

GENERALIZED PARTIALLY RELAXED PSEUDOMONOTONE VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES AND GENERAL AUXILIARY PROBLEM PRINCIPLE

RAM U. VERMA

Received 30 April 2004; Accepted 29 August 2004

Let $T : K \rightarrow H$ be a nonlinear mapping from a nonempty closed invex subset K of an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space H into H . Let $f : K \rightarrow R$ be proper, invex, and lower semicontinuous on K and let $h : K \rightarrow R$ be continuously Fréchet-differentiable on K with h' , the gradient of h , (η, α) -strongly monotone, and (η, β) -Lipschitz continuous on K . Suppose that there exist an $x^* \in K$, and numbers $a > 0$, $r \geq 0$, $\rho(a < \rho < \alpha)$ such that for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and for all $x \in K^*$, the set S^* defined by $S^* = \{(h, \eta) : h'(x^* + t(x - x^*))(x - x^*) \geq \langle h'(x^* + t\eta(x, x^*)), \eta(x, x^*) \rangle\}$ is nonempty, where $K^* = \{x \in K : \|x - x^*\| \leq r\}$ and $\eta : K \times K \rightarrow H$ is (λ) -Lipschitz continuous with the following assumptions. (i) $\eta(x, y) + \eta(y, x) = 0$, $\eta(x, y) = \eta(x, z) + \eta(z, y)$, and $\|\eta(x, y)\| \leq r$. (ii) For each fixed $y \in K$, map $x \rightarrow \eta(y, x)$ is sequentially continuous from the weak topology to the weak topology. If, in addition, h' is continuous from H equipped with weak topology to H equipped with strong topology, then the sequence $\{x^k\}$ generated by the general auxiliary problem principle converges to a solution x^* of the variational inequality problem (VIP): $\langle T(x^*), \eta(x, x^*) \rangle + f(x) - f(x^*) \geq 0$ for all $x \in K$.

Copyright © 2006 Hindawi Publishing Corporation. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A tremendous amount of work, applying the auxiliary problem principle in finite- as well as in infinite-dimensional Hilbert space settings, on the approximation-solvability of various classes of variational inequalities and complementarity problems, especially finite-dimensional cases, has been carried out in recent years. During the course of these investigations, there has been a significant progress in developing more generalized classes of mappings in the context of new iterative algorithms. In this paper, we intend based on a general auxiliary problem principle to present the approximation-solvability of a class of variational inequality problems (VIP) involving partially relaxed pseudomonotone mappings along with some modified results on Fréchet-differentiable functions that play a pivotal role in the development of a general framework for the auxiliary problem principle. Results thus obtained generalize/complement investigations of Argyros and

2 Auxiliary problem principle

Verma [1], El Farouq [7], Verma [20], and others. For more details on general variational inequality problems and the auxiliary problem principle, we refer to [1–23].

Let H be an infinite-dimensional real Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle$ and norm $\|x\|$ for all $x, y \in H$. We consider the variational inequality problem (VIP) as follows: determine an element $x^* \in K$ such that

$$\langle T(x^*), \eta(x, x^*) \rangle + f(x) - f(x^*) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in K, \quad (1.1)$$

where K is a nonempty closed invex subset of H , and $\eta : K \times K \rightarrow H$ is any mapping with some additional conditions.

When $\eta(x, x^*) = x - x^*$, the VIP (1.1) reduces to the VIP: determine an element $x^* \in K$ such that

$$\langle T(x^*), x - x^* \rangle + f(x) - f(x^*) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in K, \quad (1.2)$$

where K is a nonempty closed convex subset of H .

When $f = 0$ in (1.2), it reduces to the following: find an element $x^* \in K$ such that

$$\langle T(x^*), x - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in K. \quad (1.3)$$

Now we recall the following auxiliary result for the approximation solvability of non-linear variational inequality problems based on iterative procedures.

LEMMA 1.1. For elements $u, v, w \in H$,

$$\|u\|^2 + \langle u, \eta(v, w) \rangle \geq -\frac{1}{4} \|\eta(v, w)\|^2. \quad (1.4)$$

LEMMA 1.2. For $u, v \in H$,

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \frac{\|u + v\|^2 - \|u\|^2 - \|v\|^2}{2}. \quad (1.5)$$

Now recall and in some cases upgrade the existing notions in the literature. Let $\eta : H \times H \rightarrow H$ be any mapping.

Definition 1.3. A mapping $T : H \rightarrow H$ is called

(i) (η) -monotone if for each $x, y \in H$, there exists,

$$\langle T(x) - T(y), \eta(x, y) \rangle \geq 0; \quad (1.6)$$

(ii) (η, r) -strongly monotone if there exists a positive constant r such that

$$\langle T(x) - T(y), \eta(x, y) \rangle \geq r \|x - y\|^2 \quad \forall x, y \in H; \quad (1.7)$$

(iii) (r) -expansive if

$$\|T(x) - T(y)\| \geq r \|\eta(x, y)\|; \quad (1.8)$$

(iv) expansive if $r = 1$ in (iii),

(v) (η, γ) -cocoercive if there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\langle T(x) - T(y), \eta(x, y) \rangle \geq r \|T(x) - T(y)\|^2 \quad \forall x, y \in H; \quad (1.9)$$

(vi) (η) -pseudomonotone if

$$\langle T(y), \eta(x, y) \rangle \geq 0 \implies \langle T(x), \eta(x, y) \rangle \geq 0; \quad (1.10)$$

(vii) (η, b) -strongly pseudomonotone if

$$\langle T(y), \eta(x, y) \rangle \geq 0 \implies \langle T(x), \eta(x, y) \rangle \geq b \|x - y\|^2 \quad \forall x, y \in H; \quad (1.11)$$

(viii) (η, c) -pseudococoercive if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\langle T(y), \eta(x, y) \rangle \geq 0 \implies \langle T(x), \eta(x, y) \rangle \geq c \|T(x) - T(y)\|^2 \quad \forall x, y \in H; \quad (1.12)$$

(ix) (η) -quasimonotone if

$$\langle T(y), \eta(x, y) \rangle > 0 \implies \langle T(x), \eta(x, y) \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x, y \in H; \quad (1.13)$$

(x) (η, L) -relaxed (also called weakly monotone) if there is a positive constant L such that

$$\langle T(x) - T(y), \eta(x, y) \rangle \geq (-L) \|x - y\|^2 \quad \forall x, y \in H; \quad (1.14)$$

(xi) (η) -hemicontinuous if for all $x, y, w \in H$, the function

$$t \in [0, 1] \longrightarrow \langle T(y + t\eta(x, y)), w \rangle \quad (1.15)$$

is continuous;

(xii) (η, β) -Lipschitz continuous if there exists a constant $\beta \geq 0$ such that

$$\|T(x) - T(y)\| \leq \beta \|\eta(x, y)\|; \quad (1.16)$$

(xiii) (η, γ) -partially relaxed monotone if there exists a positive constant γ such that

$$\langle T(x) - T(y), \eta(z, y) \rangle \geq (-\gamma) \|z - x\|^2 \quad \forall x, y, z \in H; \quad (1.17)$$

(xiv) (η, γ) -partially relaxed pseudomonotone if there exists a positive constant γ such that

$$\langle T(y), \eta(z, y) \rangle \geq 0 \implies \langle T(x), \eta(z, y) \rangle \geq (-\gamma) \|z - x\|^2 \quad \forall x, y, z \in H. \quad (1.18)$$

LEMMA 1.4. Let $T : H \rightarrow H$ be (η, α) -cocoercive and let $\eta : H \times H \rightarrow H$ be a mapping such that

- (i) $\|\eta(x, y)\| \leq \lambda \|x - y\|$;
- (ii) $\eta(x, y) + \eta(y, x) = 0$;
- (iii) $\eta(x, y) = \eta(x, z) + \eta(z, y)$.

Then T is $(\eta, -(\lambda^2/4\alpha))$ -partially relaxed monotone.

4 Auxiliary problem principle

Proof. Since $T : H \rightarrow H$ is (η, α) -cocoercive, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle T(x) - T(y), \eta(z, y) \rangle &= \langle T(x) - T(y), \eta(z, x) \rangle + \langle T(x) - T(y), \eta(x, y) \rangle \\
 &\geq \alpha \|T(x) - T(y)\|^2 + \langle T(x) - T(y), \eta(z, x) \rangle \\
 &= \alpha \left(\|T(x) - T(y)\|^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \langle T(x) - T(y), \eta(z, x) \rangle \right) \\
 &\geq - \left\{ \frac{1}{4\alpha} \|\eta(z, x)\|^2 \right\} \geq - \left\{ \frac{\lambda^2}{4\alpha} \|z - x\|^2 \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.19}$$

□

Definition 1.5. A mapping $T : H \rightarrow H$ is said to be μ -cocoercive [2] if for each $x, y \in H$, there exists

$$\langle T(x) - T(y), x - y \rangle \geq \mu \|T(x) - T(y)\|^2, \tag{1.20}$$

where μ is a positive constant.

Example 1.6. Let $T : K \rightarrow H$ be nonexpansive. Then $I - T$ is $1/2$ -cocoercive, where I is the identity mapping on H . For if $x, y \in K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(I - T)(x) - (I - T)(y)\|^2 &= \|x - y - (T(x) - T(y))\|^2 \\
 &= \|x - y\|^2 - 2\langle x - y, T(x) - T(y) \rangle + \|T(x) - T(y)\|^2 \\
 &\leq 2\{\|x - y\|^2 - \langle x - y, T(x) - T(y) \rangle\} \\
 &= 2\langle x - y, (I - T)(x) - (I - T)(y) \rangle,
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.21}$$

that is,

$$\langle (I - T)(x) - (I - T)(y), x - y \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2} \|(I - T)(x) - (I - T)(y)\|^2. \tag{1.22}$$

A subset K of H is said to be invex if there exists a function $\eta : K \times K \rightarrow H$ such that whenever $x, y \in K$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, it follows that

$$x + t\eta(y, x) \in K. \tag{1.23}$$

A function $f : K \rightarrow R$ is called invex if whenever $x, y \in K$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, it follows that

$$f(x + t\eta(y, x)) \leq (1 - t)f(x) + tf(y). \tag{1.24}$$

2. Some auxiliary results

This section deals with some auxiliary results [2] and their modified versions crucial to the approximation-solvability of VIP (1.1). Let $h : H \rightarrow R$ be a continuously Fréchet-differentiable mapping on a Hilbert space H . It follows that $h'(x) \in L(H, R)$ —the space of all bounded linear operators from H into R . From now on, we will denote the real number $h'(x)(y)$ by $\langle h'(x), y \rangle$ for all $x, y \in H$.

LEMMA 2.1. Let H be a real Hilbert space and let K be a nonempty closed invex subset of H . Let h' , the gradient of $h : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, be (η, α) -strongly monotone on K and let the following assumptions hold.

(i) There exist an $x^* \in K$ and a number $r \geq 0$ such that for all $x \in K^*$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, the mapping $\eta : K \times K \rightarrow H$ satisfies

$$\|\eta(x, y)\| \leq r. \quad (2.1)$$

(ii) The set S^* defined by

$$S^* = \{(h, \eta) : h'(x^* + t(x - x^*))(x - x^*) \geq \langle h'(x^* + t\eta(x, x^*)), \eta(x, x^*) \rangle\} \quad (2.2)$$

is nonempty, where $h : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuously Fréchet-differentiable mapping, and the set K^* is defined by

$$K^* = \{x \in K : \|x - x^*\| \leq r\}. \quad (2.3)$$

Then for all $x \in K^*$ and $(h, \eta) \in S^*$,

$$h(x) - h(x^*) - \langle h'(x^*), \eta(x, x^*) \rangle \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x - x^*\|^2. \quad (2.4)$$

LEMMA 2.2. Let H be a real Hilbert space and let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of H . Let h' , the gradient of $h : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, be (α) -strongly monotone on K and let $h : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously Fréchet-differentiable mapping. Then for all $x, x^* \in K$,

$$h(x) - h(x^*) - \langle h'(x^*), x - x^* \rangle \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x - x^*\|^2. \quad (2.5)$$

LEMMA 2.3. Let H be a real Hilbert space and let K be a nonempty closed invex subset of H . Let h' , the gradient of $h : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, be (η, δ) -Lipschitz continuous on K and let the following assumptions hold.

(i) There exist an $x^* \in K$ and a number $q \geq 0$ such that for all $x \in K_1$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, the mapping $\eta : K \times K \rightarrow H$ satisfies

$$\|\eta(x, y)\| \leq q. \quad (2.6)$$

(ii) The set S_1 defined by

$$S_1 = \{(h, \eta) : h'(x^* + t(x - x^*))(x - x^*) \leq \langle h'(x^* + t\eta(x, x^*)), \eta(x, x^*) \rangle\} \quad (2.7)$$

is nonempty, where $h : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuously Fréchet-differentiable mapping, and the set K_1 is defined by

$$K_1 = \{x \in K : \|x - x^*\| \leq q\}. \quad (2.8)$$

Then for all $x \in K_1$ and $(h, \eta) \in S_1$,

$$h(x) - h(x^*) - \langle h'(x^*), \eta(x, x^*) \rangle \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \|x - x^*\|^2. \quad (2.9)$$

3. General auxiliary problem principle

In this section, we present the approximation-solvability of the VIP (1.1) using the convergence analysis for the general auxiliary problem principle.

ALGORITHM 3.1. *For arbitrarily chosen initial point $x^0 \in K$, determine an iterate x^{k+1} such that*

$$\langle \rho T(x^k) + h'(x^{k+1}) - h'(x^k), \eta(x, x^{k+1}) \rangle + \rho(f(x) - f(x^{k+1})) \geq 0, \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x \in K$, where $h : K \rightarrow R$ is continuously Fréchet-differentiable, $f : K \rightarrow R$ is proper, invex, and lower semicontinuous, $\rho > 0$, and $\eta : K \times K \rightarrow H$ is any mapping.

ALGORITHM 3.2. *For arbitrarily chosen initial point $x^0 \in K$, determine an iterate x^{k+1} such that*

$$\langle \rho T(x^k) + h'(x^{k+1}) - h'(x^k), x - x^{k+1} \rangle + \rho(f(x) - f(x^{k+1})) \geq 0, \quad (3.2)$$

for all $x \in K$, where $h : K \rightarrow R$ is continuously Fréchet-differentiable, $\rho > 0$, and K is a nonempty closed convex subset of H .

ALGORITHM 3.3. *For arbitrarily chosen initial point $x^0 \in K$, determine an iterate x^{k+1} such that*

$$\langle \rho T(x^k) + h'(x^{k+1}) - h'(x^k), x - x^{k+1} \rangle \geq 0, \quad (3.3)$$

for all $x \in K$, where $h : K \rightarrow R$ is continuously Fréchet-differentiable, $\rho > 0$, and K is a nonempty closed convex subset of H .

We now present, based on Algorithm 3.1, the approximation solvability of the VIP (1.1) in a Hilbert space setting.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let H be a real infinite-dimensional Hilbert space and let K be a nonempty closed invex subset of H . Let $T : K \rightarrow H$ be (η, γ) -partially relaxed pseudomonotone. Let $f : K \rightarrow R$ be proper, invex, and lower semicontinuous on K , let $h : K \rightarrow R$ be continuously Fréchet-differentiable on K with h' , the gradient of h , (η, α) -strongly monotone, and (η, β) -Lipschitz continuous, and let h' be continuous from H equipped with weak topology to H equipped with strong topology. Suppose that the following assumptions hold.*

(i) *There exist a $y^* \in K$ and numbers $a > 0$, $r \geq 0$, $\rho(a < \rho < \alpha/2\gamma)$ such that for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and for all $x \in K^*$, the set S^* defined by*

$$S^* = \{(h, \eta) : h'(y^* + t(x - y^*))(x - y^*) \geq \langle h'(y^* + t\eta(x, y^*)), \eta(x, y^*) \rangle\} \quad (3.4)$$

is nonempty, where

$$K^* = \{x \in K : \|x - y^*\| \leq r\} \subset K. \quad (3.5)$$

(ii) *The mapping $\eta : K \times K \rightarrow H$ is (λ) -Lipschitz continuous.*

(iii) *$\eta(u, v) + \eta(v, u) = 0$ and $\eta(u, v) = \eta(u \cdot w) + \eta(w, v)$.*

(iv) For each fixed $y \in K$, the map $x \rightarrow \eta(y, x)$ is sequentially continuous from the weak topology to the weak topology.

(v) $\|\eta(u, v)\| \leq r$.

Then an iterate x^{k+1} is a unique solution to (3.1).

If, in addition, $x^* \in K$ is a solution to VIP (1.1) and $\|T(x^k) - T(x^*)\| \rightarrow 0$, then the sequence $\{x^k\}$ generated by Algorithm 3.1 converges weakly to x^* .

Proof. First to show that x^{k+1} is a unique solution to (3.1), assume that y^{k+1} is another distinct solution to (3.1). Since h' is (η, α) -strongly monotone, it follows applying (3.1) that

$$-\langle h'(x^{k+1}) - h'(y^{k+1}), \eta(x^{k+1}, y^{k+1}) \rangle \geq 0, \quad (3.6)$$

or

$$\|x^{k+1} - y^{k+1}\|^2 \leq 0, \quad (3.7)$$

a contradiction.

Since $x^* \in K$ is a solution to the VIP (1.1), we define a function Δ^* by

$$\Delta^*(x) := h(x^*) - h(x) - \langle h'(x), \eta(x^*, x) \rangle. \quad (3.8)$$

Then applying Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\Delta^*(x) := h(x^*) - h(x) - \langle h'(x), \eta(x^*, x) \rangle \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x^* - x\|^2. \quad (3.9)$$

It follows that

$$\Delta^*(x^{k+1}) := h(x^*) - h(x^{k+1}) - \langle h'(x^{k+1}), \eta(x^*, x^{k+1}) \rangle. \quad (3.10)$$

Now we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^*(x^k) - \Delta^*(x^{k+1}) &= h(x^{k+1}) - h(x^k) - \langle h'(x^k), \eta(x^{k+1}, x^k) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle h'(x^{k+1}) - h'(x^k), \eta(x^*, x^{k+1}) \rangle \\ &\geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x^{k+1} - x^k\|^2 + \langle h'(x^{k+1}) - h'(x^k), \eta(x^*, x^{k+1}) \rangle \\ &\geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x^{k+1} - x^k\|^2 + \rho \langle T(x^k), \eta(x^{k+1}, x^*) \rangle \\ &\quad + \rho(f(x^{k+1}) - f(x^*)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

for $x = x^*$ in (3.1).

Therefore, we have

$$\Delta^*(x^k) - \Delta^*(x^{k+1}) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x^{k+1} - x^k\|^2 + \rho \langle T(x^k), \eta(x^{k+1}, x^*) \rangle + \rho(f(x^{k+1}) - f(x^*)). \quad (3.12)$$

If we replace x by x^{k+1} in (1.1), we obtain

$$\langle T(x^*), \eta(x^{k+1}, x^*) \rangle + f(x^{k+1}) - f(x^*) \geq 0. \quad (3.13)$$

8 Auxiliary problem principle

Since T is (η, γ) -*partially relaxed pseudomonotone*, it implies in light of (3.13) that

$$\Delta^*(x^k) - \Delta^*(x^{k+1}) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x^{k+1} - x^k\|^2 - \rho\gamma \|x^{k+1} - x^k\|^2 = \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} - \rho\gamma\right) \|x^{k+1} - x^k\|^2 \quad (3.14)$$

for $\rho < (\alpha/2\gamma)$.

It follows that the sequence $\{\Delta^*(x^k)\}$ is a strictly decreasing sequence except for $x^{k+1} = x^k$, and in that situation x^k is a solution to (1.1). Since the difference of two consecutive terms tends to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$, it implies that

$$\|x^{k+1} - x^k\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.15)$$

On the top of that, in light of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\|x^* - x^k\|^2 \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \Delta^*(x^k), \quad (3.16)$$

and so the sequence $\{x^k\}$ is bounded. Let x' be a cluster point of the sequence $\{x^k\}$, that is, there exists a subsequence $\{x^{k_j}\}$ of the sequence $\{x^k\}$ such that $\{x^{k_j}\}$ converges weakly to x' . Since h' is (η, β) -*Lipschitz* continuous and $a < \rho$, it follows using (3.1) that for some $x \in K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \rho T(x^k), \eta(x, x^{k+1}) \rangle + \rho(f(x) - f(x^{k+1})) &\geq -\langle h'(x^{k+1}) - h'(x^k), \eta(x, x^{k+1}) \rangle \\ &\geq -\beta \|x^{k+1} - x^k\| \|\eta(x, x^{k+1})\|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

or

$$\langle T(x^k), \eta(x, x^{k+1}) \rangle + f(x) - f(x^{k+1}) \geq -\frac{\beta}{a} \|x^{k+1} - x^k\| \|\eta(x, x^{k+1})\|. \quad (3.18)$$

Since $T(x^{k_j})$ converges strongly to $T(x^*)$ and $\|x^{k_j+1} - x^{k_j}\| \rightarrow 0$, and f is invex and lower semicontinuous (and hence f is weakly lower semicontinuous), it follows from (3.18) that

$$\langle T(x^*), \eta(x, x') \rangle + f(x) - f(x') \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in K, \quad (3.19)$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(x'), \eta(x^{k_j}, x') \rangle + f(x) - f(x^{k_j}) &\rightarrow 0, \\ \langle T(x'), \eta(x^{k_j}, x') \rangle &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

At this stage, if $T(x') = 0$, then x' is a solution to the VIP (1.1); and if $T(x') \neq 0$, then we express it in the form

$$\eta(y^{k_j}, x^{k_j}) = -\frac{\langle T(x'), \eta(x^{k_j}, x') \rangle T(x')}{\|T(x')\|^2}. \quad (3.21)$$

It follows that

$$\langle T(x'), \eta(y^{kj}, x') \rangle = 0, \tag{3.22}$$

and thus, we have

$$\|\eta(y^{kj}, x^{kj})\| \rightarrow 0. \tag{3.23}$$

It follows that

$$y^{kj} \rightarrow x'. \tag{3.24}$$

Applying (3.22), we have

$$0 = \langle T(x'), \eta(y^{kj}, x') \rangle = \langle T(x'), \eta(y^{kj}, x^*) \rangle + \langle T(x'), \eta(x^*, x') \rangle. \tag{3.25}$$

Since $T(x') \neq 0$, it follows that $y^{kj} \rightarrow x^*$ and $x^* = x'$, a solution to the VIP (1.1). \square

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let H be a real infinite-dimensional Hilbert space and let K be a nonempty closed invex subset of H . Let $T : K \rightarrow H$ be (η, γ) -pseudococoercive. Let $f : K \rightarrow R$ be proper, invex, and lower semicontinuous on K , let $h : K \rightarrow R$ be continuously Fréchet-differentiable on K with h' , the gradient of h , (η, α) -strongly monotone and (η, β) -Lipschitz continuous, and let h' be continuous from H equipped with weak topology to H equipped with strong topology. Suppose that the following assumptions hold.*

(i) *There exist a $y^* \in K$ and numbers $a > 0$, $r \geq 0$, $\rho (a < \rho < \alpha/2\gamma)$ such that for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and for all $x \in K^*$, the set S^* defined by*

$$S^* = \{(h, \eta) : h'(y^* + t(x - y^*))(x - y^*) \geq \langle h'(y^* + t\eta(x, y^*)), \eta(x, y^*) \rangle\} \tag{3.26}$$

is nonempty, where

$$K^* = \{x \in K : \|x - y^*\| \leq r\} \subset K. \tag{3.27}$$

(ii) *The mapping $\eta : K \times K \rightarrow H$ is (λ) -Lipschitz continuous.*

(iii) *$\eta(u, v) + \eta(v, u) = 0$ and $\eta(u, v) = \eta(u \cdot w) + \eta(w, v)$.*

(iv) *For each fixed $y \in K$, the map $x \rightarrow \eta(y, x)$ is sequentially continuous from the weak topology to the weak topology.*

(v) *$\|\eta(u, v)\| \leq r$.*

Then an iterate x^{k+1} is a unique solution to (3.1).

If $x^ \in K$ is a solution to VIP (1.1), then the sequence $\{x^k\}$ generated by Algorithm 3.1 converges weakly to x^* .*

For $f = 0$ and $\eta(u, v) = u - v$ in Corollary 3.5, it reduces to the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.6. *Let H be a real infinite-dimensional Hilbert space and let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of H . Let $T : K \rightarrow H$ be (γ) -pseudococoercive. Let $h : K \rightarrow R$ be continuously Fréchet-differentiable on K with h' , the gradient of h , (α) -strongly monotone, and (β) -Lipschitz continuous, and let h' be continuous from H equipped with weak topology to H equipped with strong topology. Then an iterate x^{k+1} is a unique solution to (3.3). If $x^* \in K$ is*

10 Auxiliary problem principle

a solution to VIP (1.3), then the sequence $\{x^k\}$ generated by Algorithm 3.3 converges weakly to x^* .

Note that Corollary 3.6 is proved in [7, Theorem 4.1] with an additional imposition of the uniform continuity on the mapping T , but we feel that the uniform continuity is not required for the convergence purposes.

THEOREM 3.7. *Let H be a real infinite-dimensional Hilbert space and let K be a nonempty closed invex subset of H . Let $T : K \rightarrow H$ be (η, γ) -partially relaxed pseudomonotone. Let $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be proper, invex, and lower semicontinuous on K , let $h : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuously Fréchet-differentiable on K with h' , the gradient of h , (η, α) -strongly monotone, and (η, β) -Lipschitz continuous, and let h' be continuous from H equipped with weak topology to H equipped with strong topology. Suppose that the following assumptions hold.*

(i) *There exist a $y^* \in K$ and numbers $a > 0$, $r \geq 0$, $q \geq 0$, $\rho(a < \rho < \alpha/2\gamma)$ such that for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and for all $x \in K^*$, the set S^* defined by*

$$S^* = \{(h, \eta) : h'(y^* + t(x - y^*))(x - y^*) \geq \langle h'(y^* + t\eta(x, y^*)), \eta(x, y^*) \rangle\} \quad (3.28)$$

is nonempty, where

$$K^* = \{x \in K : \|x - y^*\| \leq r\} \subset K. \quad (3.29)$$

(ii) *The mapping $\eta : K \times K \rightarrow H$ is (λ) -Lipschitz continuous.*

(iii) *$\eta(u, v) + \eta(v, u) = 0$ and $\eta(u, v) = \eta(u \cdot w) + \eta(w, v)$.*

(iv) *For each fixed $y \in K$ the map $x \rightarrow \eta(y, x)$ is sequentially continuous from the weak topology to the weak topology.*

(v) *$\|\eta(u, v)\| \leq r$.*

Then an iterate x^{k+1} is a unique solution to (3.1). If $x^ \in K$ is a solution to VIP (1.1) and $\|T(x^k) - T(x^*)\| \rightarrow 0$, then the sequence $\{x^k\}$ generated by Algorithm 3.1 converges weakly to x^* .*

In addition, assume that

(vi) *there exist a $y^* \in K$ such that for all $x \in K_1$, the set S_1 defined by*

$$S_1 = \{(h, \eta) : h'(y^* + t(x - y^*))(x - y^*) \leq \langle h'(y^* + t\eta(x, y^*)), \eta(x, y^*) \rangle\} \quad (3.30)$$

is nonempty, where

$$K_1 = \{x \in K : \|x - y^*\| \leq q\} \subset K, \quad (3.31)$$

with $\|\eta(x, y^)\| \leq q$.*

Then the sequence $\{x^k\}$ generated by Algorithm 3.1 converges to x^ .*

Proof. Since based on the proof of Theorem 3.4, x' is a weak cluster point of the sequence $\{x^k\}$, we define a function Λ^* by

$$\Lambda^*(x^k) = h(x') - h(x^k) - \langle h'(x^k), \eta(x', x^k) \rangle. \quad (3.32)$$

Applying Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, we have the following:

$$\Lambda^*(x^k) = h(x') - h(x^k) - \langle h'(x^k), \eta(x', x^k) \rangle \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x' - x^k\|^2. \quad (3.33)$$

$$\Lambda^*(x^k) = h(x') - h(x^k) - \langle h'(x^k), \eta(x', x^k) \rangle \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \|x' - x^k\|^2. \quad (3.34)$$

It follows from (3.34) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda^*(x^k) = 0. \quad (3.35)$$

Applying (3.35) to (3.33), it follows that the entire sequence $\{x^k\}$ generated by Algorithm 3.1 converges to x' . \square

References

- [1] I. K. Argyros and R. U. Verma, *On general auxiliary problem principle and nonlinear mixed variational inequalities*, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications* **6** (2001), no. 2, 247–256.
- [2] ———, *Generalized partial relaxed monotonicity and solvability of nonlinear variational inequalities*, *Panamerican Mathematical Journal* **12** (2002), no. 3, 85–104.
- [3] G. Cohen, *Auxiliary problem principle and decomposition of optimization problems*, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* **32** (1980), no. 3, 277–305.
- [4] ———, *Auxiliary problem principle extended to variational inequalities*, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* **59** (1988), no. 2, 325–333.
- [5] J. Eckstein, *Nonlinear proximal point algorithms using Bregman functions, with applications to convex programming*, *Mathematics of Operations Research* **18** (1993), no. 1, 202–226.
- [6] J. Eckstein and D. P. Bertsekas, *On the Douglas-Rachford splitting method and the proximal point algorithm for maximal monotone operators*, *Mathematical Programming Series A* **55** (1992), no. 3, 293–318.
- [7] N. El Farouq, *Pseudomonotone variational inequalities: convergence of the auxiliary problem method*, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* **111** (2001), no. 2, 305–326.
- [8] ———, *Pseudomonotone variational inequalities: convergence of proximal methods*, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* **109** (2001), no. 2, 311–326.
- [9] S. Karamardian, *Complementarity problems over cones with monotone and pseudomonotone maps*, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* **18** (1976), no. 4, 445–454.
- [10] S. Karamardian and S. Schaible, *Seven kinds of monotone maps*, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* **66** (1990), no. 1, 37–46.
- [11] B. Martinet, *Régularisation d'inéquations variationnelles par approximations successives*, *Revue Française d'Informatique Recherche Opérationnelle* **4** (1970), Ser. R-3, 154–158 (French).
- [12] Z. Nanievicz and P. D. Panagiotopoulos, *Mathematical theory of hemivariational inequalities and applications*, *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 188, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1995.
- [13] R. T. Rockafellar, *Augmented Lagrangians and applications of the proximal point algorithm in convex programming*, *Mathematics of Operations Research* **1** (1976), no. 2, 97–116.
- [14] ———, *Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm*, *SIAM Journal Control Optimization* **14** (1976), no. 5, 877–898.
- [15] R. U. Verma, *Nonlinear variational and constrained hemivariational inequalities involving relaxed operators*, *Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Mechanik* **77** (1997), no. 5, 387–391.
- [16] ———, *Approximation-solvability of nonlinear variational inequalities involving partially relaxed monotone (PRM) mappings*, *Advances in Nonlinear Variational Inequalities* **2** (1999), no. 2, 137–148.

12 Auxiliary problem principle

- [17] ———, *A new class of iterative algorithms for approximation-solvability of nonlinear variational inequalities*, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* **41** (2001), no. 3-4, 505–512.
- [18] ———, *General auxiliary problem principle involving multivalued mappings*, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications* **8** (2003), no. 1, 105–110.
- [19] ———, *Generalized strongly nonlinear variational inequalities*, *Revue Roumaine de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées. Romanian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* **48** (2003), no. 4, 431–434.
- [20] ———, *Nonlinear implicit variational inequalities involving partially relaxed pseudomonotone mappings*, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* **46** (2003), no. 10-11, 1703–1709.
- [21] ———, *Partial relaxed monotonicity and general auxiliary problem principle with applications*, *Applied Mathematics Letters* **16** (2003), no. 5, 791–796.
- [22] ———, *Partially relaxed cocoercive variational inequalities and auxiliary problem principle*, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Stochastic Analysis* **2004** (2004), no. 2, 143–148.
- [23] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its Applications. II/B. Nonlinear Monotone Operators*, Springer, New York, 1990.

Ram U. Verma: Department of Mathematics, University of Toledo, Toledo, OH 43606, USA
E-mail address: verma99@msn.com