RESEARCH Open Access

Check for updates

A new reverse Mulholland's inequality with one partial sum in the kernel

Xianyong Huang¹, Ricai Luo^{2*}, Bicheng Yang¹ and Xingshou Huang²

*Correspondence: hcxylor@126.com 2School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hechi University, Yizhou, Guangxi 456300, P.R. China

Full list of author information is

available at the end of the article

Abstract

By means of the techniques of real analysis, applying some basic inequalities and formulas, a new reverse Mulholland's inequality with one partial sum in the kernel is given. We obtain the equivalent conditions of the parameters related to the best value in the new inequality. As applications, we reduce to the equivalent forms and a few inequalities for particular parameters.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 26D15; 26D10

Keywords: Weight coefficient; Mulholland's inequality; Parameter; Partial sums; Equivalent form

1 Introduction

If p > 1, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $a_m, b_n \ge 0$ are such that $0 < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^p < \infty$ and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q < \infty$, then we have the following Hardy–Hilbert's inequality with the best value $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ (cf. [1, Theorem 315]):

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{1}$$

With regards to a similar assumption, the well-known Mulholland's inequality was given as follows (cf. [1, Theorem 343]):

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{mn \ln mn} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left(\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_m^p}{m} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{b_n^q}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{2}$$

For $\lambda_i \in (0,2]$ (i=1,2), $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda \in (0,4]$, a generalization of (1) was obtained (see [2]) in 2016 as follows:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m+n)^{\lambda}} < B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{3}$$

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.



where the constant $B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is the best value and

$$B(u, v) := \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{u-1}}{(1+t)^{u+v}} dt = \frac{\Gamma(u)\Gamma(v)}{\Gamma(u+v)} \quad (u, v > 0)$$

is the Beta function related to the Gamma function. For $\lambda = 1$, $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{q}$, $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{p}$, (3) reduces to (1); for p = q = 2, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \frac{\lambda}{2}$, (3) reduces to an inequality published in [3].

In 2019, by means of (3), Adiyasuren et al. [4] gave a generalization of (3) as follows: For $\lambda_i \in (0,1] \cap (0,\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in (0,2]$; i=1,2), $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, $a_m,b_n \geq 0$, we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m+n)^{\lambda}} < \lambda_1 \lambda_2 B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-p\lambda_1 - 1} A_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-q\lambda_2 - 1} B_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{4}$$

where $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is the best value, and two partial sums $A_m := \sum_{i=1}^m a_i$ and $B_n := \sum_{k=1}^n b_k$ $(m, n \in \{1, 2, ...\})$ satisfy

$$0 < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-p\lambda_1 - 1} A_m^p < \infty$$
 and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-q\lambda_2 - 1} B_n^q < \infty$.

Some generalizations of (1) and (2) were obtained in [5–15]. In 2021, Gu and Yang [16] gave an improvement of (4) with the kernel $\frac{1}{(m^{\alpha}+n^{\beta})^{\lambda}}$. But we find that the constant is not the best possible unless $\alpha = \beta = 1$. In 2016, Hong et al. [17] gave a few equivalent conditions of the parameters related to the best value in the general form of (1). Some further works were provided in [18–29].

In this article, following the methods of [16, 17], by means of the techniques of analysis, several basic inequalities and formulas, a new reverse Mulholland's inequality with one partial sum in the kernel is given. The equivalent conditions of the parameters related to the best value in the new inequality are obtained. We also deduce the equivalent forms and a few equivalent inequalities for particular parameters.

2 Some lemmas

In what follows, we assume that 0 <math>(q < 0), $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda_i \in (0, 2] \cap (0, \lambda)$ (i = 1, 2), $\hat{\lambda}_1 := \frac{\lambda - \lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda_1}{q}$, $\hat{\lambda}_2 := \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{q} + \frac{\lambda_2}{p}$, $N = \{1, 2, ...\}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, $a_m, b_n \geq 0$, $A_m := \sum_{k=2}^m a_k = o(e^{t \ln m})$ $(t > 0; m \to \infty)$, and

$$0<\sum_{m=2}^{\infty}\frac{\ln^{p(1-\hat{\lambda}_1)-1}m}{m^{1-p}}a_m^p<\infty, \qquad 0<\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\frac{\ln^{q(1-\hat{\lambda}_2)-1}n}{n^{1-q}}b_n^q<\infty.$$

Lemma 1 (cf. [5, (2.2.3)]) (i) If $(-1)^i \frac{d^i}{dt^i} h(t) > 0$, $t \in [m, \infty)$ $(m \in \mathbb{N})$, $h^{(i)}(\infty) = 0$ (i = 0, 1, 2, 3), $P_i(t)$, B_i $(i \in \mathbb{N})$ are the Bernoulli functions and numbers, then

$$\int_{m}^{\infty} P_{2q-1}(t)h(t) dt = -\varepsilon_{q} \frac{B_{2q}}{2q} h(m) \quad (0 < \varepsilon_{q} < 1; q = 1, 2, \ldots).$$
 (5)

For q = 1, $B_2 = \frac{1}{6}$, we have

$$-\frac{1}{12}h(m) < \int_{m}^{\infty} P_1(t)h(t) dt < 0.$$
 (6)

If $(-1)^i \frac{d^i}{dt^i} h(t) > 0$, $t \in [m, \infty)$, $h^{(i)}(\infty) = 0$ (i = 0, 1), then we still have (cf. [5, (2.2.13)])

$$-\frac{1}{8}h(m) < \int_{w}^{\infty} P_1(t)h(t) dt < 0.$$
 (7)

(ii) (cf. [5, (2.1.14)]) If $n > m \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(t)(>0) \in C^1[m,\infty)$, $f^{(i)}(\infty) = 0$ (i = 0,1), then the following Euler–Maclaurin summation formulas are valid:

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n} f(i) = \int_{m}^{n} f(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} (f(m) + f(n)) + \int_{m}^{n} P_{1}(t) f'(t) dt,$$
 (8)

$$\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} f(i) = \int_{m}^{\infty} f(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} f(m) + \int_{m}^{\infty} P_{1}(t) f'(t) dt.$$
 (9)

Lemma 2 If s > 0, $s_2 \in (0,2] \cap (0,s)$, $K_s(s_2) := B(s_2, s - s_2)$, and the weight coefficient is defined as follows:

$$\varpi_s(s_2, m) := \ln^{s-s_2} m \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{s_2-1} n}{n(\ln mn)^s} \quad (m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}), \tag{10}$$

then we have the following inequalities:

$$0 < K_s(s_2) \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{s_2} m}\right) \right) < \overline{\omega}_s(s_2, m) < K_s(s_2) \quad (m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}), \tag{11}$$

where $O(\frac{1}{\ln^{s_2} m}) := \frac{1}{k_s(s_2)} \int_0^{\frac{\ln 2}{\ln m}} \frac{v^{s_2-1}}{(1+v)^s} dv > 0.$

Proof For a fixed $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, we set $g_m(t)$ as follows: $g_m(t) := \frac{\ln^{s_2-1} t}{(\ln m + \ln t)^{s_t}}$ (t > 1).

(i) For $s_2 \in (0,1] \cap (0,s)$, in view of the decreasingness property of series, we have

$$\int_{2}^{\infty} g_{m}(t) dt < \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} g_{m}(n) < \int_{1}^{\infty} g_{m}(t) dt.$$
 (12)

(ii) For $s_2 \in (1, 2] \cap (0, s)$, in view of (9), we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_m(n) &= \int_2^{\infty} g_m(t) \, dt + \frac{1}{2} g_m(2) + \int_2^{\infty} P_1(t) g_m'(t) \, dt = \int_1^{\infty} g_m(t) \, dt - h(m), \\ h(m) &:= \int_1^2 g_m(t) \, dt - \frac{1}{2} g_m(2) - \int_2^{\infty} P_1(t) g_m'(t) \, dt. \end{split}$$

We obtain $-\frac{1}{2}g_m(2) = \frac{-\ln^{52-1}2}{4(\ln m + \ln 2)^5}$. Setting $\nu = \ln t$ and using integration by parts, we find

$$\begin{split} \int_{1}^{2} g_{m}(t) \, dt &= \int_{0}^{\ln 2} \frac{v^{s_{2}-1}}{(\ln m + v)^{s}} \, dv = \int_{0}^{\ln 2} \frac{dv^{s_{2}}}{s_{2}(\ln m + v)^{s}} \\ &= \frac{v^{s_{2}}}{s_{2}(\ln m + v)^{s}} |_{0}^{\ln 2} - \int_{0}^{\ln 2} \frac{v^{s_{2}}}{s_{2}} \, d\frac{1}{(\ln m + v)^{s}} \end{split}$$

$$= \frac{\ln^{s_2} 2}{s_2(\ln m + \ln 2)^s} + \frac{s}{s_2(s_2 + 1)} \int_0^{\ln 2} \frac{dv^{s_2 + 1}}{(\ln m + v)^{s + 1}}$$

$$> \frac{\ln^{s_2} 2}{s_2(\ln m + \ln 2)^s} + \frac{s}{s_2(s_2 + 1)} \frac{\ln^{s_2 + 1} 2}{(\ln m + \ln 2)^{s + 1}}.$$

Since $\frac{\ln t}{t^2} > 0$, $(\frac{\ln t}{t^2})' = \frac{1 - 2\ln t}{t^3} < 0$ (t > 2), by (7), we have

$$\begin{split} g_m'(t) &= \frac{(s_2-1)\ln^{s_2-2}t}{(\ln m + \ln t)^s t^2} - \frac{s\ln^{s_2-2}t}{(\ln m + \ln t)^{s+1}} \frac{\ln t}{t^2} - \frac{\ln^{s_2-2}t}{(\ln m + \ln t)^s} \frac{\ln t}{t^2} \\ &- \int_2^\infty P_1(t) \frac{(s_2-1)\ln^{s_2-2}t}{(\ln m + \ln t)^s t^2} dt \\ &= (1-s_2) \int_2^\infty P_1(t) \frac{\ln^{s_2-2}t}{(\ln m + \ln t)^s t^2} dt > 0 \quad (s_2 \in (1,2]), \\ &\int_2^\infty P_1(t) \left[\frac{s\ln^{s_2-2}t}{(\ln m + \ln t)^{s+1}} \frac{\ln t}{t^2} + \frac{\ln^{s_2-2}t}{(\ln m + \ln t)^s} \frac{\ln t}{t^2} \right] dt \\ &> -\frac{1}{8} \left[\frac{s\ln^{s_2-1}2}{4(\ln m + \ln 2)^{s+1}} + \frac{\ln^{s_2-1}2}{4(\ln m + \ln 2)^s} \right], \\ &- \int_2^\infty P_1(t) g_m'(t) dt > -\frac{s\ln^{s_2-1}2}{32(\ln m + \ln 2)^{s+1}} - \frac{\ln^{s_2-1}2}{32(\ln m + \ln 2)^s}. \end{split}$$

Hence, for $s_2 \in (1,2] \cap (0,s)$, we obtain

$$h(m) > \frac{\ln^{s_2} 2}{s_2(\ln m + \ln 2)^s} + \frac{s}{s_2(s_2 + 1)} \frac{\ln^{s_2 + 1} 2}{(\ln m + \ln 2)^{s + 1}}$$

$$- \frac{\ln^{s_2 - 1} 2}{4(\ln m + \ln 2)^s} - \frac{s \ln^{s_2 - 1} 2}{32(\ln m + \ln 2)^{s + 1}} - \frac{\ln^{s_2 - 1} 2}{32(\ln m + \ln 2)^s}$$

$$= \frac{\ln^{s_2 - 1} 2}{(\ln m + \ln 2)^s} \left(\frac{\ln 2}{s_2} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{32}\right) + \frac{s \ln^{s_2 - 1} 2}{(\ln m + \ln 2)^{s + 1}} \left[\frac{\ln^2 2}{s_2(s_2 + 1)} - \frac{1}{32}\right]$$

$$\geq \frac{\ln^{s_2 - 1} 2}{(\ln m + \ln 2)^s} \left(\frac{\ln 2}{2} - \frac{9}{32}\right) + \frac{s \ln^{s_2 - 1} 2}{(\ln m + \ln 2)^{s + 1}} \left(\frac{\ln^2 2}{6} - \frac{1}{32}\right)$$

$$> 0 \quad (\ln 2 = 0.6931^+).$$

Therefore, we have h(m) > 0. We still have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_m(n) = \int_2^{\infty} g_m(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} g_m(2) + \int_2^{\infty} P_1(t) g'_m(t) dt$$
$$= \int_2^{\infty} g_m(t) dt + h_1(m),$$
$$h_1(m) := \frac{1}{2} g_m(2) + \int_2^{\infty} P_1(t) g'_m(t) dt.$$

For $s_2 \in (1, 2] \cap (0, s)$, in view of (7), we find

$$\int_{2}^{\infty} P_{1}(t) \frac{(s_{2}-1) \ln^{s_{2}-2} t}{(\ln m + \ln t)^{s} t^{2}} dt > -\frac{s_{2}-1}{32} \frac{\ln^{s_{2}-2} 2}{(\ln m + \ln 2)^{s}},$$

$$\begin{split} &-\int_{2}^{\infty}P_{1}(t)\bigg[\frac{s\ln^{s_{2}-2}t}{(\ln m+\ln t)^{s+1}}\frac{\ln t}{t^{2}}+\frac{s\ln^{s_{2}-2}t}{(\ln m+\ln t)^{s}}\frac{\ln t}{t^{2}}\bigg]dt>0,\\ &\int_{2}^{\infty}P_{1}(t)g'_{m}(t)\,dt>-\frac{s_{2}-1}{32}\frac{\ln^{s_{2}-2}2}{(\ln m+\ln 2)^{s}},\\ &h_{1}(m)>\frac{\ln^{s_{2}-1}2}{4(\ln m+\ln 2)^{s}}-\frac{s_{2}-1}{32}\frac{\ln^{s_{2}-2}2}{(\ln m+\ln 2)^{s}}\geq\frac{\ln^{s_{2}-2}2}{4(\ln m+\ln 2)^{s}}\bigg(\ln 2-\frac{1}{8}\bigg)>0. \end{split}$$

Hence, we have (12).

(iii) For $s_2 \in (0,2] \cap (0,s)$, by (12), setting $\nu = \frac{\ln t}{\ln m}$, it follows that

$$\varpi_{s}(s_{2}, m) = \ln^{s-s_{2}} m \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_{m}(n) < \ln^{s-s_{2}} m \int_{1}^{\infty} g_{m}(t) dt
= \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{v^{s_{2}-1} dv}{(1+v)^{s}} = B(s_{2}, s-s_{2}) = k_{s}(s_{2}),
\varpi_{s}(s_{2}, m) > \ln^{s-s_{2}} m \int_{2}^{\infty} g_{m}(t) dt = \int_{\frac{\ln 2}{\ln 2\pi}}^{\infty} \frac{v^{s_{2}-1} dv}{(1+v)^{s}} = k_{s}(s_{2}) \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{s_{2}} m}\right)\right) > 0,$$

where we indicate that $O(\frac{1}{\ln^5 2 m}) = \frac{1}{k_s(s_2)} \int_0^{\frac{\ln 2}{\ln m}} \frac{v^{s_2-1}}{(1+v)^s} dv$, satisfying

$$0 < \int_0^{\frac{\ln 2}{\ln m}} \frac{v^{s_2 - 1}}{(1 + \nu)^s} \, d\nu \le \int_0^{\frac{\ln 2}{\ln m}} v^{s_2 - 1} \, d\nu = \frac{1}{s_2} \left(\frac{\ln 2}{\ln m} \right)^{s_2}.$$

Therefore, inequalities (11) follow.

This proves the lemma.

Lemma 3 If $a \in (-1,1)$, $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, then there exists a constant C such that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{m} \frac{\ln^{a} k}{k} = \frac{\ln^{a+1} m}{a+1} + C + O\left(\frac{1}{m} \ln^{a} m\right) \quad (m \to \infty).$$
 (13)

Proof We set $f(t) := \frac{1}{t} \ln^a t$ $(t \ge 2)$. Then we find

$$f'(t) = \frac{a}{t^2} \ln^{a-1} t - \frac{1}{t^2} \ln^a t = ag_1(t) - g_2(t),$$

where $g_1(t) = \frac{1}{t^2} \ln^{a-1} t$, $g_2(t) = \frac{1}{t^2} \ln^a t$ $(t \ge 2)$. We obtain $(-1)^i g_1^{(i)}(t) > 0$ (t > 2; i = 0, 1). Since

$$a < 1 < 2 \ln 2 \le 2 \ln t, g_2'(t) = \frac{a - 2 \ln t}{t^3} \ln^{a-1} t < 0 \quad (t \ge 2),$$

it follows that $(-1)^i g_2^{(i)}(t) > 0$ (i = 0, 1). In view of (2.2.12) in [5], we have

$$\int_{2}^{m} P_{1}(t)g_{j}(t) dt = \frac{\varepsilon_{j}}{8}g_{j}(t)|_{2}^{m} \quad (0 < \varepsilon_{j} < 1; j = 0, 1).$$

By (8), we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=2}^m f(k) &= \int_2^m f(t) \, dt + \frac{1}{2} \big(f(m) + f(2) \big) + \int_2^m P_1(t) f'(t) \, dt \\ &= \int_2^m f(t) \, dt + \frac{1}{2} \big(f(m) + f(2) \big) + a \int_2^m P_1(t) g_1(t) \, dt - \int_2^m P_1(t) g_2(t) \, dt. \end{split}$$

By simplification, we obtain (13), where

$$\begin{split} C &:= -\frac{1}{a+1} \ln^{a+1} 2 + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{32}\right) \ln^a 2 - \frac{\varepsilon_1 a}{32} \ln^{a-1} 2 \quad \text{and} \\ O\left(\frac{1}{m} \ln^a m\right) &:= \frac{\ln^a m}{2m} + \frac{\varepsilon_1 a}{8m^2} \ln^{a-1} m - \frac{\varepsilon_2}{8m^2} \ln^a m \quad (m \to \infty). \end{split}$$

This proves the lemma.

Lemma 4 For t > 0, the following inequality is valid:

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} e^{-t \ln m} m^{-1} A_m \ge \frac{1}{t} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} e^{-t \ln m} a_m.$$
 (14)

Proof Since $A_m e^{-t \ln m} = o(1)$ $(m \to \infty)$, by Abel's summation by parts formula, it follows that

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} e^{-t \ln m} a_m = \lim_{m \to \infty} A_m e^{-t \ln m} + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} A_m \left[e^{-t \ln m} - e^{-t \ln(m+1)} \right]$$
$$= \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} A_m \left[e^{-t \ln m} - e^{-t \ln(m+1)} \right].$$

For a fixed $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, we set $f(x) := e^{-t \ln x}$, $x \in [m, m+1]$. Since f'(x) = -th(x), where $h(x) := x^{-1}e^{-t \ln x}$ is decreasing in [m, m+1], by the differentiation intermediate value theorem, there exists a constant $\theta \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} e^{-t \ln m} a_m = -\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} A_m (f(m+1) - f(m))$$

$$= -\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} A_m f'(m+\theta) = t \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} h(m+\theta) A_m$$

$$\leq t \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} h(m) A_m = t \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m^{-1} e^{-t \ln m} A_m,$$

namely, inequality (14) follows.

This proves lemma.

Lemma 5 For 0 <math>(q < 0), the following reverse inequality is valid:

$$I_{\lambda} := \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{m} b_{n}}{(\ln m n)^{\lambda}} > \left(k_{\lambda}(\lambda_{2})\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(k_{\lambda}(\lambda_{1})\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \times \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{\lambda_{2}} m}\right)\right) \frac{\ln^{p(1-\hat{\lambda}_{1})-1} m}{m^{1-p}} a_{m}^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{q(1-\hat{\lambda}_{2})-1} n}{n^{1-q}} b_{n}^{q}\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$
(15)

Proof In view of the symmetry, for $s_1 \in (0,2] \cap (0,s)$, s > 0, we set and obtain the next weight coefficient as follows:

$$0 < k_s(s_1) \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{s_1} n}\right) \right) < \omega_s(s_1, n) := \ln^{s-s_1} n \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{s_1-1} m}{m(\ln m n)^s}$$

$$< k_s(s_1) = B(s_1, s - s_1) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}), \tag{16}$$

where $O(\frac{1}{\ln^{s_1} n}) := \frac{1}{k_s(s_1)} \int_0^{\ln 2} \frac{v^{s_1 - 1}}{(1 + v)^s} dv > 0.$

In view of the reverse Hölder's inequality (cf. [30]), we find

$$\begin{split} I_{\lambda} &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\ln mn)^{\lambda}} \left[\frac{m^{1/q} \ln^{(\lambda_2-1)/p} n}{n^{1/p} \ln^{(\lambda_1-1)/q} m} a_m \right] \left[\frac{n^{1/p} \ln^{(\lambda_1-1)/q} m}{m^{1/q} \ln^{(\lambda_2-1)/p} n} b_n \right] \\ &\geq \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\ln mn)^{\lambda}} \frac{m^{p-1} \ln^{\lambda_2-1} n}{n \ln^{(\lambda_1-1)(p-1)} m} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\ln mn)^{\lambda}} \frac{n^{(q-1)} \ln^{\lambda_1-1} m}{m \ln^{(\lambda_2-1)(q-1)} n} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \varpi_{\lambda}(\lambda_2, m) \frac{\ln^{p(1-\hat{\lambda}_1)-1} m}{m^{1-p}} a_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \omega_{\lambda}(\lambda_1, n) \frac{n^{q(1-\hat{\lambda}_2)-11} n}{n^{1-q}} b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{split}$$

By (11) and (16) (for $s = \lambda$, $s_i = \lambda_i \in (0, 2] \cap (0, \lambda)$ (i = 1, 2)), we obtain (15). This proves the lemma.

3 Main results

Theorem 1 The following reverse Mulholland's inequality with A_m in the kernel is valid:

$$I := \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{A_m b_n}{(\ln mn)^{\lambda+1} m} > \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(k_{\lambda}(\lambda_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(k_{\lambda}(\lambda_1) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$$\times \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{\lambda_2} m}\right) \right) \frac{\ln^{p(1-\hat{\lambda}_1)-1} m}{m^{1-p}} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{q(1-\hat{\lambda}_2)-1} n}{n^{1-q}} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

$$(17)$$

In particular, for $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ *, we have*

$$0 < \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} m}{m^{1-p}} a_m^p < \infty, \qquad 0 < \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1} n}{n^{1-q}} b_n^q < \infty,$$

and the following reverse inequality:

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{A_{m} b_{n}}{(\ln m n)^{\lambda+1} m} > \frac{1}{\lambda} B(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}) \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{\lambda_{2}} m}\right) \right) \frac{\ln^{p(1-\lambda_{1})-1} m}{m^{1-p}} a_{m}^{p} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{q(1-\lambda_{2})-1} n}{n^{1-q}} b_{n}^{q} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$
(18)

Proof In view of the following expression related to the Gamma function:

$$\frac{1}{(\ln m + \ln n)^{\lambda+1}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda+1)} \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda} e^{-(\ln m + \ln n)t} dt,$$

by (14), it follows that

$$I = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda+1)} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} A_m b_n \int_0^{\infty} t^{\lambda} e^{-(\ln m + \ln n)t} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda+1)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{\lambda} \left(\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} e^{-t \ln m} \frac{1}{m} A_m \right) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} e^{-t \ln n} b_n dt$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda+1)} \int_0^{\infty} t^{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{t} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} e^{-t \ln m} a_m \right) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} e^{-t \ln n} b_n dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda+1)} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_m b_n \int_0^{\infty} t^{\lambda-1} e^{-(\ln m + \ln n)t} dt$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda+1)} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\ln m n)^{\lambda}} a_m b_n.$$

Then by (15), in view of $\Gamma(\lambda + 1) = \lambda \Gamma(\lambda)$, we have (17). For $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ in (17), we have (18).

This proves the theorem.

Theorem 2 Assume that $\lambda_1 \in (0,2) \cap (0,\lambda)$, $\lambda_2 \in (0,2) \cap (0,\lambda)$. If $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, then the constant $\frac{1}{\lambda}(k_{\lambda}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}}(k_{\lambda}(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}}$ in (17) is the best possible.

Proof We now show that $\frac{1}{\lambda}B(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ in (18) is the best value under the assumptions of this theorem.

For any $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{p\lambda_1, |q|(2 - \lambda_2)\}$, we set

$$\tilde{a}_m := \frac{1}{m} \ln^{(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}) - 1} m, \qquad \tilde{b}_n := \frac{1}{n} \ln^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}) - 1} n \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}).$$

For $a = \lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} - 1 \in (-1, 1)$, by (13), we have

$$\tilde{A}_m := \sum_{k=2}^m \tilde{a}_k = \sum_{k=2}^m \frac{\ln^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} - 1} k}{k} = \frac{\ln^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} m}{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} + C + O\left(\frac{1}{m} \ln^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} m\right) \quad (m \to \infty),$$

satisfying $\tilde{A}_m = o(e^{t \ln m})$ $(t > 0; m \to \infty)$.

If there exists a constant $M(\geq \frac{1}{\lambda}B(\lambda_1,\lambda_2))$ such that (18) is valid when we replace $\frac{1}{\lambda}B(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ by M, then for $a_m = \tilde{a}_m$, $b_n = \tilde{b}_n$, and $A_m = \tilde{A}_m$, we have

$$\begin{split} \tilde{I} &:= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{A}_m \tilde{b}_n}{(\ln m n)^{\lambda+1} m} \\ &> M \Bigg[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \Bigg(1 - O \bigg(\frac{1}{\ln^{\lambda_2} m} \bigg) \Bigg) \frac{\ln^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} m}{m^{1-p}} \tilde{a}_m^p \Bigg]^{\frac{1}{p}} \Bigg[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1} n}{n^{1-q}} \tilde{b}_n^q \Bigg]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{split}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{I} > M \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{\lambda_2} m}\right) \right) \frac{\ln^{-\varepsilon - 1} m}{m} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{-\varepsilon - 1} n}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ = M \left(\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{-\varepsilon - 1} m}{m} - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{\lambda_2 + \varepsilon + 1} m}\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\ln^{-\varepsilon - 1} 2}{2} + \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{-\varepsilon - 1} m}{m} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ > M \left(\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{-\varepsilon - 1} x}{x} \, dx - O(1) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\ln^{-\varepsilon - 1} 2}{2} + \int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{-\varepsilon - 1} x}{x} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ > \frac{M}{\varepsilon} \left(\ln^{-\varepsilon} 2 - \varepsilon O(1) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\ln^{-\varepsilon - 1} 2}{2} \varepsilon + \ln^{-\varepsilon} 2 \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{split}$$

In view of (11) (for $s = \lambda + 1 > 0$, $s_2 = \lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} \in (0,2) \cap (0,\lambda)$), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \tilde{I} &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}) - 1} n}{(\ln mn)^{\lambda - 1} mn} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} \ln^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} m + C + O\left(\frac{1}{m} \ln^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} m\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{-\varepsilon - 1} m}{m} \left[\ln^{(\lambda_1 + 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{q})} m \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}) - 1} m}{(\ln mn)^{\lambda + 1} n} \right] + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{C \ln^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}) - 1} n}{(\ln mn)^{\lambda + 1} mn} \\ &+ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}) - 1} n}{(\ln mn)^{\lambda + 1} mn} O\left(\frac{1}{m} \ln^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} m\right) \\ &< \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} k_{\lambda + 1} \left(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}\right) \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{-\varepsilon - 1} m}{m} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}) - 1} n}{(\ln n)^{\lambda_2 + 1} n} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{C}{(\ln m)^{\lambda_1 m}} \\ &+ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}) - 1} n}{(\ln n)^{\lambda_2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} + 1} n} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\ln m)^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} m} O\left(\frac{1}{m} \ln^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} m\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} k_{\lambda + 1} \left(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}\right) \left(\frac{\ln^{-\varepsilon - 1} 2}{2} + \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{-\varepsilon - 1} m}{m}\right) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\ln n)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{q} + 2} n} \cdot \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{C}{(\ln m)^{\lambda_1 m}} \\ &+ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\ln n)^{\varepsilon + 2n}} \cdot \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} O\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right) \\ &< \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} k_{\lambda + 1} \left(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}\right) \left(\frac{\ln^{-\varepsilon - 1} 2}{2} + \int_2^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{-\varepsilon - 1} x}{n} dx\right) + O_1(1) + O_2(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p})} k_{\lambda + 1} \left(\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q}\right) \left(\varepsilon \frac{\ln^{-\varepsilon - 1} 2}{2} + \ln^{-\varepsilon} 2\right) + O_1(1) + O_2(1). \end{split}$$

Then we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{1} - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} k_{\lambda+1} \left(\lambda_{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} \right) \left(\varepsilon \frac{\ln^{-\varepsilon - 1} 2}{2} + \ln^{-\varepsilon} 2 \right) + \varepsilon O_{1}(1) + \varepsilon O_{2}(1)
> \varepsilon \tilde{I} > M \left(\ln^{-\varepsilon} 2 - \varepsilon O(1) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\ln^{-\varepsilon - 1} 2}{2} \varepsilon + \ln^{-\varepsilon} 2 \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Setting $\varepsilon \to 0^+$, in view of the continuity of the Beta function, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}B(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)=\frac{1}{\lambda_1}B(\lambda_1+1,\lambda_2)\geq M.$$

Therefore, $M = \frac{1}{\lambda}B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is the best value in (18).

This proves the theorem.

Theorem 3 Assume that $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda_1 \in (0,2] \cap (0,\lambda)$, $\lambda_2 \in (0,2) \cap (0,\lambda)$. If the constant $\frac{1}{\lambda}(k_{\lambda}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}}(k_{\lambda}(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}}$ in (17) is the best possible, then for

$$\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \in (-p\lambda_1, p(\lambda - \lambda_1)) \cap [q(2 - \lambda_2), p(2 - \lambda_1)], \tag{19}$$

we have $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$.

Proof Since $\hat{\lambda}_1 = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda_1}{q} = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{p} + \lambda_1$, $\hat{\lambda}_2 = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{q} + \frac{\lambda_2}{p} = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{q} + \lambda_2$, we find $\hat{\lambda}_1 + \hat{\lambda}_2 = \lambda$. In view of (19), for $\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \in (-p\lambda_1, p(\lambda - \lambda_1))$, we have $\hat{\lambda}_1 \in (0, \lambda)$, $\hat{\lambda}_2 = \lambda - \hat{\lambda}_1 \in (0, \lambda)$, and then $B(\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\lambda}_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+$; for $\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \leq p(2 - \lambda_1)$, we have $\hat{\lambda}_1 \leq 2$; for $\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \geq q(2 - \lambda_2)$, we have $\hat{\lambda}_2 \leq 2$. Then, for $\lambda_i = \hat{\lambda}_i$ (i = 1, 2) in (18), we still have

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{A_m b_n}{(\ln mn)^{\lambda+1} m} > \frac{1}{\lambda} B(\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\lambda}_2) \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{\hat{\lambda}_2} m}\right) \right) \frac{\ln^{p(1-\hat{\lambda}_1)-1} m}{m^{1-p}} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{q(1-\hat{\lambda}_2)-1} n}{n^{1-q}} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$
(20)

In view of the reverse Hölder's inequality (cf. [30]), we find

$$B(\hat{\lambda}_{1}, \hat{\lambda}_{2}) = k_{\lambda} \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_{2}}{p} + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{q} \right)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+u)^{\lambda}} u^{\frac{\lambda - \lambda_{2}}{p} + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{q} - 1} du = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+u)^{\lambda}} \left(u^{\frac{\lambda - \lambda_{2} - 1}{p}} \right) \left(u^{\frac{\lambda_{1} - 1}{q}} \right) du$$

$$\geq \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+u)^{\lambda}} u^{\lambda - \lambda_{2} - 1} du \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+u)^{\lambda}} u^{\lambda_{1} - 1} du \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$$= \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+v)^{\lambda}} v^{\lambda_{2} - 1} dv \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+u)^{\lambda}} u^{\lambda_{1} - 1} du \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$$= \left(k_{\lambda}(\lambda_{2}) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(k_{\lambda}(\lambda_{1}) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{21}$$

If the constant $\frac{1}{\lambda}(k_{\lambda}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}}(k_{\lambda}(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}}$ in (17) is the best possible, then, comparing with the constants in (17) and (20), we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} (k_{\lambda}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda}(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq \frac{1}{\lambda} B(\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\lambda}_2) (\in \mathbb{R}_+),$$

namely, $B(\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\lambda}_2) \leq (k_{\lambda}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda}(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}}$, and then (21) attains the form of an equality.

Inequality (21) becomes an equality if and only if there exist constants A and B such that they are not both zero and (cf. [30]) $Au^{\lambda-\lambda_2-1}=Bu^{\lambda_1-1}$ a.e. in R_+ . Supposing that $A\neq 0$, we have $u^{\lambda-\lambda_2-\lambda_1}=\frac{B}{A}$ a.e. in R_+ . It follows that $\lambda-\lambda_2-\lambda_1=0$, namely, $\lambda_1+\lambda_2=\lambda$.

This proves the theorem.

4 Equivalent forms and some particular inequalities

Theorem 4 The following reverse inequality equivalent to (17) is valid:

$$J := \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{p\hat{\lambda}_{2}-1} n}{n} \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{A_{m}}{(\ln mn)^{\lambda+1} m} \right]^{p} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$> \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(k_{\lambda}(\lambda_{2}) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(k_{\lambda}(\lambda_{1}) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{\lambda_{2}} m}\right) \right) \frac{\ln^{p(1-\hat{\lambda}_{1})-1} m}{m^{1-p}} a_{m}^{p} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$
(22)

Particularly, for $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, the following reverse inequality equivalent to (18) is valid:

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{p\lambda_2 - 1} n}{n} \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{A_m}{(\ln mn)^{\lambda + 1} m} \right]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
> \frac{1}{\lambda} B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{\lambda_2} m}\right) \right) \frac{\ln^{p(1 - \lambda_1) - 1} m}{m^{1 - p}} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$
(23)

Proof Assuming that (23) is valid, by the reverse Hölder's inequality, we have

$$I = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ln^{-\frac{1}{p} + \hat{\lambda}_2} n}{n^{\frac{1}{p}}} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{A_m}{(\ln mn)^{\lambda + 1} m} \right] \left(\frac{\ln^{\frac{1}{p} - \hat{\lambda}_2} n}{n^{-1/p}} b_n \right) \ge J \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{q(1 - \hat{\lambda}_2) - 1} n}{n^{1 - q}} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{24}$$

In view of (23), we have (17). Assuming that (17) is valid, we set

$$b_n := \frac{\ln^{p\hat{\lambda}_2 - 1} n}{n} \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{A_m}{(\ln mn)^{\lambda + 1} m} \right]^{p-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}.$$

Then we find

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{q(1-\hat{\lambda}_2)-1} n}{n^{1-q}} b_n^q = J^p = I.$$
 (25)

If $J = \infty$, then (23) is valid; if J = 0, then it is impossible that makes (23) valid, namely, J > 0. Assuming that $0 < J < \infty$, by (17), it follows that

$$J^{p} = I > \frac{1}{\lambda} (k_{\lambda}(\lambda_{2}))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda}(\lambda_{1}))^{\frac{1}{q}} \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{\lambda_{2}} m}\right) \right) \frac{\ln^{p(1-\hat{\lambda}_{1})-1} m}{m^{1-p}} a_{m}^{p} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} J^{p-1},$$

$$J > \frac{1}{\lambda} (k_{\lambda}(\lambda_{2}))^{\frac{1}{p}} (k_{\lambda}(\lambda_{1}))^{\frac{1}{q}} \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{\lambda_{2}} m}\right) \right) \frac{\ln^{p(1-\hat{\lambda}_{1})-1} m}{m^{1-p}} a_{m}^{p} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Hence, (23) is valid, which is equivalent to (17).

This proves the theorem.

Theorem 5 Assume that $\lambda_1 \in (0,2) \cap (0,\lambda)$, $\lambda_2 \in (0,2] \cap (0,\lambda)$. If $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, then the constant $\frac{1}{\lambda}(k_{\lambda}(\lambda_2))^{\frac{1}{p}}(k_{\lambda}(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}}$ in (23) is the best possible. On the other hand, if the same constant in (23) is the best possible, then for $\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \in [q(2 - \lambda_2), p(2 - \lambda_1)]$, we have $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$.

Proof We show that the constant $\frac{1}{\lambda}B(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ in (24) is the best possible. Otherwise, by (25) (for $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$), we would reach a contradiction that the same constant in (18) is not the best possible.

On the other hand, if the constant in (23) is the best possible, then the same constant in (17) is also the best possible. Otherwise, by (26) (for $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$), we would reach a contradiction that the same constant in (24) is not the best possible.

This proves the theorem. \Box

Remark 1 For $\lambda \in (0,4)$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \frac{\lambda}{2}(<2)$ in (18) and (24), we have the following equivalent forms with the best value $\frac{1}{2}B(\frac{\lambda}{2},\frac{\lambda}{2})$:

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{A_{m} b_{n}}{(\ln m n)^{\lambda+1} m} > \frac{1}{\lambda} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{\lambda/2} m}\right)\right) \frac{\ln^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{2})-1} m}{m^{1-p}} a_{m}^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\times \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{2})-1} n}{n^{1-q}} b_{n}^{q}\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{26}$$

$$\left\{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{\frac{p\lambda}{2}-1} n}{n} \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{A_{m}}{(\ln m n)^{\lambda+1} m}\right]^{p}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$> \frac{1}{\lambda} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{\lambda/2} m}\right)\right) \frac{\ln^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{2})-1} m}{m^{1-p}} a_{m}^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{27}$$

Particularly, for $\lambda = 1$, we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best value π :

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{A_m b_n}{(\ln mn)^2 m} > \pi \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{1/2} m}\right) \right) \frac{\ln^{-\frac{p}{2}-1} m}{m^{1-p}} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{\frac{q}{2}-1} n}{n^{1-q}} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{28}$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{\frac{p}{2}-1} n}{n} \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{A_m}{(\ln mn)^2 m} \right]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} > \pi \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{1/2} m}\right) \right) \frac{\ln^{\frac{p}{2}-1} m}{m^{1-p}} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (29)$$

5 Conclusions

In this article, by means of the techniques of analysis, applying the basic inequalities and formulas, a new reverse Mulholland's inequality with one partial sum in the kernel is given in Theorem 1. The equivalent conditions of the best value related to parameters are obtained in Theorems 2 and 3. As applications, we deduce the equivalent forms in Theorems 4 and 5, and some new inequalities for particular parameters in Remark 1.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the referees for useful comments which have improved this paper.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (No. 11561019), Guangxi Natural Science Foundation (No. 2020GXNSFAA159084), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12071491), and School-Level Quality Engineering Project (No. 2022ckjjd02).

Data availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author contributions

B.Y. and L.R. carried out the mathematical studies, participated in the sequence alignment, and drafted the manuscript. X.Y.H. and X.S.H. participated in the design of the study and performed the numerical analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹School of Mathematics, Guangdong University of Education, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510303, P.R. China. ²School of Mathematics and Statistics. Hechi University. Yizhou. Guangxi 456300. P.R. China.

Received: 20 October 2023 Accepted: 4 January 2024 Published online: 24 January 2024

References

- 1. Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.E., Polya, G.: Inequalities. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1934)
- 2. Krnić, M., Pečarić, J.: Extension of Hilbert's inequality. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 324(1), 150–160 (2006)
- 3. Yang, B.C.: On a generalization of Hilbert double series theorem. J. Nanjing Univ. Math. Biq. 18(1), 145–152 (2001)
- Adiyasuren, V., Batbold, T., Azar, L.E.: A new discrete Hilbert-type inequality involving partial sums. J. Inequal. Appl. 2019, 127 (2019)
- 5. Yang, B.C.: The Norm of Operator and Hilbert-Type Inequalities. Science Press, Beijing (2009)
- 6. Krnić, M., Pečarić, J.: General Hilbert's and Hardy's inequalities. Math. Inequal. Appl. 8(1), 29-51 (2005)
- 7. Perić, I., Vuković, P.: Multiple Hilbert's type inequalities with a homogeneous kernel. Banach J. Math. Anal. 5(2), 33–43 (2011)
- 8. Huang, Q.L.: A new extension of Hardy-Hilbert-type inequality. J. Inequal. Appl. 2015, 397 (2015)
- 9. He, B.: A multiple Hilbert-type discrete inequality with a new kernel and best possible constant factor. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **431**, 889–902 (2015)
- 10. Xu, J.S.: Hardy–Hilbert's inequalities with two parameters. Adv. Math. **36**(2), 63–76 (2007)
- 11. Xie, Z.T., Zeng, Z., Sun, Y.F.: A new Hilbert-type inequality with the homogeneous kernel of degree-2. Adv. Appl. Math. Sci. 12(7), 391–401 (2013)
- 12. Zeng, Z., Raja Rama Gandhi, K., Xie, Z.T.: A new Hilbert-type inequality with the homogeneous kernel of degree –2 and with the integral. Bull. Math. Sci. Appl. **3**(1), 11–20 (2014)
- Xin, D.M.: A Hilbert-type integral inequality with the homogeneous kernel of zero degree. Math. Theory Appl. 30(2), 70–74 (2010)
- 14. Azar, L.E.: The connection between Hilbert and Hardy inequalities. J. Inequal. Appl. 2013, 452 (2013)
- 15. Adiyasuren, V., Batbold, T., Krnić, M.: Hilbert-type inequalities involving differential operators, the best constants and applications. Math. Inequal. Appl. 18, 111–124 (2015)
- Gu, Z.H., Yang, B.C.: An extended Hardy–Hilbert's inequality with parameters and applications. J. Math. Inequal. 15(4), 1375–1389 (2021)
- 17. Hong, Y., Wen, Y.: A necessary and sufficient condition of that Hilbert type series inequality with homogeneous kernel has the best constant factor. Ann. Math. 37A(3), 329–336 (2016)

- 18. Hong, Y.: On the structure character of Hilbert's type integral inequality with homogeneous kernel and application. J. Jilin Univ. Sci. Ed. **55**(2), 189–194 (2017)
- 19. Xin, D.M., Yang, B.C., Wang, A.Z.: Equivalent property of a Hilbert-type integral inequality related to the beta function in the whole plane. J. Funct. Spaces 2018, 2691816 (2018)
- 20. He, B., Hong, Y., Li, Z.: Conditions for the validity of a class of optimal Hilbert type multiple integral inequalities with non-homogeneous. J. Inequal. Appl. 2021, 64 (2021)
- 21. Chen, Q., He, B., Hong, Y., Li, Z.: Equivalent parameter conditions for the validity of half-discrete Hilbert-type multiple integral inequality with generalized homogeneous kernel. J. Funct. Spaces 2020, 7414861 (2020)
- 22. He, B., Hong, Y., Chen, Q.: The equivalent parameter conditions for constructing multiple integral half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities with a class of non-homogeneous kernels and their applications. Open Math. 19, 400–411 (2021)
- 23. Hong, Y., Huang, Q., Chen, Q.: The parameter conditions for the existence of the Hilbert-type multiple integral inequality and its best constant factor. Ann. Funct. Anal. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43034-020-00087-5
- 24. Hong, Y.: Progress in the Study of Hilbert-Type Integral Inequalities from Homogeneous Kernels to Nonhomogeneous Kernels. J. Guangdong Univ. Educ. (2020)
- 25. Hong, Y., Chen, Q.: Equivalent parameter conditions for the construction of Hilbert-type integral inequalities with a class of non-homogeneous kernels. J. South China Normal Univ. Natur. Sci. Ed. **52**(5), 124–128 (2020)
- 26. Liao, J.Q., Wu, S.H., Yang, B.C.: A multi parameter Hardy–Hilbert-type inequality containing partial sums as the terms of series. J. Math. 2021, 5264623 (2021)
- 27. You, M.H.: More accurate and strengthened forms of half-discrete Hilbert inequality. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **512**(2), 126–141 (2022)
- 28. You, M.H., Sun, X., Fan, X.S.: On a more accurate half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality involving hyperbolic functions. Open Math. 20(1), 544–559 (2022)
- Rassias, M.Th., Yang, B.C., Raigorodskii, A.: An equivalent form related to a Hilbert-type integral inequality. Axioms 12, 677 (2023)
- 30. Kuang, J.C.: Applied Inequalities. Shangdong Science and Technology Press, Jinan (2021)

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com