

RESEARCH

Open Access



Some normed binomial difference sequence spaces related to the ℓ_p spaces

Meimei Song and Jian Meng*

*Correspondence: mengjian0710@163.com
Department of Mathematics, Tianjin University of Technology, Tianjin, 300000, P.R. China

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to introduce the normed binomial sequence spaces $b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$ by combining the binomial transformation and difference operator, where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We prove that these spaces are linearly isomorphic to the spaces ℓ_p and ℓ_∞ , respectively. Furthermore, we compute Schauder bases and the α -, β - and γ -duals of these sequence spaces.

Keywords: sequence space; matrix domain; Schauder basis; α -, β - and γ -duals

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let w denote the space of all sequences. By ℓ_p , ℓ_∞ , c and c_0 , we denote the spaces of p -absolutely summable, bounded, convergent and null sequences, respectively, where $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let Z be a sequence space, then Kizmaz [1] introduced the following difference sequence spaces:

$$Z(\Delta) = \{x_k \in w : (\Delta x_k) \in Z\}$$

for $Z = \ell_\infty, c, c_0$, where $\Delta x_k = x_k - x_{k+1}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, the set of positive integers. Since then, many authors have studied further generalization of the difference sequence spaces [2–6]. Moreover, Altay and Polat [7], Bařarir and Kara [8–12], Kara [13], Kara and İlkhān [14], Polat and Bařar [15], and many others have studied new sequence spaces from a matrix point of view that represent difference operators.

For an infinite matrix $A = (a_{n,k})$ and $x = (x_k) \in w$, the A -transform of x is defined by $Ax = \{(Ax)_n\}$ and is supposed to be convergent for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $(Ax)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k}x_k$. For two sequence spaces X, Y and an infinite matrix $A = (a_{n,k})$, the sequence space X_A is defined by $X_A = \{x = (x_k) \in w : Ax \in X\}$, which is called the domain of matrix A in the space X . By $(X : Y)$, we denote the class of all matrices such that $X \subseteq Y_A$.

The Euler means E^r of order r is defined by the matrix $E^r = (e_{n,k}^r)$, where $0 < r < 1$ and

$$e_{n,k}^r = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{k} (1-r)^{n-k} r^k & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > n. \end{cases}$$

The Euler sequence spaces e_p^r and e_∞^r were defined by Altay, Başar and Mursaleen [16] as follows:

$$e_p^r = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sum_n \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (1-r)^{n-k} r^k x_k \right|^p < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$e_\infty^r = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (1-r)^{n-k} r^k x_k \right| < \infty \right\}.$$

Altay and Polat [7] defined further generalization of the Euler sequence spaces $e_0^r(\nabla)$, $e_c^r(\nabla)$ and $e_\infty^r(\nabla)$ by

$$e_0^r(\nabla) = \{ x = (x_k) \in w : (\nabla x_k) \in e_0^r \},$$

$$e_c^r(\nabla) = \{ x = (x_k) \in w : (\nabla x_k) \in e_c^r \}$$

and

$$e_\infty^r(\nabla) = \{ x = (x_k) \in w : (\nabla x_k) \in e_\infty^r \},$$

where $\nabla x_k = x_k - x_{k-1}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Here any term with negative subscript is equal to naught. Many authors have used especially the Euler matrix for defining new sequence spaces, for instance, Kara and Başarir [17], Karakaya and Polat [18] and Polat and Başar [15].

Recently Bişgin [19, 20] defined another type of generalization of the Euler sequence spaces and introduced the binomial sequence spaces $b_0^{r,s}$, $b_c^{r,s}$, $b_\infty^{r,s}$ and $b_p^{r,s}$. Let $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r + s \neq 0$. Then the binomial matrix $B^{r,s} = (b_{n,k}^{r,s})$ is defined by

$$b_{n,k}^{r,s} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(s+r)^n} \binom{n}{k} s^{n-k} r^k & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > n, \end{cases}$$

for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $sr > 0$ we have

- (i) $\|B^{r,s}\| < \infty$,
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n,k}^{r,s} = 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k b_{n,k}^{r,s} = 1$.

Thus, the binomial matrix $B^{r,s}$ is regular for $sr > 0$. Unless stated otherwise, we assume that $sr > 0$. If we take $s + r = 1$, we obtain the Euler matrix E^r . So the binomial matrix generalizes the Euler matrix. Bişgin [20] defined the following spaces of binomial sequences:

$$b_p^{r,s} = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sum_n \left| \frac{1}{(s+r)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} s^{n-k} r^k x_k \right|^p < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$b_\infty^{r,s} = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \frac{1}{(s+r)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} s^{n-k} r^k x_k \right| < \infty \right\}.$$

The main purpose of the present paper is to study the normed difference spaces $b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$ and $b_\infty^{r,s}(\nabla)$ of the binomial sequence whose $B^{r,s}(\nabla)$ -transforms are in the spaces ℓ_p and ℓ_∞ , respectively. These new sequence spaces are the generalization of the sequence spaces defined in [7] and [20]. Also, we compute the bases and α -, β - and γ -duals of these sequence spaces.

2 The binomial difference sequence spaces

In this section, we introduce the spaces $b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$ and $b_\infty^{r,s}(\nabla)$ and prove that these sequence spaces are linearly isomorphic to the spaces ℓ_p and ℓ_∞ , respectively.

We first define the binomial difference sequence spaces $b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$ and $b_\infty^{r,s}(\nabla)$ by

$$b_p^{r,s}(\nabla) = \{x = (x_k) \in w : (\nabla x_k) \in b_p^{r,s}\}$$

and

$$b_\infty^{r,s}(\nabla) = \{x = (x_k) \in w : (\nabla x_k) \in b_\infty^{r,s}\}.$$

Let us define the sequence $y = (y_n)$ as the $B^{r,s}(\nabla)$ -transform of a sequence $x = (x_k)$, that is,

$$y_n = [B^{r,s}(\nabla x_k)]_n = \frac{1}{(s+r)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} s^{n-k} r^k (\nabla x_k) \tag{2.1}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the binomial difference sequence spaces $b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$ or $b_\infty^{r,s}(\nabla)$ can be redefined by all sequences whose $B^{r,s}(\nabla)$ -transforms are in the space ℓ_p or ℓ_∞ .

Theorem 2.1 *The sequence spaces $b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$ and $b_\infty^{r,s}(\nabla)$ are complete linear metric spaces with the norm defined by*

$$f_{b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)}(x) = \|y\|_p = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and

$$f_{b_\infty^{r,s}(\nabla)}(x) = \|y\|_\infty = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |y_n|,$$

where $1 \leq p < \infty$ and the sequence $y = (y_n)$ is defined by the $B^{r,s}(\nabla)$ -transform of x .

Proof The proof of the linearity is a routine verification. It is obvious that $f_{b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)}(\alpha x) = |\alpha| f_{b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)}(x)$ and $f_{b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)}(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = \theta$ for all $x \in b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$, where θ is the zero element in $b_p^{r,s}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We consider $x, z \in b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)}(x+z) &= \left(\sum_n |(B^{r,s}[\nabla(x_k+z_k)])_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_n |[B^{r,s}(\nabla x_k)]_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_n |[B^{r,s}(\nabla z_k)]_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = f_{b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)}(x) + f_{b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f_{b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)}$ is a norm on the space $b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$.

Let (x_m) be a Cauchy sequence in $b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$, where $x_m = (x_{m_k})_{k=1}^\infty \in b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a positive integer m_0 such that $f_{b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)}(x_m - x_l) < \varepsilon$ for $m, l \geq m_0$. Then we get

$$|(B^{r,s}[\nabla(x_{m_k} - x_{l_k})])_n| \leq \left(\sum_n |(B^{r,s}[\nabla(x_{m_k} - x_{l_k})])_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \varepsilon$$

for $m, l \geq m_0$ and each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. So $(B^{r,s}(\nabla x_{m_k}))_{m=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in the set of real numbers \mathbb{R} . Since \mathbb{R} is complete, we have $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} B^{r,s}(\nabla x_{m_k}) = B^{r,s}(\nabla x_k)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We compute

$$\sum_{n=0}^i |(B^{r,s}[\nabla(x_{m_k} - x_{l_k})])_n| \leq f_{b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)}(x_m - x_l) < \varepsilon \tag{2.2}$$

for $m > m_0$. We take i and $l \rightarrow \infty$, then the inequality (2.2) implies that

$$f_{b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)}(x_m - x) \rightarrow 0.$$

We have

$$f_{b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)}(x) \leq f_{b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)}(x_m - x) + f_{b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)}(x_m) < \infty,$$

that is, $x \in b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$. Thus, the space $b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$ is complete. For the space $b_\infty^{r,s}(\nabla)$, the proof can be completed in a similar way. So, we omit the detail. □

Theorem 2.2 *The sequence spaces $b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$ and $b_\infty^{r,s}(\nabla)$ are linearly isomorphic to the spaces ℓ_p and ℓ_∞ , respectively, where $1 \leq p < \infty$.*

Proof Similarly, we only prove the theorem for the space $b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$. To prove $b_p^{r,s}(\nabla) \cong \ell_p$, we must show the existence of a linear bijection between the spaces $b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$ and ℓ_p .

Consider $T : b_p^{r,s}(\nabla) \rightarrow \ell_p$ by $T(x) = B^{r,s}(\nabla x_k)$. The linearity of T is obvious and $x = \theta$ whenever $T(x) = \theta$. Therefore, T is injective.

Let $y = (y_n) \in \ell_p$ and define the sequence $x = (x_k)$ by

$$x_k = \sum_{i=0}^k (s+r)^i \sum_{j=i}^k \binom{j}{i} r^{-j} (-s)^{j-i} y_i \tag{2.3}$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)}(x) &= \left\| [B^{r,s}(\nabla x_k)]_n \right\|_p \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \left| \frac{1}{(s+r)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} s^{n-k} r^k (\nabla x_k) \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty |y_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|y\|_p < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $x \in b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$ and $T(x) = y$. Consequently, T is surjective and is norm preserving. Thus, $b_p^{r,s}(\nabla) \cong \ell_p$. □

3 The Schauder basis and α -, β - and γ -duals

For a normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, a sequence $\{x_k : x_k \in X\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called a *Schauder basis* [21] if for every $x \in X$, there is a unique scalar sequence (λ_k) such that $\|x - \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k x_k\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Next, we shall give a Schauder basis for the sequence space $b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$.

We define the sequence $g^{(k)}(r, s) = \{g_i^{(k)}(r, s)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ by

$$g_i^{(k)}(r, s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq i < k, \\ (s+r)^k \sum_{j=k}^i \binom{i}{k} r^{-j} (-s)^{j-k} & \text{if } i \geq k, \end{cases}$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 3.1 *The sequence $(g^{(k)}(r, s))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Schauder basis for the binomial sequence spaces $b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$ and every $x = (x_i) \in b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$ has a unique representation by*

$$x = \sum_k \lambda_k(r, s) g^{(k)}(r, s), \tag{3.1}$$

where $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\lambda_k(r, s) = [B^{r,s}(\nabla x_i)]_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof Obviously, $B^{r,s}(\nabla g_i^{(k)}(r, s)) = e_k \in \ell_p$, where e_k is the sequence with 1 in the k th place and zeros elsewhere for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $g^{(k)}(r, s) \in b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $x \in b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we put

$$x^{(m)} = \sum_{k=0}^m \lambda_k(r, s) g^{(k)}(r, s).$$

By the linearity of $B^{r,s}(\nabla)$, we have

$$B^{r,s}(\nabla x_i^{(m)}) = \sum_{k=0}^m \lambda_k(r, s) B^{r,s}(\nabla g_i^{(k)}(r, s)) = \sum_{k=0}^m \lambda_k(r, s) e_k$$

and

$$[B^{r,s}(\nabla(x_i - x_i^{(m)}))]_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq m, \\ [B^{r,s}(\nabla x_i)]_k & \text{if } k > m, \end{cases}$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

For any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a positive integer m_0 such that

$$\sum_{k=m_0+1}^{\infty} |[B^{r,s}(\nabla x_i)]_k|^p < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^p$$

for all $k \geq m_0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)}(x - x^{(m)}) &= \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |[B^{r,s}(\nabla x_i)]_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=m_0+1}^{\infty} |[B^{r,s}(\nabla x_i)]_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $x \in b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$ is represented as (3.1).

To prove the uniqueness of this representation, we assume that

$$x = \sum_k \mu_k(r, s)g^{(k)}(r, s).$$

Then we have

$$[B^{r,s}(\nabla x_i)]_k = \sum_k \mu_k(r, s)[B^{r,s}(\nabla g_i^{(k)}(r, s))]_k = \sum_k \mu_k(r, s)(e_k)_k = \mu_k(r, s),$$

which is a contradiction with the assumption that $\lambda_k(r, s) = [B^{r,s}(\nabla x_i)]_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

This shows the uniqueness of this representation. □

Corollary 3.2 *The sequence space $b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$ is separable, where $1 \leq p < \infty$.*

For the duality theory, the study of sequence spaces is more useful when we investigate them equipped with linear topologies. Köthe and Toeplitz [22] first computed duals whose elements can be represented as sequences and defined the α -dual (or Köthe-Toeplitz dual).

For the sequence spaces X and Y , define the multiplier space $M(X, Y)$ by

$$M(X, Y) = \{u = (u_k) \in w : ux = (u_k x_k) \in Y \text{ for all } x = (x_k) \in X\}.$$

Then the α -, β - and γ -duals of a sequence space X are defined by

$$X^\alpha = M(X, \ell_1), \quad X^\beta = M(X, c) \quad \text{and} \quad X^\gamma = M(X, \ell_\infty),$$

respectively.

We give the following properties:

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k |a_{n,k}|^q < \infty, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_n |a_{n,k}| < \infty, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\sup_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{n,k}| < \infty, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n,k} = a_k \quad \text{for each } k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\sup_{K \in \Gamma} \sum_k \left| \sum_{n \in K} a_{n,k} \right|^q < \infty, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k |a_{n,k}| = \sum_k \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n,k} \right|, \tag{3.7}$$

where Γ is the collection of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} , $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $1 < p \leq \infty$.

Lemma 3.3 ([23]) *Let $A = (a_{n,k})$ be an infinite matrix. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) $A \in (\ell_1 : \ell_1)$ if and only if (3.3) holds.
- (ii) $A \in (\ell_1 : c)$ if and only if (3.4) and (3.5) hold.
- (iii) $A \in (\ell_1 : \ell_\infty)$ if and only if (3.4) holds.
- (iv) $A \in (\ell_p : \ell_1)$ if and only if (3.6) holds with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $1 < p \leq \infty$.
- (v) $A \in (\ell_p : c)$ if and only if (3.2) and (3.5) hold with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $1 < p < \infty$.
- (vi) $A \in (\ell_p : \ell_\infty)$ if and only if (3.2) holds with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $1 < p < \infty$.
- (vii) $A \in (\ell_\infty : c)$ if and only if (3.5) and (3.7) hold with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $1 < p < \infty$.
- (viii) $A \in (\ell_\infty : \ell_\infty)$ if and only if (3.2) holds with $q = 1$.

Theorem 3.4 *We define the set $U_1^{r,s}$ and $U_2^{r,s}$ by*

$$U_1^{r,s} = \left\{ u = (u_k) \in w : \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k \left| (s+r)^i \sum_{j=i}^k \binom{j}{i} r^{-j} (-s)^{j-i} u_k \right| < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$U_2^{r,s} = \left\{ u = (u_k) \in w : \sup_{K \in \Gamma} \sum_i \left| \sum_{k \in K} (s+r)^i \sum_{j=i}^k \binom{j}{i} r^{-j} (-s)^{j-i} u_k \right|^q < \infty \right\}.$$

Then $[b_1^{r,s}(\nabla)]^\alpha = U_1^{r,s}$ and $[b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)]^\alpha = U_2^{r,s}$, where $1 < p \leq \infty$.

Proof Let $u = (u_k) \in w$ and $x = (x_k)$ be defined by (2.3), then we have

$$u_k x_k = \sum_{i=0}^k (s+r)^i \sum_{j=i}^k \binom{j}{i} r^{-j} (-s)^{j-i} u_k y_i = (G^{r,s}y)_k$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $G^{r,s} = (g_{k,i}^{r,s})$ is defined by

$$g_{k,i}^{r,s} = \begin{cases} (s+r)^i \sum_{j=i}^k \binom{j}{i} r^{-j} (-s)^{j-i} u_k & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq k, \\ 0 & \text{if } i > k. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we deduce that $ux = (u_k x_k) \in \ell_1$ whenever $x \in b_1^{r,s}(\nabla)$ or $b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$ if and only if $G^{r,s}y \in \ell_1$ whenever $y \in \ell_1$ or ℓ_p , which implies that $u = (u_k) \in [b_1^{r,s}(\nabla)]^\alpha$ or $[b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)]^\alpha$ if and only if $G^{r,s} \in (\ell_1 : \ell_1)$ and $G^{r,s} \in (\ell_p : \ell_1)$ by parts (i) and (iv) of Lemma 3.3, we obtain $u = (u_k) \in [b_1^{r,s}(\nabla)]^\alpha$ if and only if

$$\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k \left| (s+r)^i \sum_{j=i}^k \binom{j}{i} r^{-j} (-s)^{j-i} u_k \right| < \infty$$

and $u = (u_k) \in [b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)]^\alpha$ if and only if

$$\sup_{K \in \Gamma} \sum_i \left| \sum_{k \in K} (s+r)^i \sum_{j=i}^k \binom{j}{i} r^{-j} (-s)^{j-i} u_k \right|^q < \infty.$$

Thus, we have $[b_1^{r,s}(\nabla)]^\alpha = U_1^{r,s}$ and $[b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)]^\alpha = U_2^{r,s}$, where $1 < p \leq \infty$. □

Now, we define the sets $U_3^{r,s}, U_4^{r,s}, U_5^{r,s}, U_6^{r,s}$ and $U_7^{r,s}$ by

$$U_3^{r,s} = \left\{ u = (u_k) \in w : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (s+r)^k \sum_{i=k}^n \sum_{j=k}^i \binom{j}{k} r^{-j} (-s)^{j-k} u_i \text{ exists for each } k \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

$$U_4^{r,s} = \left\{ u = (u_k) \in w : \sup_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}} \left| (s+r)^k \sum_{i=k}^n \sum_{j=k}^i \binom{j}{k} r^{-j} (-s)^{j-k} u_i \right| < \infty \right\},$$

$$U_5^{r,s} = \left\{ u = (u_k) \in w : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k \left| (s+r)^k \sum_{i=k}^n \sum_{j=k}^i \binom{j}{k} r^{-j} (-s)^{j-k} u_i \right| \right. \\ \left. = \sum_k \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (s+r)^k \sum_{i=k}^n \sum_{j=k}^i \binom{j}{k} r^{-j} (-s)^{j-k} u_i \right| \right\},$$

$$U_6^{r,s} = \left\{ u = (u_k) \in w : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=0}^n \left| (s+r)^k \sum_{i=k}^n \sum_{j=k}^i \binom{j}{k} r^{-j} (-s)^{j-k} u_i \right|^q < \infty \right\}, \quad 1 < q < \infty,$$

and

$$U_7^{r,s} = \left\{ u = (u_k) \in w : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=0}^n \left| (s+r)^k \sum_{i=k}^n \sum_{j=k}^i \binom{j}{k} r^{-j} (-s)^{j-k} u_i \right| < \infty \right\}.$$

Theorem 3.5 *We have the following relations:*

- (i) $[b_1^{r,s}(\nabla)]^\beta = U_3^{r,s} \cap U_4^{r,s}$,
- (ii) $[b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)]^\beta = U_3^{r,s} \cap U_6^{r,s}$, where $1 < p < \infty$,
- (iii) $[b_\infty^{r,s}(\nabla)]^\beta = U_3^{r,s} \cap U_5^{r,s}$,
- (iv) $[b_1^{r,s}(\nabla)]^\gamma = U_4^{r,s}$,
- (v) $[b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)]^\gamma = U_6^{r,s}$, where $1 < p < \infty$,
- (vi) $[b_\infty^{r,s}(\nabla)]^\gamma = U_7^{r,s}$.

Proof Let $u = (u_k) \in w$ and $x = (x_k)$ be defined by (2.3), then we consider the following equation:

$$\sum_{k=0}^n u_k x_k = \sum_{k=0}^n u_k \left[\sum_{i=0}^k (s+r)^i \sum_{j=i}^k \binom{j}{i} r^{-j} (-s)^{j-i} y_i \right] \\ = \sum_{k=0}^n \left[(s+r)^k \sum_{i=k}^n \sum_{j=k}^i \binom{j}{k} r^{-j} (-s)^{j-k} u_i \right] y_k = (U^{r,s} y)_n,$$

where $U^{r,s} = (u_{n,k}^{r,s})$ is defined by

$$u_{n,k} = \begin{cases} (s+r)^k \sum_{i=k}^n \sum_{j=k}^i \binom{j}{k} r^{-j} (-s)^{j-k} u_i & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > n. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we deduce that $ux = (u_k x_k) \in c$ whenever $x \in b_1^{r,s}(\nabla)$ if and only if $U^{r,s}y \in c$ whenever $y \in \ell_1$, which implies that $u = (u_k) \in [b_1^{r,s}(\nabla)]^\beta$ if and only if $U^{r,s} \in (\ell_1 : c)$. By Lemma 3.3(ii), we obtain $[b_1^{r,s}(\nabla)]^\beta = U_3^{r,s} \cap U_4^{r,s}$. Using Lemma 3.3(i) and (iii)-(viii) instead of (ii), the proof can be completed in a similar way. So, we omit the details. \square

4 Conclusion

By considering the definitions of the binomial matrix $B^{r,s} = (b_{n,k}^{r,s})$ and the difference operator, we introduce the sequence spaces $b_p^{r,s}(\nabla)$ and $b_\infty^{r,s}(\nabla)$. These spaces are the natural continuations of [1, 7, 20]. Our results are the generalizations of the matrix domain of the Euler matrix of order r . In order to give fully inform the reader on related topics with applications and a possible line of further investigation, the e-book [24] is added to the list of references.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank the referee for his/her constructive comments and suggestions.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

JM came up with the main ideas and drafted the manuscript. MS revised the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 4 April 2017 Accepted: 18 May 2017 Published online: 02 June 2017

References

1. Kizmaz, H: On certain sequence spaces. *Can. Math. Bull.* **24**, 169-176 (1981)
2. Bektaş, C, Et, M, Çolak, R: Generalized difference sequence spaces and their dual spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **292**, 423-432 (2004)
3. Dutta, H: Characterization of certain matrix classes involving generalized difference summability spaces. *Appl. Sci.* **11**, 60-67 (2009)
4. Reddy, BS: On some generalized difference sequence spaces. *Soochow J. Math.* **26**, 377-386 (2010)
5. Tripathy, BC, Esi, A: On a new type of generalized difference Cesàro sequence spaces. *Soochow J. Math.* **31**, 333-340 (2005)
6. Tripathy, BC, Esi, A: A new type of difference sequence spaces. *Int. J. Sci. Technol.* **1**, 147-155 (2006)
7. Altay, B, Polat, H: On some new Euler difference sequence spaces. *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **30**, 209-220 (2006)
8. Başarir, M, Kara, EE: On compact operators on the Riesz B^m -difference sequence spaces. *Iran. J. Sci. Technol.* **35**, 279-285 (2011)
9. Başarir, M, Kara, EE: On some difference sequence spaces of weighted means and compact operators. *Ann. Funct. Anal.* **2**, 114-129 (2011)
10. Başarir, M, Kara, EE: On compact operators on the Riesz B^m -difference sequence spaces II. *Iran. J. Sci. Technol.* **33**, 371-376 (2012)
11. Başarir, M, Kara, EE: On the B-difference sequence space derived by generalized weighted mean and compact operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **391**, 67-81 (2012)
12. Başarir, M, Kara, EE: On the m th order difference sequence space of generalized weighted mean and compact operators. *Acta Math. Sci.* **33**, 797-813 (2013)
13. Kara, EE: Some topological and geometrical properties of new Banach sequence spaces. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**, 38 (2013)
14. Kara, EE, Ilkhan, M: On some Banach sequence spaces derived by a new band matrix. *Br. J. Math. Comput. Sci.* **9**, 141-159 (2015)
15. Polat, H, Başar, F: Some Euler spaces of difference sequences of order m . *Acta Math. Sci.* **27**, 254-266 (2007)

16. Altay, B, Başar, F, Mursaleen, M: On the Euler sequence spaces which include the spaces ℓ_p and ℓ_∞ . *Inf. Sci.* **176**, 1450-1462 (2006)
17. Kara, EE, Başarir, M: On compact operators and some Euler $B^{(m)}$ -difference sequence spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **379**, 499-511 (2011)
18. Karakaya, V, Polat, H: Some new paranormed sequence spaces defined by Euler and difference operators. *Acta Sci. Math.* **76**, 87-100 (2010)
19. Bişgin, MC: The binomial sequence spaces of nonabsolute type. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2016**, 309 (2016)
20. Bişgin, MC: The binomial sequence spaces which include the spaces ℓ_p and ℓ_∞ and geometric properties. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2016**, 304 (2016)
21. Choudhary, B, Nanda, S: *Functional Analysis with Application*. Wiley, New Delhi (1989)
22. Köthe, G, Toeplitz, O: *Linear Räume mit unendlichvielen koordinaten and Ringe unenlicher Matrizen*. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **171**, 193-226 (1934)
23. Stieglitz, M, Tietz, H: *Matrixtransformationen von folgenräumen eine ergebnisübersicht*. *Math. Z.* **154**, 1-16 (1977)
24. Başar, F: *Summability Theory and its Applications*. Bentham Science, Istanbul (2012). ISBN:978-1-60805-420-6

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com
