

## Research Article

# On Boundedness of Weighted Hardy Operator in $L^{p(\cdot)}$ and Regularity Condition

Aziz Harman<sup>1</sup> and Farman Imran Mamedov<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Education Faculty, Dicle University, 21280 Diyarbakir, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of National Academy of Science, Azerbaijan

Correspondence should be addressed to Farman Imran Mamedov, m.farman@dicle.edu.tr

Received 22 September 2010; Accepted 26 November 2010

Academic Editor: P. J. Y. Wong

Copyright © 2010 A. Harman and F. I. Mamedov. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We give a new proof for power-type weighted Hardy inequality in the norms of generalized Lebesgue spaces  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Assuming the logarithmic conditions of regularity in a neighborhood of zero and at infinity for the exponents  $p(x) \leq q(x)$ ,  $\beta(x)$ , necessary and sufficient conditions are proved for the boundedness of the Hardy operator  $Hf(x) = \int_{|y| \leq |x|} f(y) dy$  from  $L^{p(\cdot)}_{|x|^{\beta(\cdot)}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into  $L^{q(\cdot)}_{|x|^{\beta(\cdot)-n/p(\cdot)-n/q(\cdot)}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Also a separate statement on the exactness of logarithmic conditions at zero and at infinity is given. This shows that logarithmic regularity conditions for the functions  $\beta, p$  at the origin and infinity are essentially one.

## 1. Introduction

The object of this investigation is the Hardy-type weighted inequality

$$\left\| |x|^{\beta(\cdot)-n/p(\cdot)-n/q(\cdot)} Hf \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \left\| |x|^{\beta(\cdot)} f \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad Hf(x) = \int_{|y| \leq |x|} f(y) dy \quad (1.1)$$

in the norms of generalized Lebesgue spaces  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This subject was investigated in the papers [1–7]. For the one-dimensional Hardy operator in [1], the necessary and sufficient condition was obtained for the exponents  $\beta, p, q$ . We give a new proof for this result in more general settings for the multidimensional Hardy operator. Also we prove that the logarithmic regularity conditions are essential one for such kind of inequalities to hold. In that proposal, we improve a result sort of [8] (since, there is an estimation by the maximal function  $|x|^{-n} Hf(x) \leq CMf(x)$ ).

At the beginning, a one-dimensional Hardy inequality was considered assuming the local log condition at the finite interval  $[0, l]$ . Subsequently, the logarithmic condition was assumed in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of zero, where an additional restriction  $p(x) \geq p(0)$  was imposed on the exponent. In [3, 9] it was shown that it is sufficient to assume the logarithmic condition only at the zero point. In [10] the case of an entire semiaxis was considered without using the condition  $p(x) \geq p(0)$ . However, a more rigid condition  $\beta^+ < 1 - 1/p^-$  was introduced for a range of exponents. The exact condition was found in [1]. They proved this result by using of interpolation approaches. In this paper, we use other approaches, analogous to those in [10], based on the property of triangles for  $p(x)$ -norms and binary decomposition near the origin and infinity. We consider the multidimensional case, and the condition  $\beta(x) = \text{const}$  is not obligatory, while the necessary and sufficient condition is obtained by a set of exponents  $p, q, \beta$  without imposing any preliminary restrictions on their values (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2). In Theorem 3.3, it has been proved that logarithmic conditions at zero and at infinity are exact for the Hardy inequality to be valid in the case  $q = p$ .

Problems of the boundedness of classical integral operators such as maximal and singular operators, the Riesz potential, and others in Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent, as well as the investigation of problems of regularity of nonlinear equations with nonstandard growth condition have become of late the arena of an intensive attack of many authors (see [11–18]).

## 2. Lebesgue Spaces with a Variable Exponent

As to the basic properties of spaces  $L^{p(\cdot)}$ , we refer to [19]. Throughout this paper, it is assumed that  $p(x)$  is a measurable function in  $\Omega$ , where  $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is an open domain, taking its values from the interval  $[1, \infty)$  with  $p^+ = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p < \infty$ . The space of functions  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is introduced as the class of measurable functions  $f(x)$  in  $\Omega$ , which have a finite  $I_p(f) := \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^{p(x)} dx$ -modular. A norm in  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is given in the form

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : I_p \left( \frac{f}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

For  $p^- > 1, p^+ < \infty$  the space  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is a reflexive Banach space.

Denote by  $\Lambda$  a class of measurable functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\exists m \in \left( 0, \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad \exists f(0) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \sup_{x \in B(0, m)} |f(x) - f(0)| \ln \frac{1}{|x|} < \infty, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\exists M > 1, \quad \exists f(\infty) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, M)} |f(x) - f(\infty)| \ln |x| < \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

For the exponential functions  $\beta(x), p(x)$ , and  $q(x)$ , we further assume  $\beta, p, q \in \Lambda$ . We will many times use the following statement in the proof of main results.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $s \in \Lambda$  be a measurable function such that  $-\infty < s^-, s^+ < \infty$ . Then the condition (2.2) for the function  $s(x)$  is equivalent to the estimate*

$$C_3^{-1}|x|^{s(0)} \leq |x|^{s(x)} \leq C_3|x|^{s(0)} \quad (2.4)$$

when  $|x| \leq m$  and the condition (2.3) for  $s(x)$  is equivalent to the estimate

$$C_4^{-1}|x|^{s(\infty)} \leq |x|^{s(x)} \leq C_4|x|^{s(\infty)} \quad (2.5)$$

when  $|x| \geq M$ . Where the constants  $C_3, C_4 > 1$  depend on  $s(0), s(\infty), s^-, s^+, s(0), s(\infty), m, M, C_1, C_2$ .

To prove Lemma 2.1, for example (2.4), it suffices to rewrite the inequality (2.4) in the form

$$C_3^{-1} \leq |x|^{s(x)-s(0)} \leq C_3 \quad (2.6)$$

and pass to logarithmic in this inequality (see also, [1, 7, 17]).

For  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $p'$  denotes the conjugate number of  $p$ ,  $p' = p/(p-1)$ . It is further assumed that  $p' = \infty$  for  $p = 1$ , and  $p' = 1$  for  $p = \infty$ ,  $1/\infty = 0$ ,  $1/0 = \infty$ . We denote by  $C, C_1, C_2$  various positive constants whose values may vary at each appearance.  $B(x, r)$  denotes a ball with center at  $x$  and radius  $r > 0$ . We write  $u \sim v$  if there exist positive constants  $C_3, C_4$  such that  $C_3u(x) \leq v(x) \leq C_4u(x)$ . By  $\chi_E$ , we denote the characteristic function of the set  $E$ .

### 3. The Main Results

The main results of the paper are contained in the next statements. The theorem below gives a solution of the two-weighted problem for the multidimensional Hardy operator in the case of power-type weights.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $q(x) \geq p(x)$  and  $\beta(x)$  be measurable functions taken from the class  $\Lambda$ . Let the following conditions be fulfilled:*

$$0 < p^- \leq p(x), \quad q(x) \leq q^+ < \infty, \quad -\infty < \beta^- \leq \beta(x) \leq \beta^+ < \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

Then the inequality (1.1) for any positive measurable function  $f$  is fulfilled if and only if

$$p(0) > 1, \quad p(\infty) > 1, \quad \beta(0) < n \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p(0)} \right), \quad \beta(\infty) < n \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p(\infty)} \right). \quad (3.2)$$

We have the following analogous result for the conjugate Hardy operator  $\overline{H}f(x) = \int_{|y| \geq |x|} f(y) dy$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $q(x) \geq p(x)$  and  $\beta(x)$  be measurable functions taken from the class  $\Lambda$ . Let the conditions (3.1) be fulfilled. Then the inequality (1.1) for any positive measurable function  $f$  and operator  $\overline{H}f$  is fulfilled if and only if

$$p(0) > 1, \quad p(\infty) > 1, \quad \beta(0) > n \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(0)}\right), \quad \beta(\infty) > n \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(\infty)}\right). \quad (3.3)$$

In the next theorem, we prove that the logarithmic conditions near zero and at infinity are essentially one.

**Theorem 3.3.** If condition (2.2) or (2.3) does not hold, then there exists an example of functions  $p, \beta$ , and a sequence  $f$  below index  $k$  violating the inequality

$$\left\| |x|^{\beta(\cdot)-n} Hf \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \left\| |x|^{\beta(\cdot)} f \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (3.4)$$

## 4. Proofs of the Main Results

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.*

*Sufficiency.* Let  $f(x) \geq 0$  be a measurable function such that

$$\left\| |x|^{\beta(\cdot)} f \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1. \quad (4.1)$$

We will prove that

$$\left\| |x|^{\beta(\cdot)-n/p'(\cdot)-n/q(\cdot)} Hf \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_5. \quad (4.2)$$

Assume that  $0 < \delta < m$  is a sufficiently small number such that  $n/p'(x) > n/p'(0) - \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in B(0, \delta)$ , where  $\varepsilon = (n/p'(0) - \beta(0))/2$ . Let, furthermore,  $M < N < \infty$  be a sufficiently large number such that  $n/p'(x) > n/p'(\infty) - \delta_1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, N)$ , where  $\delta_1 = (n/p'(\infty) - \beta(\infty))/2$ .

By Minkowski inequality, for  $p(x)$ -norms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |x|^{\beta(\cdot)-n/p'(\cdot)-n/q(\cdot)} Hf \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \left\| |x|^{\beta(\cdot)-n/p'(\cdot)-n/q(\cdot)} Hf \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(B(0, \delta))} \\ &\quad + \left\| |x|^{\beta(\cdot)-n/p'(\cdot)-n/q(\cdot)} Hf \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(B(0, N) \setminus B(0, \delta))} \\ &\quad + \left\| |x|^{\beta(\cdot)-n/p'(\cdot)-n/q(\cdot)} \int_{\{t: |t| < N\}} f(t) dt \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, N))} \\ &\quad + \left\| |x|^{\beta(\cdot)-n/p'(\cdot)-n/q(\cdot)} \int_{\{t: N < |t| < |x|\}} f(t) dt \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, N))} \\ &:= i_1 + i_2 + i_3 + i_4. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

The estimate near zero ( $i_1$ ).

By Minkowski inequality, we have the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 i_1 &\leq \left\| \left| x \right|^{\beta(\cdot)-n/p'(\cdot)-n/q(\cdot)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int \int_{\{t:2^{-k-1}|x|<|t|<2^{-k}|x|\}} f(t) dt \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(B(0,\delta))} \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\| \left| x \right|^{\beta(\cdot)-n/p'(\cdot)-n/q(\cdot)} \int_{\{t:2^{-k-1}|x|<|t|<2^{-k}|x|\}} f(t) dt \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(B(0,\delta))}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.4}$$

Denote  $B_{x,k} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{-k-1}|x| < |y| < 2^{-k}|x|\}$  and  $p_{x,k}^- = \min(p(x), \inf_{y \in B_{x,k}} p(y))$ . By (2.2) and Lemma 2.1, for  $x \in B(0, \delta)$ ,  $t \in B_{x,k}$ , we have  $|x|^{\beta(x)} \sim 2^{k\beta(0)} t^{\beta(t)}$ . To prove this equivalence, we use that  $|t| \sim |x|2^{-k}$ ,  $|x|^{\beta(x)} \sim |x|^{\beta(0)}$  and  $|t|^{\beta(t)} \sim |t|^{\beta(0)}$ . Therefore, and due to Holder's inequality, for  $x \in B(0, \delta)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|x|^{\beta(x)-n/p'(x)-n/q(x)} \int_{B_{x,k}} f(t) dt \\
 &\leq C_6 2^{k\beta(0)} |x|^{-n/p'(x)-n/q(x)} \int_{B_{x,k}} |t|^{\beta(t)} f(t) dt \\
 &\leq C_6 2^{k\beta(0)} |x|^{-n/p'(0)-n/q(x)} \left( \int_{B_{x,k}} (|t|^{\beta(t)} f(t))^{p_{x,k}^-} dt \right)^{1/p_{x,k}^-} (2^{-k}|x|)^{n/(p_{x,k}^-)'}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.5}$$

(a) If  $p_{x,k}^- \neq p(x)$ , then by (2.2) and Lemma 2.1,

$$(2^{-k}|x|)^{n/(p_{x,k}^-)'} \sim t^{n/p'(t)} \sim t^{n/p'(0)} \sim 2^{-kn/p'(0)} |x|^{n/p'(0)} \sim 2^{-kn/p'(0)} |x|^{n/p'(x)}.
 \tag{4.6}$$

Demonstrate details in proof of (4.6). For  $t \in B_{x,k}$  and  $x \in B(0, \delta)$ , we have  $2^{-k-1}|x| < |t| \leq 2^{-k}|x|$ . Then

$$(2^{-k}|x|)^{n/(p_{x,k}^-)'} \sim |t|^{n/(p_{x,k}^-)'}.
 \tag{4.7}$$

By hypothesis (a),  $p_{x,k}^-$  attains in the interval  $B_{x,k}$ , because there exists a point  $y \in B_{x,k}$  where  $p_{x,k}^- \sim p(y)$ . Obviously, the point  $y$  depends on  $x, k$ . Then  $|t|^{n/(p_{x,k}^-)'} \sim |t|^{n/p'(y)}$ . By virtue of  $2^{-k-1}|x| < |y| \leq 2^{-k-1}|x|$ , we have  $|t|/2 < |y| \leq 2|t|$ . Hence,  $|t|^{n/p'(y)} \sim |y|^{n/p'(y)}$ , by Lemma 2.1,  $|y|^{n/p'(y)} \sim |y|^{n/p'(0)} \sim |t|^{n/p'(0)}$ .

(b) If  $p_{x,k}^- = p(x)$ , then by choice of  $\delta$ ,

$$(2^{-k}|x|)^{n/(p_{x,k}^-)'} \sim 2^{-kn/p'(x)} |x|^{n/p'(x)} \leq 2^{-kn/p'(0)+\varepsilon k} |x|^{n/p'(x)}; \quad x \in B(0, \delta).
 \tag{4.8}$$

Applying estimate (4.8) to both hypotheses (a) and (b), by choosing of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$ , the right-hand part of (4.5) is less than

$$C_7|x|^{-n/q(x)}2^{-k\varepsilon}\left(\int_{B_{x,k}}\left(|t|^{\beta(t)}f(t)\right)^{p_{x,k}^-}dt\right)^{1/p_{x,k}^-}. \quad (4.9)$$

Simultaneously,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{x,k}}\left(|t|^{\beta(t)}f(t)\right)^{p_{x,k}^-}dt \\ & \leq \int_{B_{x,k}\cap\{t\in\mathbb{R}^n:|t|^{\beta(t)}f(t)\geq 1\}}\left(|t|^{\beta(t)}f(t)\right)^{p(t)}dt + \int_{B_{x,k}}dt \leq 1 + 2^{-kn}\delta^n = C_8. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9')$$

By (4.5) and (4.9'), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{q;B(0,\delta)}\left(|x|^{\beta(\cdot)-n/p'(\cdot)-n/q(\cdot)}\int_{B_{x,k}}f(t)dt\right) \\ & \leq C_92^{-k\varepsilon q^-}\int_{B(0,\delta)}|x|^{-n}\left(\int_{B_{x,k}}\left(|t|^{\beta(t)}f(t)\right)^{p_{x,k}^-}dt\right)^{q(x)/p_{x,k}^-}dx \\ & \leq C_9C_8^{q^+/p^- - 1}2^{-k\varepsilon q^-}\int_{B(0,\delta)}\left(\int_{B_{x,k}}\left(\left(|t|^{\beta(t)}f(t)\right)^{p(t)}+1\right)dt\right)|x|^{-n}dx \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

which, due to Fubini's theorem, yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq C_9C_8^{q^+/p^- - 1}2^{-k\varepsilon q^-}\int_{\{t:|t|<2^{-k}\delta\}}\left(f(t)|t|^{\beta(t)}\right)^{p(t)}\left(\int_{B(0,2^{k+1}|t|)\setminus B(0,2^k|t|)}|x|^{-n}dx\right)dt \\ & = C_{10}2^{-k\varepsilon q^-}\ln 2\int_{\{t:|t|<2^{-k}\delta\}}\left(\left(f(t)|t|^{\beta(t)}\right)^{p(t)}+1\right)dt \leq C_{11}2^{-k\varepsilon q^-}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Therefore,

$$\left\|\left|x|^{\beta(\cdot)-n/p'(\cdot)-n/q(\cdot)}\int_{B_{x,k}}f(t)dt\right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(B(0,\delta))} \leq C_{12}2^{-k\varepsilon q^-/q^+}. \quad (4.12)$$

By (4.12) and (4.4), we get

$$i_1 \leq C_{12}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}2^{-k\varepsilon q^-/q^+} = C_{13} < \infty. \quad (4.13)$$

*The estimate at infinity (i<sub>4</sub>).*

Put  $f_N(t) = f(t)\chi_{|t|>N}$ . Analogously to the case of (4.4), we have

$$i_4 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\| \left| x \right|^{\beta(\cdot)-n/p'(\cdot)-n/q(\cdot)} \int_{\{t:2^{-k-1}|x|<|t|<2^{-k}|x|\}} f_N(t) dt \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,N))}. \tag{4.14}$$

By  $|t| \sim |x|2^{-k}$ , condition (2.3) and Lemma 2.1 for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, N)$ ,  $t \in B_{x,k}$ , we have

$$|x|^{\beta(x)} \sim |x|^{\beta(\infty)} \sim 2^{k\beta(\infty)} t^{\beta(\infty)} \sim 2^{k\beta(\infty)} t^{\beta(t)}. \tag{4.15}$$

Therefore, by virtue of Holder’s inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & |x|^{\beta(x)-n/p'(x)-n/q(x)} \int_{B_{x,k}} f_N(t) dt \\ & \leq C_{14} 2^{k\beta(\infty)} |x|^{-n/p'(x)-n/q(x)} \int_{B_{x,k}} |t|^{\beta(t)} f_N(t) dt \\ & \leq C_{14} 2^{k\beta(\infty)} |x|^{-n/p'(x)-n/q(x)} \left( \int_{B_{x,k}} (|t|^{\beta(t)} f_N(t))^{p_{x,k}^-} dt \right)^{1/p_{x,k}^-} (2^{-k}|x|)^{n/(p_{x,k}^-)'}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

(i) If  $p_{x,k}^- \neq p(x)$  and  $t \in B_{x,k}$ , by (2.3) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$(2^{-k}|x|)^{n/(p_{x,k}^-)'} \sim t^{n/p'(t)} \sim t^{n/p'(\infty)} \sim 2^{-kn/p'(\infty)} |x|^{n/p'(\infty)} \sim 2^{-kn/p'(\infty)} |x|^{n/p'(x)}. \tag{4.17}$$

(ii) If  $p_{x,k}^- = p(x)$ , then by choice of  $\delta_1$ ,

$$(2^{-k}|x|)^{n/(p_{x,k}^-)'} \sim 2^{-kn/p'(x)} |x|^{n/p'(x)} \leq 2^{-kn/p'(\infty)+\delta_1 k} |x|^{n/p'(x)}. \tag{4.18}$$

In both hypotheses (i) and (ii) by choosing of  $\delta_1$ , we have

$$|x|^{\beta(x)-n/p'(x)-n/q(x)} \int_{B_{x,k}} f_N(t) dt \leq C_{15} |x|^{-n/q(x)} 2^{-k\delta_1} \left( \int_{B_{x,k}} (|t|^{\beta(t)} f_N(t))^{p_{x,k}^-} dt \right)^{1/p_{x,k}^-}. \tag{4.19}$$

On the other hand,

$$\int_{B_{x,k}} (|t|^{\beta(t)} f(t))^{p_{x,k}^-} dt \leq \int_{B_{x,k} \cap \{t \in \mathbb{R}^n : |t|^{\beta(t)} f(t) \geq G(t)\}} \left( \frac{|t|^{\beta(t)} f(t)}{G(t)} \right)^{p_{x,k}^-} G(t)^{p_{x,k}^-} dt + \int_{B_{x,k}} G(t)^p dt, \tag{4.20}$$

where  $G(t) = 1/(1+t^2)$ . Hence,

$$\leq \int_{B_{x,k}} \left( f_N(t) |t|^{\beta(t)} \right)^{p(t)} G(t)^{p_{x,k}^- - p(t)} + \int_{B_{x,k}} G(t) dt. \quad (4.21)$$

By (2.3), for  $t \in B_{x,k}$ , we have

$$G(t)^{p_{x,k}^- - p(t)} \leq \left( 1 + t^2 \right)^{p(t) - p_{x,k}^-} \leq C_{16}. \quad (4.22)$$

Then (4.21) implies

$$\int_{B_{x,k}} \left( |t|^{\beta(t)} f_N(t) \right)^{p_{x,k}^-} dt \leq C_{17}. \quad (4.23)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{q; \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,N)} \left( |x|^{\beta(x) - n/p'(x) - n/q(x)} \int_{B_{x,k}} f_N(t) dt \right) \\ & \leq C_{17}^{q^+/p^-} 2^{-k\delta_1 q^-} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,N)} |x|^{-n} \left( \int_{B_{x,k}} \left( |t|^{\beta(t)} f_N(t) \right)^{p(t)} dt \right) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

by Fubini's theorem,

$$\leq C_{17}^{q^+/p^- - 1} 2^{-k\delta_1 q^-} \ln 2 \int_{\{|t|>2^{-k}N\}} \left( f_N(t) |t|^{\beta(t)} \right)^{p(t)} dt \leq C_{18} 2^{-k\delta_1 q^-}. \quad (4.25)$$

From (4.25) and expansion (4.14), we get

$$i_4 \leq C_{18} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-kq^- \delta_1 / q^+} = C_{19}. \quad (4.26)$$

*The estimate in the middle ( $i_2, i_3$ ).*

We have

$$\begin{aligned} i_2 &= \left\| |x|^{\beta(\cdot) - n/p'(\cdot) - n/q(\cdot)} \int_{\{|t \in \mathbb{R}^n: |t| < |x|\}} f(t) dt \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(B(0,N) \setminus B(0,\delta))} \\ &\leq \left( \int_{B(0,N)} f(t) dt \right) \left\| |x|^{\beta(\cdot) - n/p'(\cdot) - n/q(\cdot)} \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(B(0,N) \setminus B(0,\delta))} \\ &\leq C_{20} \int_{B(0,N)} f(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$



from which, by virtue of Holder's inequality, for  $p(x)$ -norms, we obtain the estimate

$$\int_{B(0,N)} f(t) dt \leq \left\| |t|^{\beta(\cdot)} f(t) \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(B(0,N))} \left\| |t|^{-\beta(\cdot)} \right\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(B(0,N))}. \quad (4.27')$$

Using  $t^{-\beta(t)p'(t)} \sim t^{-\beta(0)p'(0)}$  by Lemma 2.1 for  $t \in B(0, N)$  and taking the condition  $\beta(0) < n/p'(0)$  into account, we find

$$I_{p',B(0,N)}(|t|^{-\beta(\cdot)}) = \int_{B(0,N)} |t|^{-\beta(t)p'(t)} dt \leq C_{21} \int_{B(0,N)} |t|^{-\beta(0)p'(0)} dt = C_{22}. \quad (4.28)$$

From (4.27') and (4.28), it follows that

$$i_2 \leq C_{23}. \quad (4.29)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$i_3 \leq \left( \int_{B(0,N)} f(t) dt \right) \left\| |x|^{\beta(x)-n/p'(x)-n/q(x)} \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,\delta))}. \quad (4.30)$$

The boundedness of the first term follows by (4.27'). Due to (2.3) and Lemma 2.1, for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, N)$ , we have

$$|x|^{(\beta(x)-n/p'(x))q(x)-n} \sim |x|^{(\beta(\infty)-n/p'(\infty))q(x)-n}. \quad (4.31)$$

Applying condition (4.31), we get

$$I_{q;\mathbb{R}^n/B(0,N)}(|x|^{\beta(\cdot)-n/p'(\cdot)-n/q(\cdot)}) \leq C_{24} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,N)} |x|^{-n-2\delta_1} dx = C_{25}. \quad (4.32)$$

Then

$$i_3 \leq C_{25}^{1/p^-}. \quad (4.33)$$

*Necessity.* Let  $\beta(0) > n/p'(0)$ . Fix a sufficiently large  $\tau > 0$  and apply inequality (1.1) by the test function

$$f_\tau(t) = t^{-n/p(t)-\beta(t)} \chi_{B(0,\delta/\tau) \setminus B(0,\delta/2\tau)}(t). \quad (4.34)$$

We come to a contradiction

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_p\left(|t|^{\beta(\cdot)} f_\tau\right) &= \int_{B(0,\delta/\tau)\setminus B(0,\delta/(2\tau))} |x|^{-n} dx = C_0 \ln 2 < \infty, \\
 I_q\left(|t|^{\beta(\cdot)-n/p'(\cdot)-n/q(\cdot)} \int_{B(0,t)} f_\tau(y) dy\right) \\
 &\geq \int_{B(0,1)\setminus B(0,\delta/\tau)} |t|^{(\beta(t)-n/p'(t)-n/q(t))q(t)} \left(\int_{B(0,\delta/\tau)\setminus B(0,\delta/(2\tau))} |y|^{-n/p(0)-\beta(0)} dy\right)^{q(t)} dt \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{\delta}{2\tau}\right)^{(n/p'(0)-\beta(0))q^-} \int_{B(0,1)\setminus B(0,\delta/\tau)} |t|^{(\beta(0)-n/p'(0))q(t)-n} dt \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

as  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ .

If  $0 < p(0) \leq 1$ , then by virtue of inequalities (4.35) and (3.2) we obtain

$$I_q\left(|t|^{\beta(t)-n/p'(t)-n/q(t)} \int_{B(0,t)} f_\tau(y) dy\right) \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow \infty. \tag{4.36}$$

Also,

$$I_p\left(|t|^{\beta(t)} f_\tau(t)\right) = C_0 \ln 2, \tag{4.37}$$

and we come to a contradiction.

If  $\beta(\infty) \geq n/p'(\infty)$ , then, using condition (2.3) and Lemma 2.1 assuming  $0 < \tau < 1$ , we again obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_p\left(|t|^{\beta(t)} f_\tau(t)\right) &= C_0 \ln 2, \\
 I_q\left(|t|^{\beta(t)-n/p'(t)-n/q(t)} \int_{B(0,t)} f_\tau(t) dy\right) \\
 &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,\delta/\tau)} |t|^{(\beta(t)-n/p'(t))q(t)-n} \left(\int_{B(0,\delta/\tau)\setminus B(0,\delta/(2\tau))} |y|^{-n/p(\infty)-\beta(\infty)} dy\right) dt \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{\delta}{2\tau}\right)^{(n/p'(\infty)-\beta(\infty))q^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,\delta/\tau)} |t|^{(\beta(\infty)-n/p'(\infty))q(t)-n} dt \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

as  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $\beta(\infty) = n/p'(\infty)$ , then from (4.38) we have

$$I_q \left( |t|^{\beta(t)-n/p'(t)-n/q(t)} \int_{B(0,t)} f_\tau(t) dy \right) = \infty. \tag{4.39}$$

From (4.38) and (3.2), we derive, as above, the necessity of the condition  $p(\infty) > 1$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

The proof of Theorem 3.2 easily follows from Theorem 3.1 by using the equivalence of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |x|^{\beta(x)-n/p'(x)-n/q(x)} \overline{H} f(x) \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C \left\| |x|^{\beta(x)} f(x) \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \\ \left\| |z|^{n-\overline{\beta}(z)-2n/\overline{q}(z)} H f(x) \right\|_{L^{\overline{q}(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C \left\| |z|^{-\overline{\beta}(z)-2n/\overline{p}(z)} \overline{f}(z) \right\|_{L^{\overline{p}(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

where  $\overline{p}(x), \overline{q}(x)$ , and  $\overline{\beta}(x)$  stand for the functions  $p(x/|x|^2), q(x/|x|^2)$ , and  $\beta(x/|x|^2)$ , respectively. The equivalence readily follows from the equality

$$\|g\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left\| |z|^{-2n/\overline{p}(z)} \overline{g} \right\|_{L^{\overline{p}(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tag{4.41}$$

for any function  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\overline{g}(z) = g(z/|z|^2)$ , which easily can be proved by changing of variable  $x = z/|z|^2$  in the definition of  $p(x)$ -norm.

### 5. Exactness of the Logarithmic Conditions

*Proof of Theorem 3.3.* Assume  $\delta_k = 1/4^k, k \in \mathbb{N}, f_k(x) = |x|^{-n/p(x)-\beta(x)} \chi_{B(0,2\delta_k) \setminus B(0,\delta_k)}(x)$ , and  $\beta(x) = \beta_0$ . Define the function  $p : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (1, \infty)$  as

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} p_0, & x \in B(0, 2\delta_k) \setminus B(0, \delta_k), \\ p_k, & x \in B(0, 4\delta_k) \setminus B(0, 2\delta_k), \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

where  $p_0 > 1, p_k = p_0 + \alpha_k, \beta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\{\alpha_k\}$  is an arbitrary sequence of positive numbers satisfying the condition

$$k\alpha_k \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \tag{5.2}$$

Then  $\alpha_k \ln(1/\delta_k) \rightarrow \infty$ , and condition (2.2) does not hold for the function  $p(x)$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} I_p\left(|x|^{\beta(x)} f_k(x)\right) &= \int_{B(0,2\delta_k) \setminus B(0,\delta_k)} \left(|t|^{\beta_0} \cdot |t|^{-n/p_0-\beta_0}\right)^{p_0} dt \\ &= \int_{B(0,2\delta_k) \setminus B(0,\delta_k)} |t|^{-n} dt = C_0 \int_{\delta_k}^{2\delta_k} \frac{dt}{t} = \omega_{n-1} \ln 2, \\ I_p\left(H\left(|\cdot|^{\beta(\cdot)-n} f_k(\cdot)\right)\right) &\geq \int_{B(0,4\delta_k) \setminus B(0,2\delta_k)} \left(\int_{B(0,2\delta_k) \setminus B(0,\delta_k)} |t|^{-n/p(t)-\beta_0} dt\right)^{p_k} |x|^{(\beta_0-n)p_k} dx \quad (5.3) \\ &\geq C \int_{B(0,3\delta_k) \setminus B(0,2\delta_k)} \delta_k^{(n-n/p_0-\beta_0)p_k} |x|^{(\beta_0-n)(p_0+\alpha_k)} dx \\ &\geq C \delta_k^{-n\alpha_k/p_0} = e^{(n\alpha_k/p_0) \ln(1/\delta_k)} \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that this contradicts inequality (3.4).

The given function  $f_k(x)$  and the exponential functions  $p(x)$  and  $\beta(x)$  are also suitable for proving the necessity of condition (2.3) for the function  $p$ . For this we define the numbers  $\delta_k$  from the equality  $\delta_k = 4^k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $f_k(x) = |x|^{-n/p(x)-\beta} \chi_{B(0,2\delta_k) \setminus B(0,\delta_k)}(x)$ ,  $\beta(x) = \beta_\infty$ , and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We define the function  $p$  as

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} p_\infty, & x \in B(0,2\delta_k) \setminus B(0,\delta_k), \\ \bar{p}_k, & x \in B(0,4\delta_k) \setminus B(0,2\delta_k), \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

where  $p_\infty > 1$ ,  $\beta_\infty \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\bar{p}_k = p_\infty - \alpha_k$ , and  $\{\alpha_k\}$  is an arbitrary sequence of positive numbers satisfying the condition  $k\alpha_k \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $\alpha_k \ln \delta_k \rightarrow \infty$ ; hence, condition (2.3) does not hold for the function  $p(x)$ . Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_p\left(|x|^{\beta(x)} f_k(x)\right) &= \int_{B(0,2\delta_k) \setminus B(0,\delta_k)} \left(|t|^{\beta_\infty} \cdot |t|^{-n/p_\infty-\beta_\infty}\right)^{p_\infty} dt = \omega_{n-1} \ln 2, \\ I_p\left(|x|^{\beta(x)-n} f_k(x)\right) &\geq \int_{B(0,4\delta_k) \setminus B(0,2\delta_k)} \left(\int_{B(0,2\delta_k) \setminus B(0,\delta_k)} |t|^{-n/p(t)-\beta_\infty} dt\right)^{p_k} |x|^{(\beta_\infty-n)p_k} dx \quad (5.5) \\ &\geq C \int_{B(0,3\delta_k) \setminus B(0,2\delta_k)} \delta_k^{(n-n/p_\infty-\beta_\infty)p_k} |x|^{(\beta_\infty-n)(p_\infty-\alpha_k)} dx \\ &\geq C \delta_k^{n\alpha_k/p_\infty} = C e^{(n\alpha_k/p_\infty) \ln \delta_k} \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , which contradicts inequality (3.4).

The same reasoning brings us to the proof of the exactness of conditions (2.2) and (2.3) for the function  $\beta(x)$  also. For instance, to show the necessity of condition (2.2), it can be assumed that  $p(x) \equiv p_0 > 1$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\beta(x) = \begin{cases} \beta_0 + \alpha_k, & x \in B(0, 2\delta_k) \setminus B(0, \delta_k), \\ \beta_0, & x \in B(0, 4\delta_k) \setminus B(0, 2\delta_k) \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_p(|x|^{\beta(x)-n} f_k(x)) &\geq C\delta_k^{-p_0\alpha_k} \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } k \longrightarrow \infty, \\ I_p(|x|^{\beta(x)} f_k(x)) &\leq C_0 \ln 2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

## Acknowledgment

F. I. Mamedov was supported partially by the INTAS Grant for the South-Caucasian Republics, no. 8792.

## References

- [1] L. Diening and S. Samko, "Hardy inequality in variable exponent Lebesgue spaces," *Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 1–18, 2007.
- [2] D. E. Edmunds, V. Kokilashvili, and A. Meskhi, "On the boundedness and compactness of weighted Hardy operators in spaces  $L^{p(x)}$ ," *Georgian Mathematical Journal*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 27–44, 2005.
- [3] P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, and M. Koskenoja, "Hardy's inequality in a variable exponent Sobolev space," *Georgian Mathematical Journal*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 431–442, 2005.
- [4] F. I. Mamedov and A. Harman, "On a weighted inequality of Hardy type in spaces  $L^{p(\cdot)}$ ," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 353, no. 2, pp. 521–530, 2009.
- [5] F. I. Mamedov and A. Harman, "On a Hardy type general weighted inequality in spaces  $L^{p(\cdot)}$ ," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 66, no. 4, pp. 565–592, 2010.
- [6] S. Samko, "Hardy-Littlewood-Stein-Weiss inequality in the Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent," *Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 421–440, 2003.
- [7] S. Samko, "Hardy inequality in the generalized Lebesgue spaces," *Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 355–362, 2003.
- [8] L. Pick and M. Růžička, "An example of a space  $L^{p(x)}$  on which the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is not bounded," *Expositiones Mathematicae*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 369–371, 2001.
- [9] V. Kokilashvili and S. Samko, "Maximal and fractional operators in weighted  $L^{p(x)}$  spaces," *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 145–156, 2004.
- [10] R. A. Mashiyev, B. Çekiç, F. I. Mamedov, and S. Ogras, "Hardy's inequality in power-type weighted  $L^{p(\cdot)}(0, \infty)$  spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 334, no. 1, pp. 289–298, 2007.
- [11] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione, "Regularity results for a class of functionals with non-standard growth," *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 156, no. 2, pp. 121–140, 2001.
- [12] Yu. A. Alkhutov, "The Harnack inequality and the Hölder property of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations with a nonstandard growth condition," *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya*, vol. 33, no. 12, pp. 1653–1663, 1997.
- [13] D. Cruz-Urbe, A. Fiorenza, J. M. Martell, and C. Pérez, "The boundedness of classical operators on variable  $L^p$  spaces," *Annales Academiæ Scientiarum Fennicæ Mathematica*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 239–264, 2006.

- [14] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hasto, and M. Ruzicka, *Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponent*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2011.
- [15] X. Fan and D. Zhao, "A class of De Giorgi type and Hölder continuity," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 295–318, 1999.
- [16] P. Marcellini, "Regularity and existence of solutions of elliptic equations with  $p, q$ -growth conditions," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 90, no. 1, pp. 1–30, 1991.
- [17] S. Samko, "On a progress in the theory of Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent: maximal and singular operators," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, vol. 16, no. 5-6, pp. 461–482, 2005.
- [18] V. V. Zhikov, "On Lavrentiev's phenomenon," *Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 249–269, 1995.
- [19] O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník, "On spaces  $L^{p(x)}$  and  $W^{1,p(x)}$ ," *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, vol. 41(116), no. 4, pp. 592–618, 1991.