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## Abstract

In this article, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the following Cauchy functional inequality:

$$
\|f(x)+f(y)+n f(z)\| \leq n f\left\|\left(\frac{x+y}{n}+x\right)\right\|
$$

in the class of mappings from $n$-divisible abelian groups to $p$-Banach spaces for any fixed positive integer $n \geq 2$.

## 1 Introduction

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [1] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms.

We are given a group $G_{1}$ and a metric group $G_{2}$ with metric $\rho(\cdot$,$) . Given \epsilon>0$, does there exist a $\delta>0$ such that if $f: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ satisfies $\rho(f(x y), f(x) f(y))<\delta$ for all $x, y \in G_{1}$, then a homomorphism $h: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ exists with $\rho(f(x), h(x))<\epsilon$ for all $x \in G_{1}$ ?

In other words, we are looking for situations when the homomorphisms are stable, i. e., if a mapping is almost a homomorphism, then there exists a true homomorphism near it.

In 1941, Hyers [2] considered the case of approximately additive mappings between Banach spaces and proved the following result. Suppose that $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are Banach spaces and $f: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ satisfies the following condition: there is a constant $\epsilon \geq 0$ such that

$$
|f(x+y)-f(x)-(y)| \mid \leq \varepsilon
$$

for all $x, y \in E_{1}$. Then, the limit $h(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{n}}$ exists for all $x \in E_{1}$, and it is a unique additive mapping $h: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ such that $\|f(x)-h(x)\| \leq \epsilon$.

The method which was provided by Hyers, and which produces the additive mapping $h$, was called a direct method. This method is the most important and most powerful tool for studying the stability of various functional equations. Hyers' theorem was generalized by Aoki [3] and Bourgin [4] for additive mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. In 1978, Rassias [5] also provided a generalization of Hyers' theorem for linear mappings which allows the Cauchy difference to be unbounded like this $\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}$. Let $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ be two Banach spaces and $f: E_{1} \rightarrow$ $E_{2}$ be a mapping such that $f(t x)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbf{R}$ for each fixed $x$. Assume that
there exists $\epsilon>0$ and $0 \leq p<1$ such that

$$
\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right), \quad \forall x, y \in E_{1}
$$

Then, there exists a unique $\mathbf{R}$-linear mapping $T: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ such that

$$
\|f(x)-T(x)\| \leq \frac{2}{2-2^{p}}\|x\|^{p}
$$

for all $x \in E_{1}$. A generalized result of Rassias' theorem was obtained by Găvruta in [6] and Jung in [7]. In 1990, Rassias [8] during the 27th International Symposium on Functional Equations asked the question whether such a theorem can also be proved for $p \geq 1$. In 1991, Gajda [9], following the same approach as in [5], gave an affirmative solution to this question for $p>1$. It was shown by Gajda [9], as well as by Rassias and [001]emrl [10], that one cannot prove a Rassias' type theorem when $p=1$. The counterexamples of Gajda [9], as well as of Rassias and [001]emrl [10], have stimulated several mathematicians to invent new approximately additive or approximately linear mappings. In particular, Rassias [11,12] proved a similar stability theorem in which he replaced the unbounded Cauchy difference by this factor $\|x\|^{p}\|y\|^{q}$ for $p, q \in \mathbf{R}$ with $p$ $+q \neq 1$.
Let $G$ be an $n$-divisible abelian group $n \in \mathbf{N}$ (i.e., $a \mapsto n a: G \rightarrow G$ is a surjection ) and $X$ be a normed space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Now, for a mapping $f: G \rightarrow X$, we consider the following generalized Cauchy-Jensen equation

$$
f(x)+f(y)+n f(z)=n f\left(\frac{x+y}{n}+z\right), \quad n \geq 2
$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$, which has been introduced in [13].
Proposition 1.1. For a mapping $f: G \rightarrow X$, the following statements are equivalent.
(a) $f$ is additive,
(b) $f(x)+f(y)+n f(z)=n f\left(\frac{x+y}{n}+z\right)$,
(c) $\|f(x)+f(y)+n f(z)\| \leq\left\|n f\left(\frac{x+y}{n}+z\right)\right\|$
for all $x, y, z \in G$.
As a special case for $n=2$, the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equation (b) and functional inequality (c) has been presented in [13]. We remark that there are some interesting papers concerning the stability of functional inequalities and the stability of functional equations in quasi-Banach spaces [14-18]. In this article, we are going to improve the theorems given in [13] without using the oddness of approximate additive functions concerning the functional inequality (c) for a more general case.

## 2 Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of (c)

We recall some basic facts concerning quasi-Banach spaces and some preliminary results. Let $X$ be a real linear space. A quasi-norm is a real-valued function on $X$ satisfying the following:
(1) $\|x\| \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$ and $\|x\|=0$ if and only if $x=0$.
(2) $||\lambda x||=|\lambda| \| x| |$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ and all $x \in X$.
(3) There is a constant $M \geq 1$ such that $\|x+y\| \leq M(\|x\|+\|y\|)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

The pair $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ is called a quasi-normed space if $\|\cdot\|$ is a quasi-norm on $X$ [19,20]. The smallest possible $M$ is called the modulus of concavity of \|.\|. A quasiBanach space is a complete quasi-normed space.
A quasi-norm \| \| \| is called a $p$-norm $(0<p \leq 1)$ if

$$
\|x+y\|^{p} \leq\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. In this case, a quasi-Banach space is called a $p$-Banach space.
Given a $p$-norm, the formula $d(x, y):=\|x-y\|^{p}$ gives us a translation invariant metric on $X$. By the Aoki-Rolewicz theorem [20], each quasi-norm is equivalent to some $p$-norm (see also [19]). Since it is much easier to work with $p$-norms, henceforth, we restrict our attention mainly to $p$-norms. We observe that if $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are nonnegative real numbers, then

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{p} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{p}
$$

where $0<p \leq 1$ [21].
From now on, let $G$ be an $n$-divisible abelian group for some positive integer $n \geq 2$, and let $Y$ be a $p$-Banach space with the modulus of concavity $M$.
Theorem 2.1. Suppose that a mapping $f: G \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0)=0$ satisfies the functional inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)+f(y)+n f(z)\| \leq\left\|n f\left(\frac{x+y}{n}+z\right)\right\|+\varphi(x, y, z) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$, and the perturbing function $\phi: G^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{+}$satisfies

$$
\Phi(x, y, z):=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi\left(n^{i} x, n^{i} y, n^{i} z\right)^{p}}{n^{i p}}<\infty
$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Then, there exists a unique additive mapping $h: G \rightarrow Y$, defined as $h(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(n^{k} x\right)-f\left(-n^{k} x\right)}{2 n^{k}}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-h(x)\| \leq \frac{M^{2}}{2 n}[\Phi(n x, 0,-x)+\Phi(-n x, 0, x)]^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{M}{2} \varphi(x,-x, 0) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$.
Proof. Let $y=-x, z=0$ in (1) and dividing both sides by 2 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f(x)+f(-x)}{2}\right\| \leq \frac{\varphi(x,-x, 0)}{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$. Replacing $x$ by $n x$ and letting $y=0$ and $z=-x$ in (1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(n x)+n f(-x)\| \leq \varphi(n x, 0,-x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$. Replacing $x$ by $-x$ in (4), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(-n x)+n f(x)\| \leq \varphi(-n x, 0, x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$. Put $g(x)=\frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}$. Combining (4) and (5) yields

$$
\|n g(x)-g(n x)\| \leq \frac{M}{2}(\varphi(n x, 0,-x)+\varphi(-n x, 0, x))
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g(x)-\frac{1}{n} g(n x)\right\| \leq \frac{M}{2 n}(\varphi(n x, 0,-x)+\varphi(-n x, 0, x)) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$. It follows from (6) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\frac{g\left(n^{l} x\right.}{n^{l}}-\frac{g\left(n^{m} x\right)}{n^{m}}\right\|^{p} \\
\leq & \sum_{k=l}^{m-1}\left\|\frac{1}{n^{k}} g\left(n^{k} x\right)-\frac{1}{n^{k+1}} g\left(n^{k+1} x\right)\right\|^{p} \\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{n^{k p}}\left\|g\left(n^{k} x\right)-\frac{1}{n} g\left(n^{k+1} x\right)\right\|^{p}  \tag{7}\\
\leq & \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{M^{p}}{2^{p} n^{(k+1)_{p}}}\left[\varphi\left(n^{k+1} x, 0,-n^{k} x\right)^{p}+\varphi\left(-n^{k+1} x, 0, n^{k} x\right)^{p}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

for all nonnegative integers $m$ and $l$ with $m>l \geq 0$ and $x \in G$. Since the right-hand side of (7) tends to zero as $l \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the sequence $\left\{\frac{g\left(n^{m} x\right.}{n^{m}}\right\}$ is Cauchy for all $x$ $\in G$. Because of the fact that $Y$ is complete, it follows that the sequence $\left\{\frac{g\left(n^{m} x\right.}{n^{m}}\right\}$ converges in $Y$. Therefore, we can define a function $h: G \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
h(x)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g\left(n^{m} x\right)}{n^{m}}=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(n^{m} x\right)-f\left(-n^{m} x\right)}{2 n^{m}}, \quad x \in G
$$

Moreover, letting $l=0$ and taking $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (7), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}-h(x)\right\| \leq\|g(x)-h(x)\| \leq \frac{M}{2 n}[\Phi(n x, 0-x)+\Phi(-n x, 0, x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$. It follows from (3) and (8) that

$$
\|f(x)-h(x)\| \leq \frac{M^{2}}{2 n}[\Phi(n x, 0,-x)+\Phi(-n x, 0, x)]^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{M}{2} \varphi(x,-x, 0)
$$

for all $x \in G$.

It follows from (1) and (4) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|h(x)+h(y)-h(x+y)\|^{p}= & \|h(x)+h(y)+h(-x-y)\|^{p} \\
= & \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{k p}}\left\|g\left(n^{k} x\right)+g\left(n^{k} y\right)+g\left(-n^{k}(x+y)\right)\right\|^{p} \\
\leq & \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{p} n^{k p}}\left(\left\|f\left(n^{k} x\right)+f\left(n^{k} y\right)+n f\left(-n^{k-1}(x+y)\right)\right\|^{p}\right. \\
& +\left\|-f\left(-n^{k} x\right)-f\left(-n^{k} y\right)-n f\left(n^{k-1}(x+y)\right)\right\|^{p} \\
& +\left\|n f\left(n^{k-1}(x+y)\right)+f\left(-n^{k}(x+y)\right)\right\|^{p} \\
& +\left\|-n f\left(-n^{k-1}(x+y)\right)+f\left(n^{k}(x+y)\right)\right\|^{p} \\
\leq & \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{p} n^{k p}}\left(\varphi\left(n^{k} x, n^{k} y,-n^{k-1}(x+y)\right)^{p}+\varphi\left(-n^{k} x,-n^{k} y, n^{k-1}(x+y)\right)^{p}\right. \\
& \left.+\varphi\left(-n^{k}(x+y), 0, n^{k-1}(x+y)\right)^{p}+\varphi\left(n^{k}(x+y), 0,-n^{k-1}(x+y)\right)^{p}\right) \\
= & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. This implies that the mapping $h$ is additive.
Next, let $h^{\prime}: G \rightarrow Y$ be another additive mapping satisfying

$$
\left\|f(x)-h^{\prime}(x)\right\| \leq \frac{M^{2}}{2 n}[\Phi(n x, 0,-x)+\Phi(-n x, 0, x)]^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{M}{2} \varphi(x,-x, 0)
$$

for all $x \in G$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|h(x)-h^{\prime}(x)\right\|^{p} & =\left\|\frac{1}{n^{k}} h\left(n^{k} x\right)-\frac{1}{n^{k}} h^{\prime}\left(n^{k} x\right)\right\|^{p} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n^{k p}}\left(\left\|h\left(n^{k} x\right)-f\left(n^{k} x\right)\right\|^{p}+\left\|f\left(n^{k} x\right)-h^{\prime}\left(n^{k} x\right)\right\|^{p}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2 M^{2 p}}{2^{p} n^{(k+1)_{p}}}\left[\Phi\left(n^{k+1} x, 0,-n^{k} x\right)+\Phi\left(-n^{k+1} x, 0, n^{k} x\right)\right]+\frac{2 M^{p}}{2^{p} n^{k p}} \varphi\left(n^{k} x,-n^{k} x, 0\right)^{p} \\
& =\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{2 M^{2 p}}{2^{p} n^{(i+1) p}}\left[\varphi\left(n^{i+1} x, 0,-n^{i} x\right)^{p}+\varphi\left(-n^{i+1} x, 0, n^{i} x\right)^{p}\right]+\frac{2 M^{p} \varphi\left(n^{k} x,-n^{k} x, 0\right)^{p}}{2^{p} n^{k p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $k \in \mathrm{~N}$ and all $x \in G$. Taking the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that

$$
h(x)=h^{\prime}(x)
$$

for all $x \in G$. This completes the proof.
Suppose that $X$ is a normed space in the following corollaries. If we put $\phi(x, y, z):=$ $\theta\left(\left\|\left.x\right|^{q}| | y\right\|^{r}| | z \|^{s}\right)$ and $\phi(x, y, z):=\theta\left(\|x\|^{q}+\|y\|^{r}+\|z\|^{s}\right)$ in Theorem 2.1, respectively, then we get the following Corollaries 2.2 and 2.3.

Corollary 2.2. Let $q+r+s<1, q, r, s>0, \theta>0$. If a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0)=$ 0 satisfies the following functional inequality:

$$
\|f(x)+f(y)+n f(z)\| \leq\left\|n f\left(\frac{x+y}{n}+x\right)\right\|+\theta\left(\|x\|\left\|^{q}\right\| y\left\|^{r}\right\| z \|^{s}\right.
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then $f$ is additive.
Corollary 2.3. Let $0<q, r, s<1, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}>0$. If a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0)=0$ satisfies the following functional inequality:

$$
\|f(x)+f(y)+n f(z)\| \leq\left\|n f\left(\frac{x+y}{n}+z\right)\right\|+\theta_{1}\left(\|x\|^{q}+\|y\|^{r}+\|z\|^{s}\right)+\theta_{2}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $h: X \in Y$, defined as $h(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(n^{k} x\right)-f\left(-n^{k} x\right)}{2 n^{k}}$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f(x)-h(x)\| \leq & \frac{M^{2} \sqrt[p]{2}}{2}\left(\frac{n^{p q} \theta_{1}^{p} \|\left. x\right|^{p q}}{n^{p}-n^{p q}}+\frac{\left.\theta_{1}^{p}| | x\right|^{p s}}{n^{p}-n^{p s}}+\frac{\theta_{2}^{p}}{n^{p}-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& +\frac{M}{2}\left(\theta_{1}\|x\|^{q}+\theta_{1}\|x\|^{r}+\theta_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Noting the inequality

$$
\|f(n x)-n f(x)\| \leq M[\varphi(n x, 0,-x)+n \varphi(x,-x, 0)]
$$

according to the inequalities (3) and (4), then we can similarly prove another stability theorem under the same condition as in Theorem 2.1:

Remark 2.4. Let $\phi: G^{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}+$ and $f: G \rightarrow Y$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.1. Then, there exists a unique additive mapping $h: G \rightarrow Y$, defined by $h(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(n^{k} x\right)}{n^{k}}$, such that

$$
\|f(x)-h(x)\| \leq \frac{M}{n}\left[\Phi(n x, 0,-x)+n^{p} \Phi(x,-x, 0)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

for all $x \in G$ using the similar argument to Theorem 2.1.
In particular, if a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0)=0$ satisfies the following functional inequality:

$$
\|f(x)+f(y)+n f(z)\| \leq\left\|n f\left(\frac{x+y}{n}+z\right)\right\|+\theta_{1}\left(\|x\|^{q}+\|y\|^{r}+\|z\|^{s}\right)+\theta_{2}
$$

for all $x, y, z$ in a normed space $X$, where $0<q, r, s<1, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}>0$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\|f(x)-h(x)\| \leq M\left(\frac{\left(n^{p q}+n^{p}\right) \theta_{1}^{p}\|x\|^{p q}}{n^{p}-n^{p q}}+\frac{n^{p} \theta_{1}^{p}\|x\|^{p r}}{n^{p}-n^{p r}}+\frac{\theta_{1}^{p}| | x \|^{p s}}{n^{p}-n^{p s}}+\frac{\left(1+n^{p}\right) \theta_{2}^{2}}{n^{p}-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
We may obtain more simple and sharp approximation than that of Theorem 2.1 for the stability result under the oddness condition.

Remark 2.5. Let $\phi: G^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{+}$and $f: G \rightarrow Y$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.1. Moreover, if the mapping $f$ is odd, then there exists a unique additive mapping $h: G$ $\rightarrow Y$, defined by $h(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(n^{k} x\right)}{n^{k}}$, such that

$$
\|f(x)-h(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \Phi(n x, 0,-x)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

for all $x \in G$.
Now, we consider another stability result of functional inequality (c) in the followings.

Theorem 2.6. Suppose that a mapping $f: G \rightarrow Y$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)+f(y)+n f(z)\| \leq\left\|n f\left(\frac{x+y}{n}+z\right)\right\|+\varphi(x, y, z) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the perturbing function $\phi: G^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{+}$is such that

$$
\Psi(x, y, z):=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} n^{i p} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{n^{i}}, \frac{y}{n^{i}}, \frac{z}{n^{i}}\right)^{p}<\infty
$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Then, there exists a unique additive mapping $h: G \rightarrow Y$, defined $h(x) \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{k}}{2}\left(f\left(\frac{x}{n^{k}}\right)-f\left(-\frac{x}{n^{k}}\right)\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-h(x)\| \leq \frac{M^{2}}{2 n}[\Psi(n x, 0,-x)+\Psi(-n x, 0, x)]^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{M}{2} \varphi(x,-x, 0) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$.
Proof. We observe that $f(0)=0$ because of $\phi(0,0,0)=0$ by the convergence of $\Psi(0,0,0)<\infty$. Now, combining (4) and (5) yields the functional inequality

$$
\left\|g(x)-n g\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)\right\| \leq \frac{M}{2}\left(\varphi\left(x, 0,-\frac{x}{n}\right)+\varphi\left(-x, 0, \frac{x}{n}\right)\right)
$$

where $g(x)=\frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}, x \in G$. It follows from the last inequality that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g(x)-n^{m} g\left(\frac{x}{n^{m}}\right)\right\|^{p} \leq \frac{M^{p}}{2^{p}} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} n^{i p}\left[\varphi\left(\frac{x}{n^{i}}, 0,-\frac{x}{n^{i+1}}\right)^{p}+\varphi\left(-\frac{x}{n^{i}}, 0, \frac{x}{n^{i+1}}\right)^{p}\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x L G$.
The remaining proof is similar to the corresponding proof of Theorem 2.1. This completes the proof.
Suppose that $X$ is a normed space in the following corollaries. If we put $\phi(x, y, z):=$ $\theta\left(\|x\|^{q}| | y\left|\left\|^{r}| | z\right\|^{s}\right)\right.$ and $\phi(x, y, z):=\theta\left(\|x\|^{q}+\|y\|^{r}+\|\left. z\right|^{s}\right)$ in Theorem 2.6, respectively, then we get the following Corollaries 2.7 and 2.8.

Corollary 2.7. Let $q+r+s>1, q, r, s>0, \theta>0$. If a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the following functional inequality:

$$
\|f(x)+f(y)+n f(z)\| \leq\left\|n f\left(\frac{x+y}{n}+x\right)\right\|+\theta\left(\|x\|^{q}\|y\|^{r}\|z\|^{s}\right.
$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathrm{X}$, then $f$ is additive.
Corollary 2.8. Let $q, r, s>1, \theta_{1}>0$. If a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the following functional inequality:

$$
\|f(x)+f(y)+n f(z)\| \leq\left\|n f\left(\frac{x+y}{n}+z\right)\right\|+\theta_{1}\left(\|x\|^{q}+\|y\|^{r}+\|z\|^{s}\right)
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$, defined as $h(x) \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{k}}{2}\left(f\left(\frac{x}{n^{k}}\right)-f\left(-\frac{x}{n^{k}}\right)\right)$, such that

$$
\|f(x)-h(x)\| \leq \frac{M^{2} \sqrt[p]{2 \theta_{1}}}{2}\left(\frac{\left.n^{p q}| | x\right|^{p q}}{n^{p q}-n^{p}}+\frac{\|\left. x\right|^{p s}}{n^{p s}-n^{p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{M \theta_{1}}{2}\left(\|x\|^{q}+\|x\|^{r}\right)
$$

for all $x \in X$.
We can similarly prove another stability theorem under somewhat different conditions as follows:

Remark 2.9. Let $\phi: G^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{+}$and $f: G \rightarrow Y$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.6. Then, there exists a unique additive mapping $h: G \rightarrow Y$, defined by $h(x)=$ $h(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n^{k} f\left(\frac{x}{n^{k}}\right)$, such that

$$
\|f(x)-h(x)\| \leq \frac{M}{n}\left[\Psi(n x, 0,-x)+n^{p} \Psi(x,-x, 0)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

for all $x \in G$.
In particular, if a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the following functional inequality:

$$
\|f(x)+f(y)+n f(z)\| \leq\left\|n f\left(\frac{x+y}{n}+z\right)\right\|+\theta_{1}\left(\|x\|^{q}+\|y\|^{r}+\|z\|^{s}\right)
$$

for all $x, y, z$ in a normed space $X$, where $q, r, s>1, \theta_{1}>0$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\|f(x)-h(x)\| \leq M \theta_{1}\left(\frac{\left(n^{p q}+n^{p}\right)\|x\|^{p q}}{n^{p q}-n^{p}}+\frac{\|\left. x\right|^{p s}}{n^{p s}-n^{p}}+\frac{n^{p}\|x\| \mid p r}{n^{p r}-n^{p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
We may obtain more simple and sharp approximation than that of Theorem 2.6 for the stability result under the oddness condition.

Remark 2.10. Let $\phi: G^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{+}$and $f: G \rightarrow Y$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.6. If the mapping $f$ is odd, then there exists a unique additive mapping $h: G \rightarrow Y$, defined by $h(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n^{k} f\left(\frac{x}{n^{k}}\right)$, such that

$$
\|f(x)-h(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \Psi(n x, 0,-x)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

for all $x \in G$.

## 3 Alternative generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of (c)

From now on, we investigate the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional inequality (c).

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that a mapping $f: G \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0)=0$ satisfies the functional inequality

$$
\|f(x)+f(y)+n f(z)\| \leq\left\|n f\left(\frac{x+y}{n}+z\right)\right\|+\varphi(x, y, z)
$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$ and there exists a constant $L$ with $0<L<1$ for which the perturbing function $\phi: G^{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(n x, n y, n z) \leq n L \varphi(x, y, z) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Then, there exists a unique additive mapping $h: G \rightarrow Y$, defined as $h(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(n^{k} x\right)-f\left(-n^{k} x\right)}{2 n^{k}}$, such that

$$
\|f(x)-h(x)\| \leq \frac{M^{2}}{2 n \sqrt[p]{1-L^{p}}}[\varphi(n x, 0,-x)+\varphi(-n x, 0, x)]+\frac{M}{2} \varphi(x,-x, 0)
$$

for all $x \in G$.
Proof. It follows from (7) and (12) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{g\left(n^{1} x\right)}{n^{1}}-\frac{g\left(n^{m} x\right)}{n^{m}}\right\|^{p} \\
\leq & \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{M^{p}}{2^{p} n^{(k+1) p}}\left[\varphi\left(n^{k+1} x, 0,-n^{k} x\right)+\varphi\left(-n^{k+1} x, 0, n^{k} x\right)\right]^{p} \\
\leq & \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{M^{p} L^{k p}}{2^{p} n^{p}}[\varphi(n x, 0,-x)+\varphi(-n x, 0, x)]^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all nonnegative integers $m$ and $l$ with $m>l \geq 0$ and $x \in G$,where $g(x)=\frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}$. Since the sequence $\left\{\frac{g\left(n^{m} x\right.}{n^{m}}\right\}$ is Cauchy for all $x \in G$, we can define a function $h: G \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
h(x)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g\left(n^{m} x\right)}{n^{m}}=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(n^{m} x\right)-f\left(-n^{m} x\right)}{2 n^{m}}, \quad x \in G
$$

Moreover, letting $l=0$ and $m \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}-h(x)\right\| \leq \frac{M}{2 n \sqrt[p]{1-L^{p}}}[\varphi(n x, 0,-x)+\varphi(-n x, 0, x)] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$. It follows from (3) and (13) that

$$
\|f(x)-h(x)\| \leq \frac{M^{2}}{2 n \sqrt[p]{1-L^{p}}}[\varphi(n x, 0,-x)+\varphi(-n x, 0, x)]+\frac{M}{2} \varphi(x,-x, 0)
$$

for all $x / G$.
The remaining proof is similar to the corresponding proof of Theorem 2.1. This completes the proof.

Remark 3.2. Let $\phi: G^{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$and $f: G \rightarrow Y$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 3.1. Then, there exists a unique additive mapping $h: G \rightarrow Y$, defined by $h(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(n^{k} x\right)}{n^{k}}$, such that

$$
\|f(x)-h(x)\| \leq \frac{M}{n \sqrt[p]{1-L^{p}}}[\varphi(n x, 0,-x)+n \varphi(x,-x, 0)]
$$

for all $x \in G$ using the similar argument to Theorem 3.1.
In particular, if a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0)=0$ satisfies the following functional inequality:

$$
\|f(x)+f(y)+n f(z)\| \leq\left\|n f\left(\frac{x+y}{n}+z\right)\right\|+\theta_{1}\left(\|x\|^{r}+\|y\|^{r}+\|z\|^{r}\right)+\theta_{2}
$$

for all $x, y, z$ in a normed space $X$, where $0<r<1, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}>0$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\|f(x)-h(x)\| \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt[p]{n^{p}-n^{p r}}}\left(\left(n^{r}+2 n+1\right) \theta_{1}\|x\|^{r}+(n+1) \theta_{2}\right)
$$

for all $x \in X$, by considering $L:=n^{r-1}$.
Theorem 3.3. Suppose that a mapping $f: G \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional inequality

$$
\|f(x)+f(y)+n f(z)\| \leq\left\|n f\left(\frac{x+y}{n}+z\right)\right\|+\varphi(x, y, z)
$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathrm{G}$ and there exists a constant $L$ with $0<L<1$ for which the perturbing function $\phi: G^{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\frac{x}{n}, \frac{y}{n}, \frac{z}{n}\right) \leq \frac{L}{n} \varphi(x, y, z) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Then, there exists a unique additive mapping $h: G \rightarrow Y$, defined as $h(x) \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{k}}{2}\left(f\left(\frac{x}{n^{k}}\right)-f\left(-\frac{x}{n^{k}}\right)\right)$, such that

$$
\|f(x)-h(x)\| \leq \frac{M^{2} L}{2 n \sqrt[p]{1-L^{p}}}[\varphi(n x, 0,-x)+\varphi(-n x, 0, x)]+\frac{M}{2} \varphi(x,-x, 0)
$$

for all $x \in G$.
Proof. We observe that $f(0)=0$ because $\phi(0,0,0)=0$, which follows from the condition $\varphi(0,0,0) \leq \frac{L}{n} \varphi(0,0,0)$. It follows from the inequality (11) and (14) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|g(x)-n^{m} g\left(\frac{x}{n^{m}}\right)\right\|^{p} & \leq \frac{M^{p}}{2^{p}} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} n^{i p}\left[\varphi\left(\frac{x}{n^{i}}, 0,-\frac{x}{n^{i+1}}\right)+\varphi\left(-\frac{x}{n^{i}}, 0, \frac{x}{n^{i+1}}\right)\right]^{p} \\
& \leq \frac{M^{p}}{2^{p} n^{p}} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} L^{(i+1) p}[\varphi(n x, 0,-x)+\varphi(-n x, 0, x)]^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in G$, where $g(x)=\frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}, x \in G$.
The remaining proof is similar to the corresponding proof of Theorem 2.1. This completes the proof.
Remark 3.4. Let $\phi: G^{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$and $f: G \rightarrow Y$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 3.3. Then, there exists a unique additive mapping $h: G \rightarrow Y$, defined by $h(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n^{k} f\left(\frac{x}{n^{k}}\right)$, such that

$$
\|f(x)-h(x)\| \leq \frac{M L}{n \sqrt[p]{1-L^{p}}}[\varphi(n x, 0,-x)+n \varphi(x,-x, 0)]
$$

for all $x \in G$ using the similar argument to Theorem 3.3.
In particular, if a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the following functional inequality:

$$
\|f(x)+f(y)+n f(z)\| \leq\left\|n f\left(\frac{x+y}{n}+z\right)\right\|+\theta_{1}\left(\|x\|^{r}+\|y\|^{r}+\|z\|^{r}\right)
$$

for all $x, y, z$ in a normed space $X$, where $r>1, \theta_{1}>0$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\|f(x)-h(x)\| \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt[p]{n^{p r}-n^{p}}}\left(n^{r}+2 n+1\right) \theta_{1}\|x\|^{r}
$$

for all $x \in X$, by considering $L:=n^{1-r}$.
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