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Global well-posedness result is established for both a 3D density-dependent modified-Leray- $\alpha$ model and a 3D density-dependent modified-Leray- $\alpha$-MHD model.

## 1. Introduction

A density-dependent Leray- $\alpha$ model can be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho_{t}+\operatorname{div}(\rho u)=0, \\
\rho v_{t}+\rho u \cdot \nabla v+\nabla \pi-\Delta v=0, \\
v=\left(1-\alpha^{2} \Delta\right) u, \quad \text { in }(0, \infty) \times \Omega,  \tag{1.1}\\
\operatorname{div} v=\operatorname{div} u=0, \quad \text { in }(0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\
v=u=0 \quad \text { on }(0, \infty) \times \partial \Omega, \\
\left.(\rho, \rho v)\right|_{t=0}=\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{0} v_{0}\right) \quad \text { in } \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{3},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\rho$ is the fluid density, $v$ is the fluid velocity field, $u$ is the "filtered" fluid velocity, and $\pi$ is the pressure, which are unknowns. $\alpha$ is the lengthscale parameter that represents the width
of the filter, and for simplicity, we will take $\alpha \equiv 1 . \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$.

When $\rho \equiv 1$, the above system reduces to the well-known Leray- $\alpha$ model and has been studied in $[1,2]$. When $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, the above system reduces to the classical density-dependent Navier-Stokes equation, which has received many studies [3-6]. Specifically, it is proved in [3, 4] that the density-dependent Navier-Stokes equations has a unique locally smooth solution $(\rho, v)$ if the following two hypotheses (H1) and (H2) are satisfied:
(H1) $\rho_{0} \in W^{1, q}$ for some $q \in(3,6], v_{0} \in H_{0}^{1} \cap H^{2}$, and $\operatorname{div} v_{0}=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$,
(H2) $\exists \tilde{\pi}$ and $g \in L^{2}$ such that $-\Delta v_{0}+\nabla \tilde{\pi}=\rho_{0}^{1 / 2} g$ in $\Omega$.
One of the aims of this paper is to prove a global well-posedness result for the densitydependent Leray- $\alpha$ model (1.1).

Theorem 1.1. Let (H1) and (H2) be satisfied. Then the problem (1.1) has a unique smooth solution $(\rho, \pi, v)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W^{1, q}\right), \quad \rho_{t} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{q}\right), \\
\pi \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{1,6}\right) \\
v \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{2}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{2,6}\right)  \tag{1.2}\\
\sqrt{\rho} v_{t} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right), \quad v_{t} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

for any $T>0$.
Next, we consider the following density-dependent modified-Leray- $\alpha$-MHD model:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho_{t}+\operatorname{div}(\rho u)=0,  \tag{1.3}\\
\rho v_{t}+\rho u \cdot \nabla v+\nabla \pi-\Delta v=\left(B_{s} \cdot \nabla\right) B,  \tag{1.4}\\
\partial_{t} B_{s}+u \cdot \nabla B-B_{s} \cdot \nabla v=\Delta B,  \tag{1.5}\\
v=\left(1-\alpha^{2} \Delta\right) u, \quad B=\left(1-\alpha_{M}^{2} \Delta\right) B_{s},  \tag{1.6}\\
v=u=0, \quad B \cdot n=B_{s} \cdot n=\operatorname{curl} B \times n=\operatorname{curl} B_{s} \times n=0, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega,  \tag{1.7}\\
\operatorname{div} v=\operatorname{div} u=\operatorname{div} B=\operatorname{div} B_{s}=0, \quad \text { in }(0, \infty) \times \Omega,  \tag{1.8}\\
\left.\left(\rho, v, B_{s}\right)\right|_{t=0}=\left(\rho_{0}, v_{0}, B_{s 0}\right) \quad \text { in } \Omega \subseteq R^{3}, \tag{1.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $B$ and $B_{s}$ represent the unknown magnetic field and the "filtered" magnetic field, respectively. $\alpha_{M}>0$ is the lengthscale parameter representing the width of the filter and we will take $\alpha_{M}=1$ for simplicity. $n$ is the unit outward vector to $\partial \Omega$. When $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $\alpha_{M} \rightarrow 0$, the above system (1.3)-(1.9) reduces to the well-known density-dependent MHD equations, which have been studied by many authors (see [7-9] and referees therein). When
$\rho=1$ and $\alpha_{M}=0$, the above system has been studied in [10] recently, and also modified models were analyzed in [11]. In this paper, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. Let $0<m \leq \rho_{0} \leq M<\infty, \rho_{0} \in W^{1, q}$ with $q \in(3,6], v_{0} \in H_{0}^{1} \cap H^{2}, B_{0} \in H^{3}$, and $\operatorname{div} v_{0}=\operatorname{div} u_{0}=\operatorname{div} B_{0}=\operatorname{div} B_{s 0}=0$ in $\Omega$. Then the problem (1.3)-(1.9) has a unique smooth solution ( $\rho, \pi, v, B, B_{s}$ ) satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
0<m \leq \rho \leq M<\infty, \quad \rho \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W^{1, q}\right), \quad \rho_{t} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{q}\right), \\
\pi \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{1,6}\right),  \tag{1.10}\\
v \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{2}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{2,6}\right), \quad v_{t} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\right), \\
B \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{3}\right), \quad \partial_{t} B_{s} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right), \quad \partial_{t} B \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right),
\end{gather*}
$$

for any $T>0$.
For other related models, we refer to [12-16].
Since the proof of Theorem 1.1 is similar to and simpler than that of Theorem 1.2, we only prove Theorem 1.2 for concision.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

By similar argument as that in $[3,4]$, it is easy to prove that there are $T_{0}>0$ and a unique smooth solution ( $\rho, v, B, B_{s}$ ) to the problem (1.3)-(1.9) in $\left[0, T_{0}\right]$, and we only need to establish some a priori estimates for any time. Therefore, in the following estimates, we assume that the solution ( $\rho, v, B, B_{s}$ ) is sufficiently smooth.

First, it follows from (1.3), (1.7), and the maximum principle that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<m \leq \rho(x, t) \leq M<+\infty . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Testing (1.4) and (1.5) by $v$ and $B$, respectively, using (1.3), (1.6), and (1.7), summing up them, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int \rho v^{2}+\left|B_{s}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla B_{s}\right|^{2} d x+\int|\nabla v|^{2}+|\nabla B|^{2} d x=0 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{2}\right)}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{3}\right)} \leq C,  \tag{2.3}\\
\|v\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}+\|v\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right)} \leq C,  \tag{2.4}\\
\left\|B_{s}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right)}+\left\|B_{s}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{3}\right)} \leq C,  \tag{2.5}\\
\|B\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right)} \leq C . \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking $\partial_{i}$ to (1.3), multiplying it by $\left|\partial_{i} \rho\right|^{q-2} \partial_{i} \rho$, summing over $i$, using (1.7) and (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \int|\nabla \rho|^{q} d x \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\infty}}\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^{q}}^{q} \leq C\|u\|_{H^{3}}\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^{q}}^{q} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W^{1, q}\right)} \leq C \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (1.3), (2.3) and (2.8), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{q}\right)} \leq\|u \nabla \rho\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{q}\right)} \leq\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{q}\right)} \leq C\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{q}\right)} \leq C . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (1.5) by $-\Delta B$, using (1.6), (1.7), (2.3), and (2.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int\left|\nabla B_{s}\right|^{2}+\left|\Delta B_{s}\right|^{2} d x+\int|\Delta B|^{2} d x \\
& \quad=\int\left[(u \cdot \nabla) B-\left(B_{s} \cdot \nabla\right) v\right] \Delta B d x \\
& \quad \leq\left(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}\|\nabla B\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|B_{s}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{2}}\right)\|\Delta B\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{2.10}\\
& \quad \leq C\left(\|\nabla B\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|B_{s}\right\|_{H^{2}}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{2}}\right)\|\Delta B\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\|B\|_{L^{2}}^{1 / 2}\|\Delta B\|_{L^{2}}^{1 / 2}+\left\|B_{s}\right\|_{H^{2}}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{2}}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\Delta B\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\|B\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\|\nabla v\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\left\|B_{S}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|B_{S}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{2}\right)}+\left\|B_{S}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{4}\right)} \leq C  \tag{2.11}\\
\|B\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}+\|B\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}\right)} \leq C \tag{2.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Multiplying (1.4) by $v_{t}$, using (1.3), (2.11), (2.12), (2.1), (2.3), and (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int|\nabla v|^{2} d x+\int \rho v_{t}^{2} d x & =\int\left(B_{s} \cdot \nabla\right) B \cdot v_{t} d x-\int \rho u \cdot \nabla v \cdot v_{t} d x \\
& \leq\left\|B_{s}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\|\nabla B\|_{L^{2}}\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^{\infty}} \cdot\|u\|_{L^{\infty}} \cdot\|\nabla v\|_{L^{2}} \cdot\left\|\sqrt{\rho} v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq C\|\nabla B\|_{L^{2}} \cdot\left\|\sqrt{\rho} v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}+C\|\nabla v\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\sqrt{\rho} v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|\sqrt{\rho} v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\|\nabla B\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\|\nabla v\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|v\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right)}+\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{3}\right)} \leq C,  \tag{2.14}\\
\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)} \leq C . \tag{2.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows from (1.4), (2.14), (2.15), (2.11), (2.12), and the $H^{2}$-theory for Stokes system that [17]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}\right)}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{4}\right)} \leq C . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, it follows from (1.5), (2.11), (2.12), and (2.16) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{t} B_{s}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)} \leq C . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\partial_{t}$ to (1.5), multiplying it by $\partial_{t} B$, using (1.7), (1.8), (2.12), (2.11), (2.14), and (2.15), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int\left|\partial_{t} B_{s}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla \partial_{t} B_{s}\right|^{2} d x+\int\left|\nabla B_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \quad=-\int u_{t} \cdot \nabla B \cdot B_{t} d x+\int \partial_{t} B_{s} \cdot \nabla v \cdot B_{t} d x+\int B_{s} \cdot \nabla v_{t} \cdot B_{t} d x \\
& \quad=\int u_{t} \nabla B_{t} \cdot B d x+\int \partial_{t} B_{s} \cdot \nabla v \cdot B_{t} d x-\int B_{s} \cdot \nabla B_{t} \cdot v_{t} d x  \tag{2.18}\\
& \quad \leq\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|\nabla B_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|B\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\partial_{t} B_{s}\right\|_{L^{3}} \cdot\|\nabla v\|_{L^{2}} \cdot\left\|B_{t}\right\|_{L^{6}}+\left\|B_{s}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|\nabla B_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \quad \leq C\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\nabla B_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}+C\left\|\partial_{t} B_{s}\right\|_{H^{1}}\left\|\nabla B_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla B_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\left\|\partial_{t} B_{s}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\partial_{t} B_{s}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right)}+\left\|\partial_{t} B_{s}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{3}\right)} \leq C,  \tag{2.19}\\
\left\|B_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right)} \leq C . \tag{2.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

Due to (1.5), (2.3), (2.11), (2.12), (2.14), (2.19), (2.16), and the $H^{2}$-theory of the elliptic equations, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|B\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{2}\right)}+\|B\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{3}\right)} \leq C,  \tag{2.21}\\
\left\|B_{s}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{4}\right)}+\left\|B_{s}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{5}\right)} \leq C . \tag{2.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking $\partial_{t}$ to (1.4), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho v_{\mathrm{tt}}+\rho u \cdot \nabla v_{t}+\nabla \pi_{t}-\Delta v_{t}=\partial_{t} B_{s} \cdot \nabla B+B_{s} \cdot \nabla \partial_{t} B-\rho_{t} v_{t}-\left(\rho_{t} u+\rho u_{t}\right) \cdot \nabla v . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying the above equation by $v_{t}$, using (1.3), (2.19), (2.21), (2.22), (2.9), and (2.14), we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int \rho v_{t}^{2} d x+\int\left|\nabla v_{t}\right|^{2} d x \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\partial_{t} B_{S}\right\|_{L^{6}} \cdot\|\nabla B\|_{L^{2}} \cdot\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{L^{3}} \\
& \quad+\left\|B_{s}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \cdot\left\|\nabla \partial_{t} B\right\|_{L^{2}} \cdot\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\rho_{t}\right\|_{L^{q}} \cdot\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2 q /(q-2)}} \cdot\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{2.24}\\
& \quad+\left\|\rho_{t}\right\|_{L^{q}} \cdot\|u\|_{L^{\infty}} \cdot\|\nabla v\|_{L^{2}} \cdot\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2 q /(q-2)}}+\|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \cdot\|\nabla v\|_{L^{2}} \cdot\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq C\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{L^{3}}+C\left\|\nabla \partial_{t} B\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}+C\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2 q /(q-2)}}\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}+C\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2 q /(q-2)}}+C\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\left\|\nabla \partial_{t} B\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C
\end{align*}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}+\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\right)} \leq C . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (1.4), (2.21), (2.22), (2.25), (2.14), and the regularity theory of the Stokes system [17], we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|v\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{2}\right)}+\|v\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{2,6}\right)} \leq C, \\
& \|\pi\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right)}+\|\pi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{1,6}\right)} \leq C,  \tag{2.26}\\
& \|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{4}\right)}+\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{4,6}\right)} \leq C .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, one can prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|B\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{3}\right)} \leq C . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof.
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