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The purpose of this paper is to introduce two novel subclasses $\Gamma_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta)$ and $\Gamma_{\lambda}^{*}(n, \alpha, \beta)$ of meromorphic $p$-valent functions by using the linear operator $D_{\lambda}^{n}$. Then we prove the necessary and sufficient conditions for a function $f$ in order to be in the new classes. Further we study several important properties such as coefficients inequalities, inclusion properties, the growth and distortion theorems, the radii of meromorphically $p$-valent starlikeness, convexity, and subordination properties. We also prove that the results are sharp for a certain subclass of functions.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\Sigma_{p}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \quad\left(a_{k} \geq 0 ; p \in N=\{1,2, \ldots\}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are meromorphic and $p$-valent in the punctured unit disc $U^{*}=\{z \in C: 0<|z|<1\}=$ $U-\{0\}$. For the functions $f$ in the class $\Sigma_{p}$, we define a linear operator $D_{\lambda}^{n}$ by the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{\lambda} f(z)=(1+p \lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z), \quad(\lambda \geq 0) \\
& D_{\lambda}^{0} f(z)=f(z) \\
& D_{\lambda}^{1} f(z)=D_{\lambda} f(z)  \tag{1.2}\\
& D_{\lambda}^{2} f(z)=D_{\lambda}\left(D_{\lambda}^{1} f(z)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and in general for $n=0,1,2, \ldots$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(1+p \lambda+k \lambda)^{n} a_{k} z^{k}, \quad\left(n \in N_{0}=N \cup\{0\} ; p \in N\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we can observe easily that for $f \in \Sigma_{p}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \lambda\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=D_{\lambda}^{n+1} f(z)-(1+p \lambda) D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z), \quad\left(p \in N ; n \in N_{0}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall [1,2] that a function $f \in \Sigma_{p}$ is said to be meromorphically starlike of order $\alpha$ if it is satisfying the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\alpha, \quad\left(z \in U^{*}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha(0 \leqq \alpha<1)$. Similarly recall [3] a function $f \in \Sigma_{p}$ is said to be meromorphically convex of order $\alpha$ if it is satisfying the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{-1-\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\alpha, \quad\left(z \in U^{*}\right) \text { for some } \alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Sigma_{p}(\alpha)$ be a subclass of $\Sigma_{p}$ consisting the functions which satisfy the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{-\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)}\right\}>p \alpha, \quad\left(z \in U^{*} ; \alpha \geq 0\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following definitions, we will define subclasses $\Gamma_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta)$ and $\Gamma_{\lambda}^{*}(n, \alpha, \beta)$ by using the linear operator $D_{\lambda}^{n}$.

Definition 1.1. For fixed parameters $\alpha \geq 0,0 \leq \beta<1$, the meromorphically $p$-valent function $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p}(\alpha)$ will be in the class $\Gamma_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta)$ if it satisfies the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{-\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{p\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)}\right\} \geq \alpha\left|\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{p\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)}+1\right|+\beta, \quad\left(n \in N_{0}\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.2. For fixed parameters $\alpha \geq 1 /(2+\beta) ; 0 \leq \beta<1$, the meromorphically $p$-valent function $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p}(\alpha)$ will be in the class $\Gamma_{\lambda}^{*}(n, \alpha, \beta)$ if it satisfies the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{p\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)}+\alpha+\alpha \beta\right| \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\{-\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{p\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)}\right\}+\alpha-\alpha \beta, \quad \forall\left(n \in N_{0}\right) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Meromorphically multivalent functions have been extensively studied by several authors, see for example, Aouf [4-6], Joshi and Srivastava [7], Mogra [8, 9], Owa et al. [10], Srivastava et al. [11], Raina and Srivastava [12], Uralegaddi and Ganigi [13], Uralegaddi and Somanatha [14], and Yang [15]. Similarly, in [16], some new subclasses of meromorphic functions in the punctured unit disk was considered.

In [17], similar results were proved by using the $p$-valent functions that satisfy the following differential subordinations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(\supset_{p}(r, \lambda) f(z)\right)^{(j+1)}}{(p-j)\left(\supset_{p}(r, \lambda) f(z)\right)^{(j)}}<\frac{a+(a B+(A-B) \beta) z}{a(1+B z)} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and studied the related coefficients inequalities with $\beta$ complex number.
This paper is organized as follows. It consists of four sections. Sections 2 and 3 investigate the various important properties and characteristics of the classes $\Gamma_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta)$ and $\Gamma_{\lambda}^{*}(n, \alpha, \beta)$ by giving the necessary and sufficient conditions. Further we study the growth and distortion theorems and determine the radii of meromorphically $p$-valent starlikeness of order $\mu(0 \leq \mu<p)$ and meromorphically $p$-valent convexity of order $\mu(0 \leq \mu<p)$. In Section 4 we give some results related to the subordination properties.

## 2. Properties of the Class $\Gamma_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta)$

We begin by giving the necessary and sufficient conditions for functions $f$ in order to be in the class $\Gamma_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta)$.

Lemma 2.1 (see [2]). Let

$$
R_{a}= \begin{cases}a-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{1+\alpha^{\prime}}, & \text { for } a \leq 1+\frac{1-\beta}{\alpha(1+\alpha)}  \tag{2.1}\\ \sqrt{(1-a)^{2}\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)-2(1-\beta)(1-a)}, & \text { for } a \geq 1+\frac{1-\beta}{\alpha(1+\alpha)}\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{w:|w-a| \leq R_{a}\right\} \subseteq\{w: \operatorname{Re}(w) \geq \alpha|w-1|+\beta\} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.2. Let $f \in \Sigma_{p}$. Then $f$ is in the class $\Gamma_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}[p(\alpha+\beta)+k(1+\alpha)](k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k} \leq p(1-\beta)  \tag{2.3}\\
\left(\alpha \geq 0 ; 0 \leq \beta<1 ; p \in N ; n \in N_{0}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \Gamma_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta)$. Then by the inequalities (1.3) and (1.8), we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{-\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{p\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)}\right\} \geq \alpha\left|\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{p\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)}+1\right|+\beta \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1-\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(k / p)(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k} z^{k+p}}{1+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k} z^{k+p}}\right\} \\
& \geq \alpha\left|\frac{\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}((k / p)+1)(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k} z^{k+p}}{1+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k} z^{k+p}}\right|+\beta \\
& \geq \operatorname{Re}\left\{\alpha \cdot \frac{\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}((k / p)+1)(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k} z^{k+p}}{1+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k} z^{k+p}}+\beta\right\}  \tag{2.5}\\
&=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\beta+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}[\alpha((k / p)+1)+\beta](k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k} z^{k+p}}{1+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k} z^{k+p}}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{p(1-\beta)-\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(k+k \alpha+p \alpha+p \beta)(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k} z^{k+p}}{1+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k} z^{k+p}}\right\} \geq 0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $z$ to be real and putting $z \rightarrow 1^{-}$through real values, then the inequality (2.6) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p(1-\beta)-\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(k+k \alpha+p \alpha+p \beta)(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k}}{1+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k}} \geq 0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads us at once to (2.3).
In order to prove the converse, suppose that the inequality (2.3) holds true. In Lemma 2.1, since $1 \leq 1+((1-\beta) / \alpha(1+\alpha))$, put $a=1$. Then for $p \in N$ and $n \in N_{0}$, let $w_{n p}=-z\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime} / p\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)$. If we let $z \in \partial U^{*}=\{z \in C:|z|=1\}$, we get from the inequalities (1.3) and (2.3) that $\left|w_{n p}-1\right| \leq R_{1}$. Thus by Lemma 2.1 above, we ge that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{-\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{p\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)}-1\right\} & =\operatorname{Re}\left\{w_{n p}\right\} \geq \alpha\left|w_{n p}-1\right|+\beta=\alpha\left|-\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{p\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)}-1\right|+\beta \\
& =\alpha\left|\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{p\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)}+1\right|+\beta, \quad\left(\alpha \geq 0 ; 0 \leq \beta<1 ; p \in N ; n \in N_{0}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore by the maximum modulus theorem, we obtain $f \in \Gamma_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta)$.

Corollary 2.3. If $f \in \Gamma_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k} \leq \frac{p(1-\beta)}{[p(\alpha+\beta)+k(1+\alpha)](k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n}}, \quad\left(\alpha \geq 0 ; 0 \leq \beta<1 ; p \in N ; n \in N_{0}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by
$f(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{p(1-\beta)}{[p(\alpha+\beta)+k(1+\alpha)](k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n}} z^{k}, \quad\left(\alpha \geq 0 ; 0 \leq \beta<1 ; p \in N ; n \in N_{0}\right)$.

Theorem 2.4. The class $\Gamma_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta)$ is closed under convex linear combinations.
Proof. Suppose the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k, j} \quad\left(a_{k, j} \geq 0 ; j=1,2 ; p \in N\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

be in the class $\Gamma_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta)$. It is sufficient to show that the function $h(z)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=(1-\delta) f_{1}(z)+\delta f_{2}(z) \quad(0 \leq \delta \leq 1), \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also in the class $\Gamma_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta)$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}\left[(1-\delta) a_{k, 1}+\delta a_{k, 2}\right] z^{k, j}, \quad(0 \leq \delta \leq 1), \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by Theorem 2.2, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} & {[p(\alpha+\beta)+k(1+\alpha)](k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n}\left[(1-\delta) a_{k, 1}+\delta a_{k, 2}\right] } \\
& =\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(1-\delta)[p(\alpha+\beta)+k(1+\alpha)](k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k, 1}  \tag{2.14}\\
& +\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \delta[p(\alpha+\beta)+k(1+\alpha)](k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k, 2} \\
\leq & (1-\delta) p(1-\beta)+\delta p(1-\beta)=p(1-\beta), \quad\left(\alpha \geq 0 ; 0 \leq \beta<1 ; p \in N ; n \in N_{0}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $f \in \Gamma_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta)$.
The following are the growth and distortion theorems for the class $\Gamma_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 2.5. If $f \in \Gamma_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}-\frac{(1-\beta)}{(2 \alpha+\beta+1)(p+1)^{n-1}} \cdot \frac{p!2^{-n}}{(p-m)!} r^{2 p}\right\} r^{-(p+m)} \leq\left|f^{(m)}(z)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left\{\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}+\frac{(1-\beta)}{(2 \alpha+\beta+1)(p+1)^{n-1}} \cdot \frac{p!2^{-n}}{(p-m)!} r^{2 p}\right\} r^{-(p+m)}  \tag{2.15}\\
& \left(0<|z|=r<1 ; \alpha \geq 0 ; 0 \leq \beta<1 ; p \in N ; n, m \in N_{0} ; p>m\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The result is sharp for the function $f$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\beta)}{(2 \alpha+\beta+1)(2 p+2)^{n}} z^{p}, \quad\left(n \in N_{0} ; p \in N\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.2, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{p(2 \alpha+\beta+1)(2 p+2)^{n}}{(p+1)!} \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k!a_{k} & \leq \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}[p(\alpha+\beta)+k(1+\alpha)](k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k}  \tag{2.17}\\
& \leq p(1-\beta)
\end{align*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k!a_{k} \leq \frac{p(1-\beta)(p+1)!}{p(2 \alpha+\beta+1)(2 p+2)^{n}}=\frac{(1-\beta) p!2^{-n}}{(2 \alpha+\beta+1)(p+1)^{n-1}} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the differentiating the function $f$ in the form (1.1) $m$ times with respect to $z$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{m}(z)=(-1)^{m} \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} z^{-(p+m)}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(k-m)!} a_{k} z^{k-m}, \quad\left(m \in N_{0} ; p \in N\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Theorem 2.5 follows easily from (2.18) and (2.19). Finally, it is easy to see that the bounds in (2.15) are attained for the function $f$ given by (2.18).

Next we determine the radii of meromorphically $p$-valent starlikeness of order $\mu$ ( $0 \leq$ $\mu<p)$ and meromorphically $p$-valent convexity of order $\mu(0 \leq \mu<p)$ for the class $\Gamma_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta)$. Theorem 2.6. If $f \in \Gamma_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta)$, then $f$ is meromorphically $p$-valent starlike of order $\mu(0 \leq \mu<1)$ in the disk $|z|<r_{1}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\mu \quad\left(0 \leq \mu<p ;|z|<r_{1} ; p \in N\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}=\inf _{k \geq p+1}\left\{\frac{(p-\mu)[p(\alpha+\beta)+k(1+\alpha)](k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n}}{p(k+\mu)(1-\beta)}\right\}^{1 /(k+p)} . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By the form (1.1), we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right)+p}{\left(z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right)-p+2 \mu}\right| & =\left|\frac{\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(k+p) a_{k} z^{k}}{2(p-\mu) z^{-p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(k-p+2 \mu) a_{k} z^{k}}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(k+p)|z|^{k}}{2(p-\mu) a_{k}|z|^{-p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(k-p+2 \mu) a_{k}|z|^{k}}  \tag{2.22}\\
& =\frac{\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(k+p) a_{k}|z|^{k+p}}{2(p-\mu)+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(k-p+2 \mu) a_{k}|z|^{k+p}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then the following incurability

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right)+p}{\left(z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right)-p+2 \mu}\right| \leq 1, \quad(0 \leq \mu<p ; p \in N) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

also holds if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(k+\mu)}{(p-\mu)} a_{k}|z|^{k+p} \leq 1, \quad(0 \leq \mu<p ; p \in N) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by Corollary 2.3 the inequality (2.24) will be true if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(k+\mu)}{(p-\mu)}|z|^{k+p} \leq \frac{[p(\alpha+\beta)+k(1+\alpha)](k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n}}{p(1-\beta)}, \quad(0 \leq \mu<p ; p \in N) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|z|^{k+p} \leq \frac{(p-\mu)[p(\alpha+\beta)+k(1+\alpha)](k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n}}{p(k+\mu)(1-\beta)}, \quad(0 \leq \mu<p ; p \in N) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the inequality (2.26) leads us to the disc $|z|<r_{1}$, where $r_{1}$ is given by the form (2.21).

Theorem 2.7. If $f \in \Gamma_{\lambda}(n, \alpha, \beta)$, then $f$ is meromorphically $p$-valent convex of order $\mu(0 \leq \mu<1)$ in the disk $|z|<r_{2}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{-1-\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\mu \quad\left(0 \leq \mu<p ;|z|<r_{2} ; p \in N\right) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2}=\inf _{k \geq p+1}\left\{\frac{(p-\mu)[(\alpha+\beta)+k(1+\alpha)](k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n}}{k(k+\mu)(1-\beta)}\right\}^{1 /(k+p)} . \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By the form (1.1), we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{1+\left(z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)\right)+p}{1+\left(z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)\right)-p+2 \mu}\right| & =\left|\frac{\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k(k+p) a_{k} z^{k}}{2 p(p-\mu) z^{-p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k(k-p+2 \mu) a_{k} z^{k}}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k(k+p)|z|^{k}}{2 p(p-\mu) a_{k}|z|^{-p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k(k-p+2 \mu) a_{k}|z|^{k}}  \tag{2.29}\\
& =\frac{\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k(k+p) a_{k}|z|^{k+p}}{2 p(p-\mu)+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k(k-p+2 \mu) a_{k}|z|^{k+p}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then the following incurability:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1+\left(z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)\right)+p}{1+\left(z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)\right)-p+2 \mu}\right| \leq 1, \quad(0 \leq \mu<p ; p \in N) \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

will hold if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{k(k+\mu)}{p(p-\mu)} a_{k}|z|^{k+p} \leq 1, \quad(0 \leq \mu<p ; p \in N) . \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by Corollary 2.3 the inequality (2.31) will be true if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k(k+\mu)}{p(p-\mu)}|z|^{k+p} \leq \frac{[p(\alpha+\beta)+k(1+\alpha)](k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n}}{p(1-\beta)}, \quad(0 \leq \mu<p ; p \in N) \text {, } \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|z|^{k+p} \leq \frac{(p-\mu)[(\alpha+\beta)+k(1+\alpha)](k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n}}{k(k+\mu)(1-\beta)}, \quad(0 \leq \mu<p ; p \in N) . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the inequality (2.33) leads us to the disc $|z|<r_{2}$, where $r_{2}$ is given by the form (2.28).

## 3. Properties of the Class $\Gamma_{\lambda}^{*}(n, \alpha, \beta)$

We first give the necessary and sufficient conditions for functions $f$ in order to be in the class $\Gamma_{\lambda}^{*}(n, \alpha, \beta)$.

Lemma 3.1 (see [2]). Let $\mu>\delta$ and

$$
R_{a}= \begin{cases}a-\delta, & \text { for } a \leq 2 \mu+\delta,  \tag{3.1}\\ 2 \sqrt{\mu(a-\mu-\delta)}, & \text { for } a \geq 2 \mu+\delta\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{w:|w-a| \leq R_{a}\right\} \subseteq\{w:|w-(\mu+\delta)| \leq \operatorname{Re}\{w+\mu-\delta\}\} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \beta<1$

$$
R_{a}= \begin{cases}a-\alpha \beta, & \text { for } a \leq 2 \alpha+\alpha \beta,  \tag{3.3}\\ 2 \sqrt{\alpha(a-\alpha-\alpha \beta)}, & \text { for } a \geq 2 \alpha+\alpha \beta\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{w:|w-a| \leq R_{a}\right\} \subseteq\{w:|w-(\alpha+\alpha \beta)| \leq \operatorname{Re}\{w+\alpha-\alpha \beta\}\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $\alpha \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \beta<1$, then $\alpha>\alpha \beta$. Then in Lemma 3.1, put $\mu=\alpha$ and $\delta=\alpha \beta$.
Theorem 3.3. Let $f \in \Sigma_{p}$. Then $f$ is in the class $\Gamma_{\lambda}^{*}(n, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(k+p \alpha \beta)(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k} \leq p(1-\alpha \beta) \quad\left(\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2+\beta} ; 0 \leq \beta<1 ; p \in N ; n \in N_{0}\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \Gamma_{\lambda}^{*}(n, \alpha, \beta)$. Then by the inequality (1.9), we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{p\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)}+\alpha+\alpha \beta\right| \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\{-\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{p\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)}\right\}+\alpha-\alpha \beta . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{p\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)}+\alpha+\alpha \beta\right\} & \leq\left|\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{p\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)}+\alpha+\alpha \beta\right|  \tag{3.7}\\
& \leq \operatorname{Re}\left\{-\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{p\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)}\right\}+\alpha-\alpha \beta,
\end{align*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{2 z\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{p\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)}+2 \alpha \beta\right\} \leq 0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by the inequality (1.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{-2 p(1-\alpha \beta)+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} 2(k+p \alpha \beta)(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k} z^{k+p}}{p+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} p(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k} z^{k+p}}\right\} \leq 0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $z$ to be real and putting $z \rightarrow 1^{-}$through real values, then the inequality (3.9) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{-2 p(1-\alpha \beta)+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} 2(k+p \alpha \beta)(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k}}{p+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} p(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k}} \leq 0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads us at once to (3.5).
In order to prove the converse, consider that the inequality (3.5) holds true. In Lemma 3.2 above, since $\alpha>\alpha \beta$ and $\alpha \geq 1 /(2+\beta)$, that is, $1 \leq 2 \alpha+\alpha \beta$, we can put $a=1$. Then for $p \in N$ and $n \in N_{0}$, let $w_{n p}=-z\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime} / p\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)$. Now, if we let $z \in \partial U^{*}=\{z \in C:|z|=1\}$, we get from the inequalities (1.3) and (3.5) that $\left|w_{n p}-1\right| \leq R_{1}$. Thus by Lemma 3.2 above, we ge that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{p\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)}+\alpha+\alpha \beta\right| \\
& \quad=\left|-\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{p\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)}-(\alpha+\alpha \beta)\right| \\
& \quad=|w-(\alpha+\alpha \beta)|  \tag{3.11}\\
& \quad \leq \operatorname{Re}\{w+\alpha-\alpha \beta\}=\operatorname{Re}\{w\}+\alpha-\alpha \beta \\
& \quad=\left\{-\frac{z\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{p\left(D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)}\right\}+\alpha-\alpha \beta, \quad\left(\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2+\beta} ; 0 \leq \beta<1 ; p \in N ; n \in N_{0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore by the maximum modulus theorem, we obtain $f \in \Gamma_{\lambda}^{*}(n, \alpha, \beta)$.
Corollary 3.4. If $f \in \Gamma_{\lambda}^{*}(n, \alpha, \beta)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k} \leq \frac{p(1-\alpha \beta)}{(k+p \alpha \beta)(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n}} \quad\left(\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2+\beta} ; 0 \leq \beta<1 ; p \in N ; n \in N_{0}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{p(1-\alpha \beta)}{(k+p \alpha \beta)(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n}} z^{k} \quad\left(\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2+\beta} ; 0 \leq \beta<1 ; p \in N ; n \in N_{0}\right) . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.5. The class $\Gamma_{\lambda}^{*}(n, \alpha, \beta)$ is closed under convex linear combinations.
Proof. This proof is similar as the proof of Theorem 2.4.
The following are the growth and distortion theorems for the class $\Gamma_{\lambda}^{*}(n, \alpha, \beta)$.
Theorem 3.6. If $f \in \Gamma_{\lambda}^{*}(n, \alpha, \beta)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}-\frac{(1-\alpha \beta)}{(1+\alpha \beta)(p+1)^{n-1}} \cdot \frac{p!2^{-n}}{(p-m)!} r^{2 p}\right\} r^{-(p+m)} \leq\left|f^{(m)}(z)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left\{\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!}+\frac{(1-\alpha \beta)}{(1+\alpha \beta)(p+1)^{n-1}} \cdot \frac{p!2^{-n}}{(p-m)!} r^{2 p}\right\} r^{-(p+m)}  \tag{3.14}\\
& \quad\left(0<|z|=r<1 ; \alpha \geq \frac{1}{2+\beta} ; 0 \leq \beta<1 ; p \in N ; n, m \in N_{0} ; p>m\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The result is sharp for the function $f$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\alpha \beta)}{(1+\alpha \beta)(2 p+2)^{n}} z^{p}, \quad\left(n \in N_{0} ; p \in N\right) . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we determine the radii of meromorphically $p$-valent starlikeness of order $\mu(0 \leq \mu<p)$ and meromorphically $p$-valent convexity of order $\mu(0 \leq \mu<p)$ for the class $\Gamma_{\lambda}^{*}(n, \alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 3.7. If $f \in \Gamma_{\lambda}^{*}(n, \alpha, \beta)$, then $f$ is meromorphically $p$-valent starlike of order $\mu(0 \leq \mu<1)$ in the disk $|z|<r_{1}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\mu \quad\left(0 \leq \mu<p ;|z|<r_{1} ; p \in N\right), \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}=\inf _{k \geq p+1}\left\{\frac{(p-\mu)(k+p \alpha \beta)(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n}}{p(k+\mu)(1-\alpha \beta)}\right\}^{1 /(k+p)} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.6.

Theorem 3.8. If $f \in \Gamma_{\lambda}^{*}(n, \alpha, \beta)$, then $f$ is meromorphically $p$-valent convex of order $\mu(0 \leq \mu<1)$ in the disk $|z|<r_{2}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{-1-\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\mu \quad\left(0 \leq \mu<p ;|z|<r_{2} ; p \in N\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2}=\inf _{k \geq p+1}\left\{\frac{(p-\mu)(k+p \alpha \beta)(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n}}{k(k+\mu)(1-\alpha \beta)}\right\}^{1 /(k+p)} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.7.

## 4. Subordination Properties

If $f$ and $g$ are analytic functions in $U$, we say that $f$ is subordinate to $g$, written symbolically as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \prec g \quad \text { in } U \quad \text { or } \quad f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in U) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if there exists a function $w$ which is analytic in $U$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(0)=0, \quad|w(z)|<1 \quad(z \in U) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=g(w(z)) \quad(z \in U) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed it is known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in U) \Longrightarrow f(0)=g(0), \quad f(U) \subset g(U) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if the function $g$ is univalent in $U$ we have the following equivalence (see [18]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in U) \Longleftrightarrow f(0)=g(0), \quad f(U) \subset g(U) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\phi: C^{2} \rightarrow C$ be a function and let $h$ be univalent in $U$. If $J$ is analytic function in $U$ and satisfied the differential subordination $\phi\left(J(z), J^{\prime}(z)\right) \prec h(z)$ then $J$ is called a solution of the differential subordination $\phi\left(J(z), J^{\prime}(z)\right)<h(z)$. The univalent function $q$ is called a dominant of the solution of the differential subordination, $J<q$.

Lemma 4.1 (see [19]). Let $q(z) \neq 0$ be univalent in $U$. Let $\theta$ and $\phi$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(U)$ with $\phi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(U)$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z)), \quad h(z)=\theta(q(z))+Q(z) . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that
(i) $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in $U$,
(ii) $\operatorname{Re}\left\{z h^{\prime}(z) / Q(z)\right\}>0$ for $z \in U$.

If $J$ is analytic function in $U$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(J(z))+z J^{\prime}(z) \phi(J(z))<\theta(q(z))+z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z)), \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $J(z)<q(z)$ and $q$ is the best dominant.
Lemma 4.2 (see [20]). Let $w, \gamma \in C$ and $\phi$ is convex and univalent in $U$ with $\phi(0)=1$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{w \phi(z)+\gamma\}>0$ for all $z \in U$. If $q$ is analytic in $U$ with $q(0)=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)+\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{w q(z)+\gamma}<\phi(z) \quad(z \in U), \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $q(z)<\phi(z)$ and $\phi$ is the best dominant.
Theorem 4.3. Let $q(z) \neq 0$ be univalent in $U$ such that $z q^{\prime}(z) / q(z)$ is starlike univalent in $U$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{\epsilon}{r} q(z)+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right\}>0, \quad(\epsilon, r \in C, r \neq 0) . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f \in \Sigma_{p}$ satisfies the subordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon \frac{z\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]}+\gamma\left[1+\frac{z\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime \prime}}{\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}-\frac{z\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]}\right]<\epsilon q(z)+\frac{\gamma z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}, \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $z\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime} /\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]<q(z)$ and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Our aim is to apply Lemma 4.1. Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(z)=\frac{z\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]}=\frac{-p+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k} z^{k+p}}{1+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k} z^{k+p}}, \quad\left(n \in N_{0} ; p \in N\right), \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\theta(w)=w$ and $\phi(w)=\gamma / w, \gamma \neq 0$. It can be easily observed that $J$ is analytic in $U, \theta$ is analytic in $C, \phi$ is analytic in $C /\{0\}$ and $\phi(w) \neq 0$. By computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z J^{\prime}(z)}{J(z)}=1+\frac{z\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime \prime}}{\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}-\frac{z\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields, by (4.10), the following subordination:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon J(z)+\gamma \frac{z J^{\prime}(z)}{J(z)}<\epsilon q(z)+\frac{\gamma z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}, \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(J(z))+z J^{\prime}(z) \phi(J(z))<\theta(q(z))+z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z)) . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by letting

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z))=\frac{r z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}, \\
h(z)=\theta(q(z))+Q(z)=\epsilon q(z)+\frac{r z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)} . \tag{4.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

We find $Q i$ starlike univalent in $U$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right\}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{\epsilon}{r} q(z)+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right\}>0 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by Lemma 4.1, $z\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime} /\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]<q(z)$ and $q$ is the best dominant.
Corollary 4.4. If $f \in \Sigma_{p}$ and assume that (4.9) holds, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime \prime}}{\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}<\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}+\frac{(A-B) z}{(1+A z)(1+B z)} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that $z\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime} /\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right] \prec(1+A z) /(1+B z),-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$ and $(1+A z) /(1+B z)$ is the best dominant.

Proof. By setting $\epsilon=\gamma=1$ and $q(z)=(1+A z) /(1+B z)$ in Theorem 4.3, then we can obtain the result.

Corollary 4.5. If $f \in \Sigma_{p}$ and assume that (4.9) holds, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime \prime}}{\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}<e^{\alpha z}+\alpha z \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that $z\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime} /\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]<e^{\alpha z},|\alpha|<\pi$ and $e^{\alpha z}$ is the best dominant.
Proof. By setting $\epsilon=\gamma=1$ and $q(z)=e^{\alpha z}$ in Theorem 4.3, where $|\alpha|<\pi$.

Theorem 4.6. Let $w, \gamma \in C$, and $\phi$ be convex and univalent in $U$ with $\phi(0)=1$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{w \phi(z)+\gamma\}>$ 0 for all $z \in U$. If $f \in \Sigma_{p}$ satisfies the subordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+\gamma+\left(z\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime \prime} /\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}\right)-((w / p)+1)\left(z\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime} /\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]\right)}{w-\gamma\left(p\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right] / z\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}\right)}<\phi(z) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $-z\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime} / p\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right] \prec \phi(z)$ and $\phi$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Our aim is to apply Lemma 4.2. Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)=\frac{-z\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{p\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]}=\frac{p+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} k(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k} z^{k+p}}{p+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} p(k \lambda+p \lambda+1)^{n} a_{k} z^{k+p}}, \quad\left(n \in N_{0} ; p \in N\right) . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be easily observed that $q$ is analytic in $U$ and $q(0)=1$. Computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}=1+\frac{z\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime \prime}}{\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}-\frac{z\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields, by (4.19), the following subordination:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)+\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{w q(z)+\gamma}<\phi(z), \quad(z \in U) \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by Lemma 4.2, $-z\left[D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime} /\left[p D_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right]<\phi(z)$ and $\phi$ is the best dominant.
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