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#### Abstract

We study a new class of matrices called diagonally magic matrices. We prove that such a matrix has rank at most 2 and that any square submatrix of a diagonally magic matrix is diagonally magic. MSC: 15A03; 15A06
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## 1 Introduction

For a positive integer $n$, let $S_{n}$ be the set of all $n$ ! permutations of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. We denote by $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ the set of $n \times n$ complex matrices and the set of $n \times n$ real matrices, respectively. If $A=\left(a_{i, j}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $\sigma \in S_{n}$, then the sequence $a_{1, \sigma(1)}, a_{2, \sigma(2)}, \ldots, a_{n, \sigma(n)}$ is called a transversal of $A$ [1]. In 2012, Professor Xingzhi Zhan defined the following new concept at a seminar and suggested studying its properties.

Definition 1.1 A matrix $A=\left(a_{i, j}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is called diagonally magic if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, \sigma(i)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, \pi(i)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\sigma, \pi \in S_{n}$.

Obviously, the zero matrix $0_{n \times n}$ and $J=[1]_{n \times n}$, the matrix of all ones, are diagonally magic matrices. Denote

$$
B_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & \cdots & n  \tag{2}\\
n+1 & n+2 & \cdots & 2 n \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
(n-1) n+1 & (n-1) n+2 & \cdots & n^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
C_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & \cdots & n  \tag{3}\\
2 & 3 & \cdots & n+1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
n & n+1 & \cdots & 2 n-1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We will show that $B_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ are diagonally magic matrices. So, there are a lot of diagonally magic matrices. $C_{n}$ is a Hankel matrix. $B_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ are nonnegative matrices which have been a hot research area $[2,3]$.

For matrix $D=\left(d_{i j}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, let the columns of $D$ be $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n} . \operatorname{vec}(D)$ is a vector defined by $\operatorname{vec}(D)=\left(d_{1}^{T}, d_{2}^{T}, \ldots, d_{n}^{T}\right)^{T}$, where the superscript ${ }^{T}$ denotes the transpose. The matrix $E_{i, j}^{n}$ denotes the Type 1 elementary matrix [4], p.8, which is simply the identity matrix $I_{n}$ of order $n$, the $i, i$ and $j, j$ entries replaced by 0 and the $i, j$ entry (respectively $j, i$ entry) replaced by 1 (respectively 1 ). Given two matrices $A$ and $B$, their direct sum is written as $A \oplus B$. Given a sequence of matrices $A_{i}$, for $i=1, \ldots, k$, one may write their direct sum as

$$
A=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} A_{i}=\operatorname{diag}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)
$$

Each $A_{i}$ is called a direct summand of $A$. Let $e_{n}=(\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{n})^{T}$ and $\widehat{e}_{n}=(\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n-1}, 1)^{T}$.

## 2 Main results

Let $A=\left(a_{i, j}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be a diagonally magic matrix with $n \geq 2$. Assume that the sum of every transversal is $c$. From the definition of diagonally magic matrices (1), we have a system of linear equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{A}_{n} \operatorname{vec}\left(A^{T}\right)=c e_{n!}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{A}_{n}=\left(\widetilde{a}_{1}, \widetilde{a}_{2}, \ldots, \widetilde{a}_{n^{2}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n!\times n^{2}}$ is the coefficient matrix. If $n=2$, from the definition of the diagonally magic matrices and (4), the coefficient matrix $\widetilde{A}_{2}$ can be chosen to be the $2 \times 4$ matrix

$$
\tilde{A}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\tilde{a}_{1}, \tilde{a}_{2}, \tilde{a}_{3}, \tilde{a}_{4}\right)
$$

and the augmented matrix is

$$
\widehat{A}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{llll|l}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & c  \tag{5}\\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & c
\end{array}\right)
$$

This augmented matrix is the row-reduced echelon form.
Suppose $n \geq 2$ and that, for $n=2, \ldots, k$, we have constructed the coefficient matrix

$$
\widetilde{A}_{k}=\left(\widetilde{a}_{1}, \tilde{a}_{2}, \ldots, \widetilde{a}_{k^{2}}\right)
$$

Let $n=k+1$. We use the following method to construct the coefficient matrix $\widetilde{A}_{k+1}$. Firstly, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1,1}^{k+1}=\left(e_{k!}, 0_{k!\times k}\right), \\
& C_{1, m+1}^{k+1}=\left(0_{k!\times 1}, \widetilde{a}_{(m-1) k+1}, \widetilde{a}_{(m-1) k+2}, \ldots, \widetilde{a}_{m k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $1 \leq m \leq k$. Secondly, construct

$$
C_{i, j}^{k+1}=C_{i-1, j}^{k+1} E_{i-1, i}^{k+1}
$$

for $i=2,3, \ldots, k+1, j=1,2,3, \ldots, k+1$, where $E_{i, j}^{n}$ denotes the Type 1 elementary matrix [4], p.8, which is simply the identity matrix $I_{n}$ of order $n$, the $i, i$ and $j, j$ entries replaced by 0 and the $i, j$ entry (respectively $j, i$ entry) replaced by 1 (respectively 1 ). Then we get the coefficient matrix

$$
\widetilde{A}_{k+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
C_{1,1}^{k+1} & C_{1,2}^{k+1} & \cdots & C_{1, k+1}^{k+1} \\
C_{2,1}^{k+1} & C_{2,2}^{k+1} & \cdots & C_{2, k+1}^{k+1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
C_{k+1,1}^{k+1} & C_{k+1,2}^{k+1} & \cdots & C_{k+1, k+1}^{k+1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For example, if $n=3$, according to the constructing method and $\widetilde{A}_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{A}_{3} & =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
C_{1,1}^{3} & C_{1,2}^{3} & C_{1,3}^{3} \\
C_{2,1}^{3} & C_{2,2}^{3} & C_{2,3}^{3} \\
C_{3,1}^{3} & C_{3,2}^{3} & C_{3,3}^{3}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{lll|lll|lll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\widetilde{a}_{1}, \tilde{a}_{2}, \tilde{a}_{3}, \tilde{a}_{4}, \tilde{a}_{5}, \tilde{a}_{6}, \tilde{a}_{7}, \tilde{a}_{8}, \tilde{a}_{9}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume

$$
C_{j}=\left(C_{j, 1}^{k+1}, C_{j, 2}^{k+1}, \ldots, C_{j, k+1}^{k+1}, c e_{k!}\right)
$$

for $j=1,2, \ldots, k+1$. Consequently, the augmented matrix of $\widetilde{A}_{k+1}$ is

$$
\left(C_{1}^{T}, C_{2}^{T}, \ldots, C_{k+1}^{T}\right)^{T}
$$

Let

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
D_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \\
F_{(n-1) \times n}=\left(I_{n-1}\right.
\end{array}-e_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times n},
$$

$$
G_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 3-n \\
1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 3-n \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 3-n \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 2-n
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} .
$$

We claim that the row-reduced echelon form of the augmented matrix in the system of linear equations (4) has the following form:

$$
\widehat{A}_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc|c}
I_{n} & D_{n} & D_{n} & \cdots & D_{n} & G_{n} & c e_{n}  \tag{6}\\
& F_{(n-1) \times n} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -F_{(n-1) \times n} & 0 \\
& & F_{(n-1) \times n} & \cdots & 0 & -F_{(n-1) \times n} & 0 \\
& & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
& & \mathbf{0} & & F_{(n-1) \times n} & -F_{(n-1) \times n} & 0 \\
& & & & & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We prove this by induction on $n$. For example, $\left(\tilde{A}_{2}, c e_{2!}\right)$ is row-equivalent to

$$
\widehat{A}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{llll|l}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & c \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & c
\end{array}\right)
$$

The row-reduced echelon form of the augmented matrix $\left(\widetilde{A}_{3}, c e_{3!}\right)$ has the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{A}_{3} & =\left(\begin{array}{cccc|c}
I_{3} & D_{3} & G_{3} & c e_{3} \\
0_{2 \times 3} & F_{2 \times 3} & -F_{2 \times 3} & 0_{3 \times 1} \\
0_{1 \times 3} & 0_{1 \times 3} & 0_{1 \times 3} & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc|c}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, if $n=2, \widehat{A}_{2}$ in (5) has the form (6). Suppose $n \geq 2$ and that, for $n=2, \ldots, k$, the assertion has been proved for $n!-$ by $-n^{2}$ matrix $\widetilde{A}_{n}$. Let $n=k+1$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{k \times(k+1)}=\left(e_{k}, 0_{k \times k}\right), & J_{k \times(k+1)}=\left(0_{k \times 1}, I_{k}\right), \\
\widetilde{D}_{k \times(k+1)}=\left(0_{k \times 1}, D_{k}\right), & \widetilde{G}_{k \times(k+1)}=\left(0_{k \times 1}, G_{k}\right), \\
\widetilde{F}_{(k-1) \times(k+1)}=\left(0_{k \times 1}, F_{(k-1) \times k}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

By the inductive hypothesis, we can obtain the following matrix after a sequence of elementary operations for $C_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc|c}
H_{k \times(k+1)} & J_{k \times(k+1)} & \widetilde{D}_{k \times(k+1)} & \widetilde{D}_{k \times(k+1)} & \cdots & \widetilde{D}_{k \times(k+1)} & \widetilde{G}_{k \times(k+1)} & c_{e_{k}} \\
& & \widetilde{F}_{(k-1) \times(k+1)} & \widetilde{F}_{(k-1) \times(k+1)} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -\widetilde{F}_{\widetilde{F}(k-1) \times(k+1)} \\
0 \\
& & & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
& & 0 & & \widetilde{F}_{(k-1) \times(k+1)} & -\widetilde{F}_{(k-1) \times(k+1)} & \vdots \\
& & & & & & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& \equiv\left(\begin{array}{c}
P_{1,1} \\
P_{1,2} \\
P_{1,3} \\
\vdots \\
P_{1, k-1} \\
0
\end{array}\right) \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
P_{1,1}=\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
H_{k \times(k+1)} & J_{k \times(k+1)} & \widetilde{D}_{k \times(k+1)} & \widetilde{D}_{k \times(k+1)} & \cdots & \widetilde{D}_{k \times(k+1)} & \widetilde{G}_{k \times(k+1)} \mid c e_{k}
\end{array}\right), \\
P_{1, i}=\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
0 & \cdots & 0 & \widetilde{F}_{(k-1) \times(k+1)} & \overbrace{0}(k-i-1)(k+1) \text { columns }
\end{array}\right. \\
\cdots
\end{array}\right]-\widetilde{F}_{(k-1) \times(k+1)} \mid 0\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{(k-1) \times\left((k+1)^{2}+1\right)}, ~ l
$$

for $i=2,3, \ldots, k-1 . C_{j}$ is row-equivalent to

$$
P_{j}=P_{j-1}\left(\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k+1} E_{j-1, j}^{k+1}\right) \oplus 1\right)=\left(P_{j, 1}^{T}, \ldots, P_{j, k-1}^{T}, 0^{T}\right)^{T}
$$

for $j=2, \ldots, k+1 . P_{j, 1} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times\left((k+1)^{2}+1\right)}$ is the first $k$ rows of $P_{j} . P_{j, i} \in \mathbb{R}^{(k-1) \times\left((k+1)^{2}+1\right)}$ is the rows of $P_{j}$ from $(k+(i-2)(k-1)+1)$ th to $(k+(i-1)(k-1))$ th row, $i=2, \ldots, k-1$. In $P_{1,1}$, multiplying row $k$ by the scalar -1 and adding to row $j$, for $j=1, \ldots, k-1$, then $P_{1,1}$ is row-equivalent to

$$
\widehat{P}_{1,1}=\left(\widehat{H}_{k \times(k+1)}, \widehat{J}_{k \times(k+1)}, \widehat{\widetilde{D}}_{k \times(k+1)}, \widehat{\widetilde{D}}_{k \times(k+1)}, \ldots, \widehat{\widetilde{D}}_{k \times(k+1)}, \widehat{\widetilde{G}}_{k \times(k+1)}, \widehat{c}_{k}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\widehat{H}_{k \times(k+1)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0_{(k-1) \times k} \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), & \widehat{J}_{k \times(k+1)}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & I_{k-1} & -e_{k-1} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
\widehat{\widetilde{D}}_{k \times(k+1)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0_{(k-1) \times k} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), & \widehat{\widetilde{G}}_{k \times(k+1)}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 2-k
\end{array}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Applying this method to $P_{j, 1}, j=2, \ldots, k+1$, then $P_{j, 1}$ is row-equivalent to

$$
\widehat{P}_{j, 1}=\widehat{P}_{j-1,1}\left(\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k+1} E_{j-1, j}^{k+1}\right) \oplus 1\right)
$$

Multiplying row $k-1$ of $\widehat{P}_{1,1}$ by the scalar -1 and adding to row $k$ of $\widehat{P}_{k+1,1}$, and multiplying row $k-1$ of $P_{1, i}$ by the scalar -1 and adding to row $k$ of $\widehat{P}_{k+1,1}$ for $i=2,3, \ldots, k-1$, then row $k$ of $\widehat{P}_{k+1,1}$ changes to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\underbrace{\hat{e}_{k+1}^{T}, \ldots, \hat{e}_{k+1}^{T}}_{k(k+1)}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{k}, 1-k, c) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Picking row $k$ of $\widehat{P}_{j, 1}, j=1,2, \ldots, k$, and (7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I_{k+1}, \underbrace{D_{k+1}, D_{k+1}, \ldots, D_{k+1}}_{(k-1)(k+1)}, G_{k+1}, c e_{k+1}) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining row 1 of $\widehat{P}_{2,1}$ and row $i$ of $\widehat{P}_{1,1}$, for $i=1, \ldots, k-1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0_{k \times(k+1)}, F_{k \times(k+1)}, \underbrace{0_{k \times(k+1)}, \ldots, 0_{k \times(k+1)}}_{(k-3)(k+1)},-F_{k \times(k+1)}, 0) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining row 1 of $P_{2, i}$ and row $j$ of $P_{1, i}$, for $i, j=1,2, \ldots, k-1$, we get

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc|c}
0_{k \times(k+1)} & 0_{k \times(k+1)} & F_{k \times(k+1)} & 0_{k \times(k+1)} & \cdots & 0_{k \times(k+1)} & -F_{k \times(k+1)} & 0  \tag{10}\\
0_{k \times(k+1)} & 0_{k \times(k+1)} & 0_{k \times(k+1)} & F_{k \times(k+1)} & \cdots & 0_{k \times(k+1)} & -F_{k \times(k+1)} & 0 \\
& & 0 & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
& & 0 & & & F_{k \times(k+1)} & -F_{k \times(k+1)} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Combining (8), (9) and (10), we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc|c}
I_{k+1} & D_{k+1} & D_{k+1} & \cdots & D_{k+1} & G_{k+1} & c e_{k+1} \\
& F_{k \times(k+1)} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -F_{k \times(k+1)} & 0 \\
& & F_{k \times(k+1)} & \cdots & 0 & -F_{k \times(k+1)} & 0 \\
& & 0 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
& & & & F_{k \times(k+1)} & -F_{k \times(k+1)} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The other rows depend linearly on some rows of the above matrix. From the row-reduced echelon form (6), we get $\operatorname{rank}\left(\widetilde{A}_{n}\right)=n^{2}-2 n+2$. $a_{j, n}, a_{n, i}$, for $2 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq n-1$, are free variables. The other entries of matrix $A=\left(a_{i, j}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ are the pivot variables. The pivot variables are completely determined in terms of free variables.

Theorem 2.1 Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be a diagonally magic matrix. Then $\operatorname{rank}(A) \leq 2$.

Proof Let $A=\left(a_{i, j}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be a diagonally magic matrix. If $n=1$, the conclusion is trivial. Next, we prove that it is true for $n \geq 2$. Assume unknowns $a_{j, n}, a_{n, i}$, for $2 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq$ $n-1$, are free variables. According to (6), we have

$$
a_{1, i}=-\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} a_{j, n}-\sum_{j \neq i}^{n-1} a_{n, j}+(n-3) a_{n, n}+c
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, and

$$
a_{1, n}=-\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} a_{j, n}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{n, j}+(n-2) a_{n, n}+c
$$

We also have

$$
a_{i, j}=a_{i, n}+a_{n, j}-a_{n, n}
$$

for $2 \leq i, j \leq n-1$. That is,

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} a_{j, n}-\sum_{j \neq 1}^{n-1} a_{n, j}+(n-3) a_{n, n}+c & \cdots & -\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} a_{j, n}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{n, j}+(n-2) a_{n, n}+c  \tag{11}\\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{n, 1} & \cdots & a_{n, n}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Using row elementary operations, $A$ is row-equivalent to

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
c-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{n, j} & c-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{n, j} & \cdots & c-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{n, j} & c-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{n, j}  \tag{12}\\
a_{2, n}-a_{n, n} & a_{2, n}-a_{n, n} & \cdots & a_{2, n}-a_{n, n} & a_{2, n}-a_{n, n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{n-1, n}-a_{n, n} & a_{n-1, n}-a_{n, n} & \cdots & a_{n-1, n}-a_{n, n} & a_{n-1, n}-a_{n, n} \\
a_{n, 1} & a_{n, 2} & \cdots & a_{n, n-1} & a_{n, n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

From (12), we can easily get

$$
\operatorname{rank}(A) \leq 2
$$

This completes the proof.

According to (11), we know that the matrices $B_{n}$ in (2) and $C_{n}$ in (3) are diagonally magic matrices. It is easy to verify that $B_{n}$ is row-equivalent to

$$
B_{n} \longrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n \\
0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$C_{n}$ is row-equivalent to

$$
C_{n} \longrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n \\
0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now it is clear that there are diagonally magic matrices of ranks $0,1,2$. Indeed, $\operatorname{rank}\left(0_{n \times n}\right)=0, \operatorname{rank}\left([1]_{n \times n}\right)=1$, and $\operatorname{rank}\left(B_{n}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(C_{n}\right)=2$.

Theorem 2.2 If the diagonally magic matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ has a form (11), then the characteristic polynomial of $A$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{A}(\lambda)=\lambda^{n-2}\left(\lambda^{2}-c \lambda+d\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{n, j}-c\right) \sum_{j=2}^{n}\left(a_{n, 1}-a_{n, j}\right)-n \sum_{j=2}^{n-1}\left(a_{n, n}-a_{j, n}\right)\left(a_{n, 1}-a_{n, j}\right)$.
From (13), we can see that the algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalue 0 of the diagonally magic matrix $A$ is at least $n-2$.

Theorem 2.3 Let $A$ and $B$ be diagonally magic matrices of the same order. Then $A \pm B$, $k A, P A Q$ and $A^{*}$ are diagonally magic matrices, where $k$ is a constant, $P$ and $Q$ are the square matrices every row and every column of which has at most one nonzero entry, and $A^{*}$ denotes the conjugate transpose of $A$.

Proof This can be easily checked from the definition.
Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, 1 \leq i_{1} \leq i_{2} \leq \cdots \leq i_{k} \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq j_{2} \leq \cdots \leq j_{s} \leq n$. We denote by $A\left[i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k} \mid j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{s}\right]$ the $k \times s$ submatrix of $A$ that lies in the rows $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}$ and columns $j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{s}$. Denote by $A\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k} \mid j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{s}\right)$ the $(n-k) \times(n-s)$ submatrix of $A$ obtained by deleting the rows $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}$ and columns $j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{s}$.

Theorem 2.4 Any square submatrix of a diagonally magic matrix is diagonally magic.

Proof Let $B$ be a $k \times k$ submatrix of a diagonally magic matrix $A=\left(a_{i, j}\right)$. Then there are row and column indices $\alpha=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}\right)$ and $\beta=\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{k}\right)$ such that $B=A[\alpha \mid \beta]$. Note that the union of a transversal of $B$ and a transversal of $A(\alpha \mid \beta)$ is a transversal of $A$. Choose an arbitrary but fixed transversal $T$ of the square matrix $A(\alpha \mid \beta)$. For any $\sigma, \pi \in S_{k}$, $a_{i_{1}, j_{\sigma(1)}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}, j_{\sigma(k)}}$ and the entries in $T$ constitute a transversal of $A$, while $a_{i_{1}, j_{\pi(1)}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}, j_{\pi(k)}}$ and the entries in $T$ also constitute a transversal of $A$. Let $b$ be the sum of the entries in $T$. Since $A$ is diagonally magic, we have

$$
\sum_{t=1}^{k} a_{i_{t}, j_{\sigma(t)}}+b=\sum_{t=1}^{k} a_{i_{t}, j_{\pi(t)}}+b
$$

which yields

$$
\sum_{t=1}^{k} a_{i_{t}, j_{\sigma(t)}}=\sum_{t=1}^{k} a_{i_{t}, j_{\pi(t)}} .
$$

This shows that $B$ is diagonally magic.
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