}{q}} V(K)^{\frac{q-p}{q}},
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, Q)}{V(K)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{-q}(K, Q)}{V(K)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to equality condition in the Hölder inequality, we know that equality holds in (3.5) if and only if $K$ and $Q$ are dilates.

From definition (1.4) of $\tilde{G}_{-p}(K)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{\tilde{G}_{-p}(K)^{n}}{n^{n} V(K)^{n+p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & =\inf \left\{\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, Q)}{V(K)}\right)^{\frac{n}{p}} \frac{V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{-1}}{V(K)}: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}\right\} \\
& \leq \inf \left\{\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{-q}(K, Q)}{V(K)}\right)^{\frac{n}{q}} \frac{V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{-1}}{V(K)}: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}\right\}  \tag{3.6}\\
& =\left(\frac{\tilde{G}_{-q}(K)^{n}}{n^{n} V(K)^{n+q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{align*}
$$

This gives inequality (1.6).
Because of $Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$ in inequality (3.6), this together with equality condition of (3.5), we see that equality holds in (1.6) if and only if $K \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$. $\square$
Proof of Theorem 1.3. From definition (1.4), it follows that for $Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$,

$$
\omega_{n}^{-\frac{p}{n}} \tilde{G}_{-p}(K) \leq n \tilde{V}_{-p}(K, Q) V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}}
$$

Since $K \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$, taking $K$ for $Q$, and using (2.9), we can get

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{G}_{-p}(K) & \leq n \omega_{n}^{\frac{p}{n}} \tilde{V}_{-p}(K, K) V\left(K^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}} \\
& =n \omega_{n}^{\frac{p}{n}} V(K) V\left(K^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}} . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G}_{-p}\left(K^{*}\right) \leq n \omega_{n}^{\frac{p}{n}} V\left(K^{*}\right) V(K)^{-\frac{p}{n}} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.7) and (3.8), we get

$$
\tilde{G}_{-p}(K) \tilde{G}_{-p}\left(K^{*}\right) \leq n^{2} \omega_{n}^{\frac{2 p}{n}}\left[V(K) V\left(K^{*}\right)\right]^{\frac{n-p}{n}} .
$$

Hence, for $n \geq p$ using (2.3), we obtain

$$
\tilde{G}_{-p}(K) \tilde{G}_{-p}\left(K^{*}\right) \leq n^{2} \omega_{n}^{\frac{2 p}{n}}\left[\omega_{n}^{2}\right]^{\frac{n-p}{n}}=n^{2} \omega_{n}^{2}
$$

According to the equality condition of (2.3), we see that equality holds in (1.7) if and only if $K$ is an ellipsoid. $\square$
Associated with the $L_{p}$-curvature image of convex bodies, we may give a result more better than inequality (1.5) of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.3. If $K \in \mathcal{F}_{o}^{n}, p \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G}_{-p}\left(\Lambda_{p} K\right) \geq n \omega_{n}^{\frac{p-n}{n}} V\left(\Lambda_{p} K\right) V(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $K \in \mathcal{F}_{c}^{n}$.
Lemma 3.2 [3]. If $K \in \mathcal{F}_{o}^{n}, p \geq 1$, then for any $\mathrm{Q} \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{p}\left(K, Q^{*}\right)=\frac{\omega_{n} \tilde{V}_{-p}\left(\Lambda_{p} K, Q\right)}{V\left(\Lambda_{p} K\right)} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.3. From (1.4), (3.10) and (2.4), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{n}^{-\frac{p}{n}} \tilde{G}_{-p}\left(\Lambda_{p} K\right) & =\inf \left\{n \tilde{V}_{-p}\left(\Lambda_{p} K, Q\right) V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{n \omega_{n}^{-1} V\left(\Lambda_{p} K\right) V_{p}\left(K, Q^{*}\right) V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}\right\} \\
& \geq \inf \left\{n \omega_{n}^{-1} V\left(\Lambda_{p} K\right) V(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{\frac{p}{n}} V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{n \omega_{n}^{-1} V\left(\Lambda_{p} K\right) V(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}\right\} \\
& =n \omega_{n}^{-1} V\left(\Lambda_{p} K\right) V(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields (3.9). According to the equality condition in inequality (2.4), we see that equality holds in inequality (3.9) if and only if $K$ and $Q^{*}$ are dilates. Since $Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$, equality holds in inequality (3.9) if and only if $K \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$. $\square$

Recall that Lutwak [3] proved that if $K \in \mathcal{F}_{c}^{n}$ and $p \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\Lambda_{p} K\right) \leq \omega_{n}^{\frac{2 p-n}{p}} V(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $K$ is an ellipsoid.
From (3.9) and (3.11), we easily get that if $K \in \mathcal{F}_{c}^{n}$ and $p \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G}_{-p}\left(\Lambda_{p} K\right) \geq n \omega_{n}^{-\frac{p}{n}} V\left(\Lambda_{p} K\right)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $K$ is an ellipsoid.
Inequality (3.12) just is inequality (1.5) for the $L_{p}$-curvature image.
In addition, by (1.2) and (3.9), we also have that
Corollary 3.2. If $K \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}, p \geq 1$, then

$$
\tilde{G}_{-p}\left(\Lambda_{p} K\right) \geq \frac{V\left(\Lambda_{p} K\right)}{\omega_{n}} G_{p}(K)
$$

with equality if and only if $K$ is an ellipsoid.

## 4 Brunn-Minkowski type inequalities

In this section, we first prove Theorem 1.4. Next, associated with the $L_{p}$-harmonic radial combination of star bodies, we give another Brunn-Minkowski type inequality for the $L_{p}$-dual geominimal surface area.

Lemma 4.1. If $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}, p \geq 1$ and $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ (not both zero) then for any $Q \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{V}_{-p}\left(\lambda \star K+_{-p} \mu \star L, Q\right)^{-\frac{p}{n+p}} \geq \lambda \tilde{V}_{-p}(K, Q)^{-\frac{p}{n+p}}+\mu \tilde{V}_{-p}(L, Q)^{-\frac{p}{n+p}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $K$ and $L$ are dilates.
Proof. Since $-(n+p) / p<0$, thus by (2.5), (2.8) and Minkowski's integral inequality (see [14]), we have for any $Q \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{V}_{-p}\left(\lambda \star K+_{-p} \mu \star L, Q\right)^{-\frac{p}{n+p}} \\
= & {\left[\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho\left(\lambda \star K+_{-p} \mu \star L, u\right)^{n+p} \rho(Q, u)^{-p} d u\right]^{-\frac{p}{n+p}} } \\
= & {\left[\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}}\left[\rho\left(\lambda \star K+_{-p} \mu \star L, u\right)^{-p} \rho(Q, u)^{\frac{p^{2}}{n+p}}\right]^{-\frac{n+p}{p}} d u\right]^{-\frac{p}{n+p}} } \\
= & {\left[\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}}\left[\left(\lambda \rho(K, u)^{-p}+\mu \rho(L, u)^{-p}\right) \rho(Q, u)^{\frac{p^{2}}{n+p}}\right]^{-\frac{n+p}{p}} d u\right]^{-\frac{p}{n+p}} } \\
\geq & \lambda\left[\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K, u)^{n+p} \rho(Q, u)^{-p} d u\right]^{-\frac{p}{n+p}} \\
& +\mu\left[\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(L, u)^{n+p} \rho(Q, u)^{-p} d u\right]^{-\frac{p}{n+p}} \\
& =\lambda \tilde{V}_{-p}(K, Q)^{-\frac{p}{n+p}}+\mu \tilde{V}_{-p}(L, Q)^{-\frac{p}{n+p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the equality condition of Minkowski's integral inequality, we see that equality holds in (4.1) if and only if $K$ and $L$ are dilates. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. From definition (1.4) and inequality (4.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\omega_{n}^{-\frac{p}{n}} \tilde{G}_{-p}\left(\lambda \star K+_{-p} \mu \star L\right)\right]^{-\frac{p}{n+p}} } \\
= & \inf \left\{\left[n \tilde{V}_{-p}\left(\lambda \star K+_{-p} \mu \star L, Q\right) V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}}\right]^{-\frac{p}{n+p}}: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}\right\} \\
= & \inf \left\{\left[n \tilde{V}_{-p}\left(\lambda \star K+_{-p} \mu \star L, Q\right)\right]^{-\frac{p}{n+p}} V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{\frac{p^{2}}{n(n+p)}}: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}\right\} \\
\geq & \inf \left\{\left[\lambda\left(n \tilde{V}_{-p}(K, Q)\right)^{-\frac{p}{n+p}}+\mu\left(n \tilde{V}_{-p}(L, Q)\right)^{-\frac{p}{n+p}}\right] V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{\frac{p^{2}}{n(n+p)}}: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}\right\} \\
\geq & \inf \left\{\lambda\left[n \tilde{V}_{-p}(K, Q) V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}}\right]^{-\frac{p}{n+p}}: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}\right\} \\
& +\inf \left\{\mu\left[n \tilde{V}_{-p}(K, Q) V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}}\right]^{-\frac{p}{n+p}}: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}\right\} \\
= & \lambda\left[\omega_{n}^{-\frac{p}{n}} \tilde{G}_{-p}(K)\right]^{-\frac{p}{n+p}}+\mu\left[\omega_{n}^{-\frac{p}{n}} \tilde{G}_{-p}(L)\right]^{-\frac{p}{n+p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields inequality (1.8).
By the equality condition of (4.1) we know that equality holds in (1.8) if and only if $K$ and $L$ are dilates.
The notion of $L_{p}$-radial combination can be introduced as follows: For $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}, p \geq$ 1 and $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ (not both zero), the $L_{p}$-radial combination, $\lambda \circ K_{+} \mu \circ L \in S_{o}^{n}$, of $K$ and $L$ is defined by [15]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(\lambda \circ K \tilde{+}{ }_{p} \mu \circ L, \cdot\right)^{p}=\lambda \rho(K, \cdot \cdot)^{p}+\mu \rho(L, \cdot \cdot)^{p} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the definition (4.2) of $L_{p}$-radial combination, we also obtain the following Brunn-Minkowski type inequality for the $L_{p}$-dual geominimal surface area.

Theorem 4.1. If $K, L \in K_{c}^{n}, p \geq 1$ and $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ (not both zero), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G}_{-p}\left(\lambda \circ K \tilde{f}_{n+p} \mu \circ L\right) \geq \lambda \tilde{G}_{-p}(K)+\mu \tilde{G}_{-p}(L) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $K$ and $L$ are dilates.
Proof. From definitions (1.4), (4.2) and formula (2.8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{n}^{-\frac{p}{n}} \tilde{G}_{-p}\left(\lambda \circ K \tilde{+}_{n+p} \mu \circ L\right) \\
= & \inf \left\{n \tilde{V}_{-p}\left(\lambda \circ K \tilde{\Psi}_{n+p} \mu \circ L, Q\right) V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}\right\} \\
= & \inf \left\{n\left[\lambda \tilde{V}_{-p}(K, Q)+\mu \tilde{V}_{-p}(L, Q)\right] V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}\right\} \\
= & \inf \left\{n \lambda \tilde{V}_{-p}(K, Q) V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}}+n \mu \tilde{V}_{-p}(L, Q) V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}\right\} \\
\geq & \inf \left\{n \lambda \tilde{V}_{-p}(K, Q) V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}\right\} \\
& +\inf \left\{n \mu \tilde{V}_{-p}(L, Q) V\left(Q^{*}\right)^{-\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}\right\} \\
= & \omega_{n}^{-\frac{p}{n}} \lambda \tilde{G}_{-p}(K)+\omega_{n}^{-\frac{p}{n}} \mu \tilde{G}_{-p}(L) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\tilde{G}_{-p}\left(\lambda \circ K \tilde{\Psi}_{n+p} \mu \circ L\right) \geq \lambda \tilde{G}_{-p}(K)+\mu \tilde{G}_{-p}(L) .
$$

The equality holds if and only if $\lambda \circ \mathcal{F}_{n+p} \mu \circ L$ are dilates with $K$ and $L$, respectively. This mean that equality holds in (4.3) if and only if $K$ and $L$ are dilates. $\square$
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