AN UPPER BOUND FOR THE ℓ_p NORM OF A GCD-RELATED MATRIX

PENTTI HAUKKANEN

Received 10 November 2004; Revised 12 January 2005; Accepted 9 February 2005

We find an upper bound for the ℓ_p norm of the $n \times n$ matrix whose ij entry is $(i, j)^{s/}[i, j]^r$, where (i, j) and [i, j] are the greatest common divisor and the least common multiple of i and j and where r and s are real numbers. In fact, we show that if r > 1/p and s < r - 1/p, then $\|((i, j)^{s/}[i, j]^r)_{n \times n}\|_p < \zeta(rp)^{2/p}\zeta(rp - sp)^{1/p}/\zeta(2rp)^{1/p}$ for all positive integers n, where ζ is the Riemann zeta function.

Copyright © 2006 Pentti Haukkanen. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Let $S = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ be a set of distinct positive integers, and let f be an arithmetical function. Let $(S)_f$ denote the $n \times n$ matrix having f evaluated at the greatest common divisor (x_i, x_j) of x_i and x_j as its ij entry, that is, $(S)_f = (f((x_i, x_j)))$. Analogously, let $[S]_f$ denote the $n \times n$ matrix having f evaluated at the least common multiple $[x_i, x_j]$ of x_i and x_j as its ij entry, that is, $(S)_f = (f((x_i, x_j)))$. Analogously, let $[S]_f$ denote the $n \times n$ matrix having f evaluated at the least common multiple $[x_i, x_j]$ of x_i and x_j as its ij entry, that is, $[S]_f = (f([x_i, x_j]))$. The matrices $(S)_f$ and $[S]_f$ are referred to as the GCD and LCM matrix on S associated with f respectively. Smith [12] calculated det $(S)_f$ when S is a factor-closed set and det $[S]_f$ in a more special case. Since Smith a large number of results on GCD and LCM matrices have been presented in the literature. For general accounts see, for example, [3, 5-8].

Norms of GCD matrices have not been studied much in the literature. Some results are obtained in [1, 4], see also the references of [4] and [10, Chapter 3].

Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The ℓ_p norm of an $n \times n$ matrix M is defined as

$$\|M\|_{p} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |m_{ij}|^{p}\right)^{1/p}.$$
(1.1)

Hindawi Publishing Corporation Journal of Inequalities and Applications Volume 2006, Article ID 25020, Pages 1–6 DOI 10.1155/JIA/2006/25020

2 A GCD-related matrix

Let $r, s \in \mathbf{R}$. It is known [1, Theorem 3] that if r > 1/p, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{[i,j]^r} \right)_{n \times n} \right\|_p = \frac{\zeta(pr)^{3/p}}{\zeta(2pr)^{1/p}}.$$
(1.2)

We here generalize this result by showing that if r > 1/p and s < r - 1/p, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \left(\frac{(i,j)^s}{[i,j]^r} \right)_{n \times n} \right\|_p = \frac{\zeta(pr)^{2/p} \zeta(pr-ps)^{1/p}}{\zeta(2pr)^{1/p}},\tag{1.3}$$

see Theorem 3.1. This result also sharpens the rough estimation

$$\left\| \left(\frac{(i,j)^s}{[i,j]^r} \right)_{n \times n} \right\|_p = O(1)$$
(1.4)

given in [4, Theorem 3.1(3)].

2. Preliminaries

In this section we review the basic results on arithmetical functions needed in this paper. For more comprehensive treatments on arithmetical functions we refer to [2, 9-11].

The Dirichlet convolution f * g of two arithmetical functions f and g is defined as

$$(f * g)(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)g(n/d).$$
 (2.1)

Let N^u , $u \in \mathbf{R}$, denote the arithmetical function defined as $N^u(n) = n^u$ for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, and let *E* denote the arithmetical function defined as E(n) = 1 for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$. The Jordan totient function $J_k(n)$, $k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, is defined as the number of *k*-tuples $a_1, a_2, ..., a_k \pmod{n}$ such that the greatest common divisor of $a_1, a_2, ..., a_k$ and *n* is 1. By convention, $J_k(1) = 1$. The Möbius function μ is the inverse of *E* under the Dirichlet convolution. It is well known that $J_k = N^k * \mu$. This suggests we define

$$J_{u}(n) = (N^{u} * \mu)(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^{u} \mu(n/d)$$
(2.2)

for all $u \in \mathbf{R}$. Since μ is the inverse of *E* under the Dirichlet convolution, we have

$$n^{u} = \sum_{d|n} J_{u}(d).$$
(2.3)

An arithmetical function f is said to be multiplicative if f(1) = 1 and

$$f(mn) = f(m)f(n) \tag{2.4}$$

whenever (m, n) = 1, and an arithmetical function f is said to be completely multiplicative if f(1) = 1 and (2.4) holds for all m and n. For example, the function N^u is completely

multiplicative. Each completely multiplicative function f distributes over the Dirichlet convolution, that is,

$$f(g * h) = (fg) * (fh)$$
 (2.5)

for all arithmetical functions g and h. The inverse f^{-1} of a completely multiplicative function f under the Dirichlet convolution is given as

$$f^{-1} = \mu f. (2.6)$$

The Dirichlet series of an arithmetical function f is defined as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s},\tag{2.7}$$

where we assume (for brevity) that s is a real number. The Riemann zeta function is defined as

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s},\tag{2.8}$$

where s > 1. If the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)/n^s$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n)/n^s$ converge absolutely for $s > s_0$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(f * g)(n)}{n^s}$$
(2.9)

and this last series converges absolutely for $s > s_0$. Further, if the inverse f^{-1} of f under the Dirichlet convolution exists, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f^{-1}(n)}{n^s} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s}\right)^{-1}$$
(2.10)

and this series also converges absolutely for $s > s_0$.

3. Results

Тнеогем 3.1. *Let* r > 1/p *and* s < r - 1/p. *Then*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \left(\frac{(i,j)^s}{[i,j]^r} \right)_{n \times n} \right\|_p = \frac{\zeta(rp)^{2/p} \zeta(rp - sp)^{1/p}}{\zeta(2rp)^{1/p}}.$$
(3.1)

Proof. Denote

$$s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(i,j)^{sp}}{[i,j]^{rp}}.$$
(3.2)

4 A GCD-related matrix

Since (i, j)[i, j] = ij, we have for all p, r, s

$$s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(i,j)^{(r+s)p}}{i^{rp} j^{rp}}.$$
(3.3)

It is clear that

$$s_n < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(i,j)^{(r+s)p}}{i^{rp} j^{rp}}.$$
(3.4)

Making the change of variables $\lambda = (i, j)$, $i = u\lambda$ and $j = v\lambda$, we see that

$$s_n < \sum_{u=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{(s-r)p}}{u^{rp} \nu^{rp}}$$
$$= \left(\sum_{u=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u^{rp}}\right) \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\nu^{rp}}\right) \left(\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{(r-s)p}}\right)$$
$$= \zeta(rp)^2 \zeta(rp-sp).$$
(3.5)

Note that all these series have only positive terms and rp, rp - sp > 1. Thus, $\{s_n\}$ is increasing and bounded above, and so $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = S$ exists. We deduce that the double series $\sum \sum ((i, j)^{sp}/[i, j]^{rp})$ converges absolutely, with sum *S*.

We calculate the number *S* as follows. We have

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(i,j)^{sp}}{[i,j]^{rp}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(i,j)^{(r+s)p}}{i^{rp}j^{rp}}.$$
(3.6)

From (2.3) we obtain

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{rp}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^{rp}} \sum_{d|(i,j)}^{j} J_{(r+s)p}(d)$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{rp}} \sum_{d|i} J_{(r+s)p}(d) \sum_{\substack{1 \le j < \infty \\ j \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} \frac{1}{j^{rp}}.$ (3.7)

Since rp > 1, we can write

$$S = \zeta(rp) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{rp}} \sum_{d|i} \frac{J_{(r+s)p}(d)}{d^{rp}}.$$
 (3.8)

Since the function $1/d^{rp}$ (i.e., the function N^{-rp}) is completely multiplicative in *d*, on the basis of (2.2) and (2.5) we have

$$S = \zeta(rp) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{rp}} \left(E * N^{sp} * \mu N^{-rp} \right)(i).$$
(3.9)

Since the function $1/i^{rp}$ (i.e., the function N^{-rp} again) is completely multiplicative in *i*, on the basis of (2.5) again we have

$$S = \zeta(rp) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(N^{-rp} * N^{-(rp-sp)} * \mu N^{-2rp} \right)(i).$$
(3.10)

Since rp, rp - sp > 1, we can apply (2.6)–(2.10) to obtain

$$S = \zeta(rp)\zeta(rp)\zeta(rp-sp)/\zeta(2rp). \tag{3.11}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

COROLLARY 3.2. Let r > 1/p and s < r - 1/p. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$\left\| \left(\frac{(i,j)^s}{[i,j]^r} \right)_{n \times n} \right\|_p < \frac{\zeta(rp)^{2/p} \zeta(rp - sp)^{1/p}}{\zeta(2rp)^{1/p}}.$$
(3.12)

The spectral norm of an $n \times n$ matrix *M* is defined as

$$\|M\|_{S} = \max\left\{\sqrt{\lambda} : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } M^{*}M\right\}.$$
(3.13)

COROLLARY 3.3. Let r > 1/2 and s < r - 1/2. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$\left\| \left(\frac{(i,j)^s}{[i,j]^r} \right)_{n \times n} \right\|_{S} < \frac{\zeta(2r)\zeta(2(r-s))^{1/2}}{\zeta(4r)^{1/2}}.$$
(3.14)

Proof. It is known that $||M||_{S} \le ||M||_{2}$. Thus Corollary 3.3 follows from Corollary 3.2.

 \square

Acknowledgment

The author wishes to thank the anonymous referees for valuable comments, which led on to an improvement of Theorem 3.1.

References

- [1] E. Altinisik, N. Tuglu, and P. Haukkanen, *A note on bounds for norms of the reciprocal LCM matrix*, Mathematical Inequalities & Applications 7 (2004), no. 4, 491–496.
- [2] T. M. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 1976.
- [3] K. Bourque and S. Ligh, *Matrices associated with classes of arithmetical functions*, Journal of Number Theory 45 (1993), no. 3, 367–376.
- [4] P. Haukkanen, *On the* ℓ_p *norm of GCD and related matrices*, JIPAM. Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics 5 (2004), no. 3, Article 61, 7 pp.
- [5] P. Haukkanen and J. Sillanpää, Some analogues of Smith's determinant, Linear Multilinear Algebra 41 (1996), no. 3, 233–244.
- [6] P. Haukkanen, J. Wang, and J. Sillanpää, On Smith's determinant, Linear Algebra and its Applications 258 (1997), 251–269.

6 A GCD-related matrix

- [7] S. Hong, *GCD-closed sets and determinants of matrices associated with arithmetical functions*, Acta Arithmetica **101** (2002), no. 4, 321–332.
- [8] I. Korkee and P. Haukkanen, *On meet and join matrices associated with incidence functions*, Linear Algebra and its Applications **372** (2003), 127–153.
- [9] P. J. McCarthy, Introduction to Arithmetical Functions, Universitext, Springer, New York, 1986.
- [10] J. Sándor and B. Crstici, Handbook of Number Theory, II, Springer, New York, 2004.
- [11] R. Sivaramakrishnan, *Classical Theory of Arithmetic Functions*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 126, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1989.
- [12] H. J. S. Smith, On the value of a certain arithmetical determinant, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society 7 (1875/1876), 208–212.

Pentti Haukkanen: Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Philosophy, University of Tampere, 33014 Tampere, Finland

E-mail address: mapehau@uta.fi