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## 1. Introduction

We will assume throughout this paper that $X$ and $X^{*}$ stand for a Banach space and its dual space, respectively. By $S(X)$ and $B(X)$ we denote the unit sphere and the unit ball of a Banach space $X$, respectively. The nontrival Banach space will mean later on that $X$ is a real space and $\operatorname{dim} X \geq 2$. Let us recall some definitions of modulus in Banach space. The modulus of smoothness (see [5]) of $X$ is the function $\rho_{X}(t)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{X}(t)=\sup \left\{\frac{\|x+t y\|+\|x-t y\|}{2}-1: x, y \in S(X)\right\} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$X$ is called uniformly smooth if $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left(\rho_{X}(t)\right) / t=0$. $X$ is called $q$-uniformly smooth $(1<q \leq$ 2) if there exists a constant $K>0$ such that $\rho_{X}(t) \leq K t^{q}$ for all $t>0$. Pythagorean modulus is introduced by Gao [6] is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t, X)=\sup \left\{\|x+t y\|^{2}+\|x-t y\|^{2}: x, y \in S(X)\right\}, \quad \forall t>0 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $t>0$, the parameterized James constant $J(t, X)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(t, X)=\sup \{\min \{\|x+t y\|,\|x-t y\|\}: x, y \in S(X)\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some basic properties concerning this constant were studied in [1].
A Banach space $X$ is called uniformly nonsquare (see [7]) if there exists $\delta>0$, such that $\|x+y\| / 2 \leq 1-\delta$ or $\|x-y\| / 2 \leq 1-\delta$ wherever $x, y \in S(X)$. The number $r(A)=\inf \{\sup \{\|x-y\|:$ $y \in A\}: x \in A\}$ is called Chebyshev radius of $A$. The number $\operatorname{diam} A=\sup \{\|x-y\|: x, y \in A\}$ is called diameter of $A$. A Banach space $X$ is said to have the normal structure provided $r(A)<\operatorname{diam} A$ for every bounded closed convex subset $A$ of $X$ with $\operatorname{diam} A>0$.

Recall the ultraproduct of Banach spaces. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a free ultrafilter on the set of natural numbers, the closed linear subspace of $l_{\infty}(X), N_{\mathcal{U}}=\left\{\left\{x_{i}\right\} \in l_{\infty}\left(I, X_{i}\right): \lim \left\|_{\mathcal{U}}\right\| x_{i} \|=0\right\}$. The ultraproduct of $\left\{X_{i}\right\}$ is the quotient space $l_{\infty}\left(I, X_{i}\right) / N_{\mathcal{U}}$ equipped with the quotient norm. we write $\tilde{X}$ to denote the ultraproduct. For more details see [8].

In this paper, we consider the coefficient $J_{X, p}(t)$ as a generalization of the modulus of smoothness and Pythagorean modulus of Banach space $X$. Some basic properties of this new coefficient are investigated, which generalized some known results. Meanwhile some sufficient conditions which imply the normal structure are obtained.

## 2. Some Properties on Coefficient $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{t})$

Definition 2.1. Let $x \in S(X), y \in S(X)$, for any $t>0,1 \leq p<\infty$ we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{X, p}(t)=\sup \left\{\left(\frac{\|x+t y\|^{p}+\|x-t y\|^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p}\right\} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily seen that $J_{X, p}(t) \geq \rho_{X}(t)+1$, the case of $p=1,2, J_{X, 1}(t)=\rho_{X}(t)+1,2 J_{X, 2}^{2}(t)=$ $E(t, X)$, respectively.

The proof of the following proposition is trivial, so it is omitted.
Proposition 2.2. Let $X$ be a nontrival Banach space and $t>0$. Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{X, p}(t)=\sup \left\{J_{Y, p}(t): Y \in D(X)\right\}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D(X)=\{Y: Y$ is a two-dimensional subspace of $X\}$.
Proposition 2.3. Let $X$ be a nontrival Banach space and $t>0$. Then
(1) $J_{X, p}(t)$ is a nondecreasing function;
(2) $J_{X, p}(t)$ is a convex function;
(3) $J_{X, p}(t)$ is a continuous function;
(4) $\left(J_{X, p}(t)-1\right) / t$ is a nondecreasing function.

Proof. (1) Note that $f(t)=\|x+t y\|^{p}+\|x-t y\|^{p}$ is a convex and even function. Let $0<t_{1} \leq t_{2}$, $x, y \in S(X)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x+t_{1} y\right\|^{p}+\left\|x-t_{1} y\right\|^{p} & =f\left(t_{1}\right)=f\left(\frac{t_{2}+t_{1}}{2 t_{2}} t_{2}+\frac{t_{2}-t_{1}}{2 t_{2}}\left(-t_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \leq f\left(t_{2}\right)=\left\|x+t_{2} y\right\|^{p}+\left\|x-t_{2} y\right\|^{p}  \tag{2.3}\\
& \leq 2 J_{X, p}^{p}\left(t_{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that $2 J_{X, p}^{p}\left(t_{1}\right) \leq 2 J_{X, p}^{p}\left(t_{2}\right)$, that is, the inequality $J_{X, p}\left(t_{1}\right) \leq J_{X, p}\left(t_{2}\right)$ holds.
(2) Let $x, y \in S(X), t_{1}, t_{2}>0, \lambda \in(0,1)$ and $r(s)=\operatorname{sgn}(\sin 2 \pi s)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{0}^{1}\left\|x+r(s)\left(\lambda t_{1}+(1-\lambda) t_{2}\right) y\right\|^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\lambda\left\|x+r(s) t_{1} y\right\|+(1-\lambda)\left\|x+r(s) t_{2} y\right\|\right)^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p}  \tag{2.4}\\
& \leq \lambda\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left\|x+r(s) t_{1} y\right\|^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p}+(1-\lambda)\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left\|x+r(s) t_{2} y\right\|^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leq \lambda J_{X, p}(t)+(1-\lambda) J_{X, p}(t) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $x, y$ are arbitrary, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{X, p}\left(\lambda t_{1}+(1-\lambda) t_{2}\right) \leq \lambda J_{X, p}\left(t_{1}\right)+(1-\lambda) J_{X, p}\left(t_{2}\right) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) The continuity of $J_{X, p}(t)$ follows from the case of (2).
(3) Let $0<t_{1} \leq t_{2}$, then $t_{1}=\lambda t_{2}(0<\lambda \leq 1)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{J_{X, p}\left(t_{1}\right)-1}{t_{1}} \leq \frac{J_{X, p}\left((1-\lambda) 0+\lambda t_{2}\right)-1}{\lambda t_{2}} \leq \frac{J_{X, p}\left(t_{2}\right)-1}{t_{2}} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.4. Let X be a nontrival Banach space and $t>0$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{X, p}(t) & =\sup \left\{\left(\frac{\|x+t y\|^{p}+\|x-t y\|^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p}: x \in S(X), y \in B(X)\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\left(\frac{\|x+t y\|^{p}+\|x-t y\|^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p}: x, y \in B(X)\right\} . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From Proposition 2.3(1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in S(X)} \sup _{y \in B(X)}\left\{\left(\frac{\|x+t y\|^{p}+\|x-t y\|^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p}\right\} \leq J_{X, p}(t\|y\|) \leq J_{X, p}(t) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the opposite inequality holds obviously, we get the first equality.
Let $t$ be fixed. And we set $h(\lambda)=\|\lambda x+t y\|^{p}+\|\lambda x-t y\|^{p}$. Then $h(\lambda)$ is a convex and even function, therefore $h(\lambda) \geq h(1)$ for all $\lambda \geq 1$. For $x, y \in B(X)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{x}{\|x\|}+t y\right\|^{p}+\left\|\frac{x}{\|x\|}-t y\right\|^{p} \geq\|x+t y\|^{p}+\|x-t y\|^{p} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in S(X)} \sup _{y \in B(X)}\left(\|x+t y\|^{p}+\|x-t y\|^{p}\right) \geq \sup _{x \in B(X)} \sup _{y \in B(X)}\left(\|x+t y\|^{p}+\|x-t y\|^{p}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the opposite inequality holds obviously, then we obtain the second equality.
Theorem 2.5. For any nontrival Banach space $X$, let $1 \leq p<\infty, t>0$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $J_{X, p}(t)<1+t$;
(2) $J(t, X)<1+t$.

Proof. ( 1$) \Rightarrow(2)$. It is well known that $J_{X, p}(t) \leq 1+t$ for all $p$. Suppose that $J(t, X)=1+t$. From the definition of $J(t, X)$, for any $\epsilon>0$ there are $x, y \in S(X)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \{\|x+t y\|,\|x-t y\|\} \geq(1+t-\epsilon) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\|x+t y\|^{p}+\|x-t y\|^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p} \geq(1+t-\epsilon) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\epsilon$ are arbitrary this implies that $J_{X, p}(t) \geq 1+t$-a contradiction
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$. Similarly suppose that $J_{X, p}(t)=1+t$, for any $\epsilon>0$ there are $x, y \in S(X)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\|x+t y\|^{p}+\|x-t y\|^{p}\right) \geq 2(1+t-\epsilon)^{p} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\|x+t y\|^{p}+\|x-t y\|^{p} \leq 2(1+t)^{p}$. Since $\epsilon$ are arbitrary, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x+t y\|=\|x-t y\|=1+t \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the equivalent definition of $J(t, X)$, we get $J(t, X) \geq 1+t$. This is a contradiction and thus we complete the proof.

Corollary 2.6. Let $1 \leq p<\infty, t>0$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $X$ is uniformly nonsquare;
(2) $J_{X, p}(t)<1+t$, for some $t>0$;
(3) $J_{X, p}(t)<1+t$, for all $t>0$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.5 and the conclusion of $J(t, X)$ in [1].
Theorem 2.7. A Banach space $X$ is uniformly smooth if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{J_{X, p}(t)-1}{t}\right)=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The sufficiency is trivial since $\left(\rho_{X}(t)+1\right) \leq J_{X, p}(t)$ holds for any $t>0$ and $1 \leq p<\infty$. To see the necessity, we suppose that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left(J_{X, p}(t)-1 / t\right)>0$. Proposition 2.3(4) implies that there exist a $c \in(0,1)$ such that $J_{X, p}(t)-1 / t \geq c$ for any $t>0$. In particular, let $0<t<1$ and choose $x, y$ with $\|x\|=1,\|y\|=t$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x+y\|^{p}+\|x-y\|^{p} \geq 2(1+c t)^{\mathrm{p}} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\min \{\|x+y\|,\|x-y\|\}=\|x-y\|=h$ then $h \in$ $[1-t, 1+c t]$. From the above inequality we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x+y\|+\|x-y\| \geq h+\left(2(1+c t)^{p}-h^{p}\right)^{1 / p}=: f(h) . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $f(h)$ attain its minimum at $h=1-t$; in the view of the definition $\rho_{X}(t)$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\rho_{X}(t)}{t} \geq \frac{f(1-t)-2}{2 t}=\frac{1-t+\left(2(1+c t)^{p}-(1-t)^{p}\right)^{1 / p}-2}{2 t} . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow 0$, and using L'Hôpital's rule, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho_{X}(t)}{t} \geq c>0 \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a contradiction, and thus we complete the proof.
Theorem 2.8 ([2]). Let $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $1<q \leq 2$. Then $X$ is $q$-uniformly smooth if and only if there exists $K \geq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\|x+y\|^{p}+\|x-y\|^{p}}{2} \leq\|x\|^{q}+\|K y\|^{q}, \quad \forall x, y \in X . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.9. Let $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $1<q \leq 2$. The following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $X$ is $q$-uniformly smooth;
(2) there is $K \geq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{X, p}(t) \leq\left(1+K t^{q}\right)^{1 / q}, \quad \forall t>0 . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.8 and the definition of $J_{X, p}(t)$.
Theorem 2.10. Let $X$ be the space $l_{r}$ or $L_{r}[0,1]$ with $\operatorname{dim} X \geq 2$.
(1) Let $1<r \leq 2$ and $1 / r+1 / r^{\prime}=1$. Then for all $t>0$
if $1<p<r^{\prime}$ then $J_{X, p}(t)=\left(1+t^{r}\right)^{1 / r}$.
If $r^{\prime} \leq p<\infty$ then $J_{X, p}(t) \leq\left(1+K t^{r}\right)^{1 / r}$, for some $K \geq 1$.
(1) Let $2 \leq r<\infty, 1 \leq p<\infty$ and $h=\max \{r, p\}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{X, p}(t)=\left(\frac{(1+t)^{h}+|1-t|^{h}}{2}\right)^{1 / h}, \quad \forall t>0 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note that when $1<r \leq 2, l_{r}, L_{r}[0,1]$ are r-uniformly smooth and $l_{r}, L_{r}[0,1]$ satisfying Clarkson's inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\|x+y\|^{r^{\prime}}+\|x-y\|^{r^{\prime}}}{2}\right)^{1 / r^{\prime}} \leq\left(\|x\|^{r}+\|y\|^{r}\right)^{1 / r} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of $1<p<r^{\prime}$, we get that $K=1$ in Theorem 2.8 from [2, Remark 1]; therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{X, p}(t) \leq\left(1+t^{r}\right)^{1 / r}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we take $x=(1,0, \ldots), y=(0,1,0, \ldots)$. Then $\|x\|=\|y\|=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\|x+t y\|_{r}^{p}+\|x-t y\|_{r}^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p}=\left(1+t^{r}\right)^{1 / r} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $J_{X, p}(t)=\left(1+t^{r}\right)^{1 / r}$ when $1<p<r^{\prime}$.
In the case of $L_{r}[0,1]$ we take $x(s), y(s)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{b}|x(s)|^{r} d s=1, \quad \int_{b}^{1}|y(s)|^{r} d s=1 \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}(s)= \begin{cases}x(s), & \text { if } 0 \leq s<b, \\
0, & \text { if } b \leq s \leq 1,\end{cases} \\
& y_{1}(s)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } 0 \leq s<b, \\
y(s), & \text { if } b \leq s \leq 1 .\end{cases} \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $\left\|x_{1}(s)\right\|=1,\left\|y_{1}(s)\right\|=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\left\|x_{1}(s)+t y_{1}(s)\right\|_{r}^{p}+\left\|x_{1}(s)-t y_{1}(s)\right\|_{r}^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p}=\left(1+t^{r}\right)^{1 / r} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $J_{X, p}(t)=\left(1+t^{r}\right)^{1 / r}$ when $1<p<r^{\prime}$. If $r^{\prime} \leq p<\infty$, then $J_{X, p}(t) \leq\left(1+K t^{r}\right)^{1 / r}$, where $K \geq 1$ from Theorem 2.8. (2) Note that when $2 \leq r<\infty, l_{r}, L_{r}[0,1]$ satisfying Hanner's inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x+y\|^{r}+\|x-y\|^{r} \leq|\|x\|+\|y\||^{r}+|\|x\|-\|y\||^{r} . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

From [3] we know that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x+y\|^{r}+\|x-y\|^{r} \leq|\|x\|+\|r y\||^{r}+|\|x\|-\|r y\||^{r} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds if and only if the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\|x+y\|^{s}+\|x-y\|^{s}}{2}\right)^{1 / s} \leq\left(\frac{|\|x\|+\|r y\||^{\alpha}+|\|x\|-\|r y\||^{\alpha}}{2}\right)^{1 / a} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds with some $\gamma>0$, where $1<r, s, a<\infty$. First let $s=a=p$. We get

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{X, p}(t) \leq\left(\frac{(1+t)^{p}+|1-t|^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p} . \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, let $s=p$ and $a=r$. We also get

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{X, p}(t) \leq\left(\frac{(1+t)^{r}+|1-t|^{r}}{2}\right)^{1 / r} \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we take $x_{1}=y_{1}=(1,0, \ldots), x_{2}=\left((1 / 2)^{1 / r},(1 / 2)^{1 / r}, \ldots\right)$ and $y_{2}=$ $\left((1 / 2)^{1 / r},(1 / 2)^{1 / r}, \ldots\right)$. Then $\left\|x_{i}\right\|=\left\|y_{j}\right\|=1, i, j=1,2$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\left\|x_{1}+t y_{1}\right\|_{r}^{p}+\left\|x_{1}-t y_{1}\right\|_{r}^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p}=\left(\frac{(1+t)^{p}+|1-t|^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p},  \tag{2.34}\\
& \left(\frac{\left\|x_{2}+t y_{2}\right\|_{r}^{p}+\left\|x_{2}-t y_{2}\right\|_{r}^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p}=\left(\frac{(1+t)^{r}+|1-t|^{r}}{2}\right)^{1 / r} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore we get the conclusion (2).
In the case of $L_{r}[0,1]$, we take $x(s) \in S\left(L_{r}[0,1]\right)$. Then $\int_{0}^{1}|x(s)|^{r} d s=1$. Take $b \in[0,1]$ such that $\int_{0}^{b}|x(s)|^{r} d s=1 / 2$. Then $\int_{b}^{1}|x(s)|^{r} d s=1 / 2$. Let

$$
y(s)= \begin{cases}x(s), & \text { if } 0 \leq s<b,  \tag{2.35}\\ -x(s), & \text { if } b \leq s \leq 1,\end{cases}
$$

and set $x_{1}(s)=y_{1}(s)=x(s), x_{2}(s)=x(s)$, and $y_{2}(s)=y(s)$. Then $x_{i}(s) \in S\left(L_{r}[0,1]\right), y_{i}(s) \in$ $S\left(L_{r}[0,1]\right), i=1,2$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\left\|x_{1}(s)+t y_{1}(s)\right\|_{r}^{p}+\left\|x_{1}(s)-t y_{1}(s)\right\|_{r}^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p}=\left(\frac{(1+t)^{p}+|1-t|^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p},  \tag{2.36}\\
& \left(\frac{\left\|x_{2}(s)+t y_{2}(s)\right\|_{r}^{p}+\left\|x_{2}(s)-t y_{2}(s)\right\|_{r}^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p}=\left(\frac{(1+t)^{r}+|1-t|^{r}}{2}\right)^{1 / r} .
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 2.11. The following statements are equivalent:
(1) X is isometric to a Hilbert space;
(2) $J_{X, p}(t)=\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ for all $t>0$ and $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$. A Banach space $X$ is isometric to a Hilbert space $l_{2}$; then $J_{X, p}(t)=\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ for all $t \geq 0$ from Theorem 2.10 when $1 \leq p \leq 2$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$. In the case of $p=1, J_{X, 1}(t)=\rho_{X}(t) \leq \sqrt{1+t^{2}}-1$; therefore $X$ is isometric to a Hilbert space (see [4]).

Remark 2.12. The above theorem is not true for the case of $p>2$. In fact if $p>2$, let $X$ be a Hilbert space, then $J_{X, p}(t)=\left((1+t)^{p}+|1-t|^{p} / 2\right)^{1 / p}$ for all $t>0$ from Theorem 2.10.

## 3. Banach-Mazur Distance and Constant's Stability

Let $X$ and $Y$ be isomorphic Banach space. The Banach-Mazur distance between $X$ and $Y$, denoted by $d(X, Y)$, is defined to be the infimum of $\|T\|\left\|T^{-1}\right\|$ taken over all isomorphisms $T$ from $X$ and $Y$.

Theorem 3.1. If $X$ and $Y$ be isomorphic Banach space, then for $t>0,1 \leq p<\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{J_{X, p}(t)}{d(X, Y)} \leq J_{Y, p}(t) \leq J_{X, p}(t) d(X, Y) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $x, y \in S(X)$. For each $\epsilon>0$ there exist an isomorphism $T$ from $X$ and $Y$ such that $\|T\|\left\|T^{-1}\right\| \leq(1+\epsilon) d(X, Y)$. Set $x^{\prime}=T x /\|T\|, y^{\prime}=T y /\left\|T^{-1}\right\|$. Then $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in B(Y)$. By Proposition 2.4, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{\|x+t y\|^{p}+\|x-t y\|^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p} & =\|T\|\left(\frac{\left\|T^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}+t y^{\prime}\right)\right\|^{p}+\left\|T^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}-t y^{\prime}\right)\right\|^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leq(1+\epsilon) d(X, Y)\left(\frac{\left\|x^{\prime}+t y^{\prime}\right\|^{p}+\left\|x^{\prime}-t y^{\prime}\right\|^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p}  \tag{3.2}\\
& \leq(1+\epsilon) d(X, Y) J_{Y, p}(t) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\epsilon>0$ are arbitrary, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{X, p}(t) \leq d(X, Y) J_{Y, p}(t) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second inequality follows by simply interchanging $X$ and $Y$.
Corollary 3.2. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $t>0, X_{1}=\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{1}\right)$ where $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ is an equivalent norm on $X$ satisfying, for $a, b>0$, and $x \in X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\|x\| \leq\|x\|_{1} \leq b\|x\|, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $a / b J_{X, p}(t) \leq J_{X_{1}, p}(t) \leq b / a J_{X, p}(t)$.
Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.1 and the fact that $d\left(X, X_{1}\right) \leq b / a$.
A Banach space $X$ is finitely representable in a Banach space $Y$ if for every $\epsilon>0$ and for every finite-dimensional subspace $X_{0}$ of $X$, there exist is a finite-dimensional subspace $Y_{0}$ of $Y$ with $\operatorname{dim}\left(X_{0}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(Y_{0}\right)$ such that $d\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right) \leq 1+\epsilon$.

Corollary 3.3. Let $X$ be a Banach space, $X$ be finitely representable in $Y$ and $t>0$. Then
(1) $J_{X, p}(t) \leq J_{Y_{p}}(t)$,
(2) $J_{X, p}(t)=J_{X^{* *}, p}(t)$.

Proof. (1) For any $x, y \in S(X)$, let $X_{0}$ be a two-dimensional subspace that contains $x$ and $y$. For any $\epsilon>0$, since $X$ is finitely representable in $Y$, there exist is a two-dimensional subspace $Y_{0}$ of $Y$ such that $d\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right) \leq 1+\epsilon$. Applying Theorem 3.1 to the pair of $X_{0}$ and $Y_{0}$, we obtain $J_{X, p}(t) \leq(1+\epsilon) J_{Y, p}(t)$. The proof is complete since $\epsilon>0$ is arbitrary.
(2) For any Banach space $X$, by the principle of local reflexivity, $X^{* *}$ is always finitely representable in $X$. Then $J_{X, p}(t) \geq J_{X^{* *}, p}(t)$ by (1). On the other hand, $X$ is isometric to a subspace of $X^{* *}$; therefore $J_{X, p}(t) \leq J_{X^{* *}, p}(t)$.

Next we illustrate the above results by the following examples, which can give rough estimates of the constant. For $\lambda>0$, let $Z_{\lambda}$ be $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x|_{\lambda}=\left(\|x\|_{2}^{2}+\lambda\|x\|_{\infty}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{(\lambda+2) / 2}\|x\|_{2} \leq|x|_{\lambda} \leq \sqrt{\lambda+1}\|x\|_{2}, \quad \forall x \in Z_{\lambda} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Corollary 3.2 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{Z_{\lambda}, p}(t) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(\lambda+1)}{\lambda+2}} J_{l_{2}, p}(t) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we get

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{X_{\lambda, r}, p}(t) \leq \lambda J_{l_{r}, p}(t), & J_{{r_{1, r}, p}(t) \leq \lambda J_{L_{r}, p}(t)}, \\
J_{l_{r, r^{\prime}, p}}(t) \leq 2^{1 / r^{\prime}-1 / r} J_{l_{r, p}, p}(t), & J_{b_{r, r^{\prime}, p}}(t) \leq 2^{1 / r^{\prime}-1 / r} J_{l_{r}, p}(t), \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $X_{\lambda, r}(\lambda \geq 1)$ is the space $l_{r}(2 \leq r<\infty)$ with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{\lambda, r}=\max \left\{\|x\|_{r}, \lambda\|x\|_{\infty}\right\} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$Y_{\lambda, r}(\lambda \geq 1)$ is the space $L_{r}[0,1](1 \leq r \leq 2)$ with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{\lambda, r}=\max \left\{\|x\|_{r}, \lambda\|x\|_{1}\right\} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $l_{r, r^{\prime}}$ is the Day-James spaces, and $b_{r, r^{\prime}}$ is the Bynum spaces, respectively. Unfortunately we cannot get the exact value of $J_{X, p}(t)$ in the above spaces. However we have the following result.

Let $X=R^{2}$ with the norm defined by

$$
\|x\|= \begin{cases}\|x\|_{\infty}, & x_{1} x_{2} \geq 0  \tag{3.11}\\ \|x\|_{1}, & x_{1} x_{2} \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{X, p}(t)=\left[\frac{1+(1+t)^{p}}{2}\right]^{1 / p}, \quad(0<t \leq 1)  \tag{3.12}\\
& J_{X, p}(t)=\left[\frac{t^{p}+(1+t)^{p}}{2}\right]^{1 / p}, \quad(1<t<\infty)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. It is well known that $\rho_{X}(t)=\max \{t / 2, t-1 / 2\}$ (see [9]), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x+t y\|^{p}+\|x-t y\|^{p} \leq 1+(1+t)^{p}, \quad \forall x, y \in S(X) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, if $\|x+t y\| \leq 1$, then the inequality holds obviously. If $\|x+t y\|=h(1 \leq h \leq 1+t)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x+t y\|^{p}+\|x-t y\|^{p} \leq h^{p}+\left[2\left(\rho_{X}(t)+1\right)-h\right]^{p} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) If $0<t \leq 1$, then $\|x+t y\|^{p}+\|x-t y\|^{p} \leq h^{p}+(2+t-h)^{p}:=f(h)$. Note that the function $f(h)$ attain is its maximum at $h=1$; thus we obtain the above inequality. Put $x=(1,1), y=(0,1)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x+t y\|^{p}+\|x-t y\|^{p}=1+(1+t)^{p} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally we have $J_{X, p}(t)=\left[1+(1+t)^{p} / 2\right]^{1 / p}$.
(2) If $1<t<\infty$, then $\|x+t y\|^{p}+\|x-t y\|^{p} \leq h^{p}+(2 t+1-h)^{p}:=f(h)$. Note that the function $f(h)$ attain is its maximum at $h=1+t$; thus we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x+t y\|^{p}+\|x-t y\|^{p} \leq t^{p}+(1+t)^{p} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $x=(1,1), y=(0,1)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x+t y\|^{p}+\|x-t y\|^{p}=t^{p}+(1+t)^{p} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have $J_{X, p}(t)=\left[t^{p}+(1+t)^{p} / 2\right]^{1 / p}$.

## 4. The Constant and the Property of Fixed Point

In 1997, García-Falset introduced the following coefficient:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(X):=\sup \left\{\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}+x\right\|\right\} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all weakly null sequences in $B(X)$ and all $x \in S(X)$. He proved that a reflexive Banach space $X$ with $R(X)<2$ enjoys the fixed property (see [10]). In [11], B. Sims defined the coefficient of weak orthogonality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(X):=\sup \left\{\lambda: \lambda \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}+x\right\| \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|\right\} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all $x \in X$ and all weakly null sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$. In [12], the relation between the coefficient of weak orthogonality, the García-Falset coefficient, and James and von Neumann-Jordan constant is given in the following Theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Let X be a Banach space. Then
(1) $R(X) \omega(X) \leq J(X)$, and
(2) $(R(X))^{2}\left(1+(\omega(X))^{2}\right) \leq 4 C_{N J}(X)$.

Similarly, one can get the relation between the coefficient of weak orthogonality, the García-Falset coefficient, and the $J_{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{p}}^{p}(1)$ in the following Theorem.

Theorem 4.2. Let $X$ be a Banach space. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 J_{X, p}^{p}(1) \geq\left(1+(\omega(X))^{p}\right)[R(X)]^{p} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For any $\epsilon>0$ there exist $x \in S(X)$ and $\left(x_{n}\right)$ in $B(X)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}+x\right\| \geq R(X)-\epsilon \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}+x\right\| \geq R(X)-\epsilon$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|$ exist. Now we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 J_{X, p}^{p}(1) & \geq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|x_{n}+x\right\|^{p}+\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{p}\right) \\
& \geq\left(1+(\omega(X))^{p}\right) \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}+x\right\|^{p}  \tag{4.5}\\
& \geq\left(1+(\omega(X))^{p}\right)(R(X)-\epsilon)^{p}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ gives the results.
Corollary 4.3. If $J_{X, p}(1)<2^{1-1 / p}\left(1+\omega(X)^{p}\right)^{1 / p}$. Then $R(X)<2$.
Proof. This is a direct result of Theorem 4.2.
Remark 4.4. In particular $p=1$, we get that $\rho_{X}(1)=\rho_{X^{*}}(1)<\omega(X)$; then $R(X)<2$ and $R\left(X^{*}\right)<2$. (Note that the fact that $\omega(X)=\omega\left(X^{*}\right)$ whenever $X$ is reflexive is proved in [13].) The weakly convergent sequence coefficient $\operatorname{WCS}(X)$ (see [14]) of $X$ is the number

$$
\begin{equation*}
W C S(X):=\inf \left\{\frac{A\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)}{r_{a}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)}\right\} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ which are weakly (not strongly) convergent, $A\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right):=\lim \sup _{n}\left\{\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|: i, j \geq n\right\}$ is the asymptotic diameter of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$, and $r_{a}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right):=\inf \left\{\lim \sup _{n}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|: y \in \overline{c o}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)\right\}$ is the asymptotic radius of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$. In this paper, we utilize the following equivalent definitions (see [15]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
W C S(X)=\inf \left\{\lim _{n \neq m}\left\|x_{n}-x_{m}\right\|\right\}, \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all weakly null sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ with $\left\|x_{n}\right\|=1$ for all $n$ and $\lim _{n \neq m}\left\|x_{n}-x_{m}\right\|$ exist. It is known that $W C S(X)>1$ implies that $X$ has weak uniform normal structure (see [14]).

The following lemma can be found in [16].
Lemma 4.5. Let X be a superreflexive Banach space. Denote that $W C S(X)=d$ and $X$ does not have Schur property. Then, there exist $\tilde{x}_{1}, \tilde{x}_{2} \in S(\tilde{X})$ and $\tilde{f}_{1}, \tilde{f}_{2} \in S\left(\tilde{X}^{*}\right)$ such that
(1) $\left\|\tilde{x}_{1}-\tilde{x}_{2}\right\|=d,\left\|\tilde{x}_{1}+\tilde{x}_{2}\right\| \leq R(X)$, and $\tilde{f}_{i}\left(\tilde{x}_{j}\right)=0$ for all $i \neq j$;
(2) $\tilde{f}_{i}\left(\widetilde{x}_{i}\right)=1$ for $i=1,2$.

Theorem 4.6. Suppose that a Banach space $X$ fails the Schur property and $d=W C S(X)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 J_{X^{*}, p}^{p}(t) \geq \frac{(1+t)^{p}}{d^{p}}+\frac{(1+t)^{p}}{R(X)^{p}} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Specially when $p=1$ and $t=1, p=2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{X^{*}}(t)+1 \geq \frac{1+t}{2 d}+\frac{1+t}{2 R(X)}, \quad E\left(X^{*}\right) \geq \frac{4}{d^{2}}+\frac{4}{R(X)^{2}} . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using Lemma 4.5, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 J_{x^{*}, p}^{p}(t) & \geq\left\|\tilde{f}_{2}-t \tilde{f}_{1}\right\|^{p}+\left\|\tilde{f}_{2}+t \tilde{f}_{1}\right\|^{p} \\
& \geq\left\|\left(\tilde{f}_{2}-t \tilde{f}_{1}\right)\left(\frac{\tilde{x}_{2}-\tilde{x}_{1}}{d}\right)\right\|^{p}+\left\|\left(\tilde{f}_{2}+t \tilde{f}_{1}\right)\left(\frac{\tilde{x}_{2}+\tilde{x}_{1}}{R(X)}\right)\right\|^{p}  \tag{4.10}\\
& \geq \frac{(1+t)^{p}}{d^{p}}+\frac{(1+t)^{p}}{R(X)^{p}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Corollary 4.7. Let X be a Banach space.
(1) If $\rho_{X^{*}}(t)<((t-1) R(X)+(1+t)) / 2 R(X)$, then $X$ has normal structure.
(2) If $E\left(X^{*}\right)<4+\left(4 / R(X)^{2}\right)$, then $X$ has normal structure.

Remark 4.8. The inequality (2) in Corollary 4.7 become is equality when $X=l_{2, \infty}$ and $X=l_{p}$ where $2 \leq p<\infty$ (see $[6,17]$ ). Therefore the condition (2) in Corollary 4.7 isstrict.
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