

Research Article

Local Boundedness of Weak Solutions for Nonlinear Parabolic Problem with $p(x)$ -Growth

Yongqiang Fu and Ning Pan

Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Ning Pan, hljprning@yahoo.cn

Received 23 October 2009; Revised 6 January 2010; Accepted 29 January 2010

Academic Editor: Shusen Ding

Copyright © 2010 Y. Fu and N. Pan. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We study the nonlinear parabolic problem with $p(x)$ -growth conditions in the space $W^{1,x}L^{p(x)}(Q)$ and give a local boundedness theorem of weak solutions for the following equation $(\partial u/\partial t) + A(u) = 0$, where $A(u) = -\operatorname{div}a(x, t, u, \nabla u) + a_0(x, t, u, \nabla u)$, $a(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ and $a_0(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ satisfy $p(x)$ -growth conditions with respect to u and ∇u .

1. Introduction

The study of variational problems with nonstandard growth conditions is an interesting topic in recent years. $p(x)$ -growth problems can be regarded as a kind of nonstandard growth problems and they appear in nonlinear elastic, electrorheological fluids and other physics phenomena. Many results have been obtained on this kind of problems, for example [1–9].

Let Q be $\Omega \times (0, T)$, where $T > 0$ is given. In [8], the authors studied the following equation:

$$u_t - \operatorname{div}\left(|Du|^{p(x,t)-2}Du\right) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $p_1 = \inf_{(x,t) \in Q} p(x, t) > \max\{1; 2N/(N+2)\}$, $p(x, t)$ is dependent on the space variable x and the time variable t , u is the local weak solution in the space $W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1,p(x,t)}(Q) \cap C(0, T; L_{\operatorname{loc}}^2(\Omega))$,

and the authors proved the local boundedness of the local weak solution in Q . In this paper, we will study the following more general problem:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + A(u) = 0, \quad \text{in } Q, \quad (1.2)$$

$$u(x, t) = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \quad (1.3)$$

$$u(x, 0) = \varphi(x), \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\varphi(x)$ is a given function in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $A : W_0^{1,x}L^{p(x)}(Q) \rightarrow W^{-1,x}L^{q(x)}(Q)$ is an elliptic operator of the form $A(u) = -\operatorname{div} a(x, t, u, \nabla u) + a_0(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ with the coefficients a and a_0 satisfying the classical Leray-Lions conditions. In [10], we have proved the existence of the solutions of (1.2)–(1.4) and have gotten $u \in W^{1,x}L^{p(x)}(Q) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$; in this paper we will give the local boundedness theorem of the weak solutions in the framework space $W^{1,x}L^{p(x)}(Q)$, which can be considered as a special case of the space $W^{1,p(x,t)}(Q)$.

Many authors have already studied the boundedness of weak solutions of parabolic equation with p -growth conditions, where p is a constant, for example [8, 11–15]. The boundedness of the weak solutions plays a central role in many aspects. Based on the boundedness, we can further study the regularity of the solutions. For example, first in [15] the author studied the equation

$$u_t - \operatorname{div} a(x, t, u, \nabla u) = b(x, t, u, \nabla u) \quad (1.5)$$

and got L_{loc}^∞ -estimates of the degenerate parabolic equation with p -growth conditions for $p > 1$, where p is a constant, then in [16] the authors established the Hölder continuity of the equation for the singular case $1 < p < 2$, and in [17] the authors discussed Harnack estimates for the bounded solutions of the above parabolic equation for $p \geq 2$.

The space $W^{1,x}L^{p(x)}(Q)$ provides a suitable framework to discuss some physical problems. In [18], the authors studied a functional with variable exponent, $1 \leq p(x) \leq 2$, which provided a model for image denoising, enhancement, and restoration. Because in [18] the direction and speed of diffusion at each location depended on the local behavior, $p(x)$ only depended on the location x in the image. Consider that the space $W^{1,x}L^{p(x)}(Q)$ was introduced and discussed in [10] and [19], we think that the space $W^{1,x}L^{p(x)}(Q)$ is a reasonable framework to discuss the $p(x)$ -growth problem (1.2)–(1.4), where $p(x)$ only depends on the space variable x similar to [18].

In this paper, let $a : Q \times R \times R^N \rightarrow R^N$ and $a_0 : Q \times R \times R^N \rightarrow R$ be the operators such that for any $s \in R$ and $\xi \in R^N$, $a(x, t, s, \xi)$ and $a_0(x, t, s, \xi)$ are both continuous in (t, s, ξ) for

a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and measurable in x for all $(t, s, \xi) \in (0, T) \times R \times R^n$. They also satisfy that for a.e. $(x, t) \in Q$, any $s \in R$ and $\xi \neq \xi^* \in R^N$:

$$|a(x, t, s, \xi)| \leq \alpha \left(|s|^{p(x)-1} + |\xi|^{p(x)-1} \right), \tag{1.6}$$

$$|a_0(x, t, s, \xi)| \leq \alpha \left(|s|^{p(x)-1} + |\xi|^{p(x)-1} \right), \tag{1.7}$$

$$[a(x, t, s, \xi) - a(x, t, s, \xi^*)](\xi - \xi^*) > 0, \tag{1.8}$$

$$a(x, t, s, \xi)\xi + a_0(x, t, s, \xi)s \geq \beta \left(|\xi|^{p(x)} + |s|^{p(x)} \right), \tag{1.9}$$

where $\alpha, \beta > 0$ are constants.

Throughout this paper, unless special statement, we always suppose that $p(x)$ is $*$ -continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$, that is, $\lim_{y \rightarrow x, y \in \bar{\Omega}} p(y) = p(x)$ for every $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, and satisfy

$$1 < p^- = \inf_{\Omega} p(x) \leq p(x) \leq \sup_{\Omega} p(x) = p^+ < \infty; \tag{1.10}$$

$q(x)$ is the conjugate function of $p(x)$.

Definition 1.1. A function $u \in W^{1,x}L^{p(x)}(Q) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ is called a weak solution of (1.2)–(1.4) if

$$-\int_Q u \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} dx dt + \int_{\Omega} u \varphi dx \Big|_0^T + \int_Q [a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \nabla \varphi + a_0(x, t, u, \nabla u) \varphi] dx dt = 0 \tag{1.11}$$

for all $\varphi \in C^1(0, T; C_0^\infty(\Omega))$.

We will prove the following local boundedness theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $p^- > \max\{1, 2N/(N + 2)\}$. If u is a nonnegative local weak solution of (1.2)–(1.4), then u is locally bounded in Q . Moreover, there exists a constant $C = C(N, p_\rho^+, p_\rho^-, \rho)$ such that for any $Q(\rho^{p_\rho^+}, \rho) \in Q$ and any $\sigma \in (0, 1)$,*

$$\sup_{Q(\sigma \rho^{p_\rho^+}, \sigma \rho)} u \leq \max \left\{ 1, C(1 - \sigma)^{-p_\rho^+(N+p_\rho^-)/N(q-\delta)} \left(\frac{1}{|Q(\rho^{p_\rho^+}, \rho)|} \int_{Q(\rho^{p_\rho^+}, \rho)} u^\delta dx dt \right)^{p_\rho^-/N(q-\delta)} \right\}, \tag{1.12}$$

where for all $(x_0, t_0) \in Q, K_\rho = \{x \in \Omega \mid \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |x_i - x_{0,i}| < \rho\}, p_\rho^+ = \sup_{K_\rho} p(x), p_\rho^- = \inf_{K_\rho} p(x), Q(\rho^{p_\rho^+}, \rho) = K_\rho \times (t_0 - \rho^{p_\rho^+}, t_0)$, and $\max\{p_\rho^+, 2\} \leq \delta < q = ((N + 2)/N)p_\rho^-$.

2. Preliminaries

We first recall some facts on spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$, and $W^{m,x}L^{p(x)}(Q)$. For the details, see [19–21].

Although we assume (1.10) holds in this paper, in this section we introduce the general spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$, and $W^{m,x}L^{p(x)}(Q)$.

Denote

$$E = \{\omega : \omega \text{ is a measurable function on } \Omega\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open subset.

Let $p(x) : \Omega \rightarrow [1, \infty]$ be an element in E . Denote $\Omega_\infty = \{x \in \Omega : p(x) = \infty\}$. For $u \in E$, we define

$$\rho(u) = \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\infty} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \Omega_\infty} |u(x)|. \quad (2.2)$$

The space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is

$$L^{p(x)}(\Omega) = \{u \in E : \exists \lambda > 0, \rho(\lambda u) < \infty\} \quad (2.3)$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \rho\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (2.4)$$

We define the conjugate function $q(x)$ of $p(x)$ by

$$q(x) = \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } p(x) = 1; \\ 1, & \text{if } p(x) = \infty; \\ \frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}, & \text{if } 1 < p(x) < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Lemma 2.1 (see [21]). (1) *The dual space of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ if $1 \leq p(x) < \infty$.*

(2) *The space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is reflexive if and only if (1.10) is satisfied.*

Lemma 2.2 (see [21]). *If $1 \leq p(x) < \infty$, $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is dense in the space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is separable.*

Lemma 2.3 (see [21]). *Let $1 \leq p(x) \leq \infty$, for every $u(x) \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v(x) \in L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, we have*

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(x)v(x)| dx \leq C \|u(x)\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} \|v(x)\|_{L^{q(x)}(\Omega)}, \quad (2.6)$$

where C is only dependent on $p(x)$ and Ω , not dependent on $u(x), v(x)$.

Next let $m > 0$ be an integer. For each $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$, α_i are nonnegative integers and $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$, and denote by D^α the distributional derivative of order α with respect to the variable x .

We now introduce the generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev space $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ which is defined as

$$W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) : D^\alpha u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega), |\alpha| \leq m \right\}. \tag{2.7}$$

$W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space endowed with the norm

$$\|u\| = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}. \tag{2.8}$$

The space $W_0^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is defined as the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$. The dual space $(W_0^{m,p(x)}(\Omega))^*$ is denoted by $W^{-m,q(x)}(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{W^{-m,q(x)}(\Omega)} = \inf_{\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} f_\alpha} \|f_\alpha\|_{L^{q(x)}(\Omega)}, \tag{2.9}$$

where infimum is taken on all possible decompositions

$$f = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha f_\alpha, \quad f_\alpha \in L^{q(x)}(\Omega). \tag{2.10}$$

Lemma 2.4 (see [21]). (1) $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ are separable if $1 \leq p(x) < \infty$.

(2) $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ are reflexive if (1.10) holds.

We define the space $W^{m,x}L^{p(x)}(Q)$ as the following:

$$W^{m,x}L^{p(x)}(Q) = \left\{ u \in L^{p(x)}(Q) : D^\alpha u \in L^{p(x)}(Q), |\alpha| \leq m \right\}. \tag{2.11}$$

$W^{m,x}L^{p(x)}(Q)$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|u\| = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^{p(x)}(Q)}$, where $p(x)$ is independent of t .

The space $W_0^{m,x}L^{p(x)}(Q)$ is defined as the closure of $C_0^\infty(Q)$ in $W^{m,x}L^{p(x)}(Q)$, and $W_0^{m,x}L^{p(x)}(Q) \hookrightarrow L^{p(x)}(Q)$ is continuous embedding. Let \bar{M} be the number of multiindexes α which satisfies $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m$, then the space $W_0^{m,x}L^{p(x)}(Q)$ can be considered as a close subspace of the product space $\prod_{i=1}^{\bar{M}} L^{p(x)}(Q)$. So if $1 < p(x) < \infty$, $\prod_{i=1}^{\bar{M}} L^{p(x)}(Q)$ is reflexive and further we can get that the space $W_0^{m,x}L^{p(x)}(Q)$ is reflexive. The dual space $(W_0^{m,x}L^{p(x)}(Q))^*$ is denoted by $W^{-m,x}L^{q(x)}(Q)$ equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{W^{-m,x}L^{q(x)}(Q)} = \sup_{\|u\|_{W_0^{m,x}L^{p(x)}(Q)} \leq 1} |\langle f, u \rangle| = \inf_{\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} f_\alpha} \|f_\alpha\|_{L^{q(x)}(Q)}, \tag{2.12}$$

where infimum is taken on all possible decompositions

$$f = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D_x^\alpha f_\alpha, \quad f_\alpha \in L^{q(x)}(Q). \tag{2.13}$$

Next, we will introduce some results in [22].

Lemma 2.5. Let $\{Y_n\}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of positive numbers, satisfying the inequalities $Y_{n+1} \leq Cb^n Y_n^{1+\alpha}$, where $C, b > 1$ and $\alpha > 0$ are given numbers. If $Y_0 \leq C^{-1/\alpha} b^{-1/\alpha^2}$, then $\{Y_n\}$ converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.6. There exists a constant C depending only on N, r, m , such that for every $v \in L^\infty(0, T; L^m(\Omega)) \cap L^r(0, T; W_0^{1,r}(\Omega))$,

$$\int_Q |v(x, t)|^q dx dt \leq C^q \left(\int_Q |Dv(x, t)|^r dx dt \right) \left(\sup_{0 < t < T} \int_\Omega |v(x, t)|^m dx \right)^{r/N}, \quad (2.14)$$

where $q = r((N + m)/N)$.

Remark 2.7. In [10], we have gotten that for the Galerkin solutions $u_n \in C^1(0, T; C_0^\infty(\Omega))$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^1(Q)$, $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W^{1,x}L^{p(x)}(Q)$, $a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \rightharpoonup a(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ weakly in $L^{q(x)}(Q)$ and $a_0(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \rightharpoonup a_0(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ weakly in $L^{q(x)}(Q)$.

3. Proof of the Theorem

Suppose that u is a weak solution of (1.2)–(1.4), then there exists $\delta > \max\{p^+, 2\}$ such that

$$\int_Q |u|^\delta dx dt < \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

Indeed, by Young's inequality, we have

$$\int_{Q \cap \{p^- < p(x)\}} |\nabla u|^{p^-} dx dt + \int_{Q \cap \{p^- = p(x)\}} |\nabla u|^{p^-} dx dt \leq |Q| + \int_Q |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx dt < \infty, \quad (3.2)$$

where $|Q|$ is the Lebesgue measure of Q . Since $W_0^{1,x}L^{p(x)}(Q) \hookrightarrow W_0^{1,x}L^{p^-}(Q) = L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p^-}(\Omega))$ and $u \in W^{1,x}L^{p(x)}(Q) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$, we can get $u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p^-}(\Omega))$. Then by Lemma 2.6, we get

$$\int_Q |u|^\delta dx dt \leq C^\delta \left(\int_Q |Du|^{p^-} dx dt \right) \left(\sup_{0 < t < T} \int_\Omega |u|^2 dx \right)^{2/N}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\delta = ((N + 2)/N)p^-$. Thus the desired result is obtained.

We define $u_+ = \max\{u, 0\}$. Fix a point (x_0, t_0) in Q . Let $0 < \rho < 1$, $0 < \theta < 1$, and $Q(\theta, \rho) \equiv K_\rho \times (t_0 - \theta, t_0) \subset Q$. Fix $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ and consider the sequences

$$\rho_m = \sigma\rho + \frac{1-\sigma}{2^m}\rho, \quad \theta_m = \sigma\theta + \frac{1-\sigma}{2^m}\theta, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (3.4)$$

and the corresponding cylinders $Q_m = Q(\theta_m, \rho_m)$. It follows from the definitions that

$$Q_0 = Q(\theta, \rho), \quad Q_\infty = Q(\sigma\theta, \sigma\rho). \tag{3.5}$$

We consider also the boxes $\tilde{Q}_m = Q(\tilde{\theta}_m, \tilde{\rho}_m)$, where for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\tilde{\rho}_m = \frac{\rho_m + \rho_{m+1}}{2}, \quad \tilde{\theta}_m = \frac{\theta_m + \theta_{m+1}}{2}. \tag{3.6}$$

For these boxes, we have the inclusion

$$Q_{m+1} \subset \tilde{Q}_m \subset Q_m, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{3.7}$$

We introduce the sequence of increasing levels

$$k_m = k - \frac{k}{2^m}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad k > 0 \text{ to be chosen.} \tag{3.8}$$

Let $\{u_n\}$ be the Galerkin solutions in [10]. Similarly, we can get $u_n - u$ is bounded in $L^\delta(Q)$. Since $u_n - u$ converges to 0 in $L^1(Q)$, by interpolation inequality, we have

$$\|u_n - u\|_{L^{p^+}(Q)} \leq \|u_n - u\|_{L^1(Q)}^\lambda \|u_n - u\|_{L^\delta(Q)}^{1-\lambda}, \tag{3.9}$$

where $0 < \lambda < 1$, $1/p^+ = \lambda + \delta/(1 - \lambda)$. Furthermore, $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{p^+}(Q)$. Since $L^{p^+}(Q) \hookrightarrow L^{p(x)}(Q)$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{p(x)}(Q)$. In the same way, we obtain that $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^2(Q)$; furthermore, we get $\|u_n(t) - u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$.

Let $Q_m^t = K_{\rho_m} \times (t_0 - \theta_m, t)$ and ζ be the smooth cutoff function satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1, \quad \zeta \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } \partial K_{\rho_m} \times (t_0 - \theta_m, t_0) \cup K_{\rho_m} \times \{t\}, \quad \zeta \equiv 1 \quad \text{in } \tilde{Q}_m, \\ |\nabla \zeta| \leq \frac{2^{m+2}}{(1 - \sigma)\rho}, \quad 0 \leq \zeta_t \leq \frac{2^{m+2}}{(1 - \sigma)\theta}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Take $\varphi = (u_n - k_{m+1})_+ \zeta^{p^+}$ as the testing function in the following equation:

$$\int_{Q_m^t} \varphi \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} dx dt + \int_{Q_m^t} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla \varphi dx dt + \int_{Q_m^t} a_0(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \varphi dx dt = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

First, by $\|u_n(t) - u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^2(Q)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_m^t} \varphi \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} dx dt \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_m^t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u_n - k_{m+1})_+^2 \zeta^{p_\rho^+} dx dt \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{K_{\rho m}} (u_n - k_{m+1})_+^2 \zeta^{p_\rho^+}(x, t) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{K_{\rho m}} (u_n - k_{m+1})_+^2 \zeta^{p_\rho^+}(x, t_0 - \theta_m) dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{p_\rho^+}{2} \int_{Q_m^t} (u_n - k_{m+1})_+^2 \zeta^{p_\rho^+ - 1} |\zeta_t| dx dt \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{K_{\rho m}} (u - k_{m+1})_+^2 \zeta^{p_\rho^+}(x, t) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{K_{\rho m}} (u - k_{m+1})_+^2 \zeta^{p_\rho^+}(x, t_0 - \theta_m) dx \\
&\quad - \frac{p_\rho^+}{2} \int_{Q_m^t} (u - k_{m+1})_+^2 \zeta^{p_\rho^+ - 1} |\zeta_t| dx dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

By Fatou's lemma, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{Q_m^t} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla (u_n - k_{m+1})_+ \zeta^{p_\rho^+} dx dt + \int_{Q_m^t \cap \{u_n > k_{m+1}\}} a_0(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) u_n \zeta^{p_\rho^+} dx dt \right) \\
&\geq \int_{Q_m^t} a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \nabla (u - k_{m+1})_+ \zeta^{p_\rho^+} dx dt + \int_{Q_m^t \cap \{u > k_{m+1}\}} a_0(x, t, u, \nabla u) u \zeta^{p_\rho^+} dx dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Because $(u_n)_+ \rightarrow u_+$ strongly in $L^{p(x)}(Q)$ and $a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \rightharpoonup a(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ weakly in $L^{q(x)}(Q)$, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_m^t} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) (u_n - k_{m+1})_+ \zeta^{p_\rho^+ - 1} \nabla \zeta dx dt = \int_{Q_m^t} a(x, t, u, \nabla u) (u - k_{m+1})_+ \zeta^{p_\rho^+ - 1} \nabla \zeta dx dt. \tag{3.14}$$

Since $(u_n)_+ \rightarrow u_+$ strongly in $L^{p(x)}(Q)$ and $a_0(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \rightharpoonup a_0(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ weakly in $L^{q(x)}(Q)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{Q_m^t} a_0(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) (u_n - k_{m+1})_+ \zeta^{p_\rho^+} dx dt - \int_{Q_m^t \cap \{u_n > k_{m+1}\}} a_0(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) u_n \zeta^{p_\rho^+} dx dt \right) \\
&= \int_{Q_m^t} a_0(x, t, u, \nabla u) (u - k_{m+1})_+ \zeta^{p_\rho^+} dx dt - \int_{Q_m^t \cap \{u > k_{m+1}\}} a_0(x, t, u, \nabla u) u \zeta^{p_\rho^+} dx dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Then for the remaining parts of (3.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_m^t} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla \varphi + a_0(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \varphi \, dx \, dt \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{Q_m^t} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla (u_n - k_{m+1})_+ \zeta^{p_\rho^+} \, dx \, dt \right. \\
 &\quad + p_\rho^+ \int_{Q_m^t} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) (u_n - k_{m+1})_+ \zeta^{p_\rho^+ - 1} \nabla \zeta \, dx \, dt \\
 &\quad + \int_{Q_m^t} a_0(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) (u_n - k_{m+1})_+ \zeta^{p_\rho^+} \, dx \, dt \\
 &\quad + \int_{Q_m^t \cap \{u_n > k_{m+1}\}} a_0(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) u_n \zeta^{p_\rho^+} \, dx \, dt \\
 &\quad \left. - \int_{Q_m^t \cap \{u_n > k_{m+1}\}} a_0(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) u_n \zeta^{p_\rho^+} \, dx \, dt \right) \tag{3.16} \\
 &\geq \int_{Q_m^t} a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \nabla (u - k_{m+1})_+ \zeta^{p_\rho^+} \, dx \, dt \\
 &\quad + \int_{Q_m^t \cap \{u > k_{m+1}\}} a_0(x, t, u, \nabla u) u \zeta^{p_\rho^+} \, dx \, dt \\
 &\quad + p_\rho^+ \int_{Q_m^t} a(x, t, u, \nabla u) (u - k_{m+1})_+ \zeta^{p_\rho^+ - 1} \nabla \zeta \, dx \, dt \\
 &\quad + \int_{Q_m^t} a_0(x, t, u, \nabla u) (u - k_{m+1})_+ \zeta^{p_\rho^+} \, dx \, dt \\
 &\quad - \int_{Q_m^t \cap \{u > k_{m+1}\}} a_0(x, t, u, \nabla u) u \zeta^{p_\rho^+} \, dx \, dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (1.6), (1.7), and (1.9),

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &\geq \beta \left(\int_{Q_m^t} |\nabla (u - k_{m+1})_+|^{p(x)} \zeta^{p_\rho^+} \, dx \, dt + \int_{Q_m^t \cap \{u > k_{m+1}\}} |u|^{p(x)} \zeta^{p_\rho^+} \, dx \, dt \right) \\
 &\quad - p_\rho^+ \alpha \int_{Q_m^t \cap \{u > k_{m+1}\}} |u|^{p(x)} \zeta^{p_\rho^+ - 1} |\nabla \zeta| \, dx \, dt \\
 &\quad - p_\rho^+ \alpha \int_{Q_m^t} |\nabla (u - k_{m+1})_+|^{p(x)-1} (u - k_{m+1})_+ \zeta^{p_\rho^+ - 1} |\nabla \zeta| \, dx \, dt \\
 &\quad - \alpha \int_{Q_m^t \cap \{u > k_{m+1}\}} |u|^{p(x)} \zeta^{p_\rho^+} \, dx \, dt - \alpha \int_{Q_m^t} |\nabla (u - k_{m+1})_+|^{p(x)-1} |u| \zeta^{p_\rho^+} \, dx \, dt. \tag{3.17}
 \end{aligned}$$

As $(p_\rho^+ - 1)(p(x))/(p(x) - 1) > p_\rho^+$, by Young's inequality and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_m^t} |\nabla(u - k_{m+1})_+|^{p(x)-1} (u - k_{m+1})_+ \zeta^{p_\rho^+ - 1} |\nabla \zeta| dx dt \\ & \leq \varepsilon \int_{Q_m^t} |\nabla(u - k_{m+1})_+|^{p(x)} \zeta^{p_\rho^+} dx dt + C(\varepsilon) \int_{Q_m^t} (u - k_{m+1})_+^{p(x)} |\nabla \zeta|^{p(x)} dx dt \\ & \leq \varepsilon \int_{Q_m^t} |\nabla(u - k_{m+1})_+|^{p(x)} \zeta^{p_\rho^+} dx dt + C(\varepsilon) \int_{Q_m^t} (u - k_{m+1})_+^{p_\rho^+} |\nabla \zeta|^{p_\rho^+} dx dt \\ & \quad + C(\varepsilon) \int_{Q_m^t} \chi[(u - k_{m+1})_+ > 0] dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

In the same way, by $p_\rho^+(p(x)/(p(x) - 1)) > p_\rho^+$ and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_m^t} |\nabla(u - k_{m+1})_+|^{p(x)-1} |u| \zeta^{p_\rho^+} dx dt & \leq \varepsilon \int_{Q_m^t} |\nabla(u - k_{m+1})_+|^{p(x)} \zeta^{p_\rho^+} dx dt \\ & \quad + C(\varepsilon) \int_{Q_m^t \cap \{u > k_{m+1}\}} |u|^{p(x)} dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

For a set A , $\text{meas } A$ is the Lebesgue measure of A . Let $|A_{m+1}| \equiv \text{meas}\{(x, t) \in Q_m \mid u(x, t) > k_{m+1}\}$ and $\varepsilon\alpha = \beta/4$. By (3.11)–(3.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t_0 - \theta_m < t < t_0} \int_{K_{\rho_m}} (u - k_{m+1})_+^2 \zeta^{p_\rho^+} dx + \int_{Q_m} |\nabla(u - k_{m+1})_+|^{p(x)} \zeta^{p_\rho^+} dx dt \\ & \leq \int_{Q_m} (u - k_{m+1})_+^2 \zeta^{p_\rho^+ - 1} |\zeta_t| dx dt + C \int_{Q_m} (u - k_{m+1})_+^{p_\rho^+} |\nabla \zeta|^{p_\rho^+} dx dt + C|A_{m+1}| \\ & \quad + C \int_{Q_m \cap \{u > k_{m+1}\}} |u|^{p(x)} \zeta^{p_\rho^+ - 1} |\nabla \zeta| dx dt + C \int_{Q_m \cap \{u > k_{m+1}\}} |u|^{p(x)} dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Moreover, we observe that for $s > 0$ to be determined later,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_m} (u - k_m)_+^s dx dt & \geq \int_{Q_m} (u - k_m)_+^s \chi[u > k_{m+1}] dx dt \\ & \geq (k_{m+1} - k_m)^s |A_{m+1}| \\ & = \frac{k^s}{2^{(m+1)s}} |A_{m+1}|, \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

thus we get

$$|A_{m+1}| \leq \frac{2^{(m+1)s}}{k^s} \int_{Q_m} (u - k_m)_+^s dx dt. \tag{3.22}$$

Then for $s = 2$ and $s = p_\rho^+$ in (3.22), by Hölder inequality, we obtain respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_m} (u - k_{m+1})_+^2 dx dt &\leq \left(\int_{Q_m} (u - k_{m+1})_+^\delta dx dt \right)^{2/\delta} |A_{m+1}|^{1-2/\delta} \\ &\leq C \frac{2^{(\delta-2)m}}{k^{\delta-2}} \int_{Q_m} (u - k_m)_+^\delta dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_m} (u - k_{m+1})_+^{p_\rho^+} dx dt &\leq \left(\int_{Q_m} (u - k_{m+1})_+^\delta dx dt \right)^{p_\rho^+/\delta} |A_{m+1}|^{1-p_\rho^+/\delta} \\ &\leq C \frac{2^{(\delta-p_\rho^+)m}}{k^{\delta-p_\rho^+}} \int_{Q_m} (u - k_m)_+^\delta dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

For the integral involving $|u|^{p(x)}$, first we write $k_m = k_{m+1}((2^{m+1} - 2)/(2^{m+1} - 1))$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_m} (u - k_m)_+^\delta dx dt &\geq \int_{Q_m} (u - k_m)_+^\delta \chi[u > k_{m+1}] dx dt \\ &\geq \int_{Q_m} |u|^\delta \left(1 - \frac{2^{m+1} - 2}{2^{m+1} - 1} \right)^\delta \chi[u > k_{m+1}] dx dt \\ &\geq \frac{C}{2^{m\delta}} \int_{Q_m} |u|^\delta \chi[u > k_{m+1}] dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

By Young's inequality and (3.25), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{Q_m \cap \{u > k_{m+1}\}} |u|^{p(x)} \zeta^{p_\rho^+ - 1} |\nabla \zeta| + |u|^{p(x)} dx dt \\ &\leq C \frac{2^m}{(1 - \sigma)\rho} \int_{Q_m \cap \{u > k_{m+1}\}} |u|^{p(x)} dx dt \\ &\leq C \frac{2^m}{(1 - \sigma)\rho} \left(\int_{Q_m \cap \{u > k_{m+1}\}} |u|^\delta dx dt + |A_{m+1}| \right) \\ &\leq C \frac{2^m}{(1 - \sigma)\rho} \left(2^{m\delta} \int_{Q_m} (u - k_m)_+^\delta dx dt + |A_{m+1}| \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Let $1 < k \leq (1/\rho^{p_\rho^+ - 1})^{(1/\delta - p_\rho^+)}$, then $1/\rho \leq 1/\rho^{p_\rho^+} k^{\delta - p_\rho^+}$. By (3.20)–(3.24) and (3.26), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t_0 - \theta_m < t < t_0} \int_{K_{\rho_m}} (u - k_{m+1})_+^2 \zeta^{p_\rho^+} dx + \int_{Q_m} |\nabla(u - k_{m+1})_+|^{p(x)} \zeta^{p_\rho^+} dx dt \\ & \leq C \left(\frac{2^{(\delta-2)m}}{k^{\delta-2}} \frac{2^{m+2}}{(1-\sigma)\theta} + \frac{2^{(\delta-p_\rho^+)m}}{k^{\delta-p_\rho^+}} \frac{2^{m+2}}{(1-\sigma)\rho} + \frac{2^{(m+1)\delta}}{k^\delta} + \frac{2^m}{(1-\sigma)\rho} 2^{m\delta} + \frac{2^m}{(1-\sigma)\rho} \frac{2^{(m+1)\delta}}{k^\delta} \right) \\ & \quad \times \int_{Q_m} (u - k_m)_+^\delta dx dt \\ & \leq C \frac{2^{m(1+\delta)}}{(1-\sigma)^{p_\rho^+}} \left(\frac{1}{\theta k^{\delta-2}} + \frac{1}{\rho^{p_\rho^+} k^{\delta-p_\rho^+}} \right) \int_{Q_m} (u - k_m)_+^\delta dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

By Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{Q}_m} |\nabla(u - k_{m+1})_+|^{p_\rho^-} dx dt & \leq \int_{\tilde{Q}_m} |\nabla(u - k_{m+1})_+|^{p(x)} dx dt + |A_{m+1} \cap \tilde{Q}_m| \\ & \leq \int_{\tilde{Q}_m} |\nabla(u - k_{m+1})_+|^{p(x)} dx dt + |A_{m+1}|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

Moreover, by (3.27), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t_0 - \theta_m < t < t_0} \int_{K_{\tilde{\rho}_m}} (u - k_{m+1})_+^2 dx + \int_{\tilde{Q}_m} |\nabla(u - k_{m+1})_+|^{p_\rho^-} dx dt \\ & \leq C \frac{2^{m(1+\delta)}}{(1-\sigma)^{p_\rho^+}} \left(\frac{1}{\theta k^{\delta-2}} + \frac{1}{\rho^{p_\rho^+} k^{\delta-p_\rho^+}} \right) \int_{Q_m} (u - k_m)_+^\delta dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Next we define the smooth cutoff function $\tilde{\zeta}_m$ in \tilde{Q}_m

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \tilde{\zeta}_m \leq 1, \quad \tilde{\zeta}_m \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } \partial K_{\tilde{\rho}_m} \times (t_0 - \tilde{\theta}_m, t_0), \\ \tilde{\zeta}_m \equiv 1 \quad \text{in } Q_{m+1}, \quad |\nabla \tilde{\zeta}_m| \leq \frac{2^{m+2}}{(1-\sigma)\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

For the function $(u - k_{m+1})_+ \tilde{\zeta}_m$, by Lemma 2.6 and (3.29), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\tilde{Q}_m} (u - k_{m+1})_+^q \tilde{\zeta}_m^q dx dt \\
 & \leq C \left(\int_{\tilde{Q}_m} |\nabla(u - k_{m+1})_+|^{p_\rho^-} dx dt + \int_{\tilde{Q}_m} |(u - k_{m+1})_+|^{p_\rho^-} |\nabla \tilde{\zeta}_m|^{p_\rho^-} dx dt \right) \\
 & \quad \times \left(\sup_{t_0 - \theta_m < t < t_0} \int_{K_{\tilde{\rho}_m}} (u - k_{m+1})_+^2 dx \right)^{p_\rho^-/N} \\
 & \leq C \left(\frac{2m(1+\delta)}{(1-\sigma)^{p_\rho^+}} \left(\frac{1}{\theta k^{\delta-2}} + \frac{1}{\rho^{p_\rho^+} k^{\delta-p_\rho^+}} \right) \right)^{1+p_\rho^-/N} \left(\int_{Q_m} (u - k_m)_+^\delta dx dt \right)^{1+p_\rho^-/N}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Finally, we define $Y_m = (1/|Q_m|) \int_{Q_m} (u - k_m)_+^\delta dx dt$, $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Let $\theta = \rho^{p_\rho^+}$; by Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{m+1} &= \frac{1}{|Q_{m+1}|} \int_{Q_{m+1}} (u - k_{m+1})_+^\delta dx dt \\
 &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{|\tilde{Q}_m|} \int_{\tilde{Q}_m} (u - k_{m+1})_+^\delta \tilde{\zeta}_m^\delta dx dt \right) \\
 &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{|\tilde{Q}_m|} \int_{\tilde{Q}_m} (u - k_{m+1})_+^q \tilde{\zeta}_m^q dx dt \right)^{\delta/q} \left(\frac{|A_{m+1}|}{|Q_m|} \right)^{1-\delta/q} \\
 &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{|\tilde{Q}_m|} \int_{\tilde{Q}_m} (u - k_{m+1})_+^q \tilde{\zeta}_m^q dx dt \right)^{\delta/q} \left(\frac{2m^\delta}{k^\delta} Y_m \right)^{1-\delta/q} \\
 &\leq \frac{Cb^m}{(\rho(1-\sigma))^{p_\rho^+((N+p_\rho^-)/N)\delta/q} k^{\delta/q(q-\delta)}} Y_m^{1+\delta p_\rho^-/Nq},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

where $b = 2^{\delta(1+\delta p_\rho^-/qN+(1/q)(1+p_\rho^-/N))}$. Then by Lemma 2.5, we have $Y_m \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, provided $k = \max\{\bar{k}, 1\}$ is chosen to satisfy

$$Y_0 = \frac{1}{|Q(\rho^{p_\rho^+}, \rho)|} \int_{Q(\rho^{p_\rho^+}, \rho)} u^\delta dx dt = C \bar{k}^{-(q-\delta)N/p_\rho^-} (1-\sigma)^{((N+p_\rho^-)/p_\rho^-)p_\rho^+}. \tag{3.33}$$

By $Y_m \rightarrow 0$, we can get $\int_{Q_0} (u - k_m)_+^\delta \chi_{Q_m} dx dt \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since $(u - k_m)_+^\delta \chi_{Q_m} \leq (|u| + k)^\delta$ and $(u - k_m)_+^\delta \chi_{Q_m} \rightarrow (u - k)_+^\delta \chi_{Q(\sigma\theta, \sigma\rho)}$ a.e. in Q_0 , by Lebesgue's theorem we get $\int_{Q_0} (u - k_m)_+^\delta \chi_{Q_m} dx dt \rightarrow \int_{Q_0} (u - k)_+^\delta \chi_{Q(\sigma\theta, \sigma\rho)} dx dt = 0$. So we obtain $u \leq k$ a.e. in $Q(\sigma\theta, \sigma\rho)$.

Thus we get

$$\sup_{Q(\sigma\rho^{\frac{+}{p}},\sigma\rho)} u \leq \max \left\{ 1, C(1-\sigma)^{-p_p^+(N+p_p^-)/N(q-\delta)} \left(\frac{1}{|Q(\rho^{\frac{+}{p}},\rho)|} \int_{Q(\rho^{\frac{+}{p}},\rho)} u^\delta dx dt \right)^{p_p^-/N(q-\delta)} \right\}. \quad (3.34)$$

Remark 3.1. In this paper, we study the boundedness of weak solution in the case $p^- > \max\{1, 2N/(N+2)\}$. For the singular case $1 < p^- \leq \max\{1, 2N/(N+2)\}$, the conditions in the paper are not enough. In [22], there is a counterexample in §13 of Chapter XII. The author studied the solutions of the homogeneous equation

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - \operatorname{div} |Du|^{p-2} Du &= 0, \quad \text{in } Q, \\ u &\in C_{\text{loc}}(0, T; L_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega)) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^p(0, T; W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)), \quad p > 1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

where

$$u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(Q), \quad u \in \bar{L}_{\text{loc}}^{1+\varepsilon}(Q) \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1), \quad p = \frac{2N}{N+1}, \quad (3.36)$$

and proved that the solution u is unbounded in Q .

Remark 3.2. In general, we consider the equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + A(u) = f(x, t) \geq 0, \quad \text{in } Q, \quad (3.37)$$

where

$$f(x, t)^{\delta/(\delta-1)} \in L^{(N+p^-)/(1-h_0)p^-}(Q), \quad (3.38)$$

$h_0 \in (0, 1]$ and $A : W_0^{1,x} L^{p(x)}(Q) \rightarrow W^{-1,x} L^{q(x)}(Q)$ is an elliptic operator of the form $A(u) = -\operatorname{div} a(x, t, u, \nabla u) + a_0(x, t, u, \nabla u)$. $a(x, t, s, \xi)$ and $a_0(x, t, s, \xi)$ satisfy that for a.e. $(x, t) \in Q$, any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi \neq \xi^* \in \mathbb{R}^N$:

$$\begin{aligned} |a(x, t, s, \xi)| &\leq \alpha \left(C(x, t) + |s|^{p(x)-1} + |\xi|^{p(x)-1} \right), \\ |a_0(x, t, s, \xi)| &\leq \alpha \left(C(x, t) + |s|^{p(x)-1} + |\xi|^{p(x)-1} \right), \\ [a(x, t, s, \xi) - a(x, t, s, \xi^*)](\xi - \xi^*) &> 0, \\ a(x, t, s, \xi)\xi + a_0(x, t, s, \xi)s &\geq \beta \left(|\xi|^{p(x)} + |s|^{p(x)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

where $C(x, t) \geq 0$, $C(x, t)^{p(x)/(p(x)-1)} \in L^{(N+p^-)/(1-h_0)p^-}(Q)$, and $\alpha, \beta > 0$ are constants.

Similarly, we can get the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Let $p^- > \max\{1, 2N/(N+2)\}$. If u is a nonnegative local weak solution of (3.37), (1.3), and (1.4), then u is locally bounded in Q . Moreover, there exists a constant $C = C(N, p_\rho^+, p_\rho^-, \rho)$ such that for any $Q(\rho^{p_\rho^+}, \rho) \in Q$ and any $\sigma \in (0, 1)$,

$$\sup_{Q(\sigma\rho^{p_\rho^+}, \sigma\rho)} u \leq \max \left\{ 1, C(1-\sigma)^{-p_\rho^+(N+p_\rho^-)/N(q-\delta)} \left(\frac{1}{|Q(\rho^{p_\rho^+}, \rho)|} \int_{Q(\rho^{p_\rho^+}, \rho)} u^\delta dx dt \right)^{\tilde{h}/(q-\delta)} \right\}, \quad (3.40)$$

where for all $(x_0, t_0) \in Q$, $K_\rho = \{x \in \Omega \mid \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |x_i - x_{0,i}| < \rho\}$, $p_\rho^+ = \sup_{K_\rho} p(x)$, $p_\rho^- = \inf_{K_\rho} p(x)$, $Q(\rho^{p_\rho^+}, \rho) = K_\rho \times (t_0 - \rho^{p_\rho^+}, t_0)$, and $\max\{p_\rho^+, 2\} \leq \delta < q = ((N+2)/N)p_\rho^-$, $\tilde{h} = h_0(p_\rho^-/N) \in (0, p_\rho^-/N]$.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by Science Research Foundation in Harbin Institute of Technology (HITC200702), and The Natural Science Foundation of Heilongjiang Province (A2007-04) and the Program of Excellent Team in Harbin Institute of Technology.

References

- [1] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione, "Regularity results for stationary electro-rheological fluids," *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 164, no. 3, pp. 213–259, 2002.
- [2] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione, "Gradient estimates for the $p(x)$ -Laplacean system," *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik*, vol. 584, pp. 117–148, 2005.
- [3] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione, "Gradient estimates for a class of parabolic systems," *Duke Mathematical Journal*, vol. 136, no. 2, pp. 285–320, 2007.
- [4] M. Mihăilescu and V. Rădulescu, "On a nonhomogeneous quasilinear eigenvalue problem in Sobolev spaces with variable exponent," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 135, no. 9, pp. 2929–2937, 2007.
- [5] M. Mihăilescu and V. Rădulescu, "A multiplicity result for a nonlinear degenerate problem arising in the theory of electrorheological fluids," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A*, vol. 462, no. 2073, pp. 2625–2641, 2006.
- [6] V. Rădulescu, "Exponential growth of a solution," *The American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 114, pp. 165–166, 2007.
- [7] M. Ruzicka, *Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory*, vol. 1748 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2000.
- [8] M. Xu and Y. Z. Chen, "Hölder continuity of weak solutions for parabolic equations with nonstandard growth conditions," *Acta Mathematica Sinica. English Series*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 793–806, 2006.
- [9] V. V. Zhikov, "Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory," *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR. Seriya Matematicheskaya*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 675–710, 1986.
- [10] Y. Fu and N. Pan, "Existence of solutions for nonlinear parabolic problem with $p(x)$ -growth," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 362, no. 2, pp. 313–326, 2010.
- [11] C. Chen, "Global existence and L^∞ estimates of solution for doubly nonlinear parabolic equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 244, no. 1, pp. 133–146, 2000.
- [12] Y. Z. Chen and E. DiBenedetto, "Boundary estimates for solutions of nonlinear degenerate parabolic systems," *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik*, vol. 395, pp. 102–131, 1989.

- [13] G. R. Cirmi and M. M. Porzio, " L^∞ -solutions for some nonlinear degenerate elliptic and parabolic equations," *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata. Serie Quarta*, vol. 169, pp. 67–86, 1995.
- [14] J. Liu, G. N'Guérékata, N. V. Minh, and V. Q. Phong, "Bounded solutions of parabolic equations in continuous function spaces," *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 337–355, 2006.
- [15] M. M. Porzio, " L_{loc}^∞ -estimates for degenerate and singular parabolic equations," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 17, no. 11, pp. 1093–1107, 1991.
- [16] Y. Z. Chen and E. DiBenedetto, "On the local behavior of solutions of singular parabolic equations," *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 103, no. 4, pp. 319–345, 1988.
- [17] E. DiBenedetto, U. Gianazza, and V. Vespri, "Harnack estimates for quasi-linear degenerate parabolic differential equations," *Acta Mathematica*, vol. 200, no. 2, pp. 181–209, 2008.
- [18] Y. Chen, S. Levine, and M. Rao, "Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration," *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 66, no. 4, pp. 1383–1406, 2006.
- [19] S. J. Shi, S. T. Chen, and Y. W. Wang, "Some convergence theorems in the spaces $W_0^{1,x}L^{p(x)}(Q)$ and their conjugate spaces," *Acta Mathematica Sinica. Chinese Series*, vol. 50, no. 5, pp. 1081–1086, 2007.
- [20] X. Fan and D. Zhao, "On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 263, no. 2, pp. 424–446, 2001.
- [21] O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník, "On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{m,p(x)}$," *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, vol. 41, pp. 592–618, 1991.
- [22] E. DiBenedetto, *Degenerate Parabolic Equations*, Universitext, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1993.