

## Research Article

# On Linear Maps Preserving $g$ -Majorization from $\mathbb{F}^n$ to $\mathbb{F}^m$

**Ali Armandnejad and Hossein Heydari**

*Department of Mathematics, Vali-e-Asr University of Rafsanjan, P.O. Box 7713936417, Rafsanjan, Iran*

Correspondence should be addressed to Ali Armandnejad, armandnejad@mail.vru.ac.ir

Received 5 October 2009; Revised 7 January 2010; Accepted 16 February 2010

Academic Editor: Jong Kim

Copyright © 2010 A. Armandnejad and H. Heydari. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Let  $\mathbb{F}^n$  and  $\mathbb{F}_m$  be the usual spaces of  $n$ -dimensional column and  $m$ -dimensional row vectors on  $\mathbb{F}$ , respectively, where  $\mathbb{F}$  is the field of real or complex numbers. In this paper, the relations  $g$ -majorization,  $lgw$ -majorization, and  $rgw$ -majorization are considered on  $\mathbb{F}^n$  and  $\mathbb{F}_m$ . Then linear maps  $T : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$  preserving  $lgw$ -majorization or  $g$ -majorization and linear maps  $S : \mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_m$ , preserving  $rgw$ -majorization are characterized.

## 1. Introduction

Majorization is a topic of much interest in various areas of mathematics and statistics. If  $x$  and  $y$  are  $n$ -vectors of real numbers such that  $x = Dy$  for some doubly stochastic matrix  $D$ , then we say that  $x$  is (vector) majorized by  $y$ ; see [1]. Marshall and Olkin's text [2] is the standard general reference for majorization. Some kinds of majorization such as multivariate or matrix majorization were motivated by the concepts of vector majorization and were introduced in [3]. Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two vector spaces over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , and let  $\sim$  be a relation on both  $V$  and  $W$ . We say that a linear map  $T : V \rightarrow W$ , preserves the relation  $\sim$  if

$$Tx \sim Ty \quad \text{whenever } x \sim y. \quad (1.1)$$

The problem of describing these preserving linear maps is one of the most studied linear preserver problems. A lot of effort has been done in [4–9] and [10–12] to characterize the structure of majorization preserving linear maps on certain spaces of matrices. A complex  $n \times m$  matrix  $R$  is said to be  $g$ -row (or  $g$ -column) stochastic, if  $Re = e$  (or  $R^t e = e$ ), where  $e = (1, \dots, 1)^t \in \mathbb{F}^n$  (or  $e = (1, \dots, 1)^t \in \mathbb{F}^m$ ). A complex  $n \times n$  matrix  $D$  is said to be  $g$ -doubly stochastic if it is both  $g$ -row and  $g$ -column stochastic. The notions of generalized majorization ( $g$ -majorization) were motivated by the matrix majorization and were introduced in [4–6] as follows.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two vectors in  $\mathbb{F}^n$ . It is said that

- (1)  $x$  is gs-majorized by  $y$  if there exists an  $n \times n$  g-doubly stochastic matrix  $D$  such that  $x = Dy$ , and denoted by  $y \succ_{\text{gs}} x$ ;
- (2)  $x$  is lgw-majorized by  $y$  if there exists an  $n \times n$  g-row stochastic matrix  $R$  such that  $x = Ry$ , and denoted by  $y \succ_{\text{lgw}} x$ ;
- (3)  $x^t$  is rgw-majorized by  $y^t$  if there exists an  $n \times n$  g-row stochastic matrix  $R$  such that  $x^t = y^t R$ , and denoted by  $y^t \succ_{\text{rgw}} x^t$  (here  $z^t$  is the transpose of  $z$ ).

Linear maps from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$  that preserve left matrix majorization or weak majorization were already characterized in [10, 11]. In this paper we characterize all linear maps preserving  $\succ_{\text{rgw}}$  from  $\mathbb{F}_n$  to  $\mathbb{F}_m$  and all linear maps preserving  $\succ_{\text{lgw}}$  or  $\succ_{\text{gs}}$  from  $\mathbb{F}^n$  to  $\mathbb{F}^m$ .

Throughout this paper, the standard bases of  $\mathbb{F}^n$  and  $\mathbb{F}^m$  are denoted by  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  and  $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ , respectively. The notation  $\text{tr}(x)$  is used for the sum of the components of a vector  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$  or  $x \in \mathbb{F}_n$ . The vector space of all  $n \times m$  complex matrices is denoted by  $\mathbf{M}_{n,m}$ . The notations  $[x_1/x_2/\dots/x_n]$  and  $[y_1 \mid y_2 \mid \dots \mid y_m]$  are used for the  $n \times m$  matrix with rows  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{F}_m$  and columns  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \in \mathbb{F}^n$ . The sets of g-row and g-column stochastic  $m \times n$  matrices are denoted by  $\mathbf{GR}_{m,n}$  and  $\mathbf{GC}_{m,n}$ , respectively. The set of g-doubly stochastic  $n \times n$  matrices is denoted by  $\mathbf{GD}_n$ . The symbol  $\mathbf{J}_n$  is used for the  $n \times n$  matrix with all entries equal to one. The notation  $[T]$  is used for the matrix representation of the linear map  $T : V \rightarrow W$  with respect to the standard bases of  $V$  and  $W$  where  $V, W \in \{\mathbb{F}^n, \mathbb{F}^m, \mathbb{F}_n, \mathbb{F}_m\}$ .

## 2. Main Results

In this section we state some preliminary lemmas to describe the linear maps preserving  $\succ_{\text{rgw}}$  from  $\mathbb{F}_n$  to  $\mathbb{F}_m$  and the linear maps preserving  $\succ_{\text{lgw}}$  or  $\succ_{\text{gs}}$  from  $\mathbb{F}^n$  to  $\mathbb{F}^m$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $T : \mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_m$  be a linear map. Then  $T$  preserves the subspace  $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_n : \text{tr}(x) = 0\}$  if and only if  $[T] \in \mathbf{GR}_{m,n}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B = [b_{ij}] := [T]$ . Assume that  $Be = \lambda e$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ . If  $x \in \mathbb{F}_n$  and  $\text{tr}(x) = 0$ , then  $0 = xe = x(\lambda e) = x(Be) = (xB)e = \text{tr}(xB) = \text{tr}(Tx)$ , so  $T$  preserves the subspace  $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_n : \text{tr}(x) = 0\}$ . Conversely, assume that  $T$  preserves the subspace  $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_n : \text{tr}(x) = 0\}$ . Then  $\text{tr}(T(e_1 - e_i)) = \text{tr}((e_1 - e_i)B) = 0$  for every  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Therefore  $Be = \lambda e$  where  $\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^n b_{1k} = \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik}$  for every  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ).  $\square$

The following lemma gives an equivalent condition for  $\succ_{\text{rgw}}$  on  $\mathbb{F}_m$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (see [4, Lemma 2.2]). Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_n$  and let  $x \neq 0$ . Then  $x \succ_{\text{rgw}} y$  if and only if  $\text{tr}(x) = \text{tr}(y)$ .

The following theorem characterizes all linear maps which preserve  $\succ_{\text{rgw}}$  from  $\mathbb{F}_n$  to  $\mathbb{F}_m$ . It is clear that every  $T : \mathbb{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_m$  preserves  $\succ_{\text{rgw}}$ , so assume that  $n \geq 2$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** A nonzero linear map  $T : \mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_m$  preserves  $\succ_{\text{rgw}}$  if and only if  $[T] \in \mathbf{GR}_{m,n}$  and  $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_n : x[T] = 0\} = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_n : \text{tr}(x) = 0\}$  or  $\{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Put  $B := [T]$ . Let  $Be = \lambda e$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ . If  $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_n : xB = 0\} = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_n : \text{tr}(x) = 0\}$  it is clear that  $T$  preserves  $\succ_{\text{rgw}}$ . If  $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_n : xB = 0\} = \{0\}$ ,  $x \succ_{\text{rgw}} y$  and  $x \neq 0$  then  $Tx \neq 0$  and by Lemma 2.2,  $\text{tr}(x) = \text{tr}(y)$ . So  $\text{tr}(x - y) = 0$  and hence  $\text{tr}(T(x - y)) = 0$  by Lemma 2.1. Therefore  $Tx \succ_{\text{rgw}} Ty$  by Lemma 2.2 and so  $T$  preserves  $\succ_{\text{rgw}}$ . Now, we prove the necessity of the conditions. Let  $T : \mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_m$  be a linear preserver of  $\succ_{\text{rgw}}$ . If  $\text{tr}(x) = 0$ , then  $x \succ_{\text{rgw}} 0$  by Lemma 2.2. So  $Tx \succ_{\text{rgw}} T0 = 0$  and hence  $\text{tr}(Tx) = 0$  by Lemma 2.2. Therefore  $T$  preserves the subspace  $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_n : \text{tr}(x) = 0\}$  and so  $B \in \mathbf{GR}_{m,n}$  by Lemma 2.1. If  $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_n : xB = 0\} \neq \{0\}$ , then there exists a nonzero vector  $a \in \mathbb{F}_n$  such that  $Ta = aB = 0$ . If  $\text{tr}(a) = \delta \neq 0$  then  $a \succ_{\text{rgw}} \delta e_j$  for every  $j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ), by Lemma 2.2. Then  $Ta = 0 \succ_{\text{rgw}} \delta Te_j$  for every  $j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) and hence  $T = 0$  which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\text{tr}(a) = 0$  and hence  $a \succ_{\text{rgw}} (e_1 - e_j)$  for every  $j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ), by Lemma 2.2. Then  $Ta = 0 \succ_{\text{rgw}} T(e_1 - e_j)$  and so  $Te_1 = Te_j$  for every  $j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ). Put  $b := Te_1 = e_1 B$ . Thus  $B = [b / \cdots / b]$  and hence  $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_n : xB = 0\} = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_n : \text{tr}(x) = 0\}$ .  $\square$

We use the following lemmas to find the structure of linear preservers of  $\text{lgw}$ -majorization.

**Remark 2.4** (see [7, Lemma 2.2]). If  $x \notin \text{Span}\{e\}$ , then  $x \succ_{\text{lgw}} y$ , for all  $y \in \mathbb{F}^n$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $T : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$  be a linear map. If  $x \notin \text{Span}\{e\}$  implies  $Tx \notin \text{Span}\{e\}$ , then  $T$  preserves  $\succ_{\text{lgw}}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^n$  and  $x \succ_{\text{lgw}} y$ . If  $x \in \text{Span}\{e\}$  then  $y = x$  and it is clear that  $Tx \succ_{\text{lgw}} Ty$ . If  $x \notin \text{Span}\{e\}$  so  $Tx \notin \text{Span}\{e\}$  by the hypothesis and hence  $Tx \succ_{\text{lgw}} Ty$ , by Remark 2.4. Therefore  $T$  preserves  $\succ_{\text{lgw}}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $T : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$  be a nonzero singular linear map. Then  $T$  preserves  $\succ_{\text{lgw}}$  if and only if  $\text{Ker}(T) = \text{Span}\{e\}$  and  $e \notin \text{Im}(T)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be a linear preserver of  $\succ_{\text{lgw}}$ . If  $x \in \text{Ker}(T)$  and  $x \notin \text{Span}\{e\}$ , then  $Tx = 0$  and  $x \succ_{\text{lgw}} y$ , for all  $y \in \mathbb{F}^n$  by Remark 2.4. So  $Ty = 0$ , for all  $y \in \mathbb{F}^n$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\text{Ker}(T) \subset \text{Span}\{e\}$  and since  $\text{Ker}(T) \neq \{0\}$ ,  $\text{Ker}(T) = \text{Span}\{e\}$ . If  $e \in \text{Im}(T)$ , then there exists  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$  such that  $Tx = e$  and  $x \notin \text{Span}\{e\}$ . Therefore  $x \succ_{\text{lgw}} y$ , for all  $y \in \mathbb{F}^n$ , and hence  $Ty = e$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{F}^n$ , which is a contradiction. So  $e \notin \text{Im}(T)$ . The converse follows from Lemma 2.5.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** Let  $T : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$  be a nonzero linear preserver of  $\succ_{\text{lgw}}$ . Then  $n \leq m$ .

*Proof.* If  $T$  is injective, then  $n \leq m$ . If  $T$  is not injective, we obtain  $\text{Ker}(T) = \text{Span}\{e\}$  by Lemma 2.6 and  $e \notin \text{Im}(T)$ . Therefore  $n \leq m$ , by the rank and nullity theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $T : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$  be a nonzero linear map and  $A := [T]$ . Then  $T$  preserves  $\succ_{\text{lgw}}$  if and only if one of the following holds:

- (i)  $\{x : Ax \in \text{Span}\{e\}\} = \{0\}$ ,
- (ii)  $A \in \text{Span}\{\mathbf{GR}_{n,m}\}$  and  $\{x : Ax \in \text{Span}\{e\}\} = \text{Span}\{e\}$ .

*Proof.* If (i) or (ii) holds, it is easy to show that  $T$  preserves  $\succ_{\text{lgw}}$  by Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6. Conversely, assume that  $T$  preserves  $\succ_{\text{lgw}}$ . If (i) does not hold, we show that (ii) holds. Since (i) does not hold, there exists a nonzero vector  $b \in \mathbb{F}^n$  such that  $Tb = Ab = \mu e$  for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{F}$ . If  $b \notin \text{Span}\{e\}$ , then  $b \succ_{\text{lgw}} x$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$  by Remark 2.4. So  $Tb \succ_{\text{lgw}} Tx$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$

and hence  $T = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Then  $b = \lambda e$  for some nonzero  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ , and hence  $Ae = (\mu/\lambda)e$ . Therefore,  $A \in \text{Span}\{\mathbf{GR}_{n,m}\}$  and  $\{x : Ax \in \text{Span}\{e\}\} = \text{Span}\{e\}$ .  $\square$

The following examples show that Proposition 2.7 does not hold for  $\succ_{\text{gs}}$  or  $\succ_{\text{rgw}}$ .

*Example 2.9.* For any positive integer  $n$ , the linear map  $T : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  defined by  $Tx = \text{tr}(x)$ , preserves  $\succ_{\text{gs}}$ .

*Example 2.10.* The linear map  $T : \mathbb{F}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$  defined by  $Tx = xB$ , where  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^t$ , preserves rgw-majorization.

We use the following statements to find the structure of linear preservers of gs-majorization.

**Lemma 2.11** (see [6, Proposition 2.1]). *Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two distinct vectors in  $\mathbb{F}^n$ . Then  $y \succ_{\text{gs}} x$  if and only if  $y \notin \text{Span}\{e\}$  and  $\text{tr}(x) = \text{tr}(y)$ .*

**Lemma 2.12.** *If a linear map  $T : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$  preserves  $\succ_{\text{gs}}$ , then  $[T] \in \text{Span}\{\mathbf{GC}_{m,n}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A := [T]$ . For every  $i, j$  ( $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ ), it is clear that  $(e_i - e_j) \succ_{\text{gs}} 0$  by Lemma 2.11. Then  $A(e_i - e_j) \succ_{\text{gs}} 0$  and hence there exists  $D \in \mathbf{GD}_m$  such that  $DA(e_i - e_j) = 0$ . So  $J_m A(e_i - e_j) = J_m D(Ae_i - Ae_j) = 0$  and therefore  $A \in \text{Span}\{\mathbf{GC}_{m,n}\}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.13.** *Let  $T : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$  be a linear map. Then  $T$  preserves  $\succ_{\text{gs}}$  if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (i) *there exists some  $a \in \mathbb{F}^m$  such that  $Tx = \text{tr}(x)a$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ ,*
- (ii)  *$\lambda[T] \in \mathbf{GR}_{m,n} \cap \text{Span}\{\mathbf{GC}_{m,n}\}$  for some  $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $\text{Ker}(T) \subset \text{Span}\{e\}$ ,*
- (iii)  *$[T] \in \text{Span}\{\mathbf{GC}_{m,n}\}$  and  $e \notin \text{Im}([T])$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A := [T]$ . Assume that  $T$  preserves  $\succ_{\text{gs}}$ . So  $A \in \text{Span}\{\mathbf{GC}_{m,n}\}$  by Lemma 2.12. Now, we consider two cases.

*Case 1.* Suppose there exists  $b \in \mathbb{F}^n \setminus \text{Span}\{e\}$  such that  $Tb = Ab = \lambda e$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ . If  $\text{tr}(b) = 0$ , then  $0 = \text{tr}(b)e = J_m b = (J_m A)b = J_m (Ab) = J_m (Tb) = J_m (\lambda e)$ . So  $\lambda = 0$  and hence  $Ab = 0$ . For every  $i, j$  ( $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ ),  $b \succ_{\text{gs}} (e_i - e_j)$  by Lemma 2.11. Then  $0 = Ab \succ_{\text{gs}} A(e_i - e_j)$  and hence  $Ae_i = Ae_j$ , for all  $i, j$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ). Then  $A = [a \mid \cdots \mid a]$ , for some  $a \in \mathbb{F}^m$  and hence  $T(x) = \text{tr}(x)a$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ . If  $\text{tr}(b) = \delta \neq 0$ , consider the basis  $\{\delta e_1, \dots, \delta e_n\}$  for  $\mathbb{F}^n$ . For every  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ),  $b \succ_{\text{gs}} (\delta e_i)$ , by Lemma 2.11. Consequently  $Te_i = (\lambda/\delta)e$  for every  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and hence  $Tx = \text{tr}(x)a$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ , where  $a = (\lambda/\delta)e$ . Therefore, (i) holds in this case.

*Case 2.* Assume that  $x \notin \text{Span}\{e\}$  implies  $Tx \notin \text{Span}\{e\}$ . Since  $e_1 \succ_{\text{gs}} e_i$ , we have  $T(e_1) \succ_{\text{gs}} T(e_i)$  for every  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Thus it follows that  $\text{tr}(A_i) = \text{tr}(Te_i) = \text{tr}(Te_1) = \text{tr}(A_1)$  for every  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), where  $A_i$  is the  $i$ th column of  $A$  and hence  $A \in \text{Span}\{\mathbf{GC}_{m,n}\}$ . If  $e \in \text{Im}(A)$ , then there exists  $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $A(\lambda e) = e$  and hence  $\lambda A \in \mathbf{GR}_{m,n} \cap \text{Span}\{\mathbf{GC}_{m,n}\}$ . By the hypothesis of this case,  $\text{Ker}(T) \subset \text{Span}\{e\}$ . Then (ii) holds. If  $e \notin \text{Im}(A)$  it is clear (iii) holds.

Conversely, if (i) or (iii) holds it is easy to show that  $T$  preserves gs-majorization. Suppose that (ii) holds. Then there exists  $z \in \text{Span}\{e\}$  such that  $Tz = e$ . Assume that  $x \succ_{\text{gs}} y$ . If  $Tx \notin \text{Span}\{e\}$  then  $Tx \succ_{\text{gs}} Ty$  by Lemma 2.11. If  $Tx \in \text{Span}\{e\}$ , then there exists  $\mu \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $Tx = \mu e$  and hence  $T(x - \mu z) = 0$ . Therefore,  $x - \mu z \in \text{Span}\{e\}$ , and hence  $x \in \text{Span}\{e\}$ . Then  $x = y$  and hence  $T$  preserves gs-majorization.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.14.** *If  $T : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$  preserves  $\succ_{\text{gs}}$  and  $\text{rank}(T) > 1$  then  $n \leq m$ .*

*Proof.* If  $T$  is injective it is clear that  $n \leq m$ . Assume that  $T$  is not injective, so there exists a nonzero vector  $b \in \mathbb{F}^n$  such that  $Tb = 0$ . If  $b \notin \text{Span}\{e\}$ , then by Case 1 in the proof of Theorem 2.13,  $Tx = \text{tr}(x)a$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{F}^m$ . Therefore,  $\text{rank}(T) \leq 1$ , which is a contradiction. So  $b \in \text{Span}\{e\}$  and hence  $\text{Ker}(T) = \text{Span}\{e\}$ . It is clear that  $e \notin \text{Im}(T)$ , from which and the rank and nullity theorem, we obtain  $n \leq m$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

## Acknowledgment

The authors would like to sincerely thank the referees for their constructive comments and suggestions which made some of the proofs simpler and clearer. This work has been supported by Vali-e-Asr university of Rafsanjan, grant no. 2740.

## References

- [1] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*, vol. 169 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1997.
- [2] A. W. Marshall and I. Olkin, *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications*, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1972.
- [3] G. Dahl, "Matrix majorization," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 288, no. 1-3, pp. 53-73, 1999.
- [4] A. Armandnejad, "Right gw-majorization on  $M_{n,m}$ ," *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 69-76, 2009.
- [5] A. Armandnejad and A. Salemi, "Strong linear preservers of GW-majorization on  $M_n$ ," *Journal of Dynamical Systems and Geometric Theories*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 165-168, 2007.
- [6] A. Armandnejad and A. Salemi, "The structure of linear preservers of gs-majorization," *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 31-42, 2006.
- [7] A. Armandnejad and A. Salemi, "On linear preservers of lgw-majorization on  $M_{n,m}$ ," to appear in *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Society*.
- [8] A. Armandnejad and H. R. Afshin, "Linear functions preserving multivariate and directional majorization," to appear in *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics*.
- [9] A. Armandnejad and H. Heydari, "Linear functions preserving gd-majorization from  $M_{n,m}$  to  $M_{n,k}$ ," to appear in *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*.
- [10] A. M. Hasani and M. A. Vali, "Linear maps which preserve or strongly preserve weak majorization," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2007, Article ID 82910, 4 pages, 2007.
- [11] F. Khalooei and A. Salemi, "The structure of linear preservers of left matrix majorization on  $\mathbb{R}^p$ ," *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, vol. 18, pp. 88-97, 2009.
- [12] A. M. Hasani and M. Radjabalipour, "The structure of linear operators strongly preserving majorizations of matrices," *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, vol. 15, pp. 260-268, 2006.