

# PICONE-TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS WITH FIRST-ORDER TERMS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

JAROSLAV JAROŠ, KUSANO TAKAŠI, AND NORIO YOSHIDA

*Received 24 February 2004; Revised 13 April 2004; Accepted 13 April 2004*

Picone-type inequalities are established for nonlinear elliptic equations which are generalizations of nonself-adjoint linear elliptic equations, and Sturmian comparison theorems are derived as applications. Oscillation results are also obtained for forced superlinear elliptic equations and superlinear-sublinear elliptic equations.

Copyright © 2006 Hindawi Publishing Corporation. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Beginning with the work of Picone [11], Picone identity has been investigated by many authors. In particular, we refer the reader to Allegretto [2], Kreith [8], Protter [12], Swanson [13] and the references cited therein for Picone identities and comparison theorems for nonself-adjoint linear elliptic equations.

Recently there has been an increasing interest in studying the forced oscillations of differential equations. We mention the papers [3–7, 10] dealing with forced oscillations of differential equations of self-adjoint type.

In Jaroš et al. [6], they have established Picone-type inequalities which connect the self-adjoint linear elliptic operator

$$p[u] \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + c(x)u \quad (1.1)$$

with the nonlinear elliptic operator

$$\begin{aligned} P[v] &\equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) + C(x)|v|^{\beta-1}v, \\ \tilde{P}[v] &\equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) + C(x)|v|^{\beta-1}v + D(x)|v|^{\gamma-1}v, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

## 2 Picone-type inequalities

where  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are positive constants with  $\beta > 1$  and  $0 < \gamma < 1$ . They have derived Sturmian comparison theorems and oscillation theorems for the forced elliptic equation

$$P[v] = f(x) \quad (1.3)$$

as well as the superlinear-sublinear elliptic equation

$$\tilde{P}[v] = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

The objective of this paper is to extend the results obtained in [6] to the nonlinear elliptic equations with first-order terms

$$L[v] = f(x), \quad (1.5)$$

$$\tilde{L}[v] = 0, \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L[v] &\equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} + C(x) |v|^{\beta-1} v, \\ \tilde{L}[v] &\equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} + C(x) |v|^{\beta-1} v + D(x) |v|^{\gamma-1} v. \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

We note that if there exists a  $C^1$ -function  $F(x)$  such that

$$\nabla F(x) = 2B(x)(A_{ij}(x))^{-1}, \quad (1.8)$$

where  $B(x) = (B_1(x), B_2(x), \dots, B_n(x))$ , then (1.5) can be written in the form

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( e^{F(x)} A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) + e^{F(x)} C(x) |v|^{\beta-1} v = e^{F(x)} f(x), \quad (1.9)$$

which was studied in [6].

In Section 2 we establish Picone-type inequalities for (1.5), and in Section 3 we obtain oscillation theorems for (1.5) in an unbounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Sections 4 and 5 concern Sturmian comparison theorems and oscillation theorems for (1.6), respectively.

### 2. Sturmian comparison theorems for (1.5)

Let  $G$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with piecewise smooth boundary  $\partial G$ . It is assumed that

- (A<sub>1</sub>)  $A_{ij}(x) \in C(\bar{G}; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $B_i(x) \in C(\bar{G}; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $C(x) \in C(\bar{G}; [0, \infty))$  and  $f(x) \in C(\bar{G}; \mathbb{R})$ ;
- (A<sub>2</sub>) the matrix  $(A_{ij}(x))$  is symmetric and positive definite in  $G$ ;
- (A<sub>3</sub>)  $\beta > 1$ .

The domain  $\mathcal{D}_L(G)$  of  $L$  is defined to be the set of all functions  $v$  of class  $C^1(\bar{G}; \mathbb{R})$  with the property that  $A_{ij}(x)(\partial v / \partial x_j) \in C^1(G; \mathbb{R}) \cap C(\bar{G}; \mathbb{R})$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ).

**THEOREM 2.1.** *If  $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(G)$ ,  $v \neq 0$  in  $G$  and  $v \cdot f(x) \leq 0$  in  $G$ , then the following inequality holds for any  $u \in C^1(G; \mathbb{R})$ :*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{ki}(x) u \right) \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{kj}(x) u \right) \\
& \quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u^2}{v} A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{ki}(x) u \right) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{kj}(x) u \right) \\
& \quad - \beta(\beta-1)^{(1-\beta)/\beta} C(x)^{1/\beta} |f(x)|^{(\beta-1)/\beta} u^2 + \frac{u^2}{v} \{L[v] - f(x)\},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where  $(A^{ij}(x)) = (A_{ij}(x))^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* The following Picone-type inequality was established by Jaroš et al. [6]:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) \right) \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u^2}{v} A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - \beta(\beta-1)^{(1-\beta)/\beta} C(x)^{1/\beta} |f(x)|^{(\beta-1)/\beta} u^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{u^2}{v} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) + C(x) |v|^{\beta-1} v - f(x) \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Since

$$-2u \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(x) v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) = -2u \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + 2 \frac{u^2}{v} \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}, \tag{2.3}$$

combining (2.2) with (2.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) \right) \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) \right) - 2u \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(x) v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) \\
& \quad + B(x) (A_{ij}(x))^{-1} B(x)^T u^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u^2}{v} A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - 2u \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + B(x) (A_{ij}(x))^{-1} B(x)^T u^2 \\
& \quad - \beta(\beta-1)^{(1-\beta)/\beta} C(x)^{1/\beta} |f(x)|^{(\beta-1)/\beta} u^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{u^2}{v} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} + C(x) |v|^{\beta-1} v - f(x) \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

#### 4 Picone-type inequalities

where  $B(x) = (B_1(x), \dots, B_n(x))$  and the superscript  $T$  denotes the transpose. In view of the identities

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) \right) \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) \right) - 2u \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(x) v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) \\ & \quad + B(x) (A_{ij}(x))^{-1} B(x)^T u^2 \\ & = \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{ki}(x) u \right) \\ & \quad \times \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{kj}(x) u \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - 2u \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + B(x) (A_{ij}(x))^{-1} B(x)^T u^2 \\ & = \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{ki}(x) u \right) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{kj}(x) u \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

we observe that (2.4) is equivalent to (2.1).  $\square$

We consider the comparison operator

$$\ell[u] \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c(x)u, \quad (2.7)$$

where the coefficients  $a_{ij}(x)$ ,  $b_i(x)$ ,  $c(x)$  satisfy the following hypotheses:

(A<sub>4</sub>)  $a_{ij}(x)$ ,  $b_i(x)$ ,  $c(x) \in C(\overline{G}; \mathbb{R})$ ;

(A<sub>5</sub>) the matrix  $(a_{ij}(x))$  is symmetric and positive definite in  $G$ .

The domain  $\mathcal{D}_\ell(G)$  of  $\ell$  is defined to be the set of all functions  $u$  of class  $C^1(\overline{G}; \mathbb{R})$  with the property that  $a_{ij}(x)(\partial u / \partial x_j) \in C^1(G; \mathbb{R}) \cap C(\overline{G}; \mathbb{R})$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ).

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Assume that  $u \in \mathcal{D}_\ell(G)$ ,  $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(G)$ ,  $v \neq 0$  in  $G$  and  $v \cdot f(x) \leq 0$  in  $G$ . Then we have the following Picone-type inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( u a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - \frac{u^2}{v} A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) \\ & \geq \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij}(x) - A_{ij}(x)) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - 2u \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i(x) - B_i(x)) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \\ & \quad + \left( \beta(\beta - 1)^{(1-\beta)/\beta} C(x)^{1/\beta} |f(x)|^{(\beta-1)/\beta} - c(x) - B(x) (A^{ij}(x)) B(x)^T \right) u^2 \\ & \quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{ki}(x) u \right) \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{kj}(x) u \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{u}{v} \{ v \ell[u] - u(L[v] - f(x)) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

*Proof.* To prove the theorem it suffices to combine the inequalities (2.4) and (2.5) with the identity

$$u\ell[u] = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( ua_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} + 2u \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c(x)u^2. \quad (2.9)$$

□

Now we consider the first-order partial differential system

$$\nabla w - P(x)w = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

where  $P(x) = (P_1(x), P_2(x), \dots, P_n(x))$  is a continuous vector function, and define the sequence of functions  $\{q_k(x)\}_{k=1}^n$  by

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(x) &= \int P_1(x) dx_1, \\ q_k(x) &= q_{k-1}(x) + \int \left( P_k(x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} q_{k-1}(x) \right) dx_k \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots, n). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

LEMMA 2.3. *The system (2.10) has a  $C^1$ -solution if and only if*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k-1}} \left( P_k(x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} q_{k-1}(x) \right) = 0 \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots, n). \quad (2.12)$$

*Then any  $C^1$ -solution  $w$  of (2.10) can be written in the form*

$$w = C_n \exp q_n(x) \quad (2.13)$$

*for some constant  $C_n$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that (2.10) has a  $C^1$ -solution  $w$ . Then we obtain

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_1} - P_1(x)w = 0, \quad (2.14)$$

and hence

$$w = C_1(x_2, \dots, x_n) \exp \int P_1(x) dx_1 = C_1(x_2, \dots, x_n) \exp q_1(x) \quad (2.15)$$

for some function  $C_1(x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . From

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2} - P_2(x)w = 0 \quad (2.16)$$

we see that  $C_1(x_2, \dots, x_n)$  must satisfy

$$\frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x_2} - \left( P_2(x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \int P_1(x) dx_1 \right) C_1 = 0. \quad (2.17)$$

## 6 Picone-type inequalities

Hence, it is necessary that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left( P_2(x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \int P_1(x) dx_1 \right) = 0, \quad (2.18)$$

and we have

$$C_1 = C_2(x_3, \dots, x_n) \exp \int \left( P_2(x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \int P_1(x) dx_1 \right) dx_2 \quad (2.19)$$

for some function  $C_2(x_3, \dots, x_n)$ , and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} w &= C_2(x_3, \dots, x_n) \exp \left( \int P_1(x) dx_1 + \int \left( P_2(x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \int P_1(x) dx_1 \right) dx_2 \right) \\ &= C_2(x_3, \dots, x_n) \exp q_2(x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Repeating this procedure, we observe that (2.12) is necessary and the solution  $w$  has the form (2.13). From the above consideration it is obvious that the condition (2.12) is sufficient for (2.10) to have a  $C^1$ -solution.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.4.** *If there exists a nontrivial function  $u \in C^1(\bar{G}; \mathbb{R})$  such that  $u = 0$  on  $\partial G$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} M[u] \equiv & \int_G \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{ki}(x) u \right) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{kj}(x) u \right) \right. \\ & \left. - \beta(\beta-1)^{(1-\beta)/\beta} C(x)^{1/\beta} |f(x)|^{(\beta-1)/\beta} u^2 \right] dx \leq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

*then every solution  $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(G)$  of (1.5) satisfying  $v \cdot f(x) \leq 0$  in  $G$  vanishes at some point of  $\bar{G}$ . Furthermore, if  $\partial G \in C^1$ , then either every solution  $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(G)$  of (1.5) satisfying  $v \cdot f(x) \leq 0$  in  $G$  has a zero in  $G$  or else  $u = C_0 v \exp q(x)$  for some nonzero constant  $C_0$  and some continuous function  $q(x)$ .*

*Proof*

*The first statement.* Suppose to the contrary that there exists a solution  $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(G)$  of (1.5) satisfying  $v \cdot f(x) \leq 0$  in  $G$  and  $v \neq 0$  on  $\bar{G}$ . We find that the inequality (2.1) of Theorem 2.1 holds. Integrating (2.1) over  $G$  and then using the divergence theorem yield

$$\begin{aligned} M[u] \geq & \int_G \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{ki}(x) u \right) \\ & \times \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{kj}(x) u \right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

If

$$v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{ki}(x) u \equiv 0 \quad \text{in } G \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n), \quad (2.23)$$

then it follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\frac{u}{v} = C_0 \exp q(x) \quad (2.24)$$

in  $G$ , by continuity on  $\overline{G}$ , where  $C_0$  is some constant and  $q(x)$  is some continuous function. Since  $u = 0$  on  $\partial G$ , we see that  $C_0 = 0$ , which contradicts the fact that  $u$  is nontrivial. Therefore, we observe that

$$\nabla \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) - \left( \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{ki}(x) \right) \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) \neq 0 \quad \text{in } G. \quad (2.25)$$

Hence, we conclude that the right-hand side of (2.22) is positive, and hence  $M[u] > 0$ . This contradicts the hypothesis (2.21).

*The second statement.* Next we consider the case where  $\partial G \in C^1$ . Let  $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(G)$  be a solution of (1.5) such that  $v \cdot f(x) \leq 0$  in  $G$  and  $v \neq 0$  in  $G$ . Since  $\partial G \in C^1$ ,  $u \in C^1(\overline{G}; \mathbb{R})$  and  $u = 0$  on  $\partial G$ , we see that  $u$  belongs to the Sobolev space  $\mathring{H}_1(G)$  which is the closure in the norm

$$\|u\| = \|u\|_1 = \left( \int_G \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} |D^\alpha u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \quad (2.26)$$

of the class  $C_0^\infty(G)$  of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in  $G$  (see, e.g., Agmon [1, page 131]). Let  $\{u_k\}$  be a sequence of functions in  $C_0^\infty(G)$  converging to  $u$  in the norm (2.26). Then, the inequality (2.1) with  $u = u_k$  holds. In view of the fact that (2.22) with  $u = u_k$  holds, we find that  $M[u_k] \geq 0$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} M[u] = \int_G \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - 2u \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right. \\ \left. + \left( B(x)(A_{ij}(x))^{-1} B(x)^T - \beta(\beta-1)^{(1-\beta)/\beta} C(x)^{1/\beta} |f(x)|^{(\beta-1)/\beta} \right) u^2 \right] dx \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

and  $A_{ij}(x)$ ,  $B_i(x)$ ,  $B(x)(A_{ij}(x))^{-1} B(x)^T - \beta(\beta-1)^{(1-\beta)/\beta} C(x)^{1/\beta} |f(x)|^{(\beta-1)/\beta}$  are uniformly bounded in  $G$ , there is a constant  $K > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |M[u_k] - M[u]| &\leq K \int_G \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial (u_k - u)}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial (u_k - u)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) \right| dx \\ &+ K \int_G \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \left( u_k \frac{\partial (u_k - u)}{\partial x_i} + (u_k - u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \right| dx \\ &+ K \int_G |u_k (u_k - u) + (u_k - u) u| dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

Application of Schwarz inequality yields

$$|M[u_k] - M[u]| \leq K(n^2 + n + 1) (\|u_k\| + \|u\|) \|u_k - u\|. \quad (2.29)$$

## 8 Picone-type inequalities

Since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |u_k - u| = 0$ , we see that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M[u_k] = M[u] \geq 0$ , and therefore  $M[u] = 0$  in view of (2.21). Let  $B$  denote an arbitrary ball with  $\bar{B} \subset G$  and define

$$J_B[u] \equiv \int_B \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{ki}(x) u \right) \times \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{kj}(x) u \right) dx \quad (2.30)$$

for  $u \in C^1(G; \mathbb{R})$ . We easily see that

$$0 \leq J_B[u_k] \leq M[u_k] \quad (2.31)$$

and that

$$|J_B[u_k] - J_B[u]| \leq K_1 (\|w_k\|_B + \|w\|_B) \|w_k - w\|_B \quad (2.32)$$

holds, where  $K_1$  is a positive constant,  $w_k = u_k/v$ ,  $w = u/v$  and the subscript  $B$  indicates the integrals involved in the norm (2.26) are taken over  $B$ . As  $v \neq 0$  on  $\bar{B}$ , we observe that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|w_k - w\|_B = 0$  when  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k - u\| = 0$ , and hence  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} J_B[u_k] = J_B[u]$ . Since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M[u_k] = M[u] = 0$ , we obtain  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} J_B[u_k] = J_B[u] = 0$ . It follows from Lemma 2.3 that  $u/v = C_0 \exp q(x)$  in  $B$ , by arbitrariness of  $B$  in  $G$ , and hence by continuity on  $\bar{G}$  for nonzero constant  $C_0$  and some continuous function  $q(x)$ . This completes the proof of the second statement.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *Assume that  $f(x) \geq 0$  (or  $f(x) \leq 0$ ) in  $G$ . If there exists a nontrivial function  $u \in C^1(\bar{G}; \mathbb{R})$  such that  $u = 0$  on  $\partial G$  and  $M[u] \leq 0$ , then (1.5) has no negative (or positive) solution on  $\bar{G}$ .*

*Proof.* Let (1.5) have a solution  $v$  which is negative (or positive) on  $\bar{G}$ . Then, it is obvious that  $v \cdot f(x) \leq 0$  in  $G$ , and hence Theorem 2.4 implies that  $v$  must vanish at some point of  $\bar{G}$ . This is a contradiction and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.6.** *If there exists a nontrivial solution  $u \in \mathcal{D}_\ell(G)$  of  $\ell[u] = 0$  in  $G$  such that  $u = 0$  on  $\partial G$  and*

$$V[u] \equiv \int_G \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij}(x) - A_{ij}(x)) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - 2u \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i(x) - B_i(x)) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + \left( \beta(\beta - 1)^{(1-\beta)/\beta} C(x)^{1/\beta} |f(x)|^{(\beta-1)/\beta} - c(x) - B(x)(A^{ij}(x))B(x)^T \right) u^2 \right] dx \geq 0, \quad (2.33)$$

then every solution  $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(G)$  of (1.5) satisfying  $v \cdot f(x) \leq 0$  in  $G$  vanishes at some point of  $\bar{G}$ . Furthermore, if  $\partial G \in C^1$ , then either every solution  $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(G)$  of (1.5) satisfying  $v \cdot f(x) \leq 0$  in  $G$  has a zero in  $G$  or else  $u = C_0 v \exp q(x)$  for some nonzero constant  $C_0$  and some continuous function  $q(x)$ .



*Proof.* It suffices to start the inequality (2.8) instead of (2.1) and use the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.4.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.7.** *Assume that  $f(x) \geq 0$  (or  $f(x) \leq 0$ ) in  $G$ . If there exists a nontrivial solution  $u \in \mathcal{D}_\ell(G)$  of  $\ell[u] = 0$  in  $G$  such that  $u = 0$  on  $\partial G$  and  $V[u] \geq 0$ , then (1.5) has no negative (or positive) solution on  $\overline{G}$ .*

*Proof.* It is easily verified that

$$V[u] = - \int_G u \ell[u] dx - M[u] \quad (2.34)$$

for any  $u \in C^1(\overline{G}; \mathbb{R})$  satisfying  $u = 0$  on  $\partial G$ . Hence, we conclude that

$$V[u] = -M[u] \quad (2.35)$$

for the solution  $u$  of  $\ell[u] = 0$  such that  $u = 0$  on  $\partial G$ . The conclusion follows from Corollary 2.5.  $\square$

*Remark 2.8.* If  $(a_{ij}(x) - A_{ij}(x))$  is positive definite in  $G$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta(\beta - 1)^{(1-\beta)/\beta} C(x)^{1/\beta} |f(x)|^{(\beta-1)/\beta} \\ & \geq c(x) + B(x)(A^{ij}(x))B(x)^T \\ & \quad + (b(x) - B(x))(a_{ij}(x) - A_{ij}(x))^{-1}(b(x) - B(x))^T, \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

then  $V[u] \geq 0$  for any  $u \in C^1(\overline{G}; \mathbb{R})$ , where

$$b(x) - B(x) = (b_1(x) - B_1(x), b_2(x) - B_2(x), \dots, b_n(x) - B_n(x)). \quad (2.37)$$

In the case where  $b_i(x) = B_i(x)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), we see that  $V[u] \geq 0$  for any  $u \in C^1(\overline{G}; \mathbb{R})$  if  $(a_{ij}(x) - A_{ij}(x))$  is positive semidefinite in  $G$  and

$$\beta(\beta - 1)^{(1-\beta)/\beta} C(x)^{1/\beta} |f(x)|^{(\beta-1)/\beta} \geq c(x) + B(x)(A^{ij}(x))B(x)^T. \quad (2.38)$$

**THEOREM 2.9.** *Suppose that  $G$  is divided into two subdomains  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  by an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional piecewise smooth hypersurface in such a way that*

$$f(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{in } G_1, \quad f(x) \leq 0 \quad \text{in } G_2. \quad (2.39)$$

*If there exist nontrivial functions  $u_p \in C^1(\overline{G}_p; \mathbb{R})$  ( $p = 1, 2$ ) such that  $u_p = 0$  on  $\partial G_p$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} M_p[u_p] \equiv & \int_{G_p} \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \left( \frac{\partial u_p}{\partial x_i} - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{ki}(x) u_p \right) \left( \frac{\partial u_p}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{kj}(x) u_p \right) \right. \\ & \left. - \beta(\beta - 1)^{(1-\beta)/\beta} C(x)^{1/\beta} |f(x)|^{(\beta-1)/\beta} u_p^2 \right] dx \leq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

*then every solution  $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(G)$  of (1.5) has a zero on  $\overline{G}$ .*

## 10 Picone-type inequalities

*Proof.* Assume that (1.5) has a solution  $v$  which has no zero on  $\overline{G}$ . Then, either  $v < 0$  on  $\overline{G}$  or  $v > 0$  on  $\overline{G}$ . If  $v < 0$  on  $\overline{G}$ , then  $v < 0$  on  $\overline{G_1}$ , and therefore  $v \cdot f(x) \leq 0$  in  $G_1$ . It follows from Corollary 2.5 that (1.5) has no negative solution on  $\overline{G_1}$ . This is a contradiction. The case where  $v > 0$  on  $\overline{G}$  can be treated similarly, and we are also led to a contradiction. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.10.** *Suppose that  $G$  is divided into two adjacent subdomains  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  as mentioned in Theorem 2.9. If there exist nontrivial solutions  $u_p \in \mathfrak{D}_\ell(G_p)$  ( $p = 1, 2$ ) of  $\ell[u_p] = 0$  in  $G_p$  such that  $u_p = 0$  on  $\partial G_p$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} V_p[u_p] \equiv & \int_{G_p} \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij}(x) - A_{ij}(x)) \frac{\partial u_p}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_p}{\partial x_j} - 2u_p \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i(x) - B_i(x)) \frac{\partial u_p}{\partial x_i} \right. \\ & \left. + (\beta(\beta-1)^{(1-\beta)/\beta} C(x)^{1/\beta} |f(x)|^{(\beta-1)/\beta} - c(x) - B(x)(A^{ij}(x))B(x)^T) u_p^2 \right] dx \\ & \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

then every solution  $v \in \mathfrak{D}_L(G)$  of (1.5) has a zero on  $\overline{G}$ .

*Proof.* By using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.9, we conclude that the conclusion follows from Corollary 2.7.  $\square$

### 3. Oscillation theorems for (1.5)

In this section we derive an oscillation criterion for (1.5) in an unbounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Assume that

(H<sub>1</sub>)  $A_{ij}(x), A_i(x), C(x), f(x) \in C(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ ;

(H<sub>2</sub>) the matrix  $(A_{ij}(x))$  is symmetric and positive definite in  $\Omega$ .

The domain  $\mathfrak{D}_L(\Omega)$  of  $L$  is defined to be the set of all functions  $v$  of class  $C^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  with the property that  $A_{ij}(x)(\partial v / \partial x_j) \in C^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ).

**Definition 3.1.** A function  $v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be *oscillatory* in  $\Omega$  if  $v$  has a zero in  $\Omega_r$  for any  $r > 0$ , where

$$\Omega_r = \Omega \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x| > r\}. \tag{3.1}$$

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Assume that for any  $r > 0$  there is a bounded domain  $G$  in  $\Omega_r$  with piecewise smooth boundary, which can be divided into two subdomains  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  by an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional hypersurface in such a way that  $f(x) \geq 0$  in  $G_1$  and  $f(x) \leq 0$  in  $G_2$ . Furthermore, assume that  $C(x) \geq 0$  in  $G$  and there exist nontrivial functions  $u_p \in C^1(\overline{G_p}; \mathbb{R})$  ( $p = 1, 2$ ) such that  $u_p = 0$  on  $\partial G$  and  $M_p[u_p] \leq 0$ , where  $M_p$  are given by (2.40). Then every solution  $v \in \mathfrak{D}_L(\Omega)$  of (1.5) is oscillatory in  $\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* We need only to apply Theorem 2.9 to make sure that every solution  $v$  has a zero in any domain as mentioned in the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

*Example 3.3.* We consider the forced superlinear elliptic equation

$$\Delta v + 2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} + 2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2} + K (\sin(x_1 - \pi) \sin x_2) |v|^{\beta-1} v = \cos x_1 \sin x_2, \quad (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $K > 0$  is a constant,  $\Delta$  is the two-dimensional Laplacian, and  $\Omega$  is an unbounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  containing a horizontal strip such that

$$[\pi, \infty) \times [0, \pi] \subset \Omega. \quad (3.3)$$

Let  $m$  be any fixed natural number, and consider the square

$$G = ((2m - 1)\pi, 2m\pi) \times (0, \pi), \quad (3.4)$$

which is divided into two subdomains

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= ((2m - 1)\pi, (2m - (1/2))\pi) \times (0, \pi), \\ G_2 &= ((2m - (1/2))\pi, 2m\pi) \times (0, \pi) \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

by the vertical line  $x_1 = (2m - (1/2))\pi$ . It is easy to see that  $C(x) = K \sin(x_1 - \pi) \sin x_2 \geq 0$  in  $G$ ,  $f(x) = \cos x_1 \sin x_2 \leq 0$  in  $G_1$  and  $f(x) \geq 0$  in  $G_2$ . Letting  $u_p = \sin 2x_1 \sin x_2$  ( $p = 1, 2$ ), we observe that  $u_p = 0$  on  $\partial G_p$ . An easy calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} M_p[u_p] &= \int_{G_p} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^2 \left( \frac{\partial u_p}{\partial x_i} - u_p \right)^2 - \beta(\beta - 1)^{(1-\beta)/\beta} (K (\sin(x_1 - \pi) \sin x_2))^{1/\beta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times |\cos x_1 \sin x_2|^{(\beta-1)\beta} u_p^2 \right] dx_1 dx_2 \\ &= \frac{7}{8} \pi^2 - \frac{8}{3} K^{1/\beta} \beta (\beta - 1)^{(1-\beta)/\beta} B\left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2\beta}, 2 - \frac{1}{2\beta}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where  $B(s, t)$  denotes the beta function. Hence, we find that  $M_p[u_p] \leq 0$  ( $p = 1, 2$ ) if  $K > 0$  is chosen so large that

$$K \geq \left[ \frac{21}{64} \pi^2 \cdot \left( \beta(\beta - 1)^{(1-\beta)/\beta} B\left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2\beta}, 2 - \frac{1}{2\beta}\right) \right)^{-1} \right]^\beta. \quad (3.7)$$

It follows from Theorem 3.2 that every solution  $v \in C^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  of (3.2) is oscillatory in  $\Omega$  for all sufficiently large  $K > 0$ .

#### 4. Sturmian comparison theorems for (1.6)

We deal with the elliptic equation (1.6) and establish Picone-type inequalities for (1.6). Sturmian comparison theorems for (1.6) are derived by using the Picone-type inequalities.

We assume that the coefficients  $A_{ij}(x)$ ,  $B_i(x)$ ,  $C(x)$ ,  $D(x)$  and the constants  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  appearing in (1.6) satisfy the following:

( $\tilde{A}_1$ )  $A_{ij}(x) \in C(\bar{G}; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $B_i(x) \in C(\bar{G}; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $C(x) \in C(\bar{G}; [0, \infty))$  and  $D(x) \in C(\bar{G}; [0, \infty))$ ;

( $\tilde{A}_2$ ) the matrix  $(A_{ij}(x))$  is symmetric and positive definite in  $G$ ;

( $\tilde{A}_3$ )  $\beta > 1$  and  $0 < \gamma < 1$ .

The domain  $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{L}}(G)$  of  $\tilde{L}$  is defined to be the same as that of  $L$ , that is,  $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{L}}(G) = \mathcal{D}_L(G)$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *If  $v \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{L}}(G)$  and  $v \neq 0$  in  $G$ , then the following inequality holds for any  $u \in C^1(G; \mathbb{R})$ :*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{ki}(x) u \right) \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{kj}(x) u \right) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u^2}{v} A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) \\
 & \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{ki}(x) u \right) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{kj}(x) u \right) \\
 & \quad - \frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left( \frac{\beta - 1}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{(1-\beta)/(\beta-\gamma)} C(x)^{(1-\gamma)/(\beta-\gamma)} D(x)^{(\beta-1)/(\beta-\gamma)} u^2 + \frac{u^2}{v} \tilde{L}[v].
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

*Proof.* Starting with the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) \right) \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u^2}{v} A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) \\
 & \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left( \frac{\beta - 1}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{(1-\beta)/(\beta-\gamma)} C(x)^{(1-\gamma)/(\beta-\gamma)} \\
 & \quad \times D(x)^{(\beta-1)/(\beta-\gamma)} u^2 \\
 & \quad + \frac{u^2}{v} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) + C(x) |v|^{\beta-1} v + D(x) |v|^{\gamma-1} v \right\},
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

which was established by Jaroš et al. [6, Theorem 7], and proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we find that the inequality (4.1) holds.  $\square$

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Assume that  $u \in \mathcal{D}_\ell(G)$ ,  $v \in \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\ell}}(G)$  and  $v \neq 0$  in  $G$ . Then we have the following Picone-type inequality:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( u a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - \frac{u^2}{v} A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) \\
& \geq \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij}(x) - A_{ij}(x)) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - 2u \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i(x) - B_i(x)) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \\
& \quad + \left( \frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left( \frac{\beta - 1}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{(1-\beta)/(\beta-\gamma)} C(x)^{(1-\gamma)/(\beta-\gamma)} D(x)^{(\beta-1)/(\beta-\gamma)} \right. \\
& \quad \quad \left. - c(x) - B(x)(A^{ij}(x))B(x)^T \right) u^2 \\
& \quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{ki}(x) u \right) \left( v \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{kj}(x) u \right) \\
& \quad + \frac{u}{v} (v \ell[u] - u \bar{\ell}[v]).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

*Proof.* Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we observe that the conclusion follows from (4.1).  $\square$

**THEOREM 4.3.** *If there exists a nontrivial function  $u \in C^1(\bar{G}; \mathbb{R})$  such that  $u = 0$  on  $\partial G$  and*

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{M}[u] \equiv \int_G \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij}(x) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{ki}(x) u \right) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(x) A^{kj}(x) u \right) \right. \\
\left. - \frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left( \frac{\beta - 1}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{(1-\beta)/(\beta-\gamma)} C(x)^{(1-\gamma)/(\beta-\gamma)} D(x)^{(\beta-1)/(\beta-\gamma)} u^2 \right] dx \leq 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

*then every solution  $v \in \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\ell}}(G)$  of (1.6) vanishes at some point of  $\bar{G}$ . Furthermore, if  $\partial G \in C^1$ , then either every solution  $v \in \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\ell}}(G)$  of (1.6) has a zero in  $G$  or else  $u = C_0 v \exp q(x)$  for some nonzero constant  $C_0$  and some continuous function  $q(x)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that there is a solution  $v$  of (1.6) such that  $v \neq 0$  on  $\bar{G}$ . Then, the inequality (4.1) of Theorem 4.1 holds for the nontrivial function  $u$ . Integrating (4.1) over  $G$  and proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.4 yield the conclusion  $\tilde{M}[u] > 0$ , which contradicts the hypothesis (4.4). This completes the proof of the first statement. Next we consider the case where  $\partial G \in C^1$ . Let  $v$  be a solution of (1.6) satisfying  $v \neq 0$  in  $G$ . Using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.4, we see that  $\tilde{M}[u] = 0$ , which implies that  $u = C_0 v \exp q(x)$  for some nonzero constant  $C_0$  and some continuous function  $q(x)$ . This completes the proof of the second statement.  $\square$

## 14 Picone-type inequalities

**THEOREM 4.4.** *If there exists a nontrivial solution  $u \in \mathcal{D}_\ell(G)$  of  $\ell[u] = 0$  in  $G$  such that  $u = 0$  on  $\partial G$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}[u] \equiv \int_G \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij}(x) - A_{ij}(x)) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - 2u \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i(x) - B_i(x)) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right. \\ \left. + \left( \frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left( \frac{\beta - 1}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{(1-\beta)/(\beta-\gamma)} C(x)^{(1-\gamma)/(\beta-\gamma)} D(x)^{(\beta-1)/(\beta-\gamma)} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - c(x) - B(x)(A^{ij}(x))B(x)^T \right) u^2 \right] dx \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

then every solution  $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(G)$  of (1.6) vanishes at some point of  $\bar{G}$ . Furthermore, if  $\partial G \in C^1$ , then either every solution  $v \in \mathcal{D}_L(G)$  of (1.6) has a zero in  $G$  or else  $u = C_0 v \exp q(x)$  for some nonzero constant  $C_0$  and some continuous function  $q(x)$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows by using the same arguments as in Theorem 2.6.  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** In the case where  $b_i(x) = 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) and  $B_i(x) \in C^1(\bar{G}; \mathbb{R})$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), it can be shown that  $\tilde{V}[u] \geq 0$  for any  $u \in C^1(\bar{G}; \mathbb{R})$  if  $(a_{ij}(x) - A_{ij}(x))$  is positive semidefinite in  $G$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left( \frac{\beta - 1}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{(1-\beta)/(\beta-\gamma)} C(x)^{(1-\gamma)/(\beta-\gamma)} D(x)^{(\beta-1)/(\beta-\gamma)} \\ \geq c(x) + \nabla \cdot B(x) + B(x)(A^{ij}(x))B(x)^T \quad \text{in } G. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

### 5. Oscillation theorems for (1.6)

Now we establish oscillation criteria for (1.6) in an unbounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . It is assumed that

( $\tilde{H}_1$ )  $A_{ij}(x) \in C(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  and the matrix  $(A_{ij}(x))$  is symmetric and positive definite in  $\Omega$ ; and the same is true of  $a_{ij}(x)$ ;

( $\tilde{H}_2$ )  $B_i(x) \in C^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $C(x) \in C(\Omega; [0, \infty))$ ,  $D(x) \in C(\Omega; [0, \infty))$  and  $b_i(x)$ ,  $c(x) \in C(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ ;

( $\tilde{H}_3$ )  $\beta > 1$  and  $0 < \gamma < 1$ .

The domain  $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{L}}(\Omega)$  of  $\tilde{L}$  is defined to be the same as that of  $L$ , that is,  $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{L}}(\Omega) = \mathcal{D}_L(\Omega)$ . The domain  $\mathcal{D}_\ell(\Omega)$  of  $\ell$  is defined similarly.

**Definition 5.1.** A bounded domain  $G$  with  $\bar{G} \subset \Omega$  is said to be a *nodal domain* for  $\ell[u] = 0$  if there is a nontrivial function  $u \in \mathcal{D}_\ell(G)$  such that  $\ell[u] = 0$  in  $G$  and  $u = 0$  on  $\partial G$ . The equation  $\ell[u] = 0$  is called *nodally oscillatory* in  $\Omega$  if it has a nodal domain contained in  $\Omega_r$  for any  $r > 0$ .

THEOREM 5.2. Let  $b_i(x) = 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), and assume that

$$(a_{ij}(x) - A_{ij}(x)) \text{ is positive semidefinite in } \Omega, \quad (5.1)$$

$$c(x) \leq \frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left( \frac{\beta - 1}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{(1-\beta)/(\beta-\gamma)} C(x)^{(1-\gamma)/(\beta-\gamma)} D(x)^{(\beta-1)/(\beta-\gamma)} \\ - \nabla \cdot B(x) - B(x)(A^{ij}(x))B(x)^T \text{ in } \Omega. \quad (5.2)$$

Every solution  $v \in \mathcal{D}_{\bar{L}}(\Omega)$  of (1.6) is oscillatory in  $\Omega$  if  $\ell[u] = 0$  is nodally oscillatory in  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\ell[u] = 0$  is nodally oscillatory in  $\Omega$ , there exists a nodal domain  $G \subset \Omega_r$  for any  $r > 0$ , and therefore there is a nontrivial solution  $u$  of  $\ell[u] = 0$  in  $G$  such that  $u = 0$  on  $\partial G$ . It follows from the hypotheses (5.1) and (5.2) that  $\tilde{V}[u] \geq 0$ . Theorem 4.4 implies that every solution  $v \in \mathcal{D}_{\bar{L}}(\Omega)$  of (1.6) must vanish at some point of  $\bar{G}$ , that is,  $v$  has a zero in  $\Omega_r$  for any  $r > 0$ . This implies that  $v$  is oscillatory in  $\Omega$ .  $\square$

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.2.

COROLLARY 5.3. If the elliptic equation

$$\Delta u + \left( \frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left( \frac{\beta - 1}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{(1-\beta)/(\beta-\gamma)} C(x)^{(1-\gamma)/(\beta-\gamma)} D(x)^{(\beta-1)/(\beta-\gamma)} - \nabla \cdot B(x) - |B(x)|^2 \right) u = 0 \quad (5.3)$$

is nodally oscillatory in  $\Omega$ , then every solution  $v \in C^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  of

$$\Delta v + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} + C(x)|v|^{\beta-1}v + D(x)|v|^{\gamma-1}v = 0 \quad (5.4)$$

is oscillatory in  $\Omega$ .

Various nodal oscillation criteria for

$$\Delta u + d(x)u = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (5.5)$$

have been obtained by Kreith and Travis [9]. They have shown that (5.5) is nodally oscillatory in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d(x)dx = \infty \quad (n = 2), \\ \int_0^\infty S[d(x)](r)dr = \infty \quad (n \geq 3), \quad (5.6)$$

where  $S[d(x)](r)$  denotes the spherical mean of  $d(x)$  over the sphere  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x| = r\}$ .

COROLLARY 5.4. Let  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$  and assume that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Psi(x)dx = \infty \quad (n = 2), \\ \int_0^\infty S[\Psi(x)](r)dr = \infty \quad (n \geq 3), \quad (5.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(x) &= \frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left( \frac{\beta - 1}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{(1-\beta)/(\beta-\gamma)} C(x)^{(1-\gamma)/(\beta-\gamma)} D(x)^{(\beta-1)/(\beta-\gamma)} \\ &\quad - \nabla \cdot B(x) - |B(x)|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Then every solution  $v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$  of (5.4) is oscillatory in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* The conclusion follows by combining the oscillation results due to Kreith and Travis [9] with Corollary 5.3.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 5.5.** Let  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$  and assume that there are positive constants  $k_0, k_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) such that

$$C(x) \geq k_0, \quad D(x) \geq k_0, \quad B_i(x) = k_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n). \quad (5.9)$$

If

$$\frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left( \frac{\beta - 1}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{(1-\beta)/(\beta-\gamma)} k_0 > k_1^2 + \dots + k_n^2, \quad (5.10)$$

then every solution  $v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$  of (5.4) is oscillatory in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* Since

$$\Psi(x) \geq \frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left( \frac{\beta - 1}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{(1-\beta)/(\beta-\gamma)} k_0 - (k_1^2 + \dots + k_n^2) > 0, \quad (5.11)$$

we find that the hypotheses of Corollary 5.4 are satisfied, and consequently the conclusion follows from Corollary 5.4.  $\square$

*Example 5.6.* We consider the elliptic equation

$$\Delta u + 4 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} + 2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2} + 4|v|^2 v + 5|v|^{-1/2} v = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (5.12)$$

Here  $n = 2, k_1 = 2, k_2 = 1, k_0 = 4, \beta = 3$ , and  $\gamma = 1/2$ . It is easily seen that

$$\frac{\beta - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \left( \frac{\beta - 1}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{(1-\beta)/(\beta-\gamma)} k_0 = 5 \cdot 2^{2/5}, \quad k_1^2 + k_2^2 = 5. \quad (5.13)$$

From Corollary 5.5 it follows that every solution  $v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R})$  of (5.12) is oscillatory in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

## References

- [1] S. Agmon, *Lectures on Elliptic Boundary Value Problems*, Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies, no. 2, D. Van Nostrand, New Jersey, 1965.
- [2] W. Allegretto, *A comparison theorem for nonlinear operators*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa. Seris III **25** (1971), 41–46.



- [3] M. A. El-Sayed, *An oscillation criterion for a forced second order linear differential equation*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **118** (1993), no. 3, 813–817.
- [4] J. Jaroš and T. Kusano, *Second-order semilinear differential equations with external forcing terms*, Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku (1997), no. 984, 191–197 (Japanese).
- [5] J. Jaroš, T. Kusano, and N. Yoshida, *Forced superlinear oscillations via Picone's identity*, Acta Mathematica Universitatis Comenianae. New Series **69** (2000), no. 1, 107–113.
- [6] ———, *Picone-type inequalities for nonlinear elliptic equations and their applications*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications **6** (2001), no. 4, 387–404.
- [7] ———, *Generalized Picone's formula and forced oscillations in quasilinear differential equations of the second order*, Universitatis Masarykianae Brunensis. Facultas Scientiarum Naturalium. Archivum Mathematicum **38** (2002), no. 1, 53–59.
- [8] K. Kreith, *A comparison theorem for general elliptic equations with mixed boundary conditions*, Journal of Differential Equations **8** (1970), 537–541.
- [9] K. Kreith and C. C. Travis, *Oscillation criteria for selfadjoint elliptic equations*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **41** (1972), 743–753.
- [10] A. H. Nasr, *Sufficient conditions for the oscillation of forced super-linear second order differential equations with oscillatory potential*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **126** (1998), no. 1, 123–125.
- [11] M. Picone, *Sui valori eccezionali di un parametro da cui dipende un'equazione differenziale lineare ordinaria del second'ordine*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa **11** (1909), 1–141 (Italian).
- [12] M. H. Protter, *A comparison theorem for elliptic equations*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **10** (1959), 296–299.
- [13] C. A. Swanson, *A comparison theorem for elliptic differential equations*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **17** (1966), 611–616.

Jaroslav Jaroš: Department of Mathematical Analysis, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,  
Comenius University, 842 15 Bratislava, Slovak Republic  
*E-mail address:* jaros@fmph.uniba.sk

Kusano Takaši: Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Fukuoka University,  
Fukuoka 814-0180, Japan  
*E-mail address:* tkusano@cis.fukuok-au.ac.jp

Norio Yoshida: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Toyama,  
Toyama 930-8555, Japan  
*E-mail address:* nori@sci.toyama-u.ac.jp