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#### Abstract

In this article, we extend general fuzzy normed spaces to fuzzy $\beta$-normed spaces and adopt the fixed point and direct methods to prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the quartic functional equation $f(2 x+y+z)+f(2 x+y-z)+f(2 x-y+z)+f(-2 x+y+$ $z)+f(2 y)+f(2 z)=8[f(x+y)+f(x-y)+f(x+z)+f(x-z)]+2[f(y+z)+f(y-z)]+32 f(x)$ in fuzzy $\beta$-normed spaces.
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## 1 Introduction

In 1940, Ulam [1] asked the following question concerning the stability of group homomorphisms: Under what condition is there an additive mapping near an approximately additive mapping between a group and a metric group?

In the next year, Hyers [2] gave a positive answer to the above question for additive groups under the assumption that the groups are Banach spaces. In 1978, Rassias [3] extended the theorem of Hyers by considering the unbounded Cauchy difference. Gǎvruta [4] proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability with the generalized control function. This stability result is called the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations. Rassias [5] first introduced the quartic functional equation

$$
f(x+2 y)+f(x-2 y)+6 f(x)=4[f(x+y)+f(x-y)+6 f(y)]
$$

and solved the Ulam stability problem of the function. Later Sahoo and Chung [6], and Lee et al. [7] remodified Rassias' equation and obtained its general solution.

Pinsker [8] characterized orthogonally additive functionals on an inner product space. The orthogonal Cauchy functional equation

$$
f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y), \quad x \perp y
$$

in which $\perp$ is an abstract orthogonality relation, was first investigated by Gudder and Strawther [9]. Ger and Sikorska discussed the orthogonal stability of the orthogonal Cauchy functional equation in [10].
Park [11] proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the orthogonality quartic functional equation

$$
f(x+2 y)+f(x-2 y)+6 f(x)=4[f(x+y)+f(x-y)+6 f(y)], \quad x \perp y
$$

where $\perp$ is the orthogonality in the sense of Rätz.
Let us recall the orthogonality in the sense of Rätz [12].

Definition 1.1 ([9]) Suppose $X$ is a real vector space with $\operatorname{dim} X \geq 2$ and $\perp$ is a binary relation on $X$ with the following properties:
( $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ ) totality of $\perp$ for zero: $x \perp 0,0 \perp x$ for all $x \in X$;
$\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ independence: if $x, y \in X-\{0\}$, then $x, y$ are linearly independent;
$\left(\mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ homogeneity: if $x, y \in X, x \perp y$, then $\alpha x \perp \beta y$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$;
$\left(\mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ the Thalesian property: if $P$ is a 2 -dimensional subspace of $X, x \in P$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, which is the set of nonnegative real numbers, then there exists $y_{0} \in P$ such that $x \perp y_{0}$ and $x+y_{0} \perp \lambda x-y_{0}$.

The pair $(X, \perp)$ is called an orthogonality space. By an orthogonality normed space we mean an orthogonality space having a normed structure.

Arunkumar et al. [13] proved that a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(2 x+y+z)+f(2 x+y-z)+f(2 x-y+z)+f(-2 x+y+z)+f(2 y)+f(2 z) \\
& \quad=8[f(x+y)+f(x-y)+f(x+z)+f(x-z)]+2[f(y+z)+f(y-z)]+32 f(x) \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

if and only if the mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is quartic. Moreover, they proved the Hyers-UlamRassias stability of the quartic functional equation (1.1) in orthogonality normed spaces.

Katsaras [14] defined a fuzzy norm on a vector space to construct a fuzzy vector topological structure on the space. Some mathematicians have defined fuzzy norms of a vector space from various points of view (see [15-20]).

Bag and Samanta [21], following Cheng and Mordeson [22], gave an idea of fuzzy norm in such a manner that the corresponding fuzzy metric is of Karmosil and Michalek [23].

A number of mathematicians have extensively investigated the stability problems of several functional equations, and they obtained many interesting results concerning the problem (for instance [24-38]).
In this article, we extend general fuzzy normed spaces to fuzzy $\beta$-normed spaces and prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the orthogonally quartic functional equation (1.1) in this kind of spaces by two different methods: the fixed point and direct methods.

Now we introduce the concept of a fuzzy $\beta$-normed vector space and associated concept of a fuzzy $\beta$-norm, followed by some examples to show the validity of the notion.

Definition 1.2 Let X be a real vector space. A function $N_{\beta}: X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is called a fuzzy $\beta$-norm on $X$ with $0<\beta \leq 1$ if for all $x, y \in X$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$,
(N1) $N_{\beta}(x, t)=0$ for $t \leq 0$;
(N2) $x=0$ if and only if $N_{\beta}(x, t)=1$ for all $t>0$;
(N3) $N_{\beta}(c x, t)=N_{\beta}\left(x, \frac{t}{|c|^{\beta}}\right)$ if $c \neq 0$;
(N4) $N_{\beta}(x+y, s+t) \geq \min \left\{N_{\beta}(x, s), N_{\beta}(y, t)\right\}$;
(N5) $N_{\beta}(x, \cdot)$ is a non-decreasing function of $\mathbb{R}$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} N_{\beta}(x, t)=1$;
(N6) for $x \neq 0, N_{\beta}(x, \cdot)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$.
The pair $\left(X, N_{\beta}\right)$ is called a fuzzy $\beta$-normed vector space.

We remark that when $\beta=1,\left(X, N_{\beta}\right)$ is fuzzy normed space $(X, N)$.

Example 1.3 Let $\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{\beta}\right)(0<\beta \leq 1)$ be a $\beta$-normed linear space and $\alpha, \gamma>0$. Then

$$
N_{\beta}(x, t)= \begin{cases}\frac{\alpha t}{\alpha t+\gamma\|x\|_{\beta}}, & t>0, x \in X \\ 0, & t \leq 0, x \in X\end{cases}
$$

is a fuzzy $\beta$-norm on $X$.

Proof (N1), (N2), and (N6) are obviously true.
Notice that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}, t>0$, and $c \neq 0$

$$
N_{\beta}(c x, t)=\frac{\alpha t}{\alpha t+\gamma\|c x\|_{\beta}}=\frac{\alpha t}{\alpha t+\gamma|c|^{\beta}\|x\|_{\beta}}=\frac{\alpha \cdot \frac{t}{|c|^{\beta}}}{\alpha \cdot \frac{t}{|c|^{\beta}}+\gamma\|x\|_{\beta}}=N_{\beta}\left(x, \frac{t}{|c|^{\beta}}\right),
$$

which implies that (N3) holds.
To prove (N4), let $s>0, t>0$, we assume that $N_{\beta}(x, s) \geq N_{\beta}(y, t)$, thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{\beta}(x, s)-N_{\beta}(y, t) & =\frac{\alpha s}{\alpha s+\gamma\|x\|_{\beta}}-\frac{\alpha t}{\alpha t+\gamma\|y\|_{\beta}} \\
& =\frac{\alpha s\left(\alpha t+\gamma\|y\|_{\beta}\right)-\alpha t\left(\alpha s+\gamma\|x\|_{\beta}\right)}{\left(\alpha s+\gamma\|x\|_{\beta}\right)\left(\alpha t+\gamma\|y\|_{\beta}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\alpha \gamma s\|y\|_{\beta}-\alpha \gamma t\|x\|_{\beta}}{\left(\alpha s+\gamma\|x\|_{\beta}\right)\left(\alpha t+\gamma\|y\|_{\beta}\right)} \\
& \geq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

we can get

$$
\alpha \gamma\left(s\|y\|_{\beta}-t\|x\|_{\beta}\right) \geq 0 .
$$

We have by the above inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{\beta}(x+y, s+t)-N_{\beta}(y, t) & =\frac{\alpha(s+t)}{\alpha(s+t)+\gamma\|x+y\|_{\beta}}-\frac{\alpha t}{\alpha t+\gamma\|y\|_{\beta}} \\
& \geq \frac{\alpha(s+t)}{\alpha(s+t)+\gamma\|x\|_{\beta}+\gamma\|y\|_{\beta}}-\frac{\alpha t}{\alpha t+\gamma\|y\|_{\beta}} \\
& =\frac{\alpha(s+t)\left(\alpha t+\gamma\|y\|_{\beta}\right)}{\left[\alpha(s+t)+\gamma\|x\|_{\beta}+\gamma\|y\|_{\beta}\right]\left(\alpha t+\gamma\|y\|_{\beta}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{\alpha t\left[\alpha(s+t)+\gamma\|x\|_{\beta}+\gamma\|y\|_{\beta}\right]}{\left[\alpha(s+t)+\gamma\|x\|_{\beta}+\gamma\|y\|_{\beta}\right]\left(\alpha t+\gamma\|y\|_{\beta}\right)} \\
= & \frac{\alpha \gamma\left(s\|y\|_{\beta}-t\|x\|_{\beta}\right)}{\left[\alpha(s+t)+\gamma\|x\|_{\beta}+\gamma\|y\|_{\beta}\right]\left(\alpha t+\gamma\|y\|_{\beta}\right)} \\
\geq & 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
N_{\beta}(x+y, s+t) \geq N_{\beta}(y, t) .
$$

Similarly, from $N_{\beta}(y, t) \geq N_{\beta}(x, s)$, we can obtain

$$
N_{\beta}(x+y, s+t) \geq N_{\beta}(x, s)
$$

Therefore, $N_{\beta}(x+y, s+t) \geq \min \left\{N_{\beta}(x, s), N_{\beta}(y, t)\right\}$ and (N4) hold.
For any $t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, and $t_{1} \geq t_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{\beta}\left(x, t_{1}\right)-N_{\beta}\left(x, t_{2}\right) & =\frac{\alpha t_{1}}{\alpha t_{1}+\gamma\|x\|_{\beta}}-\frac{\alpha t_{2}}{\alpha t_{2}+\gamma\|x\|_{\beta}} \\
& =\frac{\alpha t_{1}\left(\alpha t_{2}+\gamma\|x\|_{\beta}\right)-\alpha t_{2}\left(\alpha t_{1}+\gamma\|x\|_{\beta}\right)}{\left(\alpha t_{1}+\gamma\|x\|_{\beta}\right)\left(\alpha t_{2}+\gamma\|x\|_{\beta}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) \alpha \gamma\|x\|_{\beta}}{\left(\alpha t_{1}+\gamma\|x\|_{\beta}\right)\left(\alpha t_{2}+\gamma\|x\|_{\beta}\right)} \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $N_{\beta}(x, \cdot)$ is a non-decreasing function of $\mathbb{R}$, which proves (N5).

Definition 1.4 Let $\left(X, N_{\beta}\right)$ be a fuzzy $\beta$-normed vector space. A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is said to be convergent or converge if there exists an $x \in X$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N_{\beta}\left(x_{n}-x, t\right)=1$ for all $t>0$. In this case, $x$ is called the limit of the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ and we denote $N_{\beta^{-}}$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x$.

Definition 1.5 Let $\left(X, N_{\beta}\right)$ be a fuzzy $\beta$-normed vector space. A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is called Cauchy if for each $\varepsilon>0$ and each $t>0$ there exists an $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_{0}$ and all $p>0$, we have $N_{\beta}\left(x_{n+p}-x_{n}, t\right)>1-\varepsilon$.

It is well known that every convergent sequence in a fuzzy $\beta$-normed vector space is Cauchy. If each Cauchy sequence is convergent, then the fuzzy $\beta$-norm is said to be complete and the fuzzy $\beta$-normed vector space is called a complete fuzzy $\beta$-normed space.

Example 1.6 Let $N: X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a fuzzy $\beta$-norm on $\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
N_{\beta}(x, t)= \begin{cases}\frac{t}{t+|x|^{\beta}}, & t>0 \\ 0, & t \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

Then $\left(\mathbb{R}, N_{\beta}\right)$ is a complete fuzzy $\beta$-normed space.

Proof Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{R}, \delta>0$, and $\varepsilon=\frac{\delta^{\beta}}{1+\delta^{\beta}}$. Then there exist some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq m$ and all $p>0$,

$$
\frac{1}{1+\left|x_{n+p}-x_{n}\right|^{\beta}} \geq 1-\varepsilon
$$

So $\left|x_{n+p}-x_{n}\right|^{\beta}<\delta$ for all $n \geq m$ and all $p>0$. Therefore $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\left(\mathbb{R},|\cdot|^{\beta}\right)$. Let $x_{n} \rightarrow x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N_{\beta}\left(x_{n}-x_{0}, t\right)=1$ for all $t>0$. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Example 1.3.

Definition 1.7 Let $X$ be a set. A function $d: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ is called a generalized metric on $X$ if and only if $d$ satisfies
(M1) $d(x, y)=0$ if and only if $x=y$;
(M2) $d(x, y)=d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
(M3) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y)+d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Theorem 1.8 ([7]) Let $(X, d)$ be a generalized complete metric space and $J: X \rightarrow X$ be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $L<1$. Then, for all $x \in X$, either

$$
d\left(J^{n} x, J^{n+1} x\right)=\infty
$$

for all nonnegative integers $n$ or there exists a positive integer $n_{0}$ such that
(i) $d\left(J^{n} x, J^{n+1} x\right)<\infty$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$;
(ii) the sequence $\left\{J^{n} x\right\}$ converges to a fixed point $x^{*}$ of $J$;
(iii) $x^{*}$ is the unique fixed point of $J$ in the set $X^{*}=\left\{y \in X \mid d\left(J^{n_{0}} x, y\right)<\infty\right\}$;
(iv) $d\left(y, x^{*}\right) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(J y, y)$ for all $y \in X^{*}$.

Definition 1.9 An even mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called an orthogonally quartic mapping if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(2 x+y+z)+f(2 x+y-z)+f(2 x-y+z)+f(-2 x+y+z)+f(2 y)+f(2 z) \\
& \quad=8[f(x+y)+f(x-y)+f(x+z)+f(x-z)]+2[f(y+z)+f(y-z)]+32 f(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $x \perp y, y \perp z$ and $z \perp x$ in the sense of Rätz.

## 2 Fuzzy stability of the orthogonally quartic functional equation: fixed point method

In this section, using the fixed point method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the quartic functional equation in complete fuzzy $\beta$-normed spaces.

Definition 2.1 Let $(X, \perp)$ be a real orthogonality vector space and $\left(Y, N_{\beta}\right)$ be a complete fuzzy $\beta$-normed space, where $0<\beta \leq 1$. Define a difference operator $D f: X^{3} \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
D f(x, y, z)= & f(2 x+y+z)+f(2 x+y-z)+f(2 x-y+z)+f(-2 x+y+z)+f(2 y) \\
& +f(2 z)-8[f(x+y)+f(x-y)+f(x+z)+f(x-z)] \\
& -2[f(y+z)+f(y-z)]-32 f(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$ in the sense of Rätz.

Theorem 2.2 Let $(X, \perp)$ be a real orthogonality vector space, $\left(Y, N_{\beta}\right)$ be a complete fuzzy $\beta$ normed space with $0<\beta \leq 1$, and $\varphi: X^{3} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function and there exists a constant $L, 0<L<1$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(2 x, 2 y, 2 z) \leq 16^{\beta} L \varphi(x, y, z) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$. Assume that a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an even mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\beta}(D f(x, y, z), t) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(x, y, z)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$. Then there is a unique orthogonally quartic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\beta}(f(x)-Q(x), t) \geq \frac{16^{\beta}(1-L) t}{16^{\beta}(1-L) t+\varphi(0, x, 0)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X, t>0$.

Proof From (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y, 2^{n} z\right) \leq 16^{n \beta} L^{n} \varphi(x, y, z) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$. Replacing $(x, y, z)$ by ( $0, x, 0$ ) in (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\beta}(f(2 x)-16 f(x), t) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x, 0)} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. From (N3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\beta}\left(\frac{1}{16} f(2 x)-f(x), \frac{1}{16^{\beta}} t\right) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x, 0)} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$.
If we define

$$
E=\{h: X \rightarrow Y \mid h(0)=0\}
$$

and introduce a generalized metric on $E$ as follows:

$$
d(g, h)=\inf \left\{\mu \in[0, \infty] \left\lvert\, N_{\beta}(g(x)-h(x), \mu t) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x, 0)}\right., x \in X, t>0\right\}
$$

then $(E, d)$ is complete (see[18]).
We define an operator $J: E \rightarrow E$ by

$$
(J h)(x)=\frac{1}{16} h(2 x)
$$

for all $x \in X$.

First, we assert that $J$ is strictly contractive on $E$. Given $g, h \in E$, let $d(g, h)=\alpha$. Then

$$
N_{\beta}(g(x)-h(x), \alpha t) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x, 0)}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{\beta}((J g)(x)-(J h)(x), \alpha L t) & =N_{\beta}\left(\frac{1}{16} g(2 x)-\frac{1}{16} h(2 x), \alpha L t\right) \\
& =N_{\beta}\left(g(2 x)-h(2 x), 16^{\beta} \alpha L t\right) \\
& \geq \frac{16^{\beta} L t}{16^{\beta} L t+\varphi(0,2 x, 0)} \\
& \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x, 0)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$, so, $d(J g, J h) \leq \alpha L$. Then we conclude that $d(J g, J h) \leq L d(g, h)$ for all $g, h \in E$.
Next, we assert that $d(f f, f)<\infty$. From (2.6), we get

$$
N_{\beta}\left((J f)(x)-f(x), \frac{1}{16^{\beta}} t\right) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x, 0)}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(J f, f) \leq \frac{1}{16^{\beta}}<\infty \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, it follows from Theorem 1.9(ii) that there exists a function $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ with $Q(0)=$ 0 , which is a fixed point of $J($ i.e., $J(2 x)=16 J(x))$, such that $J^{n} f \rightarrow Q$, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(2^{n} x\right)}{16^{n}} \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Since the integer $n_{0}$ of Theorem 1.8(i) is 0 and $f \in E^{*}$ (see Theorem 1.8(iii) for the definition of $X^{*}$ ), by (iv) of Theorem 1.8 and (a), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(f, Q) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(J f, f) \leq \frac{1}{16^{\beta}} \frac{1}{1-L} \tag{c}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. So,

$$
N_{\beta}\left(f(x)-Q(x), \frac{1}{16^{\beta}} \frac{1}{1-L} t\right) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi(0, x, 0)},
$$

then the inequality (2.3) is true for all $x \in X, t>0$.
From (2.1) and (2.2) we have

$$
N_{\beta}\left(\frac{1}{16^{n}} D f\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y, 2^{n} z\right), \frac{t}{16^{n \beta}}\right) \geq \frac{t}{t+\varphi\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y, 2^{n} z\right)}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$ with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since $2^{n} x \perp 2^{n} y, 2^{n} y \perp 2^{n} z$, and $2^{n} z \perp 2^{n} x$. So, from (2.4), we get

$$
N_{\beta}\left(\frac{1}{16^{n}} D f\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y, 2^{n} z\right), t\right) \geq \frac{16^{n \beta} t}{16^{n \beta} t+16^{n \beta} L^{n} \varphi(x, y, z)}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{\beta}\left(\frac{1}{16^{n}} f\left(2^{n}(2 x+y+z)\right)+\frac{1}{16^{n}} f\left(2^{n}(2 x+y-z)\right)+\frac{1}{16^{n}} f\left(2^{n}(2 x-y+z)\right)\right. \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{16^{n}} f\left(2^{n}(-2 x+y+z)\right)+\frac{1}{16^{n}} f\left(2^{n}(2 y)\right)+\frac{1}{16^{n}} f\left(2^{n}(2 z)\right) \\
& \quad-8\left[\frac{1}{16^{n}} f\left(2^{n}(x+y)\right)+\frac{1}{16^{n}} f\left(2^{n}(x-y)\right)+\frac{1}{16^{n}} f\left(2^{n}(x+z)\right)+\frac{1}{16^{n}} f\left(2^{n}(x-z)\right)\right] \\
& \left.\quad-2\left[\frac{1}{16^{n}} f\left(2^{n}(y+z)\right)+\frac{1}{16^{n}} f\left(2^{n}(y-z)\right)\right]-32 \times \frac{1}{16^{n}} f\left(2^{n}(x)\right), t\right) \\
& \quad \geq \frac{t}{t+L^{n} \varphi(x, y, z)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$ with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t}{t+L^{n} \varphi(x, y, z)}=1
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$ with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(2 x+y+z)+Q(2 x+y-z)+Q(2 x-y+z)+Q(-2 x+y+z)+Q(2 y)+Q(2 z) \\
& \quad=8[Q(x+y)+Q(x-y)+Q(x+z)+Q(x-z)]+2[Q(y+z)+Q(y-z)]+32 Q(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$ with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$.
Assume that the inequality (2.3) is also satisfied with another quartic function $Q^{\prime}: X \rightarrow$ $Y$ besides $Q$. $Q^{\prime}$ satisfies $Q^{\prime}(x)=(1 / 16) Q^{\prime}(2 x)=\left(J Q^{\prime}\right)(x)$ for all $x \in X$, and $Q^{\prime}$ is a fixed point of $J$. From (3.3) and the definition of $d$, we can get

$$
d\left(f, Q^{\prime}\right) \leq \frac{1}{16^{\beta}} \frac{1}{1-L}<\infty
$$

then

$$
d\left(J f, Q^{\prime}\right) \leq d(J f, f)+d\left(f, Q^{\prime}\right) \leq \frac{1}{16^{\beta}}+\frac{1}{16^{\beta}} \frac{1}{1-L}<\infty .
$$

So, $Q^{\prime} \in E^{*}=\{y \in E \mid d(J f, y)<\infty\}$. (In view of (a), the integer $n_{0}$ of Theorem 1.8(i) is 0 .) Thus, Theorem 1.8(ii) implies that $Q=Q^{\prime}$. This proves the uniqueness of $Q$. The proof is complete.

Corollary 2.3 Let $\theta \geq 0, p$ be a real positive number with $p<4$, and $(X, \perp)$ be a real orthogonality vector space with $\beta$-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\beta}$ with $0<\beta \leq 1$. Assume that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an
even mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\beta}(D f(x, y, z), t) \geq \frac{t}{t+\theta\left(\|x\|_{\beta}^{p}+\|y\|_{\beta}^{p}+\|z\|_{\beta}^{p}\right)} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$. Then there is a unique orthogonally quartic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
N_{\beta}(f(x)-Q(x), t) \geq \frac{\left(16^{\beta}-2^{p \beta}\right) t}{\left(16^{\beta}-2^{p \beta}\right) t+\theta\|x\|_{\beta}^{p}}
$$

for all $x \in X, t>0$.
Proof From Theorem 2.2 by taking $\varphi(x, y, z)=\theta\left(\|x\|_{\beta}^{p}+\|y\|_{\beta}^{p}+\|z\|_{\beta}^{p}\right)$ for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$, and choosing $L=\left(\frac{2^{p}}{16}\right)^{\beta}$ we can get the desired result.

Theorem 2.4 Let $(X, \perp)$ be a real orthogonality vector space and $\left(Y, N_{\beta}\right)$ be a complete fuzzy $\beta$-normed space with $0<\beta \leq 1$. Assume that $\varphi: X^{3} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a function and there exists a constant $L, 0<L<1$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right) \leq \frac{L}{16^{\beta}} \varphi(x, y, z) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$. If a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an even mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and (2.2) for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$, there is a unique orthogonally quartic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\beta}(f(x)-Q(x), t) \geq \frac{16^{\beta}\left(L^{-1}-1\right) t}{16^{\beta}\left(L^{-1}-1\right) t+\varphi(0, x, 0)} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X, t>0$.
Corollary 2.5 Let $\theta \geq 0$ and $p$ be a real positive number with $p>4$ and $(X, \perp)$ be a real orthogonality vector space with $\beta$-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\beta}$ with $0<\beta \leq 1$. Iff $: X \rightarrow Y$ is an even mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and (2.7) for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$, there is a unique orthogonally quartic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
N_{\beta}(f(x)-Q(x), t) \geq \frac{\left(2^{p \beta}-16^{\beta}\right) t}{\left(2^{p \beta}-16^{\beta}\right) t+\theta\|x\|_{\beta}^{p}}
$$

for all $x \in X, t>0$.

## 3 Fuzzy stability of the orthogonally quartic functional equation: direct method

In this section, we assume that $(X, \perp)$ is a real orthogonality vector space, $\left(Y, N_{\beta}\right)$ is a complete fuzzy $\beta$-normed space with $0<\beta \leq 1$ and $\left(Z, N_{\beta}^{\prime}\right)$ is a fuzzy $\beta$-normed space.

Theorem 3.1 Assume that a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an even mapping satisfying the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\beta}(D f(x, y, z), t) \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}(\varphi(x, y, z), t) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $f(0)=0$ for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$, and $\varphi: X^{3} \rightarrow Z$ is a mapping such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right), t\right) \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi(x, y, z), \frac{t}{\alpha^{\beta}}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0<\alpha<\frac{1}{16}$, and all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$ and $z \perp x$. Then there is a unique orthogonally quartic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\beta}(f(x)-Q(x), t) \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x, 0),\left(\alpha^{-\beta}-16^{\beta}\right) t\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X, t>0$.

Proof It follows from (3.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{j}}, \frac{y}{2^{j}}, \frac{z}{2^{j}}\right), t\right) \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi(x, y, z), \frac{t}{\alpha^{\beta j}}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$, and any integers $j \geq 0$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$. Replacing $(x, y, z)$ by $(0, x, 0)$ in (3.1), Since $0 \perp x$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\beta}(f(2 x)-16 f(x), t) \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}(\varphi(0, x, 0), t) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Replacing $x$ by $\frac{x}{2}$ in (3.5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\beta}\left(f(x)-16 f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), t\right) \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(0, \frac{x}{2}, 0\right), t\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Replacing $x$ by $\frac{x}{2 j}$ in (3.6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\beta}\left(f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j}}\right)-16 f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right), t\right) \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(0, \frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, 0\right), t\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. Now from (N3) and (3.7), we get

$$
N_{\beta}\left(16^{j} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j}}\right)-16^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right), 16^{\beta j} t\right) \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(0, \frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, 0\right), t\right)
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. It follows from (3.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\beta}\left(16^{j} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j}}\right)-16^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right), 16^{\beta j} t\right) \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x, 0), \frac{t}{\alpha^{\beta(j+1)}}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\beta}\left(16^{j} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j}}\right)-16^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right), 16^{\beta j} \alpha^{\beta(j+1)} t\right) \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}(\varphi(0, x, 0), t) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t>0$. So

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{\beta}\left(16^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)-f(x), \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 16^{\beta j} \alpha^{\beta(j+1)} t\right) \\
& \quad=N_{\beta}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(16^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right)-16^{j} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j}}\right)\right), \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 16^{\beta j} \alpha^{\beta(j+1)} t\right) \\
& \quad \geq \min _{0 \leq j \leq n-1}\left\{N_{\beta}\left(16^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right)\right)-16^{j} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j}}\right), 16^{\beta j} \alpha^{\beta(j+1)} t\right\} \\
& \quad \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}(\varphi(0, x, 0), t), \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{\beta}\left(16^{n+p} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+p}}\right)-16^{p} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{p}}\right), \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 16^{\beta(p+1)} \alpha^{\beta(j+p+1)} t\right) \\
& \quad=N_{\beta}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(16^{p+j} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{p+j}}\right)-16^{p+j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{p+j+1}}\right)\right), \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 16^{\beta(p+j)} \alpha^{\beta(p+j+1)} t\right) \\
& \quad \geq \min _{0 \leq j \leq n-1}\left\{N_{\beta}\left(16^{p+j} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{p+j}}\right)\right)-16^{p+j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{p+j+1}}\right), 16^{\beta(p+j)} \alpha^{\beta(p+j+1)} t\right\} \\
& \quad \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}(\varphi(0, x, 0), t)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in X, t>0$, and $n>0, p \geq 0$. So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\beta}\left(16^{n+p} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+p}}\right)-16^{p} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{p}}\right), t\right) \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x, 0), \frac{t}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 16^{\beta(p+j)} \alpha^{\beta(p+j+1)}}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X, t>0$, and $n>0, p \geq 0$. Since the series $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 16^{\beta j} \alpha^{\beta j}$ is convergent, we see by taking the limit $p \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality that the sequence $\left\{16^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the complete fuzzy $\beta$-normed space $\left(Y, N_{\beta}\right)$, so it converges in $Y$. We define a mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ by $Q(x)=N_{\beta}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 16^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)$ for all $x \in X$. It means that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N_{\beta}\left(Q(x)-16^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right), t\right)=1
$$

for all $x \in X, t>0$.
Replacing $x, y, z$ by $2^{n} x, 2^{n} y, 2^{n} z$ in (3.1), respectively, we get

$$
N_{\beta}\left(D f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}, \frac{y}{2^{n}}, \frac{z}{2^{n}}\right), t\right) \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}, \frac{y}{2^{n}}, \frac{z}{2^{n}}\right), t\right)
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\frac{x}{2^{n}} \perp \frac{y}{2^{n}}, \frac{y}{2^{n}} \perp \frac{z}{2^{n}}$, and $\frac{z}{2^{n}} \perp \frac{x}{2^{n}}$, we have

$$
N_{\beta}\left(16^{n} D f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}, \frac{y}{2^{n}}, \frac{z}{2^{n}}\right), 16^{n \beta} t\right) \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}, \frac{y}{2^{n}}, \frac{z}{2^{n}}\right), t\right)
$$

namely,

$$
N_{\beta}\left(16^{n} D f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}, \frac{y}{2^{n}}, \frac{z}{2^{n}}\right), t\right) \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}, \frac{y}{2^{n}}, \frac{z}{2^{n}}\right), \frac{t}{16^{n \beta}}\right)
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From (3.4), we get

$$
N_{\beta}\left(16^{n} D f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}, \frac{y}{2^{n}}, \frac{z}{2^{n}}\right), t\right) \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi(x, y, z), \frac{t}{(16 \alpha)^{n \beta}}\right)
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{\beta}\left(16^{n} f\left(\frac{2 x+y+z}{2^{n}}\right)+16^{n} f\left(\frac{2 x-y+z}{2^{n}}\right)+16^{n} f\left(\frac{2 x-y+z}{2^{n}}\right)\right. \\
& \quad+16^{n} f\left(\frac{-2 x+y+z}{2^{n}}\right)-8\left[16^{n} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2^{n}}\right)+16^{n} f\left(\frac{x-y}{2^{n}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+16^{n} f\left(\frac{x+z}{2^{n}}\right)+16^{n} f\left(\frac{x-z}{2^{n}}\right)\right] \\
& \left.\quad-2\left[16^{n} f\left(\frac{y+z}{2^{n}}\right)+16^{n} f\left(\frac{y-z}{2^{n}}\right)\right]-32 \times 16^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right), t\right) \\
& \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi(x, y, z), \frac{t}{(16 \alpha)^{n \beta}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi(x, y, z), \frac{t}{(16 \alpha)^{n \beta}}\right)=1
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{\beta}(Q(2 x+y+z)+Q(2 x+y-z)+Q(2 x-y+z)+Q(-2 x \\
& \quad+y+z)+Q(2 y)+Q(2 z)-8[Q(x+y)+Q(x-y)+Q(x+z) \\
& \quad+Q(x-z)]-2[Q(y+z)+Q(y-z)]-32 Q(x), t)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$ with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$. So, we get $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ is a quartic mapping.
Since $f(x)$ is an even mapping, $Q(x)$ is an even mapping, the mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ is an orthogonally quartic mapping

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.10), we get the inequality (3.3).
To prove the uniqueness of $Q$, let $L: X \rightarrow Y$ be another orthogonally quartic mapping satisfying (3.3). We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{\beta}(Q(x)-L(x), t) \\
& \quad=N_{\beta}\left(16^{n} Q\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)-16^{n} L\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right), t\right) \\
& \quad \geq \min \left\{N_{\beta}\left(16^{n} Q\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)-16^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right), t\right), N_{\beta}\left(16^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)-16^{n} L\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right), t\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geq \min \left\{N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(0, \frac{x}{2^{n}}, 0\right), \frac{\left(\alpha^{-\beta}-16^{\beta}\right) t}{2 \cdot 16^{n \beta}}\right), N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(0, \frac{x}{2^{n}}, 0\right), \frac{\left(\alpha^{-\beta}-16^{\beta}\right) t}{2 \cdot 16^{n \beta}}\right)\right\} \\
& \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x, 0), \frac{\left(\alpha^{-\beta}-16^{\beta}\right) t}{2} \frac{1}{(16 \alpha)^{n \beta}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $x \in X, t>0$, and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ is unique. This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.2 Let $\theta \geq 0, p$ be a real positive number with $p>4,(X, \perp)$ be a real orthogonality vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ with $0<\beta \leq 1$ and $\left(\mathbb{R}, N^{\prime}\right)$ be a complete fuzzy $\beta$-normed space. Iff $: X \rightarrow Y$ is an even mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\beta}(D f(x, y, z), t) \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}+\|z\|^{p}\right), t\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$, there is a unique orthogonally quartic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
N_{\beta}(f(x)-Q(x), t) \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x, 0),\left(2^{p \beta}-16^{\beta}\right) t\right)
$$

for all $x \in X, t>0$.

Proof From Theorem 3.1 by taking $\varphi(x, y, z)=\theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}+\|z\|^{p}\right)$ for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$, and choosing $\alpha=2^{-p \beta}$ we get the desired result.

Theorem 3.3 Assume that a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an even mapping satisfying the inequality (3.1) and $f(0)=0$ for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$. Let $\varphi: X^{3} \rightarrow Z$ be a mapping for which there is a constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $0<\alpha<16$ such that

$$
N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi(x, y, z), \alpha^{\beta} t\right) \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right), t\right)
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$ and $z \perp x$. Then there is a unique orthogonally quartic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
N_{\beta}(f(x)-Q(x), t) \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x, 0),\left(16^{\beta}-\alpha^{\beta}\right) t\right)
$$

for all $x \in X, t>0$.

Corollary 3.4 Let $\theta \geq 0, p$ be a real positive number with $4>p>0,(X, \perp)$ be a real orthogonality vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ with $0<\beta \leq 1$ and $\left(\mathbb{R}, N^{\prime}\right)$ be a complete fuzzy $\beta$ normed space. Iff $: X \rightarrow Y$ is an even mapping satisfying the inequality (3.12) and $f(0)=0$ for all $x, y, z \in X, t>0$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$, and $z \perp x$, there is a unique orthogonally quartic mapping $Q: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
N_{\beta}(f(x)-Q(x), t) \geq N_{\beta}^{\prime}\left(\varphi(0, x, 0),\left(16^{\beta}\right) t-2^{p \beta}\right)
$$

for all $x \in X, t>0$.
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