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#### Abstract

In this paper, we establish two new inequalities between the root-square, arithmetic, and Seiffert means. The achieved results are inspired by the paper of Seiffert (Die Wurzel, 29, 221-222, 1995), and the methods from Chu et al. (J. Math. Inequal., 4, 581-586, 2010). The inequalities we obtained improve the existing corresponding results and, in some sense, are optimal. Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): $26 E 60$.
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## 1 Introduction

For $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, the root-square mean $S(a, b)$ and Seiffert mean $T(a, b)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(a, b)=\sqrt{\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{2}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(a, b)=\frac{a-b}{2 \arctan \left(\frac{a-b}{a+b}\right)}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. In the recent past, both mean values have been the subject of intensive research. In particular, many remarkable inequalities for $S$ and $T$ can be found in the literature [1-11].

Let $A(a, b)=(a+b) / 2, G(a, b)=\sqrt{a b}$, and $H(a, b)=2 a b /(a+b)$ be the classical arithmetic, geometric, and harmonic means of two positive numbers $a$ and $b$, respectively. In [1], Seiffert proved that

$$
A(a, b)<T(a, b)<S(a, b)
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
Taneja [5] presented that

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(a, b) & <\frac{2}{3} H(a, b)+\frac{1}{3} S(a, b)<\frac{1}{2} A(a, b)+\frac{1}{2} H(a, b)<\frac{1}{2} S(a, b)+\frac{1}{2} G(a, b) \\
& <\frac{1}{3} H(a, b)+\frac{2}{3} S(a, b)<A(a, b)<S(a, b)-G(a, b)+H(a, b)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $\mathrm{a} \neq \mathrm{b}$.

In [2], the authors find the greatest value $p$ and the least value $q$ such that the double inequality $H_{p}(a, b)<T(a, b)<H_{q}(a, b)$ for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$. Here, $H_{p}(a, b)=\left[\left(a^{p}+(a b)^{p / 2}+b^{p}\right) / 3\right]^{1 / p}$ is the power-type Heron mean of $a$ and $b$.

Wang, Qiu, and Chu [3] established that

$$
T(a, b)<L_{1 / 3}(a, b)
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$, where $L_{p}(a, b)=\left(a^{p+1}+b^{p+1}\right)=\left(a^{p}+b^{p}\right)$ is the Lehmer mean of $a$ and $b$.

The purpose of the paper is to find the greatest values $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$, and the least values $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$, such that the double inequalities $\alpha_{1} S(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) A(a, b)<T(a, b)$ $<\beta_{1} S(a, b)+\left(1-\beta_{1}\right) A(a, b)$ and $S^{\alpha_{2}}(a, b) A^{1-\alpha_{2}}(a, b)<T(a, b)<S^{\beta_{2}}(a, b) A^{1-\beta_{2}}(a, b)$ hold for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.

## 2 Main results

Theorem 2.1. The double inequality $\alpha_{1} S(a, b)+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) A(a, b)<T(a, b)<\beta_{1} S(a, b)+$ $\left(1-\beta_{1}\right) A(a, b)$ holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_{1} \leq(4-\pi) /[(\sqrt{2}-1) \pi]=0.659 \cdots$ and $\beta_{1} \geq 2 / 3$.

Proof. Firstly, we prove that

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(a, b)<\frac{2}{3} S(a, b)+\frac{1}{3} A(a, b)  \tag{2.1}\\
& T(a, b)>\frac{4-\pi}{\pi(\sqrt{2}-1)} S(a, b)+\frac{\sqrt{2} \pi-4}{\pi(\sqrt{2}-1)} A(a, b) \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $\mathrm{a} \neq \mathrm{b}$.
Without loss of generality, we assume that $a>b$. Let $t=\sqrt{a / b}>1$ and $p \in\{2 / 3,(4-\pi) /[(\sqrt{2}-1) \pi]\}$, then from (1.1) and (1.2) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(a, b)-[p S(a, b)+(1-p) A(a, b)] \\
& \quad=\frac{b\left[\sqrt{2} p \sqrt{1+t^{2}}+(1-p)(1+t)\right]}{2 \arctan \left(\frac{t-1}{t+1}\right)}  \tag{2.3}\\
& \quad \times\left[\frac{t-1}{\sqrt{2} p \sqrt{1+t^{2}}+(1-p)(1+t)}-\arctan \left(\frac{t-1}{t+1}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\frac{t-1}{\sqrt{2} p \sqrt{1+t^{2}}+(1-p)(1+t)}-\arctan \left(\frac{t-1}{t+1}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then simple computations lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(1)=0  \tag{2.5}\\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} f(t)=\frac{1}{(\sqrt{2}-1) p+1}-\frac{\pi}{4}  \tag{2.6}\\
& f^{\prime}(t)=\frac{f_{1}(t)}{\left(t^{2}+1\right)\left[\sqrt{2} p \sqrt{1+t^{2}}+(1-p)(1+t)\right]^{2}} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(t)=\sqrt{2} p(2 p-1)(t+1) \sqrt{t^{2}+1}-\left[\left(3 p^{2}-1\right) t^{2}+2(p-1)^{2} t+3 p^{2}-1\right] \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We divide the proof into two cases.
Case 1. $p=2 / 3$. Then, we clearly see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 p-1=3 p^{2}-1=\frac{1}{3}>0, \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\sqrt{2} p(2 p-1)(t+1) \sqrt{1+t^{2}}\right]^{2}-\left[\left(3 p^{2}-1\right) t^{2}+2(p-1)^{2} t+3 p^{2}-1\right]^{2}} \\
& \quad=-\frac{(t-1)^{4}}{81}<0 \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

for $t>1$.
Therefore, inequality (2.1) follows from (2.3)-(2.5) and (2.7)-(2.10).
Case 2. $p=(4-\pi) /[(\sqrt{2}-1) \pi]=0.659 \cdots$. Then, simple computations yield that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 p-1 i 0,  \tag{2.11}\\
& 2-3 p>0,  \tag{2.12}\\
& 3 p^{2}-1>0,  \tag{2.13}\\
& -p^{4}-8 p^{3}+8 p^{2}-1=-0.00456 \cdots<0 \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\sqrt{2} p(2 p-1)(t+1) \sqrt{1+t^{2}}\right]^{2}-\left[\left(3 p^{2}-1\right) t^{2}+2(p-1)^{2} t+3 p^{2}-1\right]^{2}} \\
& \quad=(t-1)^{2}\left[\left(-p^{4}-8 p^{3}+8 p^{2}-1\right) t^{2}\right.  \tag{2.15}\\
& \left.+2\left(p^{4}-4 p^{3}+6 p^{2}-4 p+1\right) t-p^{4}-8 p^{3}+8 p^{2}-1\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
g(t)= & \left(-p^{4}-8 p^{3}+8 p^{2}-1\right) t^{2}+2\left(p^{4}-4 p^{3}+6 p^{2}-4 p+1\right) t \\
& -p^{4}-8 p^{3}+8 p^{2}-1, \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

then from (2.11) and (2.12) together with (2.14), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& g(1)=4 p(2 p-1)(2-3 p)>0,  \tag{2.17}\\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} g(t)=-\infty,  \tag{2.18}\\
& g^{\prime}(t)=2\left(-p^{4}-8 p^{3}+8 p^{2}-1\right) t+2\left(p^{4}-4 p^{3}+6 p^{2}-4 p+1\right),  \tag{2.19}\\
& g^{\prime}(1)=4 p(2 p-1)(2-3 p)>0, \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} g^{\prime}(t)=-\infty \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime \prime}(t)=2\left(-p^{4}-8 p^{3}+8 p^{2}-1\right)=-0.00912 \cdots<0 . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.19)-(2.21) that there exists $t_{0}>1$ such that $g^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left[1, t_{0}\right)$ and $g^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \in\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Hence, $g(t)$ is strictly increasing in $\left[1, t_{0}\right]$ and strictly decreasing in $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$.
From (2.17) and (2.18) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $g(t)$, we clearly see that there exists $t_{1}>t_{0}>1$ such that $g(t)>0$ for $t \in\left[1, t_{1}\right)$ and $g(t)<0$ for $t \in$ $\left(t_{1}, \infty\right)$. Then, from (2.8), (2.11), (2.13), (2.15), and (2.16), we know that $f_{1}(t)>0$ for $t \in$ [ $1, t_{1}$ ) and $f_{1}(t)<0$ for $t \in\left(t_{1}, \infty\right)$. It follows from (2.7) that $f(t)$ is strictly increasing in [ $1, t_{1}$ ] and strictly decreasing in $\left[t_{1}, \infty\right)$.

Note that (2.6) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} f(t)=0 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, inequality (2.2) follows from (2.3)-(2.5) and (2.22) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $f(t)$.
Secondly, we prove that $2 S(a, b) / 3+A(a, b) / 3$ is the best possible upper convex combination bound of root-square and arithmetic means for the Seiffert mean $T(a, b)$.

Letting $x>0(x \rightarrow 0)$ and making use of the Taylor expansion, one has

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\beta_{1} & S(1,1+x)+\left(1-\beta_{1}\right) A(1,1+x)-T(1,1+x) \\
& =\beta_{1}\left[\left[1+\frac{1}{2} x+\frac{1}{8} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right)\right]+\left(1-\beta_{1}\right)\left(1+\frac{x}{2}\right)\right. \\
& -\left[1+\frac{1}{2} x+\frac{1}{12} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right)\right]  \tag{2.23}\\
& =\frac{1}{24}\left(3 \beta_{1}-2\right) x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Equation (2.23) implies that for any $\beta_{1}<2 / 3$, there exists $\delta_{1}=\delta_{1}\left(\beta_{1}\right)>0$, such that $\beta_{1} S(1,1+x)+\left(1-\beta_{1}\right) A(1,1+x)<T(1,1+x)$ for $x \in\left(0, \delta_{1}\right)$.

Finally, we prove that $(4-\pi) S(a, b) /[(\sqrt{2}-1) \pi]+(\sqrt{2} \pi-4) A(a, b) /[(\sqrt{2}-1) \pi]$ is the best possible lower convex combination bound of root-square and arithmetic means for the Seiffert mean $T(a, b)$.

For any $\alpha_{1}>(4-\pi) /[(\sqrt{2}-1) \pi]$, it follows from (1.1) and (1.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\alpha_{1} S(1, x)+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) A(1, x)}{T(1, x)}=\frac{(\sqrt{2}-1) \alpha_{1}+1}{4} \pi>1 . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (2.24) implies that for any $\alpha_{1}>(4-\pi) /[(\sqrt{2}-1) \pi]$, there exists $X_{1}=X_{1}$ $\left(\alpha_{1}\right)>1$ such that $\alpha_{1} S(1, x)+\left(1 \alpha_{1}\right) A(1, x)>T(1, x)$ for $x \in\left(X_{1}, \infty\right)$.
Theorem 2.2. The double inequality $S^{\alpha_{2}}(a, b) A^{1-\alpha_{2}}(a, b)<T(a, b)<S^{\beta_{2}}(a, b) A^{1-\beta_{2}}(a, b)$ holds for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_{2} \leq 2 / 3$ and $\beta_{2} \geq 4-2 \log =\log 2=0.697 \ldots$.

Proof. Firstly, we prove that

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(a, b)>S^{2 / 3}(a, b) A^{1 / 3}(a, b),  \tag{2.25}\\
& T(a, b)<[S(a, b)]^{4-2 \log \pi / \log 2}[A(a, b)]^{2 \log \pi / \log 2-3} \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $a, b>0$ with $a \neq b$.
Without loss of generality, we assume that $a>b$. Let $t=\sqrt{a / b}>1$ and $q \in\{2 / 3,4-2$ $\log \pi / \log 2\}$, then from (1.1) and (1.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log T(a, b)-[q \log S(a, b)+(1-q) \log A(a, b)] \\
& \quad=\log \frac{t-1}{2 \arctan \left(\frac{t-1}{t+1}\right)}-\frac{q}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+t^{2}}{2}\right)-(1-q) \log \left(\frac{1+t}{2}\right) \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=\log \frac{t-1}{2 \arctan \left(\frac{t-1}{t+1}\right)}-\frac{q}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+t^{2}}{2}\right)-(1-q) \log \left(\frac{1+t}{2}\right) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

then simple computations lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 1} F(t)=0  \tag{2.29}\\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} F(t)=\log \frac{4}{\pi}-\frac{q}{2} \log 2  \tag{2.30}\\
& F^{\prime}(t)=\frac{(2-q) t^{2}+2 q t+2-q}{\left(t^{4}-1\right) \arctan \left(\frac{t-1}{t+1}\right)} F_{1}(t) \tag{2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}(t)=\arctan \left(\frac{t-1}{t+1}\right)-\frac{t^{2}-1}{(2-q) t^{2}+2 q t+2-q^{\prime}}  \tag{2.32}\\
& F_{1}(1)=0  \tag{2.33}\\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} F_{1}(t)=\frac{\pi}{4}-\frac{1}{2-q^{\prime}}  \tag{2.34}\\
& F_{1}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{(t-1)^{2}}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)\left[(2-q) t^{2}+2 q t+2-q\right]^{2}} F_{2}(t) \tag{2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{2}(t)=\left(q^{2}-6 q+4\right) t^{2}-2 q^{2} t+q^{2}-6 q+4  \tag{2.36}\\
& F_{2}(1)=4(2-3 q)  \tag{2.37}\\
& F_{2}^{\prime}(t)=2\left(q^{2}-6 q+4\right) t-2 q^{2}  \tag{2.38}\\
& F_{2}^{\prime}(1)=4(2-3 q) \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

We divide the proof into two cases.
Case 1. $q=2 / 3$. Then, we clearly see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2-3 q=0  \tag{2.40}\\
& q^{2}-6 q+4=\frac{4}{9}>0 \tag{2.41}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.38)-(2.41), we know that $F_{2}^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $\mathrm{t} \in(1, \infty)$. Hence, $F_{2}(t)$ is strictly increasing in $[1, \infty)$. It follows from (2.35), (2.37), (2.40), and the monotonicity of $F_{2}(t)$ that $F_{1}(t)$ is strictly increasing in $[1, \infty)$.

Therefore, inequality (2.25) follows from (2.27)-(2.29), (2.31), (2.33), and the monotonicity of $F_{1}(t)$.

Case 2. $q=4-2 \log \pi / \log 2=0: 697 \ldots$. Then, simple computations lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log \frac{4}{\pi}-\frac{q}{2} \log 2=0  \tag{2.42}\\
& \frac{\pi}{4}-\frac{1}{2-q}=0.0179 \cdots>0  \tag{2.43}\\
& q^{2}-6 q+4=0.303 \cdots>0  \tag{2.44}\\
& 2-3 q=-0.09102 \cdots<0 \tag{2.45}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.36) and (2.38) together with (2.44) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} F_{2}(t)=+\infty  \tag{2.46}\\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} F_{2}^{\prime}(t)=+\infty \tag{2.47}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.38) and (2.44), we clearly see that $F_{2}^{\prime}(t)$ is strictly increasing in $[1, \infty)$. Then, (2.39) and (2.45) together with (2.47) imply that there exists $\lambda_{0}>1$ such that $F_{2}^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \in\left[1, \lambda_{0}\right)$ and $F_{2}^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left(\lambda_{0}, \infty\right)$. Hence, $F_{2}(t)$ is strictly decreasing in [1, $\lambda_{0}$ ] and strictly increasing in $\left[\lambda_{0}, \infty\right)$.

From (2.37), (2.45), (2.46), and the piecewise monotonicity of $F_{2}(t)$, we know that there exists $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{0}>1$ such that $F_{2}(t)<0$ for $t \in\left[1, \lambda_{1}\right)$ and $F_{2}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left(\lambda_{1}, \infty\right)$. Then (2.35) implies that $F_{1}(t)$ is strictly decreasing in $\left[1, \lambda_{1}\right]$ and strictly increasing in $\left[\lambda_{1}, \infty\right)$.

From (2.33), (2.34), (2.43), and the piecewise monotonicity of $F_{1}(t)$, we conclude that there exists $\lambda_{2}>\lambda_{1}>1$ such that $F_{1}(t)<0$ for $t \in\left(1, \lambda_{2}\right)$ and $F_{1}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left(\lambda_{2}, \infty\right)$. Then, (2.31) implies that $F(t)$ is strictly decreasing in $\left(1, \lambda_{2}\right]$ and strictly increasing in $\left[\lambda_{2}, \infty\right)$.

Therefore, inequality (2.26) follows from (2.27)-(2.30) and (2.42) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $F(t)$.

Secondly, we prove that $S^{2 / 3}(a, b) A^{1 / 3}(a, b)$ is the best possible lower geo-metric combination bound of root-square and arithmetic means for the Seiffert mean $T(a, b)$.
Letting $x>0(x \rightarrow 0)$ and making use of the Taylor expansion, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& S^{\alpha_{2}}(1,1+x) A^{1-\alpha_{2}}(1,1+x)-T(1,1+x) \\
& \quad= {\left[1+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{2} x+\frac{\alpha_{2^{2}}}{8} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right)\right]\left[1+\frac{1-\alpha_{2}}{2} x+\frac{\alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{2}-1\right)}{8} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right)\right] } \\
& \quad-\left[1+\frac{1}{2} x+\frac{1}{12} x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right)\right]  \tag{2.48}\\
& \quad=\frac{1}{24}\left(3 \alpha_{2}-2\right) x^{2}+o\left(x^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (2.48) implies that for any $\alpha_{2}>2 / 3$, there exists $\delta_{2}=\delta_{2}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)>0$, such that $S^{\alpha_{2}}(1,1+x) A^{1-\alpha_{2}}(1,1+x)>T(1,1+x)$ for $x \in\left(0, \delta_{2}\right)$.

Finally, we prove that $[S(a, b)]^{4-2 \log \pi / \log 2}[A(a, b)]^{2 \log \pi / \log 2-3}$ is the best possible upper geometric combination bound of root-square and arithmetic means for the Seiffert mean $T(a, b)$.

For any $\beta_{2}<4-2 \log \pi / \log 2$ and $x>0$, from (1.1) and (1.2), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{S^{\beta_{2}}(1, x) A^{1-\beta_{2}}(1, x)}{T(1, x)}=2^{\beta_{2} / 2} \times \frac{\pi}{4}<1 \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (2.49) implies that for any $\beta_{2}<4-2 \log \pi / \log 2$, there exists $X_{2}=X_{2}\left(\beta_{2}\right)$ $>1$ such that $T(1, x)>S^{\beta_{2}}(1, x) A^{\left(1-\beta_{2}\right)}(1, x)$ for $x \in\left(X_{2},+\infty\right)$.
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