Research Article

Fuzzy Stability of an Additive-Quadratic-Quartic Functional Equation

Choonkil Park

Department of Mathematics, Research Institute for Natural Sciences, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, South Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Choonkil Park, baak@hanyang.ac.kr

Using the fixed point method, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the following additive-quadratic-quartic functional equation: $f(x + 2y) + f(x - 2y) = 2f(x + y) + 2f(-x - y) + 2f(x - y) + 2f(y - x) - 4f(-x) - 2f(x) + f(2y) + f(-2y) - 4f(y) - 4f(-y)$ in fuzzy Banach spaces.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Katsaras [1] defined a fuzzy norm on a vector space to construct a fuzzy vector topological structure on the space. Some mathematicians have defined fuzzy norms on a vector space from various points of view [2–4]. In particular, Bag and Samanta [5], following Cheng and Mordeson [6], gave an idea of fuzzy norm in such a manner that the corresponding fuzzy metric is of Kramosil and Michálek type [7]. They established a decomposition theorem of a fuzzy norm into a family of crisp norms and investigated some properties of fuzzy normed spaces [8].

We use the definition of fuzzy normed spaces given in [5, 9, 10] to investigate a fuzzy version of the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability forthe following functional equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x + 2y) + f(x - 2y) = {} & 2f(x + y) + 2f(-x - y) + 2f(x - y) + 2f(y - x) \\
& - 4f(-x) - 2f(x) + f(2y) + f(-2y) - 4f(y) - 4f(-y)
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.1}
$$

in the fuzzy normed vector space setting.

Definition 1.1 (see [5, 9–11]). Let X be a real vector space. A function $N : X \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ is called a *fuzzy norm* on X if for all $x, y \in X$ and all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$,

(N_1) $N(x,t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$;

(N_2) $x = 0$ if and only if $N(x,t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$;

(N_3) $N(cx,t) = N(x,t/|c|)$ if $c \neq 0$;

(N_4) $N(x + y, s + t) \geq \min\{N(x,s), N(y,t)\}$;

(N_5) $N(x, \cdot)$ is a nondecreasing function of $\mathbb{R}$ and $\lim_{t \to \infty} N(x,t) = 1$;

(N_6) for $x \neq 0$, $N(x, \cdot)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$.

The pair (X, N) is called a *fuzzy normed vector space*.

The properties of fuzzy normed vector spaces and examples of fuzzy norms are given in [9, 12].

Definition 1.2 (see [5, 9–11]). Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed vector space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to *be convergent* or *converge* if there exists an $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} N(x_n - x, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$. In this case, x is called the *limit* of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ and we denote it by N-$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$.

Definition 1.3 (see [5, 9, 10]). Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed vector space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called *Cauchy* if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $t > 0$ there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ and all $p > 0$, we have $N(x_{n+p} - x_n, t) > 1 - \varepsilon$.

It is wellknown that every convergent sequence in a fuzzy normed vector space is Cauchy. If each Cauchy sequence is convergent, then the fuzzy norm is said to be *complete* and the fuzzy normed vector space is called a *fuzzy Banach space*.

We say that a mapping $f : X \to Y$ between fuzzy normed vector spaces X and Y is continuous at a point $x_0 \in X$ if for each sequence $\{x_n\}$ converging to x_0 in X, the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ converges to $f(x_0)$. If $f : X \to Y$ is continuous at each $x \in X$, then $f : X \to Y$ is said to be *continuous* on X (see [8]).

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [13] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. Hyers [14] gave a first affirmative partial answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Hyers' theorem was generalized by Aoki [15] for additive mappings and by Th. M. Rassias [16] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. The paper of Th. M. Rassias [16] has provided a lot of influence in the development of what we call *generalized Hyers-Ulam stability* or as *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability* of functional equations. A generalization of the Th. M. Rassias theorem was obtained by Găvruta [17] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function in the spirit of Th.M. Rassias' approach.

The functional equation

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y) \tag{1.2}$$

is called a *quadratic functional equation*. In particular, every solution of the quadratic functional equation is said to be a *quadratic mapping*. A generalized Hyers-Ulam stability problem for the quadratic functional equation was proved by Skof [18] for mappings $f : X \to Y$, where X is a normed space and Y is a Banach space. Cholewa [19] noticed that the theorem of Skof is

still true if the relevant domain X is replaced by an Abelian group. Czerwik [20] proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation. The stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors and there are many interesting results concerning this problem (see [16, 21–39]).

In [40], Lee et al. considered the following quartic functional equation:

$$f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = 4f(x + y) + 4f(x - y) + 24f(x) - 6f(y). \tag{1.3}$$

It is easy to show that the function $f(x) = x^4$ satisfies the functional equation (1.3), which is called a *quartic functional equation* and every solution of the quartic functional equation is said to be a *quartic mapping*.

Let X be a set. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a *generalized metric* on X if d satisfies

(1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;

(2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;

(3) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

We recall a fundamental result in fixed point theory.

Theorem 1.4 (see [41, 42]). *Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space and let $J : X \rightarrow X$ be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $L < 1$. Then for each given element $x \in X$, either*

$$d\left(J^n x, J^{n+1} x\right) = \infty \tag{1.4}$$

for all nonnegative integers n or there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

(1) $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) < \infty$, *for all $n \geq n_0$;*

(2) *the sequence $\{J^n x\}$ converges to a fixed point y^* of J;*

(3) y^* *is the unique fixed point of J in the set $Y = \{y \in X \mid d(J^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$;*

(4) $d(y, y^*) \leq 1/(1 - L)d(y, Jy)$ *for all $y \in Y$.*

In 1996, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias [43] were the first to provide applications of stability theory of functional equations for the proof of new fixed point theorems with applications. By using fixed point methods, the stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors (see [12, 44–48]).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive-quadratic-quartic functional equation (1.1) in fuzzy Banach spaces for an odd case. In Section 3, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive-quadratic-quartic functional equation (1.1) in fuzzy Banach spaces for an even case.

Throughout this paper, assume that X is a vector space and that (Y, N) is a fuzzy Banach space.

2. Generalized Hyers-Ulam Stability of the Functional Equation (1.1): An Odd Case

One can easily show that an odd mapping $f : X \to Y$ satisfies (1.1) if and only if the odd mapping mapping $f : X \to Y$ is an additive mapping, that is,

$$f(x + 2y) + f(x - 2y) = 2f(x). \tag{2.1}$$

One can easily show that an even mapping $f : X \to Y$ satisfies (1.1) if and only if the even mapping $f : X \to Y$ is a quadratic-quartic mapping, that is,

$$f(x + 2y) + f(x - 2y) = 4f(x + y) + 4f(x - y) - 6f(x) + 2f(2y) - 8f(y). \tag{2.2}$$

It was shown in [49, Lemma 2.1] that $g(x) := f(2x) - 4f(x)$ and $h(x) := f(2x) - 16f(x)$ are quartic and quadratic, respectively, and that $f(x) = (1/12)g(x) - (1/12)h(x)$.

For a given mapping $f : X \to Y$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
Df(x, y) :=\ & f(x + 2y) + f(x - 2y) - 2f(x + y) - 2f(-x - y) - 2f(x - y) - 2f(y - x) \\
& + 4f(-x) + 2f(x) - f(2y) - f(-2y) + 4f(y) + 4f(-y)
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.3}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Using the fixed point method, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation $Df(x, y) = 0$ in fuzzy Banach spaces: an odd case.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\varphi : X^2 \to [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with*

$$\varphi(x, y) \le \frac{L}{3}\varphi(3x, 3y) \tag{2.4}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an odd mapping satisfying

$$N(Df(x, y), t) \ge \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, y)} \tag{2.5}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Then

$$A(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \to \infty} 3^n f\left(\frac{x}{3^n}\right) \tag{2.6}$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines an additive mapping $A : X \longrightarrow Y$ such that

$$N(f(x) - A(x), t) \geq \frac{(3 - 3L)t}{(3 - 3L)t + L\varphi(x, x)} \tag{2.7}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Letting $x = y$ in (2.5), we get

$$N(f(3x) - 3f(x), t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x)} \tag{2.8}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Consider the set

$$S := \{g : X \longrightarrow Y\} \tag{2.9}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on S

$$d(g, h) = \inf\left\{\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ : N(g(x) - h(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x)}, \ \forall x \in X, \ \forall t > 0\right\}, \tag{2.10}$$

where, as usual, $\inf \phi = +\infty$. It is easy to show that (S, d) is complete. (see the proof of Lemma 2.1 of [50].)

Now we consider the linear mapping $J : S \longrightarrow S$ such that

$$Jg(x) := 3g\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) \tag{2.11}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Let $g, h \in S$ be given such that $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$. Then

$$N(g(x) - h(x), \varepsilon t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x)} \tag{2.12}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Hence

$$N(Jg(x) - Jh(x), L\varepsilon t) = N\left(3g\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) - 3h\left(\frac{x}{3}\right), L\varepsilon t\right) = N\left(g\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) - h\left(\frac{x}{3}\right), \frac{L}{3}\varepsilon t\right)$$

$$\geq \frac{Lt/3}{Lt/3 + \varphi(x/3, x/3)} \geq \frac{Lt/3}{Lt/3 + (L/3)\varphi(x, x)} \tag{2.13}$$

$$= \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x)}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. So $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ implies that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq L\varepsilon$. This means that

$$d(Jg, Jh) \leq Ld(g, h) \tag{2.14}$$

for all $g, h \in S$.

It follows from (2.8) that

$$N\left(f(x) - 3f\left(\frac{x}{3}\right), \frac{L}{3}t\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x)} \tag{2.15}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. So $d(f, Jf) \leq L/3$.

By Theorem 1.4, there exists a mapping $A : X \to Y$ satisfying the following.

(1) A is a fixed point of J, that is,

$$A\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) = \frac{1}{3}A(x) \tag{2.16}$$

for all $x \in X$. Since $f : X \to Y$ is odd, $A : X \to Y$ is an odd mapping. The mapping A is a unique fixed point of J in the set

$$M = \{g \in S : d(f, g) < \infty\}. \tag{2.17}$$

This implies that A is a unique mapping satisfying (2.16) such that there exists a $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$N(f(x) - A(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x)} \tag{2.18}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

(2) $d(J^n f, A) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This implies the equality

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \to \infty} 3^n f\left(\frac{x}{3^n}\right) = A(x) \tag{2.19}$$

for all $x \in X$;

(3) $d(f, A) \leq 1/(1 - L)d(f, Jf)$, which implies the inequality

$$d(f, A) \leq \frac{L}{3 - 3L}. \tag{2.20}$$

This implies that inequality (2.7) holds.

By (2.5),

$$N\left(3^n Df\left(\frac{x}{3^n}, \frac{y}{3^n}\right), 3^n t\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x/3^n, y/3^n)} \tag{2.21}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, all $t > 0$, and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So

$$N\left(3^n Df\left(\frac{x}{3^n}, \frac{y}{3^n}\right), t\right) \geq \frac{t/3^n}{t/3^n + (L^n/3^n)\varphi(x, y)} \tag{2.22}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, all $t > 0$, and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\lim_{n \to \infty}(t/3^n/(t/3^n + (L^n/3^n)\varphi(x, y))) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$,

$$N(DA(x, y), t) = 1 \tag{2.23}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Thus the mapping $A : X \to Y$ is additive, as desired. □

Corollary 2.2. *Let $\theta \geq 0$ and let p be a real number with $p > 1$. Let X be a normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an odd mapping satisfying*

$$N(Df(x, y), t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)} \tag{2.24}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Then

$$A(x) := N - \lim_{n \to \infty} 3^n f\left(\frac{x}{3^n}\right) \tag{2.25}$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines an additive mapping $A : X \to Y$ such that

$$N(f(x) - A(x), t) \geq \frac{(3^p - 3)t}{(3^p - 3)t + 2\theta\|x\|^p} \tag{2.26}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1 by taking

$$\varphi(x, y) := \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \tag{2.27}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then we can choose $L = 3^{1-p}$ and we get the desired result. □

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\varphi : X^2 \to [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with*

$$\varphi(x, y) \leq 3L\varphi\left(\frac{x}{3}, \frac{y}{3}\right) \tag{2.28}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an odd mapping satisfying (2.5). Then

$$A(x) := N - \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} f(3^n x) \tag{2.29}$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines an additive mapping $C : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$N(f(x) - A(x), t) \geq \frac{(3 - 3L)t}{(3 - 3L)t + \varphi(x, x)} \tag{2.30}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Let (S, d) be the generalized metric space defined in the proof of Theorem 2.1.
Consider the linear mapping $J : S \rightarrow S$ such that

$$Jf(x) := \frac{1}{3}f(3x) \tag{2.31}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Let $g, h \in S$ be given such that $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$. Then

$$N(g(x) - h(x), \varepsilon t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x)} \tag{2.32}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(Jg(x) - Jh(x), L\varepsilon t) &= N\left(\frac{1}{3}g(3x) - \frac{1}{3}h(3x), L\varepsilon t\right) = N(g(3x) - h(3x), 3L\varepsilon t) \\
&\geq \frac{3Lt}{3Lt + \varphi(3x, 3x)} \geq \frac{3Lt}{3Lt + 3L\varphi(x, x)} \\
&= \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x)}
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.33}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. So $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ implies that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq L\varepsilon$. This means that

$$d(Jg, Jh) \leq Ld(g, h) \tag{2.34}$$

for all $g, h \in S$.

It follows from (2.8) that

$$N\left(f(x) - \frac{1}{3}f(3x), \frac{1}{3}t\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x)} \tag{2.35}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. So $d(f, Jf) \leq 1/3$.

By Theorem 1.4, there exists a mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the following.

(1) A is a fixed point of J, that is,

$$A(3x) = 3A(x) \tag{2.36}$$

for all $x \in X$. Since $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is odd, $A : X \rightarrow Y$ is an odd mapping. The mapping A is a unique fixed point of J in the set

$$M = \{g \in S : d(f, g) < \infty\}. \tag{2.37}$$

This implies that A is a unique mapping satisfying (2.36) such that there exists a $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$N(f(x) - A(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x)} \tag{2.38}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

(2) $d(J^n f, A) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies the equality

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} f(3^n x) = A(x) \tag{2.39}$$

for all $x \in X$;

(3) $d(f, A) \leq 1/(1 - L)d(f, Jf)$, which implies the inequality

$$d(f, A) \leq \frac{1}{3 - 3L}. \tag{2.40}$$

This implies that the inequality (2.30) holds.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

Corollary 2.4. *Let $\theta \geq 0$ and let p be a real number with $0 < p < 1$. Let X be a normed vector space with norm $\| \cdot \|$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping satisfying (2.24). Then*

$$A(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} f(3^n x) \tag{2.41}$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines an additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$N(f(x) - A(x), t) \geq \frac{(3 - 3^p)t}{(3 - 3^p)t + 2\theta \|x\|^p} \tag{2.42}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. The proof follows from **Theorem 2.3** by taking

$$\varphi(x, y) := \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \tag{2.43}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then we can choose $L = 3^{p-1}$ and we get the desired result. $\qquad\square$

3. Generalized Hyers-Ulam Stability of the Functional Equation (1.1): An Even Case

Using the fixed point method, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation $Df(x, y) = 0$ in fuzzy Banach spaces: an even case.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with*

$$\varphi(x, y) \leq \frac{L}{16}\varphi(2x, 2y) \tag{3.1}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an even mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and (2.5). Then

$$Q(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \to \infty} 16^n \left(f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}\right) - 4f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right) \tag{3.2}$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a quartic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$N(f(2x) - 4f(x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{(16 - 16L)t}{(16 - 16L)t + 5L(\varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x))} \tag{3.3}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Letting $x = y$ in (2.5), we get

$$N(f(3y) - 6f(2y) + 15f(y), t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(y, y)} \tag{3.4}$$

for all $y \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Replacing x by $2y$ in (2.5), we get

$$N(f(4y) - 4f(3y) + 4f(2y) + 4f(y), t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(2y, y)} \tag{3.5}$$

for all $y \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

By (3.4) and (3.5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
N\big(f(4x) &- 20f(2x) + 64f(x), 4t + t\big) \\
&\geq \min\big\{N\big(4(f(3x) - 6f(2x) + 15f(x)), 4t\big), N\big(f(4x) - 4f(3x) + 4f(2x) + 4f(x), t\big)\big\} \\
&\geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x)}
\end{aligned}
$$

(3.6)

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Letting $g(x) := f(2x) - 4f(x)$ for all $x \in X$, we get

$$
N\left(g(x) - 16g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), 5t\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x/2, x/2) + \varphi(x, x/2)}
$$

(3.7)

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Consider the set

$$
S := \{g : X \longrightarrow Y\}
$$

(3.8)

and introduce the generalized metric on S

$$
d(g, h) = \inf\left\{\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ : N(g(x) - h(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x)}, \ \forall x \in X, \ \forall t > 0\right\}, \quad (3.9)
$$

where, as usual, $\inf \phi = +\infty$. It is easy to show that (S, d) is complete. (see the proof of Lemma 2.1 of [50]).

Now we consider the linear mapping $J : S \to S$ such that

$$
Jg(x) := 16g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)
$$

(3.10)

for all $x \in X$.

Let $g, h \in S$ be given such that $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$. Then

$$
N(g(x) - h(x), \varepsilon t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x)}
$$

(3.11)

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Hence

$$N\left(Jg(x) - Jh(x), L\varepsilon t\right) = N\left(16g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 16h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), L\varepsilon t\right) = N\left(g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \frac{L}{16}\varepsilon t\right)$$

$$\geq \frac{Lt/16}{Lt/16 + \varphi(x/2, x/2) + \varphi(x, x/2)}$$

$$\geq \frac{Lt/16}{Lt/16 + (L/16)(\varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x))}$$

$$= \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x)}$$

(3.12)

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. So $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ implies that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq L\varepsilon$. This means that

$$d(Jg, Jh) \leq Ld(g, h) \tag{3.13}$$

for all $g, h \in S$.

It follows from (3.7) that

$$N\left(g(x) - 16g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \frac{5L}{16}t\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x)} \tag{3.14}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. So $d(g, Jg) \leq 5L/16$.

By Theorem 1.4, there exists a mapping $Q : X \to Y$ satisfying the following.

(1) Q is a fixed point of J, that is,

$$Q\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{16}Q(x) \tag{3.15}$$

for all $x \in X$. Since $g : X \to Y$ is even, $Q : X \to Y$ is an even mapping. The mapping Q is a unique fixed point of J in the set

$$M = \{g \in S : d(f, g) < \infty\}. \tag{3.16}$$

This implies that Q is a unique mapping satisfying (3.15) such that there exists a $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$N(g(x) - Q(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x)} \tag{3.17}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

(2) $d(J^n g, Q) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This implies the equality

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \to \infty} 16^n g\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = Q(x) \qquad (3.18)$$

for all $x \in X$.

(3) $d(g, Q) \leq 1/(1 - L)d(g, Jg)$, which implies the inequality

$$d(g, Q) \leq \frac{5L}{16 - 16L}. \qquad (3.19)$$

This implies that inequality (3.3) holds.

The rest of the proof is similar to that of the proof of **Theorem 2.1**. $\qquad \square$

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\theta \geq 0$ and let p be a real number with $p > 4$. Let X be a normed vector space with norm $\| \cdot \|$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an even mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and (2.24). Then*

$$Q(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \to \infty} 16^n \left(f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}\right) - 4f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right) \qquad (3.20)$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a quartic mapping $Q : X \to Y$ such that

$$N(f(2x) - 4f(x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{(2^p - 16)t}{(2^p - 16)t + 5(3 + 2^p)\theta\|x\|^p} \qquad (3.21)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. The proof follows from **Theorem 3.1** by taking

$$\varphi(x, y) := \theta\left(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p\right) \qquad (3.22)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then we can choose $L = 2^{4-p}$ and we get the desired result. $\qquad \square$

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\varphi : X^2 \to [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with*

$$\varphi(x, y) \leq 16L\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) \qquad (3.23)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an even mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and (2.5). Then

$$Q(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{16^n} \left(f\left(2^{n+1}x\right) - 4f(2^n x) \right) \qquad (3.24)$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a quartic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$N(f(2x) - 4f(x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{(16 - 16L)t}{(16 - 16L)t + 5\varphi(x, x) + 5\varphi(2x, x)} \tag{3.25}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Let (S, d) be the generalized metric space defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Consider the linear mapping $J : S \rightarrow S$ such that

$$Jg(x) := \frac{1}{16} g(2x) \tag{3.26}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Let $g, h \in S$ be given such that $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$. Then

$$N(g(x) - h(x), \varepsilon t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x)} \tag{3.27}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Hence

$$N(Jg(x) - Jh(x), L\varepsilon t) = N\left(\frac{1}{16} g(2x) - \frac{1}{16} h(2x), L\varepsilon t\right) = N(g(2x) - h(2x), 16L\varepsilon t)$$

$$\geq \frac{16Lt}{16Lt + \varphi(2x, 2x) + \varphi(4x, 2x)} \geq \frac{16Lt}{16Lt + 16L(\varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x))}$$

$$= \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x)} \tag{3.28}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. So $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ implies that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq L\varepsilon$. This means that

$$d(Jg, Jh) \leq Ld(g, h) \tag{3.29}$$

for all $g, h \in S$.

It follows from (3.7) that

$$N\left(g(x) - \frac{1}{16} g(2x), \frac{5}{16} t\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x)} \tag{3.30}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. So $d(g, Jg) \leq 5/16$.

By Theorem 1.4, there exists a mapping $Q : X \to Y$ satisfying the following.
(1) Q is a fixed point of J, that is,

$$Q(2x) = 16Q(x) \tag{3.31}$$

for all $x \in X$. Since $g : X \to Y$ is even, $Q : X \to Y$ is an even mapping. The mapping Q is a unique fixed point of J in the set

$$M = \{g \in S : d(f, g) < \infty\}. \tag{3.32}$$

This implies that Q is a unique mapping satisfying (3.31) such that there exists a $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$N(g(x) - Q(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x)} \tag{3.33}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.
(2) $d(J^n g, Q) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This implies the equality

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{16^n} g(2^n x) = Q(x) \tag{3.34}$$

for all $x \in X$;
(3) $d(g, Q) \leq 1/(1 - L)d(g, Jg)$, which implies the inequality

$$d(g, Q) \leq \frac{5}{16 - 16L}. \tag{3.35}$$

This implies that inequality (3.25) holds.
The rest of the proof is similar to that of the proof of Theorem 2.1. $\quad\square$

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\theta \geq 0$ and let p be a real number with $0 < p < 4$. Let X be a normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an even mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and (2.24). Then*

$$Q(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{16^n}\left(f\left(2^{n+1}x\right) - 4f(2^n x)\right) \tag{3.36}$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a quartic mapping $Q : X \to Y$ such that

$$N(f(2x) - 4f(x) - Q(x), t) \geq \frac{(16 - 2^p)t}{(16 - 2^p)t + 5(3 + 2^p)\theta\|x\|^p} \tag{3.37}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.3 by taking

$$\varphi(x, y) := \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \tag{3.38}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then we can choose $L = 2^{p-4}$ and we get the desired result.   □

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\varphi : X^2 \to [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with*

$$\varphi(x, y) \leq \frac{L}{4}\varphi(2x, 2y) \tag{3.39}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an even mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and (2.5). Then

$$T(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \to \infty} 4^n \left(f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}\right) - 16f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\right) \tag{3.40}$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a quadratic mapping $T : X \to Y$ such that

$$N(f(2x) - 16f(x) - T(x), t) \geq \frac{(4 - 4L)t}{(4 - 4L)t + 5L(\varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x))} \tag{3.41}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Let (S, d) be the generalized metric space defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1.
     Letting $h(x) := f(2x) - 16f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ in (3.6), we get

$$N\left(h(x) - 4h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), 5t\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x/2, x/2) + \varphi(x, x/2)} \tag{3.42}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.
     Now we consider the linear mapping $J : S \to S$ such that

$$Jh(x) := 4h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \tag{3.43}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Let $g, h \in S$ be given such that $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$. Then

$$N(g(x) - h(x), \varepsilon t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x)} \tag{3.44}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(Jg(x) - Jh(x), L\varepsilon t) &= N\left(4g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 4h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), L\varepsilon t\right) = N\left(g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \frac{L}{4}\varepsilon t\right) \\
&\geq \frac{Lt/4}{Lt/4 + \varphi(x/2, x/2) + \varphi(x/2, x)} \\
&\geq \frac{Lt/4}{Lt/4 + (L/4)(\varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x))} \\
&= \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x)}
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.45}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. So $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ implies that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq L\varepsilon$. This means that

$$d(Jg, Jh) \leq Ld(g, h) \tag{3.46}$$

for all $g, h \in S$.

It follows from (3.42) that

$$N\left(h(x) - 4h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \frac{5L}{4}t\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x)} \tag{3.47}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. So $d(h, Jh) \leq 5L/4$.

By Theorem 1.4, there exists a mapping $T : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the following.

(1) T is a fixed point of J, that is,

$$T\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{4}T(x) \tag{3.48}$$

for all $x \in X$. Since $h : X \rightarrow Y$ is even, $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is an even mapping. The mapping T is a unique fixed point of J in the set

$$M = \{g \in S : d(f, g) < \infty\}. \tag{3.49}$$

This implies that T is a unique mapping satisfying (3.48) such that there exists a $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$N(h(x) - T(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x)} \tag{3.50}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

(2) $d(J^n h, T) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This implies the equality

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \to \infty} 4^n h\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = T(x) \tag{3.51}$$

for all $x \in X$.

(3) $d(h, T) \leq (1/(1-L))d(h, Jh)$, which implies the inequality

$$d(h, T) \leq \frac{5L}{4 - 4L}. \tag{3.52}$$

This implies that inequality (3.41) holds.

The rest of the proof is similar to that of the proof of **Theorem 2.1**. □

Corollary 3.6. *Let $\theta \geq 0$ and let p be a real number with $p > 2$. Let X be a normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an even mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and (2.24). Then*

$$T(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \to \infty} 4^n \left(f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}\right) - 16f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\right) \tag{3.53}$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a quadratic mapping $T : X \to Y$ such that

$$N(f(2x) - 16f(x) - T(x), t) \geq \frac{(2^p - 4)t}{(2^p - 4)t + 5(3 + 2^p)\theta\|x\|^p} \tag{3.54}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. The proof follows from **Theorem 3.5** by taking

$$\varphi(x, y) := \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \tag{3.55}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then we can choose $L = 2^{2-p}$ and we get the desired result. □

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\varphi : X^2 \to [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with*

$$\varphi(x, y) \leq 4L\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) \tag{3.56}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an even mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and (2.5). Then

$$T(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \left(f\left(2^{n+1}x\right) - 16f(2^n x)\right) \tag{3.57}$$

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a quadratic mapping $T : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$N(f(2x) - 16f(x) - T(x), t) \geq \frac{(4 - 4L)t}{(4 - 4L)t + 5\varphi(x, x) + 5\varphi(2x, x)}$$
(3.58)

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Let (S, d) be the generalized metric space defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Consider the linear mapping $J : S \rightarrow S$ such that

$$Jh(x) := \frac{1}{4}h(2x)$$
(3.59)

for all $x \in X$.

Let $g, h \in S$ be given such that $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$. Then

$$N(g(x) - h(x), \varepsilon t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x)}$$
(3.60)

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Hence

$$N(Jg(x) - Jh(x), L\varepsilon t) = N\left(\frac{1}{4}g(2x) - \frac{1}{4}h(2x), L\varepsilon t\right) = N(g(2x) - h(2x), 4L\varepsilon t)$$

$$\geq \frac{4Lt}{4Lt + \varphi(2x, 2x) + \varphi(4x, 2x)} \geq \frac{4Lt}{4Lt + 4L(\varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x))}$$
(3.61)

$$= \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x)}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. So $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ implies that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq L\varepsilon$. This means that

$$d(Jg, Jh) \leq Ld(g, h)$$
(3.62)

for all $g, h \in S$.

It follows from (3.42) that

$$N\left(h(x) - \frac{1}{4}h(2x), \frac{5}{4}t\right) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x)}$$
(3.63)

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. So $d(h, Jh) \leq 5/4$.

By Theorem 1.4, there exists a mapping $T : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the following.
(1) T is a fixed point of J, that is,

$$T(2x) = 4T(x)$$
(3.64)

for all $x \in X$. Since $h : X \to Y$ is even, $T : X \to Y$ is an even mapping. The mapping T is a unique fixed point of J in the set

$$M = \{g \in S : d(f, g) < \infty\}.$$ (3.65)

This implies that T is a unique mapping satisfying (3.64) such that there exists a $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$N(h(x) - T(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t + \varphi(x, x) + \varphi(2x, x)}$$ (3.66)

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

(2) $d(J^n h, T) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This implies the equality

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} h(2^n x) = T(x)$$ (3.67)

for all $x \in X$.

(3) $d(h, T) \leq (1/(1-L))d(h, Jh)$, which implies the inequality

$$d(h, T) \leq \frac{5}{4 - 4L}.$$ (3.68)

This implies that inequality (3.58) holds.

The rest of the proof is similar to that of the proof of **Theorem 2.1**.   □

Corollary 3.8. *Let $\theta \geq 0$ and let p be a real number with $0 < p < 2$. Let X be a normed vector space with norm $\| \cdot \|$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an even mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and (2.24). Then*

$$T(x) := N\text{-}\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \left(f\left(2^{n+1}x\right) - 16f(2^n x) \right)$$ (3.69)

exists for each $x \in X$ and defines a quadratic mapping $T : X \to Y$ such that

$$N(f(2x) - 16f(x) - T(x), t) \geq \frac{(4 - 2^p)t}{(4 - 2^p)t + 5(3 + 2^p)\theta \|x\|^p}$$ (3.70)

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. The proof follows from **Theorem 3.7** by taking

$$\varphi(x, y) := \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$ (3.71)

for all $x, y \in X$. Then we can choose $L = 2^{p-2}$ and we get the desired result.   □

Acknowledgment

References

[1] A. K. Katsaras, "Fuzzy topological vector spaces. II," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 143–154, 1984.

[2] C. Felbin, "Finite-dimensional fuzzy normed linear space," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 239–248, 1992.

[3] S. V. Krishna and K. K. M. Sarma, "Separation of fuzzy normed linear spaces," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 207–217, 1994.

[4] J.-Z. Xiao and X.-H. Zhu, "Fuzzy normed space of operators and its completeness," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 133, no. 3, pp. 389–399, 2003.

[5] T. Bag and S. K. Samanta, "Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces," *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 687–705, 2003.

[6] S. C. Cheng and J. N. Mordeson, "Fuzzy linear operators and fuzzy normed linear spaces," *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, vol. 86, no. 5, pp. 429–436, 1994.

[7] I. Kramosil and J. Michálek, "Fuzzy metrics and statistical metric spaces," *Kybernetika*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 336–344, 1975.

[8] T. Bag and S. K. Samanta, "Fuzzy bounded linear operators," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 151, no. 3, pp. 513–547, 2005.

[9] A. K. Mirmostafaee, M. Mirzavaziri, and M. S. Moslehian, "Fuzzy stability of the Jensen functional equation," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 159, no. 6, pp. 730–738, 2008.

[10] A. K. Mirmostafaee and M. S. Moslehian, "Fuzzy versions of Hyers-Ulam-Rassias theorem," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 159, no. 6, pp. 720–729, 2008.

[11] A. K. Mirmostafaee and M. S. Moslehian, "Fuzzy approximately cubic mappings," *Information Sciences*, vol. 178, no. 19, pp. 3791–3798, 2008.

[12] M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian, "A fixed point approach to stability of a quadratic equation," *Bulletin of the Brazilian Mathematical Society*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 361–376, 2006.

[13] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, no. 8, Interscience, New York, NY, USA, 1960.

[14] D. H. Hyers, "On the stability of the linear functional equation," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 27, pp. 222–224, 1941.

[15] T. Aoki, "On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces," *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, vol. 2, pp. 64–66, 1950.

[16] Th. M. Rassias, "On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 297–300, 1978.

[17] P. Găvruţa, "A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 184, no. 3, pp. 431–436, 1994.

[18] F. Skof, "Local properties and approximation of operators," *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico di Milano*, vol. 53, pp. 113–129, 1983.

[19] P. W. Cholewa, "Remarks on the stability of functional equations," *Aequationes Mathematicae*, vol. 27, no. 1-2, pp. 76–86, 1984.

[20] St. Czerwik, "On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces," *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg*, vol. 62, pp. 59–64, 1992.

[21] E. Baktash, Y. J. Cho, M. Jalili, R. Saadati, and S. M. Vaezpour, "On the stability of cubic mappings and quadratic mappings in random normed spaces," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2008, Article ID 902187, 11 pages, 2008.

[22] M. Eshaghi-Gordji, S. Kaboli-Gharetapeh, C. Park, and S. Zolfaghri, "Stability of an additive-cubic-quartic functional equation," *Advances in Difference Equations*, to appear.

[23] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac, and T. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, vol. 34 of *Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, USA, 1998.

[24] K.-W. Jun and H.-M. Kim, "The generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a cubic functional equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 274, no. 2, pp. 267–278, 2002.

[25] S.-M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic, Palm Harbor, Fla, USA, 2001.

[26] C. Park, "Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras," *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, vol. 132, no. 2, pp. 87–96, 2008.

[27] C. Park and J. Cui, "Generalized stability of C^*-ternary quadratic mappings," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2007, Article ID 23282, 6 pages, 2007.

[28] C. Park and A. Najati, "Homomorphisms and derivations in C^*-algebras," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2007, Article ID 80630, 12 pages, 2007.

[29] J. M. Rassias, "On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings," *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, vol. 108, no. 4, pp. 445–446, 1984.

[30] J. M. Rassias, "Refined Hyers-Ulam approximation of approximately Jensen type mappings," *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, vol. 131, no. 1, pp. 89–98, 2007.

[31] J. M. Rassias and M. J. Rassias, "Asymptotic behavior of alternative Jensen and Jensen type functional equations," *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, vol. 129, no. 7, pp. 545–558, 2005.

[32] Th. M. Rassias, "Problem 16; 2, report of the 27th International Symposium on Functional Equations," *Aequationes Mathematicae*, vol. 39, no. 2-3, pp. 292–293, 1990.

[33] Th. M. Rassias, "On the stability of the quadratic functional equation and its applications," *Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 89–124, 1998.

[34] Th. M. Rassias, "The problem of S. M. Ulam for approximately multiplicative mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 246, no. 2, pp. 352–378, 2000.

[35] Th. M. Rassias, "On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 251, no. 1, pp. 264–284, 2000.

[36] Th. M. Rassias, "On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam," *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 23–130, 2000.

[37] Th. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl, "On the behavior of mappings which do not satisfy Hyers-Ulam stability," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 114, no. 4, pp. 989–993, 1992.

[38] Th. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl, "On the Hyers-Ulam stability of linear mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 173, no. 2, pp. 325–338, 1993.

[39] Th. M. Rassias and K. Shibata, "Variational problem of some quadratic functionals in complex analysis," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 228, no. 1, pp. 234–253, 1998.

[40] S. H. Lee, S. M. Im, and I. S. Hwang, "Quartic functional equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 307, no. 2, pp. 387–394, 2005.

[41] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, "Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 4, no. 1, Article ID 4, 2003.

[42] J. B. Diaz and B. Margolis, "A fixed point theorem of the alternative, for contractions on a generalized complete metric space," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 74, pp. 305–309, 1968.

[43] G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, "Stability of ψ-additive mappings: applications to nonlinear analysis," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 219–228, 1996.

[44] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, "On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed point approach," in *Iteration Theory*, vol. 346 of *Grazer Mathematische Berichte*, pp. 43–52, Karl-Franzens-Universitaet, Graz, Austria, 2004.

[45] L. Cădariu and V. Radu, "Fixed point methods for the generalized stability of functional equations in a single variable," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2008, Article ID 749392, 15 pages, 2008.

[46] C. Park, "Fixed points and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Cauchy-Jensen functional equations in Banach algebras," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2007, Article ID 50175, 15 pages, 2007.

[47] C. Park, "Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of quadratic functional equations: a fixed point approach," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2008, Article ID 493751, 9 pages, 2008.

[48] V. Radu, "The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations," *Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 91–96, 2003.

[49] M. Eshaghi-Gordji, S. Abbaszadeh, and C. Park, "On the stability of a generalized quadratic and quartic type functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2009, 26 pages, 2009.

[50] D. Miheţ and V. Radu, "On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 343, no. 1, pp. 567–572, 2008.